RESEARCH ARTICLE

Anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood influences carbon dynamics and suggests storage-growth trade-offs in some tropical trees

David Herrera-Ramírez[1] | Henrik Hartmann[1] | Christine Römermann[2,3] |
Susan Trumbore[1] | Jan Muhr[1,4] | Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos[5] |
Paulo Brando[5,6,7] | Divino Silvério[8] | Jianbei Huang[1] | Iris Kuhlmann[1] |
Carlos A. Sierra[1]

[1]Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany; [2]Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Institute for Ecology and Evolution, Jena, Germany; [3]German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; [4]Georg August University Göttingen, Department of Bioclimatology, Gottingen, Germany; [5]Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia, Brasilia, Brazil; [6]Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, California, USA; [7]Yale School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA and [8]Department of Biology, Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia—UFRA, Capitao Poco, Brazil

Correspondence
David Herrera-Ramírez
Email: dherrera@bgc-jena.mpg.de

Abstract

1. Trees balance temporal asynchrony in carbon source and sink activity by accumulating and using non-structural carbon (NSC). Previous work has demonstrated differences in the amount and distribution of NSC stored in stemwood in tropical tree species and related these patterns in NSC distribution to tree growth and mortality rates. However, we still do not know how changes in the amount and location of starch, a major component of NSC in stemwood, influence the seasonal carbon dynamics of mature trees and how this may reflect storage-growth trade-offs.

2. In this work, we hypothesized that combining two life history traits, here leaf habit (evergreen/semi-deciduous) and the anatomical distribution of starch within the stemwood (parenchyma storage and fibre storage), would allow us to explain differences in the seasonal interplay between carbon sources and sinks and the use and accumulation of starch in the tree stem. We expected semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species to have greater seasonal amplitudes of carbon source and sink activity, and therefore greater variation in starch content and stronger storage-growth trade-offs than evergreen/parenchyma-storing species.

3. We measured monthly increments in stem radial growth, soluble sugars and starch every 3 months during 2019 in *Dacryodes microcarpa* (semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species), *Ocotea leucoxylon* (evergreen/parenchyma-storing species) and *Sacoglottis guianensis* (semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species).

4. We found seasonal changes in starch but not sugars in the semi-deciduous species, with greater amplitude in the fibre-storing species that also had greater

storage capacity and stem respiration rates. The fibre-storing species further showed a negative relationship between starch consumption/accumulation and growth during the rainy season, suggesting a trade-off between growth and storage, with starch accumulating in some cases when growth was low.

5. *Synthesis.* Our results show the influence of seasonal starch storage on carbon dynamics in three species of tropical trees that differ in leaf phenology and starch storage traits. Semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species have greater temporal variation in carbon sink activities and more seasonally dynamic starch content. Since the fibre-storing species we studied are slower-growing and longer-lived trees with lower mortality rates compared to the parenchyma-storing species, these results may provide clues about how storage traits could influence their survival and life span.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Trees assimilate CO_2 through photosynthesis to produce nonstructural carbon (NSC), consisting mainly of soluble sugars, starch and lipids. Sugars are transported to all tree organs to fuel metabolism and growth, and are locally accumulated as starch and lipids to provide energy reserves on different time scales, for example, daily, seasonal or interannual (Chapin et al., 1990; Richardson et al., 2013). Seasonal changes in NSC content in different tree organs reflect imbalances between carbon sources (e.g. photosynthesis) and sinks (e.g. growth and respiration) (Körner, 2003; Kozlowski, 1992). Older stored carbon has been shown to fuel metabolic functions when disturbances such as defoliation or drought interrupt C supply (Hartmann & Trumbore, 2016). Information about how trees accumulate and use their NSC in key organs, such as stemwood, is needed to improve our understanding of how trees maintain their metabolism under stress.

Stemwood plays a central role in NSC dynamics and long-term storage in adult trees (Furze et al., 2018, 2020; Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; von Arx et al., 2017). It could be the largest reservoir of NSC in trees in terms of mass due to its large volume. However, stems are also important in the transport of NSC and water between tree organs (Furze et al., 2018; Plavcová et al., 2016). Wood is always in contact with the secondary phloem, the main tissue transporting NSC from leaves to roots and in itself an important NSC storage tissue (Rosell et al., 2021). There is constant mixing of NSC between the secondary phloem and adjacent wood tissue, allowing trees to store some portion of NSC for years or even decades (Furze et al., 2018; Peltier et al., 2023).

Wood anatomical traits can influence the way trees access or accumulate carbon reserves (Barbaroux & Bréda, 2002; Furze et al., 2020; Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021). For instance, in

temperate trees, traits such as vessel distribution influence not only the NSC distribution in wood but also its seasonal dynamics (Barbaroux & Bréda, 2002; Furze et al., 2020; Michelot et al., 2012). Other traits such as the formation of living fibres in stemwood (septate fibres that remain alive after maturation, Carlquist, 2013), or the abundance, size and longevity of parenchyma cells, may also influence the seasonal response of NSC, overall metabolic rates and may even be related to tree longevity or mortality rates (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; von Arx et al., 2017). Here, we define two starch storage traits based on the anatomical distribution of starch storages in the stemwood: (1) trees that only store starch in the parenchyma, which we refer to as parenchyma-storing species; and (2) trees that store starch in the parenchyma and living fibres, which we refer to as fibre-storing species (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; Figure S1). Herrera-Ramírez et al. (2021) demonstrated that these storage traits were related to stem growth and mortality rates for the species involved. Thus, further understanding of how this anatomical distribution of starch within the stemwood is related to the metabolism of trees and the dynamics of NSC would improve our mechanistic understanding of how these tropical trees regulate carbon storage to increase competitiveness and/or survival.

On seasonal to interannual timescales, NSC storage dynamics can be controlled by physiological processes related to carbon source or sink activity under the influence of environmental conditions (O'Brien et al., 2015, 2020; Palacio et al., 2014; Resco de Dios & Gessler, 2021; Würth et al., 2005). However, NSC storage processes can be up-regulated by increased gene expression, so reserve formation competes with other carbon sinks (Huang et al., 2021; Martínez-Vilalta, 2014; Wiley & Helliker, 2012). This may allow trees to maintain carbon stores that enable them to face future compounding perturbations (Dietze et al., 2014; Resco

de Dios & Gessler, 2021; Sala et al., 2012). Storage up-regulation may be species specific (Poorter & Kitajima, 2007) and is likely greater in species that store NSC for long periods of time (Blumstein et al., 2022). Tree species that rely on living fibres for NSC storage (e.g. fibre-storing species) may indicate a high priority for storage formation that would compete with other carbon sinks like growth and respiration and may be related to higher plasticity of carbon metabolism, such as higher capacity for variability of seasonal carbon fluxes (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; Plavcová et al., 2016). It is possible that trees with larger plasticity in carbon storage and sink fluxes may be better adapted to stressful conditions that severely reduce photosynthesis or increase carbon demand because they may be more tolerant to a wider range of environmental conditions.

When C sources are insufficient, regulation of storage and sink activity can result in trade-offs between NSC storage and other carbon sinks such as growth, respiration, reproduction and production of defence compounds (Poorter & Kitajima, 2007). These trade-offs may indicate different plant survival strategies, ranging from long-lived species that may prioritize NSC storage at the expense of growth to ensure future survival, to short-lived species that invest in fast growth while storing less NSC (Blumstein et al., 2022; O'Brien et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2004). Trade-offs between NSC storage, growth and defence have been observed in some temperate species. For example, studies have shown that the storage of NSC may be prioritized over growth under various stressful conditions such as defoliation, drought and low atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (Anderegg et al., 2012; Hartmann et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2019; Piper et al., 2015; Piper & Paula, 2020; Wiley et al., 2017). Allocation to defence compounds can be reduced to maintain the minimum operational storage of NSC required for survival under shade and low CO_2 (Huang et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020). However, NSC can also be accumulated to build defences for the future, and therefore such trade-offs may not be immediate and exhibit time lags. Thus, in many instances, trade-offs may not be clear, especially if they are measured on an annual basis.

In some cases, identifying growth-storage trade-offs can be difficult due to variability in carbon assimilation or other competing carbon sinks such as respiration and reproduction (Blumstein et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2019; Wiley & Helliker, 2012). For example, as carbon supply increases, both growth rate and NSC content may increase, masking trade-offs between the two competing sinks (Blumstein et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2019). Therefore, alternative ways to quantify these trade-offs, such as evaluating growth and storage fluxes on a seasonal basis, are needed to better understand carbon dynamics in mature trees. Furthermore, investigating the relationship between these trade-offs and tree storage traits may help us identify the influence of storage strategies on carbon dynamics in mature trees and their relationship with tree survival and recovery.

In this context, we aim to understand the influence of life history traits such as the anatomical distribution of starch storage in the stemwood (fibre storage and parenchyma storage, Figure S1) and leaf habit (evergreen and semi-deciduous) on seasonal carbon dynamics of trees in response to seasonal changes in precipitation and relative humidity. For this, we propose a conceptual framework to help formulate hypotheses about the seasonal behaviour of the observed carbon fluxes in four groups of trees based on the possible combinations of their life history traits (Figure 1). Carbon fluxes were estimated based on our observations of growth, respiration and leaf and fruit phenology collected during 2 years for species representative of each combination of traits. We used phenological data on the per cent cover of mature leaves in the tree crown as a proxy for carbon acquisition. Thus, we expect evergreen species to have less seasonal variations in photosynthesis rates than semi-deciduous species. Although all four trait combinations are given in Figure 1, given the great effort needed for seasonal analyses, we left one trait combination (evergreen/fibre storage) out of our sampling. Previous results suggested that leaf habit did not influence NSC storage, growth or mortality in the fibre-storing species (see Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021). Thus, we focused only on three combinations of traits (evergreen/parenchyma storage, semi-deciduous/parenchyma storage and semi-deciduous/fibre storage) in this study (Figure 1).

Based on the conceptual framework (Figure 1), we expected that: (i) semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species would have greater seasonal amplitude in stemwood NSC due to greater seasonal variation in photosynthesis, greater demand of carbon for respiration due to a larger amount of living cells, and greater seasonal variation in growth rates than the parenchyma-storing species; (ii) negative carbon balance during the wet season would lead to some consumption of starch reserves, principally because there may be a slight decrease in photosynthetic capacity (due to increased cloudiness or loss of photosynthetic tissue) coincident with a large demand of carbon for growth and respiration; in which case (iii) we would expect to observe seasonal storage-growth trade-offs during the wet season where growth would be negatively correlated with starch consumption/accumulation. In contrast, we expect a smaller seasonal variation in NSC for parenchyma-storing species.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Site description

This study was conducted in a transitional forest between the closed canopy Amazon rainforest and Cerrado (savanna), located at Tanguro Ranch, Mato Grosso, Brazil. The mean annual precipitation is 1770 mm, distributed between a dry season (May to September) with less than 10 mm of precipitation per month and a wet season (October to April) with mean precipitation of 150 mm per month (Figure 2). Relative humidity follows a similar seasonal pattern, falling below 60% from June to September and above 80% from December to February (Figure 2). The mean temperature is 25°C with almost no seasonal variation throughout the year (data obtained from the local station at Tanguro Ranch, operated by the Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia, IPAM).

FIGURE 1 Conceptual framework illustrating temporal patterns of carbon fluxes and their intra-annual interactions for trees with different combinations of two life history traits: leaf habit (semi-deciduous and evergreen) and anatomical distribution of starch storage in the stemwood (fibre storage and parenchyma storage) for (a) semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species, (b) semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species, (c) evergreen/fibre-storing species and (d) evergreen/parenchyma-storing species. Carbon fluxes measured over a year for each of these species were used to inform the seasonal dynamics of carbon sources—photosynthesis (red line)—and carbon sinks—growth (green), respiration (orange) and reproduction (purple)—along with their hypothesized interactions with non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) storage or consumption (blue line, representing the balance between previous storage, sources and sinks). The patterns of photosynthesis and reproduction were deduced from the phenological observations of mature leaves in the crown of trees belonging to each of the representative species (see phenology data in Figure S3). The black horizontal solid line represents zero flux for NSC, respiration and photosynthesis. C sinks are represented as negative fluxes; the shaded coloured area beneath each carbon sink represents its contribution to the total sink flux. This conceptual (but data informed) representation illustrates general trends. Expected seasonal trade-offs between growth and storage, manifesting as negative correlations between growth (green line) and storage (blue line), are indicated by black arrows, thicker and darker lines indicate strong (clearly observable) trade-offs, and dashed and lighter lines indicate weaker or less clear trade-offs. Under each trait combination label, we mention the representative species we measured in this study. We did not measure the representative evergreen/fibre-storing species *Trattinnikia glaziovii*, but we used previous data to inform our framework.


FIGURE 2 (a) Mean seasonal course of monthly total precipitation (mm) and (b) relative humidity (%) during 2016–2020 (black lines). Grey areas correspond to the standard deviation. Data were obtained from a local weather station at Tanguro Ranch (13°4′35.39″ S, 52°23′ 8.85″ W).

2.2 | Species description

We selected three tree species previously shown to have a contrasting anatomical distribution of starch storage in the stemwood: parenchyma-storing species and fibre-storing species (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; Figure S1), different leaf habits (evergreen or semi-deciduous) and different growth and mortality rates (Table 1). Based on inventory data, these species are among the

TABLE 1 Species names and traits: wood storage strategy, growth rates, mortality rates, phenology and sampling dates.

Species name	Growth rate (cm/year)	Mortality rate (%/year)	Anatomical distribution of starch	Leaf phenology (~% of annual leaf loss)
Ocotea leucoxylon (Sw.) Laness	0.295	5.7	Parenchyma	Evergreen (~30)
Sacoglottis guianensis Benth.	0.72	5.0	Parenchyma	Semi-deciduous (~45)
Dacryodes microcarpa Cuart.	0.078	1.6	Fibre	Semi-deciduous (~60)

Note: Growth rate, mortality rates and leaf phenology were calculated based on inventories conducted between 2004 and 2018 and included more than 100 individuals per species.

top 10% of the most dominant species in this forest. From each tree species, we chose 12 mature and healthy trees that reached the canopy with a diameter at breast height (at 1.3 m, dbh) greater than 20 cm (Table 1), to ensure a representative sample for each species. Samples were collected under collection permit number 81711-1 issued to Paulo Brando by the Ministério do Ambiente (MMA) of Brazil.

2.3 | Sampling strategy

We sampled the 36 selected trees every 3 months from May 2019 to February 2020, specifically in May 2019 (transition from wet to dry season), August 2019 (dry season), November 2019 (transition from dry to wet season) and February 2020 (wet season). During each field campaign, we took two wood cores (~5 mm diameter and 20 cm long) approximately 10 cm from the previous collection point. We used one wood core to quantify the starch distribution along the radial axis from bark to pith using the histological quantification method described in Herrera-Ramírez et al. (2021). The wood cores were placed on ice immediately after collection and frozen at −18°C within 2 h to stop respiration. After freezing, they were dried at 60°C for 2 days.

The second wood core was used for incubation to estimate wood respiration rates. These cores were placed in a wet tissue immediately after collection and kept away from direct sunlight exposure. The cores were placed in the incubation chambers within 2 h after collection.

2.4 | NSC seasonality

We quantified soluble sugars with a high-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAE-PAD) following the protocols of Landhäusser et al. (2018). We quantified starch according to the histological method proposed by Herrera-Ramírez et al. (2021). This method allows us to observe and quantify spatial patterns of starch distribution in the stemwood with high resolution and identify the cell types that were used to store starch.

To measure the concentration of sucrose, fructose and glucose (soluble sugars), with the HPAE-PAD (Landhäusser et al., 2018), we segmented the wood core into two depth ranges: 0–2 cm and

2–4 cm. These measurements were made only for five individuals per species and for samples taken in January (wet season) and July 2018 (dry season). Each wood core segment was ground to a fine powder using a ball mill (Retsch MM 400) at 25 Hz for 30 s and dried at 60°C overnight. We weighed 50 mg of wood powder and mixed it with 1.5 mL of 80% ethanol for 10 min at 90°C to extract the soluble sugars. After cooling to room temperature, the samples were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 2 min. The supernatant was recovered in a new vial, diluted, filtered and then used for measuring the concentration of three specific sugars (sucrose, fructose and glucose) by HPAE-PAD. For quality control, we used blanks, standard solutions with known concentrations of glucose, fructose, sucrose and internal standards made of a mixture of tree leaf and branch samples as detailed in the protocol 'S3' of Landhäusser et al. (2018). We estimated the average concentration of soluble sugars per wood core and compared them between time points. The concentration of soluble sugars was always very low (up to 20 mg/gdw) for all species and we did not find significant differences ($p > 0.05$ from a Wilcoxon signed-rank test) between the wet and dry season of 2018 (Figure S2). Therefore, soluble sugars were not measured for 2019. For the species we analysed, starch represented 70%, 85% and 90% of the stored NSC for *D. microcarpa*, *S. guianensis* and *O. leucoxylon* respectively. Therefore, we assumed that NSC dynamics are mainly represented by starch changes over time.

To quantify the starch concentration using the histological method, we took 30 μm thick slices and mounted them on a glass slide. The wood surface was covered with Lugol's iodine solution for 3 min to stain starch grains and then covered with a coverslip. The stained samples were photographed using an optical digital microscope with a large depth-of-field (Keyence, VHX-6000) within 3 h. Panoramic images of the sample were taken at 300× magnification.

We quantified the percentage of starch in the samples by repeatedly measuring the areal percentage of starch coverage for each 1 mm² area over the wood surface using the Image J software (Schneider et al., 2012). We divided the images into radial increments of 5 mm from bark to pith to measure the radial distribution of starch in the wood core. We measured starch in all 5 mm increment sections until no starch was found in the wood. While doing so, we also manually eliminated artefacts from the images that may have interfered with the quantification. After preparing the images, we ran an automatic script for identifying and quantifying starch grains in multiple 1 mm² regions of interest (ROI), randomly selected along

the images (see the Supplementary Material Methods S2 in Herrera-Ramírez et al. (2021) for details). After identifying all starch grains in an ROI, the script calculates the percentage of the surface area covered by starch. We measured 50 ROIs in each image of 5 mm segments of the stem increment core from bark to pith. We took the average of these 50 ROI measurements as an estimate of the percentage of starch for each of the 5 mm sections of the wood increment core and used the standard deviation as a measure of the variation within the segment. Measurements of the areal percentage covered by starch approximate the mass (grams) of starch per gram of dry wood, explaining 80% of the variability of starch concentrations extracted and measured by the HPAE-PAD and getting close to the 1:1 ratio with a regression slope of 0.89 ($p < 0.01$, Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021). We used this model to convert the percentage of aerial coverage of starch into starch content (mg of starch/gdw) at each wood depth. Finally, we estimated the mass of starch (mg) at each wood depth by multiplying the mean starch content (mg of starch/gdw) by the wood density (gdw/wood volume) and by the volume of the segment (wood volume). Total starch (in grams) in the entire wood core was then obtained by summing the means from all 5 mm increments, and the reported uncertainty is the average of the standard deviations of the 5 mm segments.

We compared total starch mass and total soluble sugar concentrations in the entire wood core between different sampling dates using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test with a 95% confidence level, and adjusting the p values following the Holm method (Holm, 1979). This nonparametric test was chosen due to its robustness against potential outliers, the flexibility to analyse samples coming from distinct density distributions, and its suitability for small sample sizes (Hollander et al., 2013). This allowed us to identify the seasonal patterns of starch mass and soluble sugars in the entire wood core of our evaluated species during 2019.

We estimated the seasonal amplitude of the starch concentration as the difference between the maximum and minimum starch concentrations measured during 2019 for each 5 mm depth increment. The maximum concentration corresponds to the peak of the starch accumulation period, while the minimum concentration represents the period of starch depletion. We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate differences in these starch changes between different depths to determine at which wood depths the greatest changes in starch concentration occurred.

Seasonal changes in the total starch mass (mg of starch) in the entire wood core were estimated as the difference between the starch mass between two consecutive sampling periods. For example, the starch change observed in the dry season was the difference in the starch mass between May 2019 and August 2019 and we refer to this as May19–Aug19; similarly, the starch change observed in the transition months from dry to wet season was the difference in starch mass between August 2019 and November 2019 (Aug19–Nov19); and the starch change observed during the wet season constituted the difference in starch mass between November 2019 and February 2020 (Nov19–Feb20). Unfortunately, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we could not travel to the field site in May 2020 to observe the change in starch mass during the transition months from the wet to the dry season (February 2020 to May 2020) and close the year of observations.

We also estimated the relative change of the total starch mass of the entire wood core between seasons, by dividing the absolute starch mass change by the starch mass in the final month (Equation 1).

$$\text{relative\_starch\_change} = \frac{\text{Starch}_{mass}(t_2) - \text{Starch}_{mass}(t_1)}{\text{Starch}_{mass}(t_2)}, \quad (1)$$

where t_2 is chronologically the second time point between seasons, and t_1 is the first time point.

We evaluated whether the changes in starch mass between seasons were different than zero by building 95% nonparametric confidence intervals for the mean using the adjusted bootstrap percentile (BCa) interval method (Davison & Hinkley, 1997). For this purpose, we used the 'boot' package available in R (Canty & Ripley, 2022). We use these intervals to graphically show differences between starch storage changes between all possible periods of 2019, within and between species. These graphical comparisons were also confirmed by a Wilcoxon rank test following the Holm method for adjusting p values (Holm, 1979).

2.5 | Sink activity measurements

We measured monthly stem growth with manual dendrometer bands (D1; Labcell Ltd). These dendrometers were installed at breast height for each tree in July 2018, and measurements were collected monthly (by manually reading) until July 2020. We used 3-month moving averages of growth rates, which we calculated to reduce the effect of water dynamics in our growth data, capturing the average intra-annual radial growth variations (Zweifel et al., 2006, 2016). From these data, we calculated the annual growth for each tree during 2019 and compared it with the mean annual starch mass and the changes in the starch mass every 3 months. To assess the significance of variations in monthly radial growth rates within each species, we used Wilcoxon signed-rank tests with a 95% confidence level. This test compared the growth rates between individual months. To ensure the robustness of the results, p values were adjusted following the Holm method (Holm, 1979).

To evaluate seasonal trade-offs between growth and storage, we calculated 3-month cumulative growth, with the 3 months corresponding to the core of the wet and dry seasons and the two transition periods as defined above. We estimated Pearson's correlations and linear regressions between the cumulative growth and starch mass changes for each season. The heteroscedasticity of the residuals was checked by plotting the fitted values of the model against the residuals, while the normality assumption was checked using a Q–Q plot (Fox, 2015).

We measured wood respiration during the wet (May) and dry (August) seasons of 2019 by incubation of stem cores taken from each tree for 36 h following collection. The wood cores were cut at

the depth in the stem we had previously identified where starch is depleted (6 cm for *O. leucoxylon*, 8 cm for *D. microcarpa* and 12 cm for *S. guianensis*). The cores were then sealed in cylindrical chambers and incubated for 36 h at ambient temperature (~25°C). The CO_2 produced was collected in custom-made glass flasks. We purified the total amount of collected CO_2 after cryogenic separation on a vacuum line. We estimated the total sample mass by measuring the pressure at room temperature together with the flask volume (Muhr et al., 2018). Then we calculated the volume-specific respiration rate for each sample by dividing the total amount of collected CO_2 (in mg C) by the incubation time and wood volume of the incubated core segment. We used Wilcoxon rank tests to compare respiration between seasons and between species.

We used previous observations of leaf, flower and fruit phenology patterns to estimate other carbon source and sink fluxes such as photosynthesis and reproduction. These observations were made for each species at this location over the last 8 years. The percentage of crown coverage of young leaves, mature leaves, flowers and fruits has been recorded monthly from selected species. The patterns were classified into five categories (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%), following the Fournier method (Fournier, 1974). We used these data to estimate seasonal changes in the phenological data by fitting a smoothing spline model to the monthly data (Figure S3). We used these phenological data to give an idea of the contribution of flowering and fruiting to the seasonal carbon sink fluxes, but we did not measure the amount of carbon allocated to these fluxes for individual trees or species. Then in general we assume a higher flux of carbon to reproduction whenever there is more presence of fruiting and flowering. We report these data in Figure S3.

2.6 | Data analysis software

All statistical analyses and calculations were performed using the software R (R Core Team, 2023). All graphs and figures were generated using the software R and Gimp (The GIMP Development Team, 2019).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Seasonality of starch mass, growth and respiration

Quantifying starch concentrations every 5 mm of wood along the radial axis from bark to pith allowed us to estimate with high precision the radial profile of starch concentration across the sampled wood cores (Figure 3). Starch concentrations decreased radially across the sapwood from bark to pith for all species on all sampling dates (Figure 3). Notably, the largest differences in starch concentration between sampling dates occurred in the first 20 mm of wood for *D. microcarpa* and *O. leucoxylon*, and in the first 60 mm

of wood for *S. guianensis* (Figure 3; Figure S6; *p*.adj < 0.05), as compared to the inner regions where starch concentrations were very low overall.

We used these radial profiles of concentrations to estimate the starch mass in the entire wood core for each tree at each time point (Figure 4). We found significant differences in the total starch mass of the entire wood core between the four sampling dates for the semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* ($p = 0.01$, Figure 4A) and marginally significant differences for the semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species *S. guianensis* ($p = 0.059$, Figure 4C), while the evergreen/parenchyma-storing species *O. leucoxylon* showed no significant differences in starch mass in the stem-wood during 2019 ($p > 0.1$, Figure 4B). Changes in total starch mass in the entire wood core between sampling dates were greater for the fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* than for the parenchyma-storing species *S. guianensis* and *O. leucoxylon* (Figure 5). *D. microcarpa* trees significantly reduced starch mass during the dry period May19–Aug19 by about −0.75% (CI: −1.15, −0.37; Figure 5A; Figure S4). with respect to starch mass in August 2019, while *S. guianensis* had significant gains in starch mass during the period May19-Feb20 by about 0.30% (CI: 0.06, 0.53; Figure 5C; Figure S4) with respect to the starch mass in February 2020. *O. leucoxylon* reduced the starch mass during the wet period Nov19–Feb20 by about −0.30% (CI: −0.05, −0.63; Figure 5B; Figure S4) with respect to the starch mass in February 2020.

Seasonality in monthly growth rates during 2019 was only significant for the semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* ($p < 0.05$, Figure 6a). These trees grew slower during the dry season and faster during the wet season. The two parenchyma-storing species did not show a clear seasonal pattern ($p > 0.1$, for all comparisons between all months), although a slight decrease in growth rates during the dry season can be noticed for both *O. leucoxylon* and *S. guianensis* in Figure 6b,c respectively.

Wood respiration was higher in the semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* than in the two parenchyma-storing species *S. guianensis* and *O. leucoxylon* ($p < 0.01$, Figure 7). However, within-species differences between the 2019 wet and dry seasons were not statistically significant for any of the species ($p > 0.1$, Figure 7).

3.2 | Accumulation and consumption of starch

We observed a strong significant starch consumption during the dry season (May19–Aug19) only for the fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* (Figure 5A; Figure S4). The parenchyma-storing species (*S. guianensis* and *O. leucoxylon*) did not show significant starch consumption during the dry season (Figure 5B,C; Figure S4). Nevertheless, our data suggest that *S. guianensis* may consume starch during the transition months between the wet and the dry season from February to May, since the total starch mass appears to be lower in May than in February (Figure 4C), but unfortunately, we did not measure this period in 2020.

FIGURE 3 Radial distribution of the mean starch concentrations in the stemwood measured from bark to pith across four different months during 2019. This figure shows the average starch concentrations, measured every 5-mm interval from bark to pith, across wood cores sampled from adult trees for (a) *D. microcarpa*, (b) *O. leucoxylon* and (c) *S. guianensis*. We sampled 12 mature trees from each species. Wood cores were sampled during four distinct months in the year 2019, with each month corresponding to a particular seasonal state of precipitation, as indicated in the legend. Starch concentrations were measured along the entire length of the wood cores until reaching a point where starch levels were indistinguishable from zero. The shadowed areas in different colours represent the standard variation around the mean starch concentrations for each of the measured months.


FIGURE 4 Distribution of the wood core starch mass at each time point of measurements for (A) *D. microcarpa*, (B) *O. leucoxylon* and (C) *S. guianensis*. Starch mass data were obtained from repetitive measurements in wood cores from 12 trees per species across 4 months of the year 2019. The box-plots show the median and quartile ranges for each group, with individual measurements represented as black dots. These values correspond to the y-axis. Statistical comparisons between different temporal measurements for each species were done using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Significant differences between groups at a 90% confidence level are indicated by distinct letters placed above the respective box-plots. Each panel is labelled with the species name and its specific trait combination. blue box-plots represent parenchyma-storing species, while red box-plots represent the fibre-storing species. The grey-shaded areas behind the box-plots correspond to the monthly precipitation data for the year 2019, with values provided on the right-hand y-axis. We highlighted the dry season with a yellow shading and label, while the wet season is marked with a blue shading and label.

We observed a marginally significant consumption of starch during the wet season (Nov19–Feb20) for the fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* (Figure 5A; Figure S4) and a significant consumption for the evergreen/parenchyma-storing species *O. leucoxylon* (Figure 5B; Figure S4).

Starch accumulation was observed during the transition from dry to wet season (Aug19–Nov20) in the semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species trees (*D. microcarpa*) (Figure 5A). The semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species (*S. guianensis*) accumulated starch from May 2019 to February 2020 (Figure 5C; Figure S4).

We did not detect a significant accumulation of starch for the evergreen/parenchyma-storing species *O. leucoxylon* ($p < 0.05$, Figure 5B).

3.3 | Seasonal storage-growth trade-offs in stemwood

During the wet season (Nov19–Feb20), we observed a negative correlation between the cumulative 3 months of growth (Nov19–Feb20)

FIGURE 5 Relative starch changes with respect to the starch content in the final month of the evaluated period for (A) *D. microcarpa*, (B) *O. leucoxylon* and (C) *S. guianensis*. These relative changes were computed using Equation (1), providing a relative measure of the increase of decrease in starch mass within a given time frame. We estimated these changes for all possible permutations of months, keeping their temporal order. The x-axis describes the evaluated periods, first denoting the initial month and then the final month. Box-plots indicate the data distribution derived from measurements of 12 trees per species per time point. Statistical comparisons between groups with a 95% confidence level were evaluated using nonparametric confidence intervals obtained from bootstrapping methods (see Figure S4). Here, significant statistical differences occurred when the confidence intervals did not overlap, denoted by distinct letters. Red box-plot represents fibre-storing species and blue box-plots represent parenchyma-storing species. The wet season is highlighted with a shaded blue area, while the dry season is highlighted with a shaded yellow area.

and the changes in starch mass during the same period, for the semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* ($p=0.05$, $r^2=0.36$, Figure 8c). During this period, some trees consumed starch while growing rapidly, while other individuals accumulated starch and had lower growth rates (Figure 8c). For this species, most individuals had high starch consumption during the dry season, but this was not associated with growth (Figure 8a).

For the parenchyma-storing species *O. leucoxylon* and *S. guianensis*, relationships between storage and growth were less clear or absent. We did not observe a significant correlation when comparing concurrent growth and starch change during each season ($p>0.05$). However, we found significant correlations when considering a 3-month lag between growth and starch change for these species (Figure 8, see only *O. leucoxylon* and *S. guianensis*). For example, we observed a negative correlation between the starch mass change during the transition months from dry to wet season (from August 2019 to November 2019, Aug19–Nov19) and the 3 months of cumulative growth in the wet season (from November 2019 to February 2020, Nov19–Feb20), for *O. leucoxylon* ($p=0.03$, $r^2=0.41$, Figure 8e). This trend was also observed for *S. guianensis*, but it was not significant ($p=0.1$, $r^2=0.38$, Figure 8h).

We observed that starch mass in the whole wood core was related to annual stem growth, but there were differences in sapwood starch

distribution between species. The storage-growth trade-off trend between the starch mass in February 2020 and the annual growth calculated from February 2019 to February 2020 was still distinguishable for the fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa*, although not significant ($p=0.11$, $r^2=0.31$, Figure S5d). The semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species (*S. guianensis*) showed a positive correlation between annual growth and starch mass in the dry season (August 2019, $p=0.003$, $r^2=0.79$, Figure S5j), and also a positive correlation between annual growth and starch mass in the wet season, although weaker (February 2020, $p=0.05$, $r^2=0.51$, Figure S5l). The evergreen/parenchyma-storing species (*O. leucoxylon*) showed a marginal positive relationship between starch mass in May 2019 (transition between wet and dry seasons) and annual stem growth ($p=0.088$, $r^2=0.4$, Figure S5e).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our results relate differences in carbon dynamics in mature trees to life history traits such as the anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood and leaf habit, which we summarized in our conceptual framework (Figure 1). Evergreen trees should not exhibit large seasonal differences in carbon acquisition, while the semi-deciduous trees studied here shed between 50% and 70% of their leaves during

FIGURE 6 Seasonal course of growth rates for (a) *D. microcarpa*, (b) *O. leucoxylon* and (c) *S. guianensis*. The figure shows the mean monthly growth rates, derived from measurements of 12 trees per species throughout the year 2019. The distribution of data can be observed in the box-plots, while the seasonal trend is shown by a smooth coloured solid line across the data groups. The grey-shaded areas correspond to the 95% confidence interval of the smooth line. The wet season is indicated by blue shading, and the dry season is indicated by orange shading. Comparisons between monthly growth rates within each species were statistically assessed using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, with p values adjusted following the Holm method (Holm, 1979). We only found significant differences between growth rates in February 2019 and September 2019 for *D. microcarpa* (*p*.adj=0.032), and this is indicated in the figure by a solid black line that connects the compared months and depicts the *p* value. Each panel is labelled with the name of the species and its specific combination of traits. Red box-plots correspond to the fibre-storing species, while blue box-plots correspond to the parenchyma-storing species.


FIGURE 7 Seasonal variation of stemwood respiration for the evaluated species. The figures present wood respiration rates per unit of wood volume, measured in 12 trees per species during both the wet and dry seasons of 2019. The distribution of the data is shown by box-plots. Statistical comparisons of wood respiration rates between seasons and species were evaluated using the Wilcoxon ranked test. Significant differences at the 95% confidence level are denoted by distinct letters. Each panel is labelled with the species name and its specific trait combination. The red box-plots represent the fibre-storing species, whereas the blue box-plots represent the parenchyma-storing species.

the dry season (May–August) and then invest carbon in new leaves (Figure S3). Eddy covariance data from this forest indicate an overall decrease in canopy photosynthesis during the dry season (Brando et al., 2019). During the wet season (November–February), a slight reduction in the percentage of mature leaves may also indicate a slight reduction in photosynthesis (Figure S3; Figure 1).

FIGURE 8 Relationship between changes in starch mass and the cumulative 3-month growth in 2019 for the species studied. For the fibre-storing species (red lines and dots), growth and starch changes are evaluated over the same months. For the two parenchyma-storing species (blue lines and dots), no significant correlations were observed between growth and starch changes during the same months. Instead, starch storage shifts are correlated with growth in the following 3 months. The specific periods of comparison for starch change and growth are indicated in each panel's title. Solid lines denote significant correlations, while dashed lines represent non-significant correlations. The vertical dotted line marks the 0 in the starch change axis. Shaded yellow areas indicate the dry season, and shaded blue areas indicate the wet season. Species names and their specific combination of traits appear over the three respective sub-panels, and each sub-panel is labelled with distinct consecutive letters from (a) to (i).

The slow-growing semi-deciduous/fibre-storing species (*Dacryodes microcarpa*) showed seasonality in radial growth but not in wood respiration. This species had the largest starch consumption during the dry season, when carbon availability was low and radial growth was almost absent, and low overall consumption during the wet season, when carbon availability and growth were higher (Figures 5 and 6). As expected, we observed a strong negative correlation between growth and starch storage change in this species during the wet season, with starch consumption when trees grew rapidly, and starch accumulation when radial growth was low (Figures 1 and 8c).

The two parenchyma-storing species partially agreed with our hypothesis presented in our conceptual figure. Neither showed marked seasonality in radial growth or wood respiration. Starch mass was marginally seasonal in the semi-deciduous/parenchyma-storing species (*Sacoglottis guianensis*), with starch accumulation towards the wet season from November to February. Although we did not detect significant starch consumption during the wet or dry season, lower starch mass values in May and August 2019 suggest that these trees consume starch during the dry season. For the evergreen/parenchyma-storing species (*Ocotea leucoxylon*), we did not observe seasonality in the total starch mass, but we did detect significant starch consumption during the wet season (Figure 5; Figure S4). For these parenchyma-storing species, we did not observe clear seasonal trade-offs (Figures 1 and 8).

Although we only evaluated three of the four conceptualized groups of our framework, omitting the evergreen/fibre-storing species (Figure 1), we expect that differences in carbon fluxes between evergreen and semi-deciduous fibre-storing species to be small because previous analyses indicated little difference in starch storage, mortality, respiration or growth between these groups (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021). We included the expected behaviour of this group in our conceptual framework as reference, which was based on previous observations of species belonging to that group (e.g. *Trattinnickia glaziovii*). Our conceptual framework, in conjunction with our results, helps to improve our understanding of the carbon dynamics in mature trees and provides insights into the mechanisms behind starch accumulation and use and its interplay with carbon sources and sinks that may influence plant survival in tropical forests.

4.1 | Semi-deciduous and fibre-storing species have seasonal changes in starch

As we expected, leaf habit influences the seasonal fluctuation of starch in the stemwood. Semi-deciduous species showed significant starch seasonality ($p = 0.001$), while the evergreen species we analysed did not (Figure 4). Semi-deciduous trees may experience large imbalances between carbon sources and sinks during the dry season due to the high demand for carbon to rebuild the canopy, and therefore show larger seasonal changes in starch mass than evergreen species (Furze et al., 2018; Hoch et al., 2003; Kozlowski, 1992; Newell et al., 2002; Richardson et al., 2013; Würth et al., 2005). This is consistent with studies showing strong seasonality of NSC in

temperate deciduous species but not in evergreen species (Chapin et al., 1990; Furze et al., 2018; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2016; Piispanen & Saranpää, 2001; Trumbore et al., 2015). Our results support the idea that semi-deciduous trees are more dependent on NSC storage than evergreen species in seasonally dry tropical forests. Nevertheless, a larger number of species and a longer time series of NSC dynamics should be examined to confirm these patterns. Histological methods provide a rapid and convenient method for quantifying starch storage that can support observations in a large number of species over the longer term.

Our results also suggest that the anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood influences the seasonal dynamics of NSC (Figure 5; Figure S4). The fibre-storing species (*D. microcarpa*) had larger seasonal changes in starch mass than the parenchyma-storing species (Figure S4). This provides some insight into the mechanisms behind carbon dynamics. In fibre-storing species, the high seasonal carbon consumption may be caused by the larger proportion of living cells in the stem, which require more carbon for respiration than the smaller proportion of living cells in parenchyma-storing species (Figure 7). This high metabolic cost may compete for carbon resources with other carbon sinks, such as radial growth during the dry season, probably leading to seasonal variations in growth rate and a high reliance on storage to keep cells alive during low photosynthetic periods. However, the presence of living fibres allows trees to use a larger volume of wood to store starch and cover all metabolic needs, which could reduce their vulnerability to carbon starvation. This would likely benefit plant competition/survival and result in low mortality rates (e.g. Table 1; Figure S7).

4.2 | Fibre-storing species have greater plasticity in radial growth, but not in respiration

Trees growing in a seasonally dry environment should balance their carbon sources and sinks in order to survive recurrent stress conditions. The fast-growing, parenchyma-storing species *S. guianensis* kept radial growth relatively constant throughout the year, while the slow-growing, fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa* significantly reduced growth during the dry season (Figure 6). Thus, these trees, with contrasting starch storage traits and respiration requirements, adjust their balance between carbon sources and sinks during seasonally dry conditions differently (Figure 1). It is important to note that radial growth is not the only form of growth in trees, and other forms of growth may be adjusted or prioritized. Nevertheless, reducing radial growth rates during periods of low productivity may make trees more tolerant to a wider range of environmental conditions (Rowland et al., 2023).

Contrary to the growth patterns described above, wood respiration did not show seasonal changes for any of the species studied during the year of measurement (Figure 7). A previous study also reported no changes in CO_2 efflux between dry and wet seasons in tropical forest trees (Asao et al., 2015). CO_2 efflux includes not only wood respiration, but also phloem respiration, CO_2 re-fixation and

transport of CO_2 dissolved in sap (Helm et al., 2023). Nevertheless, it has been shown that some trees can regulate stem CO_2 efflux and growth to adapt to specific environmental conditions, such as seasonal changes in precipitation and temperature, thereby releasing resources for other metabolic activities (Huang et al., 2019; Sierra et al., 2022; Teskey et al., 2008). Thus, it is also possible that the 2019 dry period was not strong enough to induce changes in CO_2 efflux, and longer time series of wood respiration are needed to confirm this pattern.

Overall, wood respiration was greatest in the fibre-storing species (*D. microcarpa*), probably because the proportion of living cells in the wood of this species, which includes parenchyma and living fibres, is greater than in parenchyma-storing species (*S. guianensis* and *O. leucoxylon*). Therefore, fibre-storing species may have greater carbon requirements to keep all living wood tissue alive, potentially reducing their ability to regulate respiration seasonally. These results suggest that wood anatomical features of wood, such as living fibres, may indicate a large carbon demand for respiration that may compete strongly with other carbon sinks, such as growth, leading these trees to have a stronger regulation of growth rates and a high reliance on storage. Thus, the proportion of living wood biomass may have greater control over wood respiration than environmental conditions.

4.3 | Starch is consumed during the peak of the dry and the wet season

Our results show that, for most trees, starch seems to be consumed not only during the peak of the dry season, but also during the peak of the wet season (Figure 5; Figure S4). This is clear for *D. microcarpa* and *O. leucoxylon* trees, but we did not observe starch consumption during the wet season for *S. guianensis* (Figure 5; Figure S4). We hypothesize that trees may recharge the carbon storage pools during the wet season due to favourable growth and less photosynthetic limitation due to more water availability and lower air temperatures (Dietze et al., 2014). Nevertheless, starch consumption during the wet season suggests a negative carbon balance in these trees. There may be several reasons for this: For fibre-storing trees (*D. microcarpa*), it is possible that the carbon demand of wood growth and respiration exceeds the supply of new photoassimilates. In addition, some other carbon sinks, such as reproduction, may also contribute to a greater carbohydrate demand during the wet season (Hartmann & Trumbore, 2016; Figure S3). For instance, both *D. microcarpa* and *S. guianensis* produced flowers and fruits during the wet season (Figure 1; Figure S3), which may represent a large demand on carbon reserves (Blumstein et al., 2022; Hartmann & Trumbore, 2016). Alternatively, the wet season presents its own challenges that put pressure on available NSC. During the rainy season, blowdowns, storms and lightning can cause physical damage to trees, which, along with increased herbivory or fungal infections, may increase the risk of individual damage or even mortality (Aleixo et al., 2019; Zuleta et al., 2022).

Rebuilding lost tissue during the wet season or building defensive compounds could represent a large demand on NSC (Huang et al., 2021). Thus, trees that have not replenished their NSC reserves and repaired damage during the transition months between the wet and dry seasons may be more vulnerable and susceptible to die when facing stress (Aleixo et al., 2019; Anderegg et al., 2013; Arellano et al., 2019).

4.4 | Storage-growth trade-offs occur in fibre-storing species during the wet season

Seasonal patterns in starch mass indicated when trees consumed and accumulated stem NSC. Our results suggest a trade-off between starch consumption/accumulation and growth only during the wet season for the fibre-storing species *D. microcarpa*. For the parenchyma-storing species (*O. leucoxylon* and *S. guianensis*) a storage-growth trade-off was only observed when lagged correlations were considered (Figure 8), probably reflecting the use of reserves for other metabolic needs, such as reproduction, that are also important for species survival (Figure S3; Blumstein et al., 2022, Hartmann & Trumbore, 2016). Nevertheless, it is important to clarify that these storage-growth trade-offs may change when other forms of growth are considered. At the whole tree level, the inclusion of other starch storage tissues, such as phloem (Rosell et al., 2021) or roots (Hilman et al., 2021) may provide further insight.

Identifying trade-offs between C sinks with field measurements is challenging (Blumstein et al., 2022). Here, we show that annual estimates of starch mass and radial stem growth may mask the seasonal trade-offs between these two variables (Figure S5). This may be influenced by the fact that a single time point measurement of starch mass integrates past fluctuations in plant carbon balance and therefore may not reflect the actual current growing conditions of trees. Also, trade-offs may be influenced by variations in carbon supply. Individuals with higher carbon acquisition may have more leverage to invest more in both growth and storage than individuals with lower carbon acquisition (Blumstein et al., 2022), as may have been the case for our parenchyma-storing species. These two parenchyma-storing species grew faster than the fibre-storing species, and the correlation between annual growth and starch mass was positive for both species during the dry season (August 2019) for *S. guianensis* (Figure S5e) and during the transition months from wet to dry season (May 2019) for *O. leucoxylon* (Figure S5j). For more conservative species, such as the fibre-storing species, a weak trade-off trend between starch mass and annual growth was still observed during the wet season (Figure S5d). Our results suggest that these trade-offs may not be reflected in the annual carbon balance, but only on a seasonal basis. Therefore, evaluating seasonal changes in starch mass (rather than mean storage mass per year or maximum starch storage per year) in relation to seasonal growth may better inform us about when and under what conditions such trade-offs occur, avoiding the influence of some confounding factors when annual averages are used.

Evidence for growth-storage trade-offs is increasing in the literature and has been linked to individual survival (D'Andrea et al., 2019, 2020; Klein & Hoch, 2015; O'Brien et al., 2014, 2015; Poorter & Kitajima, 2007; Wright et al., 2004). Studies comparing highly conservative species and highly competitive species in surviving stressful environmental conditions are needed to further understand how variation in storage-growth trade-offs is maintained across space and time. Our results are a good indication that highly conservative, slow-growing and high-storage species, such as fibre-storing species (e.g. *D. microcarpa*), may have stronger trade-offs between starch storage and growth, indicating greater plasticity in their sink activity, and/or higher prioritization of storage than parenchyma-storing species. Life history traits such as low growth and low mortality rate are associated with highly conservative species and are a good proxy for tree longevity (Herrera-Ramírez et al., 2021; Piovesan & Biondi, 2021; Wright et al., 2004). Mortality was also lower for the fibre-storing species compared to the parenchyma-storing species evaluated here, not only at background levels in the forest, but also when the forest experienced annual fires (Figure S7). Therefore, we would expect that as stressors intensify, highly conservative trees, such as fibre-storing species, would have higher survival rates and would become more competitive in seasonally dry forests. Future work should focus on understanding how these species with contrasting anatomical distributions of starch in the stemwood are distributed in forests, and how their different storage-growth trade-offs affect productivity and species survival in tropical forests under climate change.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

David Herrera-Ramírez, Carlos A. Sierra, Henrik Hartmann, Christine Römermann, Susan Trumbore and Jan Muhr conceived the idea, conceptualized the hypothesis and planned the data collection and the experiments. David Herrera-Ramírez, Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos and Divino Silvério collected and analysed the data. David Herrera-Ramírez and Iris Kuhlmann performed sample analysis and quantification. David Herrera-Ramírez wrote the manuscript. David Herrera-Ramírez, Henrik Hartmann, Christine Römermann, Susan Trumbore, Jan Muhr, Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos, Paulo Brando, Divino Silvério, Jianbei Huang, Iris Kuhlmann and Carlos A. Sierra contributed significantly to the writing of the manuscript and gave important and critical input. All authors revised the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest related to the work and information presented in this manuscript.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peer-review/10.1111/1365-2745.14209.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data and scripts used for calculations and statistical analyses are available on GitHub: https://github.com/dahera8/MPIBGC-Anatomical_distribution_of_starch_and_storage_growth_trade_offs and are archived on Zenodo: https://zenodo.org/badge/latestdoi/690258593 (Herrera, 2023).

ORCID

David Herrera-Ramírez https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6183-8032
Henrik Hartmann https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9926-5484
Christine Römermann https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3471-0951
Susan Trumbore https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3885-6202
Jan Muhr https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5264-0243
Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8402-1399
Paulo Brando https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8952-7025
Divino Silvério https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1642-9496
Jianbei Huang https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5286-5645
Carlos A. Sierra https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0009-4169

REFERENCES

Aleixo, I., Norris, D., Hemerik, L., Barbosa, A., Prata, E., Costa, F., & Poorter, L. (2019). Amazonian rainforest tree mortality driven by climate and functional traits. *Nature Climate Change*, 9, 384–388. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0458-0

Anderegg, W. R. L., Berry, J. A., Smith, D. D., Sperry, J. S., Anderegg, L. D. L., & Field, C. B. (2012). The roles of hydraulic and carbon stress in a widespread climate-induced forest die-off. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109, 233–237. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107891109

Anderegg, W. R. L., Kane, J. M., & Anderegg, L. D. L. (2013). Consequences of widespread tree mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress. *Nature Climate Change*, 3, 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1635

Arellano, G., Medina, N. G., Tan, S., Mohamad, M., & Davies, S. J. (2019). Crown damage and the mortality of tropical trees. *New Phytologist*, 221, 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15381

Asao, S., Bedoya-Arrieta, R., & Ryan, M. G. (2015). Variation in foliar respiration and wood CO_2 efflux rates among species and canopy layers in a wet tropical forest. *Tree Physiology*, 35, 148–159. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpu107

Barbaroux, C., & Bréda, N. (2002). Contrasting distribution and seasonal dynamics of carbohydrate reserves in stem wood of adult ring-porous sessile oak and diffuse-porous beech trees. *Tree Physiology*, 22, 1201–1210. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.17.1201

Blumstein, M., Sala, A., Weston, D. J., Holbrook, N. M., & Hopkins, R. (2022). Plant carbohydrate storage: Intra- and inter-specific trade-offs reveal a major life history trait. *New Phytologist*, 235, 2211–2222. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18213

Brando, P. M., Silvério, D., Maracahipes-Santos, L., Oliveira-Santos, C., Levick, S. R., Coe, M. T., Migliavacca, M., Balch, J. K., Macedo, M. N., Nepstad, D. C., Maracahipes, L., Davidson, E., Asner, G., Kolle, O., & Trumbore, S. (2019). Prolonged tropical forest degradation due to compounding disturbances: Implications for CO_2 and H_2O fluxes. *Global Change Biology*, 25(9), 2855–2868. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14659

Canty, A., & Ripley, B. D. (2022). *boot: Bootstrap R (S-plus) functions*. R package version 1.3-28.1.

Carlquist, S. (2013). Fibre dimorphism: Cell type diversification as an evolutionary strategy in angiosperm woods. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 174, 44–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12107

Chapin, F. S., Schulze, E., & Mooney, H. A. (1990). The ecology and economics of storage in plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 21, 423–447. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.21.110190.002231

D'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Battistelli, A., Gavrichkova, O., Kuhlmann, I., Matteucci, G., Moscatello, S., Proietti, S., Scartazza, A., Trumbore, S., & Muhr, J. (2019). Winter's bite: Beech trees survive complete defoliation due to spring late-frost damage by mobilizing old c reserves. *New Phytologist*, 224, 625–631. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16047

D'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Prislan, P., Gričar, J., Collalti, A., Muhr, J., & Matteucci, G. (2020). Frost and drought: Effects of extreme weather events on stem carbon dynamics in a mediterranean beech forest. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 43, 2365–2379. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13858

Davison, A. C., & Hinkley, D. V. (1997). *Bootstrap methods and their application*, chapter 5. Cambridge University Press.

Dietze, M. C., Sala, A., Carbone, M. S., Czimczik, C. I., Mantooth, J. A., Richardson, A. D., & Vargas, R. (2014). Nonstructural carbon in woody plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 65, 667–687. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040054

Fournier, L. A. (1974). Un método cuantitativo para la medición de características fenológicas en árboles. *Turrialba*, 24(4), 422–423.

Fox, J. (2015). *Applied regression analysis and generalized linear models* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Furze, M. E., Huggett, B. A., Chamberlain, C. J., Wieringa, M. M., Aubrecht, D. M., Carbone, M. S., Walker, J. C., Xu, X., Czimczik, C. I., & Richardson, A. D. (2020). Seasonal fluctuation of nonstructural carbohydrates reveals the metabolic availability of stemwood reserves in temperate trees with contrasting wood anatomy. *Tree Physiology*, 40, 1355–1365. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpaa080

Furze, M. E., Trumbore, S., & Hartmann, H. (2018). Detours on the phloem sugar highway: Stem carbon storage and remobilization. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 43, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2018.02.005

Hartmann, H., McDowell, N. G., & Trumbore, S. (2015). Allocation to carbon storage pools in Norway spruce saplings under drought and low CO_2. *Tree Physiology*, 35, 243–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv019

Hartmann, H., & Trumbore, S. (2016). Understanding the roles of nonstructural carbohydrates in forest trees from what we can measure to what we want to know. *New Phytologist*, 211, 386–403. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13955

Helm, J., Salomón, R. L., Hilman, B., Muhr, J., Knohl, A., Steppe, K., Gibon, Y., Cassan, C., & Hartmann, H. (2023). Differences between tree stem CO_2 efflux and O_2 influx rates cannot be explained by internal CO_2 transport or storage in large beech trees. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 46, 2680–2693. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14614

Herrera. (2023). dahera8/MPIBGC-Anatomical_distribution_of_starch_and_storage_growth_trade_offs: Anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood influences carbon dynamics and suggests storage-growth trade-offs in some tropical trees (release_Journal_of_ecology) [Computer software]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.8380772

Herrera-Ramírez, D., Sierra, C. A., Römermann, C., Muhr, J., Trumbore, S., Silvério, D., Brando, P. M., & Hartmann, H. (2021). Starch and lipid storage strategies in tropical trees relate to growth and mortality. *New Phytologist*, 230, 139–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17239

Hilman, B., Muhr, J., Helm, J., Kuhlmann, I., Schulze, E.-D., & Trumbore, S. (2021). The size and the age of the metabolically active carbon in tree roots. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 44(8), 2522–2535. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14124

Hoch, G., Richter, A., & Körner, C. (2003). Non-structural carbon compounds in temperate forest trees. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 26, 1067–1081. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2003.01032.x

Hollander, M., Wolfe, D. A., & Chicken, E. (2013). *Nonparametric statistical methods* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 6(2), 65–70. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4615733

Huang, J., Hammerbacher, A., Gershenzon, J., van Dam, N. M., Sala, A., McDowell, N. G., Chowdhury, S., Gleixner, G., Trumbore, S., & Hartmann, H. (2021). Storage of carbon reserves in spruce trees is prioritized over growth in the face of carbon limitation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118, e2023297118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023297118

Huang, J., Hammerbacher, A., Weinhold, A., Reichelt, M., Gleixner, G., Behrendt, T., van Dam, N. M., Sala, A., Gershenzon, J., Trumbore, S., & Hartmann, H. (2019). Eyes on the future—Evidence for trade-offs between growth, storage and defense in Norway spruce. *New Phytologist*, 222, 144–158. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15522

Huang, J., Rücker, A., Schmidt, A., Gleixner, G., Gershenzon, J., Trumbore, S., & Hartmann, H. (2020). Production of constitutive and induced secondary metabolites is coordinated with growth and storage in Norway spruce saplings. *Tree Physiology*, 40, 928–942. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpaa040

Klein, T., & Hoch, G. (2015). Tree carbon allocation dynamics determined using a carbon mass balance approach. *New Phytologist*, 205, 147–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12993

Körner, C. (2003). Carbon limitation in trees. *Journal of Ecology*, 91, 4–17. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2003.00742.x

Kozlowski, T. T. (1992). Carbohydrate sources and sinks in woody plants. *The Botanical Review*, 58, 107–222. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858600

Landhäusser, S. M., Chow, P. S., Dickman, L. T., Furze, M. E., Kuhlman, I., Schmid, S., Wiesenbauer, J., Wild, B., Gleixner, G., Hartmann, H., Hoch, G., McDowell, N. G., Richardson, A. D., Richter, A., & Adams, H. D. (2018). Standardized protocols and procedures can precisely and accurately quantify non-structural carbohydrates. *Tree Physiology*, 38, 1764–1778. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy118

Martínez-Vilalta, J. (2014). Carbon storage in trees: Pathogens have their say. *Tree Physiology*, 34, 215–217. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpu010

Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sala, A., Asensio, D., Galiano, L., Hoch, G., Palacio, S., Piper, F. I., & Lloret, F. (2016). Dynamics of non-structural carbohydrates in terrestrial plants: A global synthesis. *Ecological Monographs*, 86, 495–516. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1231

Michelot, A., Simard, S., Rathgeber, C., Dufrêne, E., & Damesin, C. (2012). Comparing the intra-annual wood formation of three European species (*Fagus sylvatica*, *Quercus petraea* and *Pinus sylvestris*) as related to leaf phenology and non-structural carbohydrate dynamics. *Tree Physiology*, 32, 1033–1045. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tps052

Muhr, J., Trumbore, S., Higuchi, N., & Kunert, N. (2018). Living on borrowed time—Amazonian trees use decade-old storage carbon to survive for months after complete stem girdling. *New Phytologist*, 220, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15302

Newell, E. A., Mulkey, S. S., & Wright, J. S. (2002). Seasonal patterns of carbohydrate storage in four tropical tree species. *Oecologia*, 131, 333–342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-0888-6

O'Brien, M. J., Burslem, D. F. R. P., Caduff, A., Tay, J., & Hector, A. (2015). Contrasting nonstructural carbohydrate dynamics of tropical tree seedlings under water deficit and variability. *New Phytologist*, 205, 1083–1094. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13134

O'Brien, M. J., Leuzinger, S., Philipson, C. D., Tay, J., & Hector, A. (2014). Drought survival of tropical tree seedlings enhanced by nonstructural carbohydrate levels. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 710–714. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2281

O'Brien, M. J., Valtat, A., Abiven, S., Studer, M. S., Ong, R., & Schmid, B. (2020). The role of soluble sugars during drought in tropical tree seedlings with contrasting tolerances. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 13, 389–397. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtaa017

Palacio, S., Hoch, G., Sala, A., Körner, C., & Millard, P. (2014). Does carbon storage limit tree growth? *New Phytologist*, 201, 1096–1100. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12602

Peltier, D. M., Nguyen, P., Ebert, C., Koch, G. W., Schuur, E. A., & Ogle, K. (2023). Moisture stress limits radial mixing of non-structural carbohydrates in sapwood of trembling aspen. *Tree Physiology*, tpad083. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpad083

Piispanen, R., & Saranpää, P. (2001). Variation of non-structural carbohydrates in silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth) wood. *Trees*, 15, 444–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680100125

Piovesan, G., & Biondi, F. (2021). On tree longevity. *New Phytologist*, 231, 1318–1337. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17148

Piper, F. I., Gundale, M. J., & Fajardo, A. (2015). Extreme defoliation reduces tree growth but not C and N storage in a winter-deciduous species. *Annals of Botany*, 115, 1093–1103. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv038

Piper, F. I., & Paula, S. (2020). The role of nonstructural carbohydrates storage in forest resilience under climate change. *Current Forestry Reports*, 6, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-019-00109-z

Plavcová, L., Hoch, G., Morris, H., Ghiasi, S., & Jansen, S. (2016). The amount of parenchyma and living fibers affects storage of nonstructural carbohydrates in young stems and roots of temperate trees. *American Journal of Botany*, 103, 603–612. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1500489

Poorter, L., & Kitajima, K. (2007). Carbohydrate storage and light requirements of tropical moist and dry forest tree species. *Ecology*, 88, 1000–1011. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-0984

R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-project.org/

Resco de Dios, V., & Gessler, A. (2021). Sink and source co-limitation in the response of stored non-structural carbohydrates to an intense but short drought. *Trees*, 35, 1751–1754. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-021-02116-9

Richardson, A. D., Carbone, M. S., Keenan, T. F., Czimczik, C. I., Hollinger, D. Y., Murakami, P., Schaberg, P. G., & Xu, X. (2013). Seasonal dynamics and age of stemwood nonstructural carbohydrates in temperate forest trees. *New Phytologist*, 197, 850–861. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12042

Rosell, J. A., Piper, F. I., Jiménez-Vera, C., Vergílio, P. C., Marcati, C. R., Castorena, M., & Olson, M. E. (2021). Inner bark as a crucial tissue for non-structural carbohydrate storage across three tropical woody plant communities. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 44, 156–170. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13903

Rowland, L., Ramírez-Valiente, J. A., Hartley, I. P., & Mencuccini, M. (2023). How woody plants adjust above- and below-ground traits in response to sustained drought. *New Phytologist*, 239, 1173–1189. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19000

Sala, A., Woodruff, D. R., & Meinzer, F. C. (2012). Carbon dynamics in trees: Feast or famine? *Tree Physiology*, 32, 764–775. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpr143

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH image to imagej: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9, 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Sierra, C. A., Ceballos-Núñez, V., Hartmann, H., Herrera-Ramírez, D., & Metzler, H. (2022). Ideas and perspectives: Allocation of carbon

from net primary production in models is inconsistent with observations of the age of respired carbon. *Biogeosciences*, *19*, 3727–3738. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-3727-2022

Teskey, R. O., Saveyn, A., Steppe, K., & McGuire, M. A. (2008). Origin, fate and significance of CO_2 in tree stems. *New Phytologist*, *177*, 17–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02286.x

The GIMP Development Team. (2019). *GIMP*. https://www.gimp.org

Trumbore, S., Czimczik, C. I., Sierra, C. A., Muhr, J., & Xu, X. (2015). Non-structural carbon dynamics and allocation relate to growth rate and leaf habit in California oaks. *Tree Physiology*, *35*, 1206–1222. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv097

von Arx, G., Arzac, A., Fonti, P., Frank, D., Zweifel, R., Rigling, A., Galiano, L., Gessler, A., & Olano, J. M. (2017). Responses of sapwood ray parenchyma and non-structural carbohydrates of pinus sylvestris to drought and long-term irrigation. *Functional Ecology*, *31*, 1371–1382. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12860

Wiley, E., Casper, B. B., & Helliker, B. R. (2017). Recovery following defoliation involves shifts in allocation that favour storage and reproduction over radial growth in black oak. *Journal of Ecology*, *105*, 412–424. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12672

Wiley, E., & Helliker, B. (2012). A re-evaluation of carbon storage in trees lends greater support for carbon limitation to growth. *New Phytologist*, *195*, 285–289. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04180.x

Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D. D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Garnier, E., Groom, P. K., Gulias, J., Hikosaka, K., Lamont, B. B., Lee, T., Lee, W., Lusk, C., … Villar, R. (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, *428*, 821–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403

Würth, M. K. R., Peláez-Riedl, S., Wright, S. J., & Körner, C. (2005). Non-structural carbohydrate pools in a tropical forest. *Oecologia*, *143*, 11–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1773-2

Zuleta, D., Arellano, G., Muller-Landau, H. C., McMahon, S. M., Aguilar, S., Bunyavejchewin, S., Cárdenas, D., Chang-Yang, C. H., Duque, A., Mitre, D., Nasardin, M., Pérez, R., Sun, I. F., Yao, T. L., & Davies, S. J. (2022). Individual tree damage dominates mortality risk factors across six tropical forests. *New Phytologist*, *233*, 705–721. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17832

Zweifel, R., Haeni, M., Buchmann, N., & Eugster, W. (2016). Are trees able to grow in periods of stem shrinkage? *New Phytologist*, *211*, 839–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13995

Zweifel, R., Zimmermann, L., Zeugin, F., & Newbery, D. M. (2006). Intra-annual radial growth and water relations of trees: Implications towards a growth mechanism. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *57*, 1445–1459. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erj125

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

Figure S1. Microscopic photographs of transversal wood sections from each species studied. The images show starch stained black with Lugol's iodine, which enables us to distinguish the specific cells where starch is stored in the stemwood of these species. We have denominated this trait in our study as the anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood. Each panel is labeled with the name of the species and the specific combination of traits.

Figure S2. Soluble sugar concentrations measured in the stem wood of the studied species during the dry and the wet seasons of the year 2018. The dispersion of the measurements is shown by box plots. Statistical comparisons within species were made using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, and no differences were found in any of the species between the wet and the dry seasons.

Figure S3. Seasonal variation of the percentage of the canopy covered by mature leaves, flushing leaves, flowers, and fruits observed during the last 8 years in local trees of the studied species. The lines represent smoothing models of the data, highlighting the seasonal trend of each of the evaluated traits. The species names are provided above the corresponding panels, and the evaluated traits can be identified in the *y*-axis label.

Figure S4. Non-parametric adjusted bootstrap percentile interval (BCa) for the mean relative changes in total starch mass in the entire wood core. Relative starch changes were evaluated in different time periods. The first three groups for each species are time periods consisting of consecutive months of measurements, which constitute the three-month seasons evaluated; the following three groups in each species consist of the other possible time combinations, which evaluate changes in starch content over longer time periods. The periods considered for analysis are denoted in the *x*-axis, with the first and the last month of each period indicated in the axis label. Blue confidence intervals denote the parenchyma-storing species, while red confidence intervals denote the fiber-storing species. Each panel title includes the species name and the specific combination of traits.

Figure S5. Correlations between the annual growth rate in 2019 and the total starch mass in the entire wood core at each of the evaluated months of the year for each species studied. The panels highlight the significant linear regressions (with 95% confidence level) with solid lines. Above each group of panels, we provide the name of the corresponding species and its specific trait combination. Each panel is identified by a unique alphabetical letter from "a" to "l". Additionally, the months representing the dry and wet seasons are highlighted in yellow and blue, respectively.

Figure S6. Seasonal amplitude of starch concentrations over the course of the year 2019 at each wood depth measured for each species. The seasonal amplitude shown here is the difference between the maximum and the minimum starch concentration over the course of the year 2019 in each measured individual at different wood depths, starting from the bark. The solid lines represent the mean seasonal amplitude of starch content for 12 measured trees per species. The uncertainty is represented by the colored areas which correspond to one standard deviation of the seasonal amplitude of starch content at each wood depth for the 12 trees measured for each species. We show the seasonal amplitude at different wood depths until zero values were reached, so the depth ranges are different for each species. We evaluated differences in the seasonal amplitude of starch concentration among all wood depths with an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the results are reported in each panel for each species. Red lines and areas represent the fiber-storing species, while blue lines and areas represent the parenchyma-storing species. Note that the scales of the *y*-axes differ between the species.

Figure S7. Cumulative mortality of the studied species in the same area of study. Cumulative mortality is shown for three forest plots: control, fire every year, and fire every three years. The control plot

is the forest where we sampled our individuals. The fire plots are adjacent to this forest and they were burned every year or every three years, as indicated by the labels. In the plot, we show the cumulative mortality measured in each plot from 2011 to 2021, so that changes in mortality due to fire disturbances can be seen and related to the anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood. Different species are indicated by distinct dot and line shapes and are named in the legend along with the specific trait combination. The anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood is denoted by different colors: red lines denote the fiber-storing species, while blue lines denote the parenchyma-storing species.