

```
def __init__(self, environ, start_response):
```

```
self.environ = environ
```

```
self.start = start_response
```

```
def __iter__(self):
```

```
status = '200 OK'
```

```
response_headers = [('Content-type', 'text/plain')]
```

```
self.start(status, response_headers)
```

```
yield "Hello world!\n"
```

让名人朗朗教你理财 收益

超安全 真环保 高收益

sina 新浪博客

大学生用网情况让人吐血

新浪博客

微信公众号

status = '200 OK'

John_ABC的博客

<http://blog.sina.com.cn/u/2316878653> [订阅] [手机订阅]



扫一扫关注

首页 | 博文目录 | 图片 | 关于我

服务器接口

关闭

正文

服务器/gateway为每一个http客户端发来的请求都会请求应用程序可调用者一次。为了说明这里有一个CGI gateway，以一个获取应用程序对象的函数实现，请注意，这个例子拥有有限的错误处理，因为默认情况下没有被捕获的异常都会被输出到sys.stderr并被服务器记录下来。

```
import os, sys
```

John_ABC

```
def run_with CGI(application):
```

```
environ = dict(os.environ.items())
```

```
environ['wsgi.input'] = sys.stdin
```

```
environ['wsgi.errors'] = sys.stderr
```

```
environ['wsgi.version'] = (1,0)
```

```
environ['wsgi.multithread'] = False
```

```
environ['wsgi.multiprocess'] = True
```

PEP 333 (不是3333) 的翻译 (2013-07-22 17:28:15)

简介

本文档描述一份在web服务器与web应用/web框架之间的标准接口，此接口的目的性。

基本原理与目标

python目前拥有大量的web框架，比如 Zope, Quixote, Webware, SkunkWeb, PSO, 等等。为总得来说，框架的选择都会限制web服务器的选择。

对比之下，虽然java也拥有许多web框架，但是java的" servlet" API使得使用任何web框架在java的web服务器上运行。服务器中这种针对python的API（不管服务器是用python还是其他语言）的使用和普及，将分离人们对web框架和对web服务器的选择，用户可以选择任何框架，而开发者也能够把精力集中到各自的领域。

因此，这份PEP建议在web服务器和web应用/web框架之间建立一种简单的通用的接口（WSGI）。

但是光有这么一份规范对于改变web服务器和web应用/框架的现状是不够的，只有当它被广泛采用时，才能起到应有的效果。

然而，既然还没有任何框架或服务器实现了WSGI，对实现WSGI也没有什么直接的影响。这将是框架作者和服务器作者的初始投资。

WSGI的简单性，对于WSGI的作用来说，绝对是非常重要的。对于框架作者来说，实现的简单和使用的方便是不一样的。WSGI为框架作者展示

```
environ['wsgi.run_once'] = True
```

```
if environ.get('HTTPS','off') in ('on','1'):
```

```
environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] = 'https'
```

```
else:
```

```
environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] = 'http'
```

```
headers_set = []
```

```
headers_sent = []
```

```
def write(data):
```

```
    if not headers_set:
```

```
        raise AssertionError("write() before start_response()")
```

```
    elif not headers_sent:
```

```
        # Before the first output, send the stored headers
```

```
    status, response_headers = headers_sent[:] = headers_set
```

```
    sys.stdout.write('Status: %s\r\n' % status)
```

```
    for header in response_headers:
```

```
        sys.stdout.write('%s: %s\r\n' % header)
```

```
    sys.stdout.write('\r\n')
```

```
    sys.stdout.write(data)
```

```
    sys.stdout.flush()
```

```
def start_response(status,response_headers,exc_info=None):
```

```
    if exc_info:
```

和对cookie的处理这些问题和框架现有的对这些问题的处理是矛盾的。再次重申中间件轻松互连，而不是创建一套新的web框架。

同时也要注意，这个目标使得WSGI不能依赖任何在当前已部署版本的python标准模块，并且WSGI并不需要2.2.2以上版本的python(当然，在以后的python标准模块中)

不光要让现有的或将要出现的框架和服务器容易实现，也应该容易创建请求预处理件，对于服务器来说他们是应用程序，而对于他们包含的应用程序来说他们是服务器

如果中间件既简单又健壮，而且WSGI广泛得实现在服务器和框架中，那么就有几个WSGI中间件组件组成。甚至现有框架的作者都会选择重构将以实现的服务以而不是一个独立的框架。这样web应用开发这就可以根据特定功能选择最适合的中间件

当然，这一天无疑还要等很久，在这之间，一个合适的短期目标就是让任何框架

最后，需要指出的是当前版本的WSGI并没有规定一个应用具体以何种方式部署在服务器或gateway的具体实现来定义。如果足够多实现了WSGI的服务器或gateway通过PEP来描述WSGI服务器和应用框架的部署标准。

概述

WSGI接口有两种形式：一个是针对服务器或gateway的，另一个针对应用程序或应用的对象，至于该对象是如何被请求的取决与服务器或gateway。我们假定一些应用通过几个简短的脚本来启动一个服务器或gateway的实例，并把应用程序对象提供得服务其他机制来指定从哪里导入或者得应用程序对象。

除了纯粹的服务器/gateway和应用程序/框架，还可以创建实现了这份规格说明书的服务器，而对于他们包含的应用程序来说他们是服务器，他们可以用来提供可扩展

在整个规格说明书中，我们使用短语"一个可调用者"意思是"一个函数，方法，类与服务器，gateway，应用程序根据需要而选择的合适实现方式。相反服务器，gateway，应用程序根据需要的实现方式，not introspected upon。

应用接口

一个应用程序对象是一个简单的接受两个参数的可调用对象，这里的对象并不是指带有__call__方法的对象实例都可以用来做应用程序对象。应用程序对象必须确实会产生这样的重复请求。

(注意：虽然我们把他叫做"应用程序"对象，但并不是说程序员要把WSGI当做应用框架面上的框架服务来开发应用程序，WSGI是提供给框架和服务器开发者使用的工具)

这里有两个应用程序对象的示例，一个是函数，另一个是类：

```
def simple_app(environ, start_response):
```

```
    """也许是最简单的应用程序对象"""
```

```
    status = '200 OK'
```

```
    response_headers = [('Content-type','text/plain')]
```

```
    start_response(status, response_headers)
```

[更多>>](#)

```
try:
```

```
if headers_sent:
```

```
# Re-raise original exception if headers sent
```

```
raise exc_info[0], exc_info[1], exc_info[2]
```

村民开山炸出百吨巨虫

```
finally:
```

推荐资讯

```
exc_info = None # avoid dangling circular ref
```

清华博士发明英语口语快速成法

24小时陪练 让您身临国外英语环

初高中这样学 考不到600分就怪了

初中 高中正确学习方法 成绩提升

```
raise AssertionError("Headers already set!")
```

30天孩子变记忆天才成绩一升再升

记忆力训练方法 记忆力提升秘诀

```
headers_set[:] = [status, response_headers]
```

绿能宝携手郎朗一起与您理财

互联网金融品牌绿能宝固定收益

```
return write
```

新浪扶翼广告: 少许投入无限商机

新浪效果平台扶效为营翼展未来

```
result = application(environ, start_response)
```

```
try:
```

```
for data in result:
```

```
if data: # body 出现以前不发送headers
```

```
write(data)
```

```
if not headers_sent:
```

推荐博文

```
write("") # 如果这个时候body为空则发送header
```

当裙子被总统脱下

毕福剑的道歉应该重写

```
finally:
```

不要苍白地赞美“最火辞职信”

```
if hasattr(result, 'close'):
```

股市最终的拐点何时出现

```
result.close()
```

女巨贪杨秀珠为何列通缉榜首？

```
return ['Hello world!\n']
```

```
class AppClass:
```

"""产生同样的输出，不过是使用一个类来实现

(注意: 'AppClass' 在这里就是 "application", 所以对它的调用会 'AppClass' 的一个实例)

这个实例做为迭代器再返回 "application callable" 应该返回的那些值)

如果我们想使用 'AppClass' 的实例直接作为应用程序对象, 我们就必须实现 ``__call__``

调用这个方法执行应用程序, 并且我们需要创建一个实例给服务器调用

```
"""
```

```
def __init__(self, environ, start_response):
```

```
self.environ = environ
```

```
self.start = start_response
```

```
def __iter__(self):
```

```
headers = '200 OK'
```

```
response_headers = [('Content-type', 'text/plain')]
```

```
self.start(status, response_headers)
```

```
yield "Hello world!\n"
```

服务器/gateway为每一个http客户端发来的请求都会请求应用程序可调用者一次。程序对象的函数实现, 请注意, 这个例子拥有有限的错误处理, 因为默认情况下服务器记录下来。

```
import os, sys
```


中间件:同时扮演两种角色的组件

■ 风青杨: 什么是“有偿异性陪侍”

注意到单个对象可以作为请求应用程序的服务器存在,也可以作为被服务器调用的应用程序存在。这样的中间件可以执行这样一些功能:

■ 自主研发与拿来主义的不同结局

重写前面提到的 environ 之后,可以根据目标URL将请求传递到不同的应用程序对象。“抗日神剧”走了,“足球神剧”

允许多个应用程序和框架在同一个进程中运行

通过在网络传递请求和响应,实现负载均衡和远程处理

对内容进行后加工,比如附加xsl样式表

印加之路色彩盛 夜游京都祇园

中间件的存在对于服务器接口和应用接口来说都应该是透明的,并且不需要特别的支持。希望在应用程序中加入中间件的用户只需简单得把中间件当作应用提供给服务器,并配置中间件足以服务器的身份来请求应用程序。

地震中损毁的世 漫步东方瑞士博

当然,中间件组件包裹的可能是包裹应用程序的另一个中间件组件,这样循环下去就构成了我们称为“中间件堆栈”的东西了。for the most part,中间件要符合应用接口和服务接口提出的一些限制和要求,有些时候这样的限制甚至比纯粹的服务器或应用程序还要严格,这些地方我们会特别指出。

乌来养在深闺人 去皇家园林赏盛

未识 世牡丹

这里有一个中间件组件的例子,他用Joe Strout的piglatin.py将text/plain的响应转换成pig latin (注意:真正的中间件应该使用更加安全的方式——应该检查内容的

类型和内容的编码,同样这个简单的例子还忽略了一个单词might be split across a block boundary的可能性)。

谁看过这篇博文

BamBoo 4月20日

671324100 4月19日

from piglatin import piglatin

阳光下的... 4月14日

class LatinIter: 4月11日

JustDoTufei 4月6日

如果可以的话,将输出转换为piglatin格式

善良的饭... 3月31日

Note that the "okayness" can change until the application yields

sudo 3月30日

its first non-empty string so 'transform_ok' has to be a mutable

清水萧奈 3月29日

qqliangqi 3月26日

truth value.""

def __init__(self,result,transform_ok): sys.stdout.write('Status: %s\r\n' % status)

if hasattr(result,'close'):

def run_with_cgi(application):

environ = dict(os.environ.items())

environ['wsgi.input'] = sys.stdin

environ['wsgi.errors'] = sys.stderr

environ['wsgi.file_wrapper'] = sys.stdout

environ['wsgi.multithread'] = False

environ['wsgi.run_once'] = True

environ['wsgi.run_once'] = True

if environ.get('HTTPS','off') in ('on','1'):

environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] = 'https'

else:

environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] = 'http'

headers_set = []

headers_sent = []

def write(data):

if not headers_set:

raise AssertionError("write() before start_response()")

elif not headers_sent:

Before the first output, send the stored headers

status, response_headers = headers_sent[:] = headers_set

for header in response_headers:

```
self.close = result.close
```

```
self._next = iter(result).next
```

```
self.transform_ok = transform_ok
```

```
def __iter__(self):
```

```
    return self
```

```
    def next(self):
```

```
        if self.transform_ok:
```

```
            return piglatin(self._next())
```

```
        else:
```

```
            return self._next()
```

```
class Latinator:
```

```
    # by default, don't transform output
```

```
    transform = False
```

```
    def __init__(self, application):
```

```
        self.application = application
```

```
    def __call__(environ, start_response):
```

```
        transform_ok = []
```

```
    def start_latin(status, response_headers, exc_info=None):
```

```
        # Reset ok flag, in case this is a repeat call
```

```
        transform_ok[:] = []
```

```
        sys.stdout.write('%s: %s\r\n' % header)
```

```
        sys.stdout.write('\r\n')
```

```
        sys.stdout.write(data)
```

```
        sys.stdout.flush()
```

```
def start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info=None):
```

```
    if exc_info:
```

```
        try:
```

```
            if headers_sent:
```

```
                # Re-raise original exception if headers sent
```

```
                raise exc_info[0], exc_info[1], exc_info[2]
```

```
            finally:
```

```
                exc_info = None # avoid dangling circular ref
```

```
        elif headers_set:
```

```
            raise AssertionError("Headers already set!")
```

```
        headers_set[:] = [status, response_headers]
```

```
        return write
```

```
        result = application(environ, start_response)
```

```
        try:
```

```
            for data in result:
```

```
                # 如果之前不发送headers
```

```
                write(data)
```

```
            if not headers_sent:
```

```
                write("") # 如果这个时候body为空则发送header
```

```
for name,value in response_headers:
```

finally:

```
if name.lower()=='content-type' and value=='text/plain':
```

if hasattr(result,'close')

result.close()

```
transform_ok.append(True)
```

中间件：同时扮演两种角色的组件

```
# Strip content-length if present, else it'll be wrong
```

注意到单个对象可以作为请求应用程序的服务器存在，也可以作为被服务器调用功能：

```
response_headers = [(name,value)
```

重写前面提到的 environ 之后，可以根据目标URL将请求传递到不同的应用程序。允许多个应用程序和框架在同一个进程中运行

```
for name,value in response_headers:
```

通过在网络传递请求和响应，实现负载均衡和远程处理
对内容进行后加工，比如附加xsl样式表

```
if name.lower()<>'content-length':
```

中间件的存在对于服务器接口和应用接口来说都应该是透明的，并且不需要特别简单得把中间件当作应用提供给服务器，并配置中间件足见以服务器的身份来请

```
]
```

当然，中间件组件包裹的可能是包裹应用程序的另一个中间件组件，这样循环下most part,中间件要符合应用接口和服务器接口提出的一些限制和要求，有些时候格，这些地方我们会特别指出。

```
break
```

```
write = start_response(status,response_headers,exc_info)
```

这里有一个中间件组件的例子，他用Joe Strout的piglatin.py将text/plain的响应转换的方式——应该检查内容的类型和内容的编码，同样这个简单的例子还忽略了一性)。

```
if transform_ok:
```

from piglatin import piglatin

```
def write_latin(data):
```

```
write(piglatin(data))
```

class LatinIter:

```
return write_latin
```

```
else:
```

"""如果可以的话，将输出转换为piglatin格式

```
return write
```

Note that the "okayness" can change until the application yields

```
return LatinIter(self.application(environ,start_latin),transform_ok)
```

its first non-empty string, so 'transform_ok' has to be a mutable truth value."""

```
# Run foo_app under a Latinator's control, using the example CGI gateway
```

```
from foo_app import foo_app
```

def __init__(self,result,transform_ok):

```
run_with_cgi(Latinator(foo_app))
```

if hasattr(result,'close'):

self.close = result.close

详细说明

详细代码

```

        self._next = iter(result).next
        self.transform_ok = transform_ok
    def __iter__(self):
        application(application, environ, start_response)

```

应用程序对象必须接受两个参数，为了方便说明我们不妨分别命名为 `environ` 和 `start_response`，但并非必须取这个名字。服务器或gateway必须用这两个参数请求应用程序对象(比如象上面展示的,这样调用 `result = application(environ, start_response)`)

参数 `environ` 是个字典对象，包含CGI风格的环境变量。这个对象必须是一个python内建的字典对象(不能是子类、`UserDict`或其他对字典对象的模仿)，应用程序可以以任何他愿意的方式修改这个字典，`environ` 还应该包含一些特定的WSGI需要的变量(在后面的节里会描述)，有可以包含一些服务器特定的扩展变量，通过下面提高的约定命名。

```

        if self.transform_ok:
            result = transform(self._next())
        else:
            return self._next()
    def __call__(self, environ, start_response):
        start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info)

```

`start_response` 参数是一个接受两个必须参数和一个可选参数的可调用者。方便说明，我们分别把他们命名为 `status`, `response_headers` ,和 `exc_info`。应用程序必须用这些参数来请求可调用者 `start_response` (比如象这样 `start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info)`)

参数 `status` 是一个形式象"999 Message here"的状态字符串。而 `response_headers` 参数是元组(`header_name, header_value`)的列表,描述http响应头。可选的 `exc_info` 参数会在下面的 `The start_response() Callable` 和 `Error Handling` 两节中描述，他只有在应用程序产生了错误并希望在浏览器上显示错误的时候才有用。

```

        # by default, don't transform output
        transform = False
    def __call__(self, environ, start_response):
        write(body_data)

```

`start_response` 可调用者必须返回一个 `write(body_data)` 可调用者，他接受一个可选参数：一个将要被做为http响应体的一部分输出的字符串(注意：提供可调用者 `write()` 只是为了支持现有框架的必要的输出API，新的应用程序或框架尽量避免使用，详细情况请看 `Buffering and Streaming` 一节。)

```

        self.application = application
    def __call__(self, environ, start_response):
        return self.application(environ, start_response)

```

当被服务器请求的时候，应用程序对象必须返回一个0或多个可迭代的字符串，这可以通过多种方法完成，比如返回一个字符串的列表，或者应用程序本身是一个生产字符串的函数，或者应用程序是一个类而他的实例是可迭代的，不管怎么完成，应用程序对象必须总是返回0或多个可迭代的字符串。

```

        self.transform_ok = transform_ok
    def __call__(self, environ, start_response):
        return self.application(environ, start_response)

```

服务器必须将产生的字符串以一种无缓冲的方式传送到客户端，每次传完一个字符串再去获取下一个。(换句话说，应用程序应该实现自己的缓冲，更多关于应用程序输出必须如何处理的细节请阅读下面的 `Buffering and Streaming` 节。)

```

        return start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info)
    def __call__(self, environ, start_response):
        return self.application(environ, start_response)

```

服务器或gateway应该把产生的字符串当字节流对待：特别地，他必须保证没修改行的结尾。应用程序负责确保字符串是以与客户端匹配的编码输出(服务器/gateway可能会附加HTTP传送编码，或者为了实现一些http的特性而进行一些转换比如byte-range transmission，更多细节请看下面的 `Other HTTP Features`)

如果调 `len(iterable)` 成功, 服务器将认为结果是正确的。也就是说, 应用程序返回的可迭代的字符串提供了一个有用的 `_len_()` 方法, 么肯定返回了正确的结果(关于这个方法正常情况下如何被使用的请阅读 [Handling the Content-Length Header](#))

如果应用程序返回的可迭代者有 `close()` 方法, 则不管该请求是正常结束还是由于错误而终止, 服务器/gateway都**必须**在结束该请求之前调用这个方法, (这是用来支持应用程序对资源的释放, This protocol is intended to complement PEP 325's generator support, and other common iterables with `close()` methods.)

(注意: 应用程序必须在可迭代者产生第一个字符串之间请求 `start_response()` 调用者, 这样服务器才能在发送任何主体内容之前发送响应头, 然而这一步也可以在可迭代者第一次迭代的时候执行, 所以服务器不能假定开始迭代之前 `start_response()` 已经被调用过了)

最后, 服务器或gateway不能应用程序返回的可迭代者的任何其他属性, 除非是针对服务器或gateway特定类型的实例, 比如 `wsgi.file_wrapper` 返回的“file wrapper” (阅读 [Optional Platform-Specific File Handling](#))。通常情况下, 只有在这里指定的属性, 或者通过PEP 234 iteration APIs才是可以访问的。

`environ` 字典被用来包含这些在Common Gateway Interface specification [2] 中定义了的CGI环境变量。下面这些变量 必须 呈现出来, 除非其值是空字符串, 这种情况下如果下面没有特别指出的话他们 可能会被忽略

REQUEST_METHOD

HTTP请求的方式, 比如 "GET" 或者 "POST". 这个不可能是空字符串并且也是必须给出的。

SCRIPT_NAME

请求URL中路径的开始部分, 对应应用程序对象, 这样应用程序就知道它的虚拟位置。如果该应用程序对应服务器的根 的话, 它 可能 是为空字符串。

PATH_INFO

请求URL中路径的剩余部分, 指定请求的目标在应用程序内部的虚拟位置。如果请求的目标是应用程序跟并且没有trailing slash的话, 可能为空字符串。

QUERY_STRING

请求URL中跟在"?"后面的那部分, 可能为空或不存在。

CONTENT_TYPE

HTTP请求中任何 Content-Type 域的内容。

CONTENT_LENGTH

HTTP请求中任何 Content-Length 域的内容。可能为空或不存在。

```
for name,value in response_headers:
```

```
if name.lower()=='content-type' and value=='text/plain':
```

```
# Strip content-length if present, else it'll be wrong
```

```
response_headers = [(name,value)
```

```
for name,value in response_headers
```

```
headers,exc_info)
```

```
]
```

```
return LatinIter(self.application(environ,start_latin),transform_ok)
```

```
def write_latin(data):
```

```
write(piglatin(data))
```

```
return write_latin
```

```
else:
```

```
return write
```

```
return LatinIter(self.application(environ,start_latin),transform_ok)
```

```
# Run foo_app under a Latinator's control, using the example CGI gateway
```

```
from foo_app import foo_app
```

```
latinator = Latinator(foo_app)
```


SERVER_NAME, SERVER_PORT

这些变量可以和 SCRIPT_NAME、PATH_INFO 一起组成完整的URL。然而要注意的，重建请求URL的时候应该优先使用 HTTP_HOST 而非 SERVER_NAME。详细内容请阅读下面的 URL Reconstruction。SERVER_NAME 和 SERVER_PORT 永远是空字符串，也总是必须存在的。

SERVER_PROTOCOL

客户端发送请求所使用协议的版本。通常是类似 "HTTP/1.0" 或 "HTTP/1.1" 的东西。可以被用来判断如何处理请求 headers。(既然这个变量表示的是请求中使用的协议，而且和服务器响应时使用的协议无关，也许它应该被叫做 REQUEST_PROTOCOL。然后，为了保持和CGI的兼容性，我们还是使用已有的名字。)

HTTP_ 变量

对应客户端提供的HTTP请求 headers (也就是说名字以 "HTTP_" 开头的变量)。这些变量的存在与否应该和请求中的合适的 HTTP header 一致。

服务器或 gateway 应该尽可能提供其他可用的 CGI 变量。另外，如果用了 SSL，服务器或 gateway 也应该尽可能提供可用的 Apache SSL 环境变量 [5]，比如 HTTPS=on 和 SSL_PROTOCOL。不过要注意，使用了任何上面没有列出的变量的应用程序对不支持相关扩展的服务器来说就有点 necessarily non-portable。(比如，不发布文件的 web 服务器就不能提供一个有意义的 DOCUMENT_ROOT 或 PATH_TRANSLATED。)

一个支持 WSGI 的服务器或 gateway 应该在描述它们自己的同时说明它们可以提供些什么变量。应用程序应该对所有需要的变量的存在性进行检查，并且在某变量不存在的时候有备用的措施。

注意：不需要的变量 (比如在不需要验证的情况下的 REMOTE_USER) 应该被移出 environ 字典。同样注意 CGI 定义的变量如果存在的话必须是字符串。任何 str 类型以外的 CGI 变量的存在都是对本规范的违反。

除了 CGI 定义的变量，environ 字典也可以包含任意操作系统的环境变量，并且必须包含下面这些 WSGI 定义的变量：

变量 值

wsgi.version 元组 (1,0)，表明 WSGI 版本 1.0。
wsgi.url_scheme A string representing the "scheme" portion of the URL at which the application is being invoked. Normally, this will have the value "http" or "https", as appropriate.
wsgi.input An input stream (file-like object) from which the HTTP request body can be read. (The server or gateway may perform reads on-demand as requested by the application, or it may pre-read the client's request body and buffer it in-memory or on disk, or use any other technique for providing such an

详细说明

应用程序对象必须接受两个参数，为了方便说明我们不妨分别命名为 environ 和 start_response。这两个参数都是字典对象 (对象上面有 dict 方法) 这样调用 result =

参数 environ 是个字典对象，包含 CGI 风格的环境变量。这个对象必须是一个 python 字典对象的模仿)，应用程序可以以任何他愿意的方式修改这个字典，environ 还

start_response 参数是一个接受两个必须参数和一个可选参数的可调用者。方便说，和 exc_info。应用程序必须用这些参数来请求可调用者 start_response (比如象这

参数 status 是一个形式象 "999 Message here" 的状态字符串。而 response_headers 象 http 响应头。可选的 exc_info 参数会在下面的 `The start_response() Callable` 和 E

写(body_data) 可调用者，他接受一个可选字符串 (注意：提供可调用者 write() 只是为了支持现有框架的必要的输出 API，新 Buffering and Streaming 一节。)

当被服务器请求的时候，应用程序对象必须返回一个 0 或多个可迭代的字符串，i 表，或者应用程序本身是一个生产字符串的函数，或者应用程序是一个类而他的

服务器必须将产生的字符串以一种无缓冲的方式传送到客户端，每次传完一个字

服务器或 gateway 应该把产生的字符串当字节流对待：特别地，他必须保证没修改匹配的编码输出。服务器可能附加上详细编码，或者为了实现一些 h transmission，更多细节请看下面的 Other HTTP Features)

如果调 len(iterable) 成功，服务器将认为结果是正确的。也就是说，应用程序返回

如果应用程序返回的可迭代者有 close() 方法，则不管该请求是正常结束还是由于

(注意：应用程序必须在可迭代者产生第一个字符串之间请求 start_response() 可

最后，服务器或 gateway 不能应用程序返回的可迭代者的任何其他属性，除非是转

environ 字典被用来包含这些在 Common Gateway Interface specification [2] 中定义

REQUEST_METHOD 字符串并且也是必

input stream, according to its preference.)	请求URL中路径的开始部分, 对应应用程序对象, 这样应用程序就知道它的虚拟可能 是为空字符串。
wsgi.errors	PATH_INFO 请求URL中路径的剩余部分, 指定请求的目标在应用程序内部的虚拟位置。如话, 可能为空字符串。
An output stream (file-like object) to which error output can be written, for the purpose of recording program or other errors in a standardized and possibly centralized location. This should be a "text mode" stream; i.e., applications should use "\n" as a line ending, and assume that it will be converted to the correct line ending by the server/gateway.	QUERY_STRING 请求URL中跟在"?"后面的那部分, 可能为空或不存在。 CONTENT_TYPE HTTP请求中任何 Content-Type 域的内容。 CONTENT_LENGTH HTTP请求中任何 Content-Length 域的内容。可能为空或不存在。
For many servers, wsgi.errors will be the server's main error log. Alternatively, this may be sys.stderr, or a log file of some sort. The server's documentation should include an explanation of how to configure this or where to find the recorded output. A server or gateway may supply different error streams to different applications, if this is desired.	SERVER_NAME, SERVER_PORT 这些变量可以和 SCRIPT_NAME, PATH_INFO 一起组成完整的URL。然而要HTTP_HOST而非SERVER_NAME。详细内容请阅读下面的 URL Reconstruction 字符串, 也总是必须存在的。
wsgi.multithread This value should evaluate true if the application object may be simultaneously invoked by another thread in the same process, and should evaluate false otherwise.	SERVER_PROTOCOL 客户端发送请求所使用的协议的版本, 通常是类似 "HTTP/1.0" 或 "HTTP/1.1" 的。这个变量表示的是请求中使用的协议, 而且和服务器响应时使用的协议无关, 也许保持了和CGI的兼容性, 我们还是使用已有的名字。)
wsgi.multiprocess This value should evaluate true if an equivalent application object may be simultaneously invoked by another process, and should evaluate false otherwise.	HTTP_* 对应客户端提供的HTTP请求headers (也就是说名字以 "HTTP_" 开头的变量)。header一致。
wsgi.run_once This value should evaluate true if the server or gateway expects (but does not guarantee!) that the application will only be invoked this one time during the life of its containing process. Normally, this will only be true for a gateway based on CGI (or something similar).	SSL_* 服务器或gateway, 应该也可能提供其他特殊的CGI变量。另外, 如果用了SSL, 用SSL环境变量[5], 比如 HTTPS_ON 和 SSL_PROTOCOL, 不过要注意, 使用了扩展的服务器来说就有点 necessarily non-portable。(比如, 不发布文件的web服务或 PATH_TRANSLATED。)
最后 environ 字典也可以包含服务器定义的变量。这些变量的名字必须是小写字母、数字、点和下划线, 并且应该带一个能唯一代表服务器或gateway的前缀。比如, mod_python 可能会定义象这样的一些变量: mod_python.some_variable.	一个支持WSGI的服务或gateway, 应该在描述它们自己的同时说明它们可以提供的存在性进行检查, 并且在某变量不存在的时候有备用的措施 注意, 重要的变量 (比如在不需要验证的情况下的 REMOTE_USER) 应该被移出环境变量。任何 str 类型以外的CGI变量的存在都是对本规范的违反
输入和错误流	除了CGI定义的变量, environ字典也可以包含任意操作系统的环境变量, 并且, 变量名不能以"_"开头。PEP 333 (1.0) 表明wsgi版本1.0
服务器提供的输入和错误流必须提供以下方法:	wsgi.url_scheme A string representing the "scheme" portion of the URL at which the the value "http" or "https", as appropriate.
方法 流 注解	wsgi.input An input stream (file-like object) from which the HTTP request body can be read and processed by the application, or it may pre-read the client's request body and other technique for providing such an input stream, according to its preference.)
read(size) input 1	wsgi.errors
readline() input 1,2	An output stream (file-like object) to which error output can be written, for the purpose and possibly centralized location. This should be a "text mode" stream; i.e., applications should use "\n" as a line ending, and assume that it will be converted to the correct line ending by the server/gateway.
readlines(hint) input 1,3	
__iter__() input	
flush() errors 4	For many servers, wsgi.errors will be the server's main error log. Alternatively, this may be sys.stderr, or a log file of some sort. The server's documentation should include an explanation of how to configure this or where to find the recorded output. A server or gateway may supply different error streams to different applications, if this is desired.
write(str) errors	wsgi.multithread This value should evaluate true if the application object may be simultaneously invoked by another thread in the same process, and should evaluate false otherwise.
writelines(seq) errors	wsgi.multiprocess This value should evaluate true if an equivalent application object may be simultaneously invoked by another process, and should evaluate false otherwise.
每个方法的语义如果上面没有特别指出均和Python Library Reference记载的一样:	

The server is not required to read past the client's specified Content-Length, and is allowed to simulate an end-of-file condition if the application attempts to read past that point. The application should not attempt to read more data than is specified by the CONTENT_LENGTH variable.

The optional "size" argument to `readline()` is not supported, as it may be complex for server authors to implement, and is not often used in practice.

Note that the hint argument to `readlines()` is optional for both caller and implementer. The application is free not to supply it, and the server or gateway is free to ignore it.

Since the errors stream may not be rewound, servers and gateways are free to forward write operations immediately, without buffering. In this case, the `flush()` method may be a no-op. Portable applications, however, cannot assume that output is unbuffered or that `flush()` is a no-op. They must call `flush()` if they need to ensure that output has in fact been written. (For example, to minimize intermingling of data from multiple processes writing to the same error log.)

The methods listed in the table above must be supported by all servers conforming to this specification. Applications conforming to this specification must not use any other methods or attributes of the input or errors objects. In particular, applications must not attempt to close these streams, even if they possess `close()` methods.

`start_response()` 可调用者

传给应用程序对象的第二个参数是一个形为

`start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info=None)` 的可调用者。(As with all WSGI callables, the arguments must be supplied positionally, not by keyword.)

`start_response` 可调用者是用来开始HTTP响应，它必须返回一个 `write(body_data)`

可调用者 (阅读下面的 Buffering and Streaming)

`status` 参数是一个HTTP "status" 字符串，比如 "200 OK" 或 "404 Not Found"。也

就是说，他是一个由状态编号和具体信息组成的字符串。按这个顺序并用空格隔开，两头没有其他空格和其他字符。(更多信息请阅读RFC 2616 Section 6.1.1) 该字符串禁止包含控制字符，也不允许以回车、换行或他们的组合结束。

`response_headers` 参数是一个 `((header_name, header_value))` 元组的列表。它必须是 `(header_name, header_value)` 元组的列表。每一个 `header_name` 必须是一个Python列表；也就是说 `type(response_headers) is ListType`，并且服务器可以以任何方式改变其内容。每一个 `header_name` 必须是一个没有冒号或其他标点符号的合法的HTTP header 字段名。(在RFC 2616, Section 4.2中有详细定义)。

每一个 `header_value` 禁止包含任何控制字符，包括回车或换行。(这些要求是要使

should evaluate false otherwise.

`wsgi.run_once` This value should evaluate true if the server or gateway expects (but d invoked this one time during the life of its containing process. Normally, this will only b

最后 environ 字典也可以包含服务器定义的变量。这些变量的名字必须是小写字母，以服务器或gateway的前缀。比如， `mod_python` 可能会定义象这样的一些变量：`m`

输入和错误流

服务器提供的输入和错误流必须提供以下方法：

方法 流 注解

`readline()` input 1,2

`readlines(hint)` input 1,3

`__iter__()` input

`write(str)` errors

`writelines(seq)` errors

每个方法的具体细节在“没有特别指出的和 minimize” 记载的一样：

The server is not required to read past the client's specified Content-Length, and is all application attempts to read past that point. The application should not attempt to read n

however must be supported by all servers

The optional "size" argument to `readline()` is not supported as it may be complex for practice.

Note that the hint argument to `readlines()` is optional for both caller and implementer.

Since the errors stream may not be rewound, servers and gateways are free to forward case, the `flush()` method may be a no-op. Portable applications, however, cannot assume must call `flush()` if they need to ensure that output has in fact been written. (For example processes writing to the same error log.)

The methods listed in the table above must be supported by all servers conforming to th specification must not use any other methods or attributes of the input or errors objects.

`start_response()` 可调用者

传给应用程序对象的第二个参数是一个形为 `start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info=None)` 的可调用者。(As with all WSGI callables, the arguments must be supplied positionally, not by keyword.)

`start_response` 可调用者是用来开始HTTP响应，它必须返回一个 `write(body_data)` 可调用者 (阅读下面的 Buffering and Streaming)

`status` 参数是一个HTTP "status" 字符串，比如 "200 OK" 或 "404 Not Found"。也

就是说，他是一个由状态编号和具体信息组成的字符串。按这个顺序并用空格隔开，两头没有其他空格和其他字符。(更多信息请阅读RFC 2616 Section 6.1.1) 该字符串禁止包含控制字符，也不允许以回车、换行或他们的组合结束。

`response_headers` 参数是一个 `((header_name, header_value))` 元组的列表。它必须是 `(header_name, header_value)` 元组的列表。每一个 `header_name` 必须是一个Python列表；也就是说 `type(response_headers) is ListType`，并且服务器可以以任何方式改变其内容。每一个 `header_name` 必须是一个没有冒号或其他标点符号的合法的HTTP header 字段名。(在RFC 2616, Section 4.2中有详细定义)。

每一个 `header_value` 禁止包含任何控制字符，包括回车或换行。(这些要求是要使

In general, the server or gateway is responsible for ensuring that correct headers are sen

得那些必须检查或修改响应头的服务器、gateway、响应处理中间件所必须执行的解析工作的复杂性降到最低。)

In general, the server or gateway is responsible for ensuring that correct headers are sent to the client: if the application omits a header required by HTTP (or other relevant specifications that are ineffect), the server or gateway must add it. For example, the HTTPDate: and Server: headers would normally be supplied by the server or gateway.

(A reminder for server/gateway authors: HTTP header names are case-insensitive, so be sure to take that into consideration when examining application-supplied headers!)

Applications and middleware are forbidden from using HTTP/1.1 "hop-by-hop" features or headers, any equivalent features in HTTP/1.0, or any headers that would affect the persistence of the client connection to the web server. These features are the exclusive province of the actual web server, and a server or gateway should consider it a fatal error for an application to attempt sending them, and raise an error if they are supplied to start_response(). (For more specifics on "hop-by-hop" features and headers, please see the Other HTTP Features section below.)

The start_response callable must not actually transmit the response headers. Instead, it must store them for the server or gateway to transmit only after the first iteration of the application return value that yields a non-empty string, or upon the application's first invocation of the write() callable. In other words, response headers must not be sent until there is actual body data available, or until the application's returned iterable is exhausted. (The only possible exception to this rule is if the response headers explicitly include a Content-Length of zero.)

This delaying of response header transmission is to ensure that buffered and asynchronous applications can replace their originally intended output with error output, up until the last possible moment. For example, the application may need to change the response status from "200 OK" to "500 Internal Error", if an error occurs while the body is being generated within an application buffer.

The exc_info argument, if supplied, must be a Python sys.exc_info() tuple. This argument should be supplied by the application only if start_response is being called by an error handler. If exc_info is supplied, and no HTTP headers have been output yet, start_response should replace the currently-stored HTTP

by HTTP (or other relevant specifications that are ineffect), the server or gateway must headers would normally be supplied by the server or gateway.

(A reminder for server/gateway authors: HTTP header names are case-insensitive, so be application-supplied headers!)

headers that would affect the persistence of the client's connection to the web server. The server, and a server or gateway should consider it a fatal error for an application to attempt to start_response(). (For more specifics on "hop-by-hop" features and headers, please see

The start_response callable must not actually transmit the response headers. Instead, it must after the first iteration of the application return value that yields a non-empty string, or until the start_response callable. In other words, response headers must not be sent until there is actual body data available. (For more specifics on "hop-by-hop" features and headers, please see the Other HTTP

This delaying of response header transmission is to ensure that buffered and asynchronous applications can replace their originally intended output with error output, up until the last possible moment. For example, the application may need to change the response status from "200 OK" to "500 Internal Error", if an error occurs while the body is being generated within an application buffer.

The exc_info argument, if supplied, must be a Python sys.exc_info() tuple. This argument should be supplied by the application only if start_response is being called by an error handler. If exc_info is supplied, and no HTTP headers have been output yet, start_response should replace the currently-stored HTTP

However, if exc_info is provided, and the HTTP headers have already been sent, start_response should raise an error.

```
raise exc_info[0], exc_info[1], exc_info[2]
```

This will re-raise the exception trapped by the application, and in principle should abort the attempt error output to the browser once the HTTP headers have already been sent.) The start_response, if it called start_response with exc_info, instead, it should allow such exceptions to be raised by the application.

The application may call start_response more than once, if and only if the exc_info argument is supplied. It should call start_response without the exc_info argument if start_response has already been called, or if the application is being called by an error handler.

Note: servers, gateways, or middleware implementing start_response should ensure that the duration of the function's execution, to avoid creating a circular reference through the start_response callable.

der start_response(status, response_headers, exc_info=None):

```
if exc_info:
```

```
try:
```

```
# In stuff w/ exc_info here
```

```
finally:
```

```
    # In stuff w/ exc_info here
```


been output yet, `start_response` should replace the currently-stored `headers` with the newly-supplied ones, thus allowing the application to "change its mind" about the output when an error has occurred.

The example CGI gateway provides another illustration of this technique.

Handling the Content-Length Header

However, if `exc_info` is provided, and the HTTP headers have already been sent, `start_response` must raise an error, and should raise the `exc_info` tuple. That is:

If the application does not supply a Content-Length header, the server or gateway may choose the simplest of these is to close the client connection when the response is completed.

Under some circumstances, however, the server or gateway may be able to either generate a Content-Length header or close the client connection. If the application does not call the `write()` callable, and returns `None`, the server or gateway may automatically determine Content-Length by taking the length of the first string yielded by the application.

This will re-raise the exception trapped by the application, and in principle should abort the application. (It is not safe for the application to attempt error output to the browser once the HTTP headers have already been sent.) The application must not trap any exceptions raised by `start_response`, if it is called with `exc_info`. Instead, it should allow such exceptions to propagate back to the server or gateway. See Error Handling below, for more details.

The application may call `start_response` more than once, if and only if the `exc_info` argument is provided. More precisely, it is a fatal error to call `start_response` without the `exc_info` argument if `start_response` has already been called within the current invocation of the application. (See the example CGI gateway above for an illustration of the correct logic.)

Note: servers, gateways, or middleware implementing `start_response` should ensure that no reference is held to the `exc_info` parameter beyond the duration of the function's execution, to avoid creating a circular reference through the traceback and frames involved. The simplest way to do this is something like:

WSGI servers, gateways, and middleware must not delay the transmission of any block; they must guarantee that they will continue transmission even while the application is producing it. They may provide this guarantee in one of three ways:

if `exc_info`:

- Send the entire block to the operating system (and request that any O/S buffers be flushed).
- Use a different thread to ensure that the block continues to be transmitted while the application produces more data.
- (Middleware only) send the entire block to its parent gateway/server.

try:

do stuff w/`exc_info` here

finally:

`exc_info = None` # Avoid circular ref.

In order to better support asynchronous applications and servers, middleware composes the response from an application iterable. If the middleware needs to accumulate more data from the application, it should call `write()` with an empty string.

The example CGI gateway provides another illustration of this technique. If the middleware cannot yield any other value, it must yield an empty string.

Handling the Content-Length Header

If the application does not supply a Content-Length header, a server or gateway may choose one of several approaches to handling it. The simplest of these is to close the client connection when the response is completed.

Under some circumstances, however, the server or gateway may be able to either generate a Content-Length header, or at least avoid the need to close the client connection. If the application does not call the write() callable, and returns an iterable whose len() is 1, then the server can automatically determine Content-Length by taking the length of the first string yielded by the iterable.

And, if the server and client both support HTTP/1.1 "chunked encoding" [3], then the server may use chunked encoding to send a chunk for each write() call or string yielded by the iterable, thus generating a Content-Length header for each chunk. This allows the server to keep the client connection alive, if it wishes to do so. Note that the server must comply fully with RFC 2616 when doing this, or else fall back to one of the other strategies for dealing with the absence of Content-Length.

(Note: applications and middleware must not apply any kind of Transfer-Encoding to their output, such as chunking or gzipping; as "hop-by-hop" operations, these encodings are the province of the actual web server/gateway. See Other HTTP Features below, for more details.)

Buffering and Streaming

Generally speaking, applications will achieve the best throughput by buffering their (modestly-sized) output and sending it all at once. This is a common approach in existing frameworks such as Zope: the output is buffered in a StringIO or similar object, then transmitted all at once, along with the response headers.

The corresponding approach in WSGI is for the application to simply return a single-element iterable (such as a list) containing the response body as a single string. This is the recommended approach for the vast majority of application functions, that render HTML pages whose text easily fits in memory.

For large files, however, or for specialized uses of HTTP streaming (such as multipart "server push"), an application may need to provide output in smaller

This requirement ensures that asynchronous applications and servers can conspire to receive Content-Length headers from the client.

Note also that this requirement means that middleware must return an iterable as soon as forbidden for middleware to use the write() callable to transmit data that is yielded by a parent server's write() callable to transmit data that the underlying application sent using

The write() Callable

Some existing application framework APIs support unbuffered output in a different manner. Some use a flush() or write() callable, or else they provide a flush() callable to flush the buffer.

Unfortunately, such APIs cannot be implemented in terms of WSGI's "iterable" application mechanisms are used.

Therefore, to allow these frameworks to continue using an imperative API, WSGI includes a start_response callable.

New WSGI applications and frameworks should not use the write() callable if it is possible to support imperative streaming APIs. In general, applications should produce the possible for web servers to interleave other tasks in the same Python thread, potentially

The write() callable is returned by the start_response() callable, and it accepts a single response body, that is treated exactly as though it had been yielded by the output iterable guarantee that the passed-in string was either completely sent to the client, or that it is buffered.

An application must return an iterable object, even if it uses write() to produce all or part of its response (i.e. yield no non-empty strings), but if it does yield non-empty strings, that output must be yielded immediately. Applications must not invoke write() from within the iterable. Yielded by the iterable are transmitted after all strings passed to write() have been sent to the client.

Unicode Issues

All encoding/decoding must be done by the application. All encoding/decoding passed to or from the server must be standard Python bytestrings, not Unicode objects. If a Unicode object is required, it is undefined.

Non-ASCII strings must be encoded with a standard encoding. Response headers must be ISO-8859-1 characters, or use RFC 2047 MIME encoding.

On Python platforms where the str or StringType type is in fact Unicode-based (e.g. Jython), the application must return a list of strings in ISO-8859-1 encoding for an application to supply strings containing any other Unicode character or code point to an application containing any other Unicode characters.

The object must be of type str or StringType, and if a given platform allows for more than 8 bits per character in str/StringType objects, or if this specification as a "string".

Error Handling

blocks (e.g. to avoid loading a large file into memory). It's also sometimes the case that part of a response maybe time-consuming to produce, but it would be useful to send ahead the portion of the response that precedes it.

In these cases, applications will usually return an iterator (often a generator-iterator) that produces the output in a block-by-block fashion. These blocks may be broken to coincide with multipart boundaries (for "server push"), or just before time-consuming tasks (such as reading another block of an on-disk file).

```
# regular application code here
```

WSGI servers, gateways, and middleware must not delay the transmission of any block; they must either fully transmit the block to the client, or guarantee that they will continue transmission even while the application is producing its next block. A server/gateway or middleware may provide this guarantee in one of three ways:

```
return ["normal body goes here"]
```

Send the entire block to the operating system (and request that any O/S buffers be flushed) before returning control to the application, OR

Use a different thread to ensure that the block continues to be transmitted

while the application produces the next block.

(Middleware only) send the entire block to its parent gateway/server

```
status = "500 Oops"
```

By providing this guarantee, WSGI allows applications to ensure that transmission will not become stalled at an arbitrary point in their output data.

This is critical for proper functioning of e.g. multipart "server push" streaming, where data between multipart boundaries should be transmitted in full to the client.

Middleware Handling of Block Boundaries

In order to better support asynchronous applications and servers, middleware components must not block iteration waiting for multiple values from an application iterable. If the middleware needs to accumulate more data from the application before it can produce any output, it must yield an empty string.

To put this requirement another way, a middleware component must yield at least one value each time its underlying application yields a value. If the middleware cannot yield any other value, it must yield an empty string.

This requirement ensures that asynchronous applications and servers can conspire to reduce the number of threads that are required to run a given number of application instances simultaneously.

Servers and gateways that implement HTTP 1.1 must provide transparent support for H

Note also that this requirement means that middleware must return an iterable as soon as its underlying application returns an iterable. It is also forbidden for middleware to use the `write()` callable to transmit data that is yielded by an underlying application. Middleware may only use their parent server's `write()` callable to transmit data that the underlying application sent using a middleware-provided `write()` callable.

The `write()` Callable

Some existing application framework APIs support unbuffered output in a

different manner than WSGI. Specifically, they provide a `write` function or method of some kind to write an unbuffered block of data, or else they provide a buffered "write" function and a "flush" mechanism to flush the buffer.

Unfortunately, such APIs cannot be implemented in terms of WSGI's "iterable" application return value, unless threads or other special mechanisms are used.

Therefore, to allow these frameworks to continue using an imperative API, WSGI includes a special `write()` callable, returned by the `start_response` callable.

New WSGI applications and frameworks should not use the `write()` callable if it is possible to avoid doing so. The `write()` callable is strictly a hack to support

imperative streaming APIs. In general, applications should produce their output via their returned iterable, as this makes it possible for web servers to interleave other tasks in the same Python thread, potentially providing better throughput for the server as a whole.

The `write()` callable is returned by the `start_response()` callable, and it accepts a single parameter: a string to be written as part of the HTTP response body, that is treated exactly as though it had been yielded by the output iterable. In other words, before `write()` returns, it must guarantee that the passed-in string was either completely sent to the client, or that it is buffered for transmission while the application proceeds onward.

An application must return an iterable object, even if it uses `write()` to produce all or part of its response body. The returned iterable may be empty (i.e. yield no non-empty strings), but if it does yield non-empty strings, that output must be treated normally by the server or gateway (i.e., it must be sent or queued immediately). Applications must not invoke `write()` from within their return iterable, and therefore any strings yielded by the iterable are transmitted after all strings passed to `write()` have been sent to the client.

Unicode Issues

done in any of several ways:

Respond to requests containing an Expect: 100-continue request with an immediate "200 OK" (or other appropriate status), but provide the application with a `wsgi.input_stream_app` that the application first attempts to read from the input stream. The read request must then be waited until the client decides that the server does not support expect/continue, and send the response (this is not recommended.)

Note that these behavior restrictions do not apply for HTTP 1.0 requests, or for requests for information on HTTP 1.1 Expect/Continue, see RFC2616, sections 8.2.3 and 10.1.1.

Other HTTP Features

In general, servers and gateways should "play dumb" and allow the application to complete its response, that do not alter the effective semantics of the application's response. It is always possible for components to supply additional features, so server/gateway developers should be conservative and consider users to be like an HTTP gateway server, with the application being a client of the definition of these terms.)

However, because WSGI servers and applications do not communicate via HTTP, what WSGI servers and applications must not generate any "hop-by-hop" headers, or rely on the content of any incoming "hop-by-hop" headers. WSGI servers must handle any supported inbound "hop-by-hop" headers on their own, such as chunked encoding if applicable.

Applying these principles to a variety of HTTP features, it should be clear that a server should not use the `write()` callable if it is possible to avoid doing so. The `write()` callable is strictly a hack to support

imperative streaming APIs. In general, applications should produce their output via their returned iterable, as this makes it possible for web servers to interleave other tasks in the same Python thread, potentially providing better throughput for the server as a whole.

Note that these restrictions on applications do not necessarily mean that every application can be partially or fully implemented by middleware components, thus from implementing the same features over and over again.

Thread support, or lack thereof, is also server-dependent. Servers that can run multiple threads can run an application in a single-threaded fashion, so that applications or frameworks that implement long-running applications.

Server Extension APIs

Some server authors may wish to expose more advanced APIs, that application or framework can use. For example, a gateway based on `mod_python` might wish to expose part of the Apache API.

In the simplest case, this requires nothing more than defining an environment variable, such as `SERVER_NAME`. The possible presence of middleware can make this difficult. For example, an API that offers an environment variable, `SERVER_NAME`, that has been modified by middleware

In general, any extension API that duplicates, supplants, or bypasses some portion of WSGI is incompatible with middleware components. Server/gateway developers should not assume that framework developers specifically intend to organize or organize their frameworks to

So, to provide maximum compatibility, servers and gateways that provide extension AP

those APIs so that they are invoked using the portion of the API that they replace. For e headers must require the application to pass in its current environ, so that the server/gate API have not been altered by middleware. If the extension API cannot guarantee that it can physically make the distinction, it should return an error, returning an appropriate error to the API caller, not Unicode objects. The

Similarly, if an extension API provides an alternate means of writing response data or has passed in, before the application can obtain the extended service. If the object passed in is supplied to the application, it cannot guarantee correct operation and must refuse to provide `start_response()` as a status or as response with respect to encoding. That is, they must either

These guidelines also apply to middleware that adds information such as parsed cookies or `RF 2047 MIME` encoding. These features as functions which operate in the environ. This helps ensure that information is calculated from environ after any middleware modifications.

str or StringType type is in fact Unicode-based (3000, etc.), all "strings" referred to in this code points representable in ISO-8859-1 (F inclusive). It is a fatal error for an application other Unicode character or code point. Similarly, this specification does not define how a server selects or obtains an application to involve server-specific matters. It is expected that server/gateway authors will document how to object, and with what options (such as threading options).

his specification must be of type `str` or `UnicodeType`. And, even if a user, who has chosen both the server and the application framework, must connect to the server now have a common interface, this should be merely a mechanical matter of 8 bits per character in `str/StringType`.

Finally, some applications, frameworks, and middleware may wish to use the environment. Servers and gateways should support this by allowing an application's deployer to specify the environment. In the simplest case, this support can consist merely of copying all operating system-supplied environment variables into the application's dictionary, since the deployer in principle can configure these externally to the server, or in the server's configuration files.

It is up to the application to decide what minimum, since not all servers have the same capabilities. In the worst case, persons deploying an application can create a script to supply the

age, the application must not have actually sent
lse it risks corrupting the response. WSGI
to either allow the application to send its error
otted the `exc_info` argument to start response.

```
environ['the_app.configval1'] = 'something'
```

```
return application(envIRON,start_response)
```

But, most existing applications and frameworks will probably only need a single config file for their application or framework-specific configuration file(s). (Ofcourse, applications should read it upon each invocation.)

URL Reconstruction

```

response_headers = [("content-type", "text/plain")]

from urllib import quote

start_response(status, response_headers)

url = environ['wsgi.url_scheme']+'://'

return ["normal body goes here"]

except:

    if environ.get('HTTP_HOST'):

        url += environ['HTTP_HOST']

    # XXX should trap runtime issues like MemoryError, KeyboardInterrupt
    else:

        url += environ['SERVER_NAME']

    # in a separate handler before this bare except: ...

status = "500 Oops"

if environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] == 'https':

response_headers = [("content-type", "text/plain")]

if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '443':

url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']

start_response(status, response_headers, sys.exc_info())

else:

return ["error body goes here"]

if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '80':

url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']

```

If no output has been written when an exception occurs, the call to `start_response` will return normally, and the application will return an error body to be sent to the browser. However, if any output has already been sent to the browser, `start_response` will re-raise the provided exception. This exception should not be trapped by the application, and so the application will abort. The server or gateway can then trap this (fatal) exception and abort the response.

Servers should trap and log any exception that aborts an application or the iteration of its return value. If a partial response has already been written to the browser when an application error occurs, the server or gateway may attempt to add an error message to the output, if the already sent headers indicate a text/* content type that the server knows how to modify cleanly.

Some middleware may wish to provide additional exception handling services, or intercept and replace application error messages. In such cases, middleware may choose to not re-raise the exception supplied to `start_response`, but instead raise a middleware-specific exception, or simply return without an exception after storing the supplied arguments. This will then cause the application to return its error body iterable (or invoke `write()`), allowing the middleware to capture and modify the error output. These techniques will work as long as application

If an application wishes to reconstruct a request's complete URL, it may do so using the

```

url = environ['wsgi.url_scheme']+'://'

```

```

if environ.get('HTTP_HOST'):

```

```

    url += environ['HTTP_HOST']

```

XXX should trap runtime issues like MemoryError, KeyboardInterrupt

```

    url += environ['SERVER_NAME']

```

```

if environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] == 'https':

```

```

if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '443':

```

```

    url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']

```

```

else:

```

```

if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '80':

```

```

    url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']

```

```

url += quote(environ.get('SCRIPT_NAME',''))

```

```

url += quote(environ.get('PATH_INFO',''))

```

```

if environ.get('QUERY_STRING'):

```

```

url += '?' + environ['QUERY_STRING']

```

Note that such a reconstructed URL may not be precisely the same URIs as requested by the client, if the client has sent headers indicating a textual form.

Supporting Older (<2.2) Versions of Python

Some servers, gateways, or applications may wish to support older (<2.2) versions of Python, and this is relatively straightforward; servers and gateways target themselves to using only a standard "for" loop to iterate over any iterable returned by an iterator protocol(s) correctly from other languages (outside the scope of this PEP.)

For servers and gateways, this is relatively straightforward; servers and gateways target themselves to using only a standard "for" loop to iterate over any iterable returned by an iterator protocol(s) correctly from other languages (outside the scope of this PEP.)

(Note that this technique necessarily applies only to servers, gateways, or middleware that

iterator protocol(s) correctly from other languages (outside the scope of this PEP.)

modify the error output. These techniques will work as long as application

authors:

Always provide `exc_info` when beginning an error response

Never trap errors raised by start response when `exc_info` is being provided

HTTP 1.1 Expect/Continue

Servers and gateways that implement HTTP 1.1 must provide transparent

support for HTTP 1.1's "expect/continue" mechanism. This may be done in any of several ways:

Respond to requests containing an Expect: 100-continue request with an

immediate "100 Continue" response, and proceed normally.

Proceed with the request normally, but provide the application with a `wsgi.input` stream that will send the "100 Continue" response if/when the application first attempts to read from the input stream. The read request must then remain blocked until the client responds.

Wait until the client decides that the server does not support expect/continue, and sends the request body on its own. (This is suboptimal, and is not recommended.)

Note that these behavior restrictions do not apply for HTTP 1.0 requests, or for requests that are not directed to an application object. For more information on

HTTP 1.1 Expect/Continue, see RFC 2616, sections 8.2.3 and 10.1.1.

Other HTTP Features

In general, servers and gateways should "play dumb" and allow the application

complete control over its output. They should only make changes that do not

alter the effective semantics of the application's response. It is always possible

for the application developer to add middleware components to supply additional

features, so server/gateway developers should be conservative in their

implementation. In a sense, a server should consider itself to be like an HTTP

"gateway server", with the application being an HTTP "origin server". (See RFC

2616, section 1.3, for the definition of these terms.) In other words, transmission should begin at the current page begins, and continue until the end is reached.

However, because WSGI servers and applications do not communicate via HTTP,

what RFC 2616 calls "hop-by-hop" headers do not apply to WSGI internal

communications. WSGI applications must not generate any hop-by-hop

headers [4], attempt to use HTTP features that would require them to generate

such headers, or rely on the content of any incoming "hop-by-hop" headers in

For applications, supporting pre-2.2 versions of Python is slightly more complex:

You may not return a file object and expect it to work as an iterable, since before Python 2.2 this was not the case (it will perform quite poorly most of the time!) Use `wsgi.file_wrapper` to obtain platform-specific file handling for use in a `wsgi.file_wrapper`, and an example

If you return a custom iterable, it must implement the pre-2.2 iterator protocol. That is, it must have a `next` method that returns the next item, or raises `StopIteration` when exhausted. (Note that built-in sequence types are also acceptable.)

Finally, middleware that wishes to support pre-2.2 versions of Python, and iterates over the response, must follow the appropriate recommendations above.

(Note: It should go without saying that to support pre-2.2 versions of Python, any server or gateway must use only language features available in the target version, use `1` and `0` instead of `True` and `False`, and use `Optional Platform-Specific File Handling`.)

Some operating environments provide special high-performance file-transmission facilities that may expose this functionality via an optional `wsgi.file_wrapper` key in the environment.

For example, the `wsgi.file_wrapper` key in the environment may be used to return a file-like object that implements the `file` interface, e.g.:

```
def application(environ, start_response):
    filelike = wsgi.file_wrapper(environ.get('wsgi.file_wrapper'),
                                     filelike, block_size)
```

```
    return environ['wsgi.file_wrapper'](filelike, block_size)
```

```
    return iter(lambda: filelike.read(block_size), "")
```

```
    return iter(lambda: filelike.read(block_size), "")
```

the server or gateway supplies `wsgi.file_wrapper`, it must be a callable that accepts one positional argument. The first parameter is the file-like object to be used, and the second parameter is the block size (the callable must return an iterable object, and must return the server/gateway actually receives the iterable as a return value from the application. (To control the response data.)

To be considered "file-like", the object supplied by the application must have a `read()` method, and if so, the iterable returned by `wsgi.file_wrapper` must have a `close()` method. In the file-like object has only other methods or attributes with names that begin with `_` (e.g., `__del__`), the `wsgi.file_wrapper` may assume that these methods or attributes have the same semantics as the corresponding methods or attributes of a file object.

The actual implementation of any platform-specific file handling must occur after the application has returned the iterable. (Again, because of the presence of middleware, the `wsgi.file_wrapper` object was returned.)

Apart from the handling of `close()`, the semantics of returning a file wrapper from the application is the same as returning a file object.

In other words, transmission should begin at the current page begins, and continue until the end is reached.

Of course, platform-specific file transmission APIs don't usually accept arbitrary "file-like" objects. For example, the `java.io.InputStream` API (Unix-like OSes) or `java.nio.channels.FileChannel` API (Windows) are not suitable for use with the platform-specific API it supports.

Note that even if the object is not suitable for the platform API, the `wsgi.file_wrapper` must return an iterable object that implements the `file` interface. Here's a simple platform-independent implementation:

the environ dictionary. WSGI servers must handle any supported inbound "hop-by-hop" headers on their own, such as by decoding any inbound Transfer-Encoding, including chunked encoding if applicable.

```
class FileWrapper:
```

Applying these principles to a variety of HTTP features, it should be clear that a server may handle cache validation via the If-None-Match and If-Modified-Since request headers and the Last-Modified and ETag response headers. However, it is not required to do this, and the application should perform its own cache validation if it wants to support that feature, since the server/gateway is not required to do such validation.

```
def __init__(self, filelike, blksize=8192):
```

```
    self.filelike = filelike
```

```
    self.blksize = blksize
```

```
    if hasattr(filelike, 'close'):
```

Similarly, a server may re-encode or transport-encode an application's response, but the application should use a suitable content encoding on its own, and must not apply a transport encoding. A server may transmit byte ranges of the application's response if requested by the client, and the application doesn't natively support byte ranges. Again, however, the application should perform this function on its own if desired.

```
        self.close = filelike.close
```

```
def __getitem__(self, key):
```

```
    data = self.filelike.read(self.blksize)
```

```
    if data:
```

Note that these restrictions on applications do not necessarily mean that every application must reimplement every HTTP feature; many HTTP features can be partially or fully implemented by middleware components, thus freeing both server and application authors from implementing the same features over and over again.

```
        raise IndexError
```

```
and here is a snippet from a server/gateway that uses it to provide access to a platform-s
```

Thread Support

Thread support, or lack thereof, is also server-dependent. Servers that can run multiple requests in parallel, should also provide the option of running an application in a single-threaded fashion, so that applications or frameworks that are not thread-safe may still be used with that server.

```
environ['wsgi.file_wrapper'] = FileWrapper
```

```
result = application(environ, start_response)
```

Implementation/Application Notes

Server Extension APIs

```
try:
```

```
    if isinstance(result, FileWrapper):
```

Some server authors may wish to expose more advanced APIs, that application or framework authors can use for specialized purposes. For example, a gateway based on mod_python might wish to expose part of the Apache API as a WSGI extension.

```
# check if result.filelike is usable w/ platform-specific
```

```
# API, and if so, use that API to transmit the result.
```

```
# If not, fall through to normal iterable handling
```

```
# loop below.
```

In the simplest case, this requires nothing more than defining an environ variable, such as mod_python.some_api. But, in many cases, the possible presence of middleware can make this difficult. For example, an API that offers access to the same HTTP headers that are found in environ variables, might

```
for data in result:
```



```
if hasattr(result, 'close'):
```

Questions and Answers

Why must `EnvironmentAndGateway` inherit from `Environment` using a subclass?

The rationale for requiring a dictionary is to maximize portability between servers. The portion of the API that they replace, for example, dictionary's methods as being the standard and portable interface. In practice, however,

Request headers must require the application to use a dictionary format of dictionary format or not. But, if some server chooses not to use a dictionary, then there will be interoperability issues. Therefore, making a dictionary mandatory simplifies the specification and guarantees that the headers have not been altered by middleware. If

te that it will always agree with `environ` about
 must refuse service to the application, e.g. by
 instead of a header collection, or whatever is

If we supported only the iteration approach, then current frameworks that assume the pushing via `write()`, then server performance suffers for transmission of e.g. large files (unlike all of the output has been sent). Thus, this compromise allows an application framework to start response as early as possible, and the response can be passed in.

What's the `close()` for?

ation and must refuse to provide the application object, the application context block. But, if the application returns an iterable, any resources used will not be released. This allows an application to release critical resources at the end of a request, and it's forward that's proposed by PEP 325.

Why is this interface so low-level? I want feature X! (e.g. cookies, sessions, persistence) and the like to be in the Specific API. Such

This isn't Yet Another Python Web Framework. It's just a way for frameworks to talk to `environ`. This helps ensure that your code can run on the servers you want. And if you're not using a WSGI-compliant server, you should be able to run it on most WSGI-supporting servers. Also, some WSGI servers may use the `environ` dictionary; see the applicable server documentation for details. (Of course, applications can make other WSGI-based servers.)

Why use CGI variables instead of good old HTTP headers? And why mix them in with

Many existing web frameworks are built heavily upon the CGI spec, and existing well known frameworks are heavily extension oriented. APIs for information are fragmented and inconsistent, and the extension mechanism is not well defined. It seems like a good way to leverage existing implementations. As for mixing them with VIM, it seems like it's being bypassed by applications using dictionary arguments to be passed around, while providing no real benefits.

What about the status string? Can't we just use the number, passing in 200 instead of

This specification does not define how a server selects or obtains an application to invoke. These and other configuration options are highly server-specific matters. It is expected that server/gateway authors will document how to configure the server to execute a particular application object, and with what options (such as threading options).

Framework authors, on the other hand, should document how to create an application object that wraps their framework's functionality. The user, who has chosen both the server and the application framework, must connect the two together. However, since both the framework and the server now have a common interface, this should be merely a mechanical matter, rather than a significant engineering effort for each new server/framework pair.

Finally, some applications, frameworks, and middleware may wish to use the environ dictionary to receive simple string configuration options. Servers and gateways should support this by allowing an application's deployer to specify name-value pairs to be placed in environ. In the simplest case, this support can consist merely of copying all operating system-supplied environment variables from os.environ into the environ dictionary, since the deployer in principle can configure these externally to the server, or in the CGI case they may be able to be set via the server's configuration files.

Applications should try to keep such required variables to a minimum, since not all servers will support easy configuration of them. Of course, even in the worst case, persons deploying an application can create a script to supply the necessary configuration values:

```
from the_app import application

def new_app(environ, start_response):
    ...

environ['the_app.configval1'] = 'something'

return application(environ, start_response)
```

But, most existing applications and frameworks will probably only need a single configuration value from environ, to indicate the location of their application or framework-specific configuration file(s). (Of course, applications should cache such configuration, to avoid having to re-read it upon each invocation.)

URL Reconstruction

Doing this would complicate the server/gateway, by requiring them to have a table in contrast, it is easy for an application or framework author to type the extra text to go with frameworks often already have a table containing the needed messages. So, on balance it is reasonable to prefer that the server or gateway.

Why is wsgi.run_once not guaranteed to run the app only once?

Because it's merely a suggestion to the application that it should "rig" for infrequent runs having multiple models of operation for caching sessions and so forth. In a "multiple run" mode, not write e.g. logs or session data to disk after each request. In "single run" mode, such as CGI, writes after each request.

However, in order to let an application or framework to verify correct operation in the interface to invoke it more than once. Therefore, an application should not assume that it will default wsgi.run_once set to True.

Feature X (dictionaries, callables, etc.) are ugly for use in application code; why don't

All of these implementation choices of WSGI are specifically intended to decouple features of application objects from the server/gateway, and an order to modify only small portions of the overall functionality.

In essence, middleware wants to have a "Chain of Responsibility" pattern, whereby it allows objects to receive messages. This is different to how ordinary Python object dictionaries, since the decorators consider to ensure that extensions (such as through.

This type of code is notoriously difficult to get 100% correct, and few people will wait for people's implementations, but fail to update them when the person they copied from correct.

Further, this necessary boilerplate would be pure excise, a developer tax paid by middleware framework developers. But application framework developers will typically have very limited input to their framework as a whole. It will likely be their first (and may likely implement with this specification ready to hand. Thus, the effort of making the API likely be wasted for this audience.

We encourage those who want a prettier (or otherwise improved) WSGI interface for opposed to web framework development) to develop APIs or frameworks that wrap WSGI this way, WSGI can remain conveniently low-level for server and middleware authors, v

Proposed/Under Discussion

These items are currently being discussed on the Web-SIG and elsewhere, or are on the 'something'

Should wsgi.input be an iterator instead of a file? This would help for asynchronous a

Optional extensions are being discussed for pausing iteration of an application's output. Add a section about synchronous vs. asynchronous apps and servers, the relevant three

Acknowledgment

Thanks go to the many folks on the Web-SIG mailing list whose thoughtful feedback m

Gregory "Friedrich" Trubetskoy, author of mod_python, who beat upon the first draft at encouraging me to look for a better approach.

If an application wishes to reconstruct a request's complete URL, it may do so using the following algorithm, contributed by Ian Bicking:

```
from urllib import quote
```

```
url = environ['wsgi.url_scheme']+'://'
```

```
if environ.get('HTTP_HOST'):
```

```
url += environ['HTTP_HOST']
```

```
else:
```

```
url += environ['SERVER_NAME']
```

```
if environ['wsgi.url_scheme'] == 'https':
```

```
if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '443':
```

```
url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']
```

```
else:
```

```
if environ['SERVER_PORT'] != '80':
```

```
url += ':' + environ['SERVER_PORT']
```

```
url += quote(environ.get('SCRIPT_NAME',''))
```

```
url += quote(environ.get('PATH_INFO',''))
```

```
if environ.get('QUERY_STRING'):
```

```
url += '?' + environ['QUERY_STRING']
```

Note that such a reconstructed URL may not be precisely the same URL as requested by the client. Server rewrite rules, for example, may have modified the client's originally requested URL to place it in a canonical form.

Supporting Older (<2.2) Versions of Python

Ian Bicking, who helped nag me into properly specifying the multithreading and multiprocessing mechanism for servers to supply custom extension data to an application.

Tony Lowndes, who came up with the concept of a start_response function that took the arguments needed to handle Bicking's exception handling facilities, especially in the area of error messages.

Alan Kennedy, whose courageous attempts to implement WSGI-on-Jython (well before the "supporting older versions of Python" section, as well as the optional `wsgi.file_wrapper` interface).

Mark Nottingham, who reviewed the spec extensively for issues with HTTP RFC conformance that I didn't even know existed until he pointed them out.

References

- [1] The Python Wiki "Web Programming" topic (<http://www.python.org/cgi-bin/moin.cgi>)
- [2] The Common Gateway Interface Specification, v 1.1, 3rd Draft (<http://cgi-spec.org/>)
- [3] "Chunked Transfer Coding" -- HTTP/1.1, section 3.6.1 (<http://www.w3.org/Protocols/rfc2616/rfc2616-sec3.html#sec3.6.1>)
- [4] "End-to-end and Hop-by-hop Headers" -- HTTP/1.1, Section 13.5.1 (<http://www.w3.org/Protocols/rfc2616/rfc2616-sec13.html#sec13.5.1>)
- [5] mod_ssl Reference, "Environment Variables" (<http://www.modssl.org/docs/2.8/sslextension.html>)

This document has been placed in the public domain.

0

喜欢

0

赠

分享:     

阅读(392) | 评论(0) | 收藏(0) | 转载(0) | 喜欢▼ | 打印 | 举报

前一篇: [Python-WSGI详解汇总](#)
后一篇: [Python web 开发摆脱不了框架?](#)

重要提示: 警惕虚假中奖信息

评论加载中, 请稍候...

发评论

Some servers, gateways, or applications may wish to support older(<2.2) versions of Python. This is especially important if Jython is a target platform, since as of this writing a production-ready version of Jython 2.2 is not yet available.

For servers and gateways, this is relatively straightforward: servers and gateways targeting pre-2.2 versions of Python must simply restrict themselves to using only a standard "for" loop to iterate over any iterable returned by an application. This is the only way to ensure source-level compatibility with both the pre-2.2 iterator protocol (discussed further below) and "today's" iterator protocol (see PEP 234).

(Note that this technique necessarily applies only to servers, gateways, or middleware that are written in Python. Discussion of how to use iterator protocol(s) correctly from other languages is outside the scope of this PEP.)

For applications, supporting pre-2.2 versions of Python is slightly more complex:

You may not return a file object and expect it to work as an iterable, since before Python 2.2, files were not iterable. (In general, you shouldn't do this anyway, because it will perform quite poorly most of the time!) Use `wsgi.file_wrapper` or an application-specific file wrapper class. (See [Optional Platform-Specific File Handling](#) for more on `wsgi.file_wrapper`, and an example class you can use to wrap a file as an iterable.)

If you return a custom iterable, it must implement the pre-2.2 iterator protocol. That is, provide a `__getitem__` method that accepts an integer key, and raises `IndexError` when exhausted. (Note that built-in sequence types are also acceptable, since they also implement this protocol.)

Finally, middleware that wishes to support pre-2.2 versions of Python, and

< 前一篇

Python-WSGI 详解汇总

发评论

以上网友发言仅代表其个人观点, 不代表新浪

新浪BLOG意见反馈留言板 不良信息反馈 电话: 4006900000 提示音后按1键 (按当地市话标准)
新浪简介 | About Sina | 广告服务 | 联系我们 | 招聘信息 | 网站律师 | SINA English | 会员

Copyright © 1996 - 2015 SINA Corporation, All Rights Reserved

新浪公司 版权所有