# Implementation of the Density Evolution method with Fokker-Plank for L-NLIF Model in Python

Valentin Haenel

January 28, 2009

### 1 Model

The original model is a L-NLIF model, which consists of a Linear (L) Filter, followed by a probabilistic or Noisy (N) form of Leaky Integrate and Fire spike generation(LIF) (Paninski et al.[1]). For sake of completeness the model is briefly described here.

The evolution of the Voltage V is given by:

$$dV = (-g(V(t) - V_{leak}) + I_{stim}(t) + I_{hist}(t))dt + W_t$$
(1)

Where g is the leak conductance  $V_{leak}$  is the leak reversal potential  $I_{stim}$  is the convolution of linear filter with the input signal,  $I_{hist}$  is the spike current history and  $W_t$  is standard Gaussian white noise. More precisely:

$$I_{stim}(t) = \vec{k}\vec{x}(t) \tag{2}$$

and

$$I_{hist}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} h(t - t_j)$$
(3)

Where k is a linear filter and h is a fixed postspike current waveform.

## 2 Density Evolution

If we define

$$P(V,t) \equiv P(V(t) \cap V(s) < V_{th} \forall s < t) \tag{4}$$

This refers to the probability of V(t), the membrane potential, being less than  $V_{th}$  the firing threshold until time t.

We need to solve the following Fokker-Planck drift diffusion equation numerically.

$$\frac{\partial P(V,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P(V,t)}{\partial V^2} + g \frac{\partial [(V-V_{rest})P(V,t)]}{\partial V}$$
 (5)

Given the boundary conditions:

$$P(V_{th}, t) = 0 (6)$$

$$P(V,0) = \delta(V - V_{reset}) \tag{7}$$

when solving numerically we also need a lower bound on the voltage  $V_{lb}$ , so this becomes an additional boundary condition:

$$P(V_{lb}, t) = 0 (8)$$

Ideally  $V_{lb} = -infty$ 

And the definition of  $V_{rest}$ .

$$V_{rest}(t) = V_{leak} + \frac{1}{g} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}(t) \sum_{i=0}^{i-1} h(t - t_j))$$
(9)

We can rewrite this as:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P(V,t)}{\partial V^2} + g(V - V_{rest}) \frac{\partial P(V,t)}{\partial V} + gP(V,t) - \frac{\partial P(V,t)}{\partial t} = 0$$
 (10)

Since we know that:

$$\frac{\partial[(V - V_{rest})P(V, t)]}{\partial V} = \frac{\partial(V - V_{rest})}{\partial V}\dot{P} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial V}\dot{(V} - V_{rest})$$
(11)

and

$$\frac{\partial(V - V_{rest})}{\partial V} = 1\tag{12}$$

Next we discretize time and potential. We adopt the notation that Potential is discretized into W intervals of length w and indexed by  $\nu=0,1,\ldots W$ . Time is discretized into U intervals of length u and indexed by:  $\tau=0,1,\ldots U$ .

$$P_{\nu,\tau} = P(\nu w, \tau u)$$

Before we can write down the computationally stable Crank-Nicolson method we must first write down our finite differencing scheme:

$$\hat{P} = P_{\nu,\tau} \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial t} = \frac{P_{\nu,\tau+1} - P_{\nu,\tau}}{u} \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial V} = \frac{P_{\nu+1,\tau} - P_{\nu-1,\tau}}{2w} \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{P}}{\partial V^2} = \frac{P_{\nu+1,\tau} - 2P_{\nu,\tau} + P_{\nu-1,\tau}}{w^2} \tag{16}$$

Using the Crank-Nicolson scheme we may now rewrite the derivatives using a new finite differencing scheme which is centered around t + u/2. Bearing in mind that this is only an approximation we get:

$$P_{CN} = \frac{P_{\nu,\tau} + P_{\nu,\tau+1}}{2} \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_{CN}}{\partial t} = \frac{P_{\nu,\tau+1} - P_{\nu,\tau}}{u} \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_{CN}}{\partial V} = \frac{P_{\nu+1,\tau} + P_{\nu+1,\tau+1} - P_{\nu-1,\tau} - P_{\nu-1,\tau+1}}{4w} \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 P_{CN}}{\partial V^2} = \frac{P_{\nu+1,\tau} - 2P_{\nu,\tau} + P_{\nu-1,\tau} + P_{\nu+1,\tau+1} - 2P_{\nu,\tau+1} + P_{\nu-1,\tau+1}}{2w^2} \tag{20}$$

if we now let:

$$a = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$$

$$b = g(V - V_{rest})$$

$$c = g$$

and multiply throughout with  $4w^2u$ 

we may rearrange all the  $P_{*,\tau+1}$  terms on the left hand side:

$$\underbrace{-(2au + bwu)}^{A_{\nu}} P_{\nu+1,\tau+1} + \underbrace{(4au - 2cw^{2}u + 4w^{2})}_{B_{\nu}} P_{\nu,\tau+1} \underbrace{-(2au - bwu)}_{C_{\nu}} P_{\nu-1,\tau+1} = \underbrace{(2au + bwu)P_{\nu+1,\tau} + (-4au + 2cw^{2}u + 4w^{2})P_{\nu,\tau} + (2au - bwu)P_{\nu-1,\tau}}_{D_{\nu}} (21)$$

For each  $\nu = 1, \dots, W-1$ 

We note here that we have obtained W-1 simultaneous equations which we may now rewrite in the following tridiagonal matrix notation.

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}
B_{0} & A_{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
C_{1} & B_{1} & A_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & C_{2} & B_{2} & A_{2} & 0 & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{W-1} & B_{W-1} & A_{W-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{W} & B_{W}
\end{pmatrix}}_{\Lambda}
\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}
P_{0,\tau+1} \\
P_{1,\tau+1} \\
P_{2,\tau+1} \\
\vdots \\
P_{W-1,\tau+1} \\
P_{W,\tau+1}
\end{pmatrix}}_{\chi} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}
D_{0} \\
D_{1} \\
D_{2} \\
\vdots \\
D_{W-1} \\
D_{W}
\end{pmatrix}}_{\beta}$$
(22)

However this matrix equation has W+1 equations, so we must use the boundary conditions to specify the first and last rows of  $\Lambda$ . We can then use a tridiagonal matrix algorithm to solve  $\Lambda \chi = \beta$ .

This allows us to iteratively obtain the density evolution of the membrane potential for a given time interval.

The maximum likelihood optimizer proposed in [1] however uses the first passage time density at a given time point which is defined as:

$$fpt(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int P(V, t)dV$$
 (23)

and this can be easily computed from an P(V,t) matrix by summing across P and finding differences across t.

The final likelihood is then simply

$$L_{\vec{x},t_i} = \Pi_i fpt(t_i) \tag{24}$$

The pseudocode for the objective function can be described as follows:

for each spike interval

- 1. compute P(V,t) as matrix
- 2. compute fpt as vector
- 3. compute the product of the last scalar in the fpt vector

### 3 Implementation

### 3.1 Availability and Design

The algorithm has been implemented in Python, and the full source code has been made available within a revision control system under a free software license at: http://github.com/esc/molif/tree/master.

The software is structured into a series of modules, one for the neuron model, one for the pde solver, one to minimize the negative likelihood, one for monte carlo simulation and a utility module.

The PDE solver uses sparse matrix algorithms to store and solve the tridiagonal matrix. Ideally we would like to use the routine TRIDAG described in [2], however an open source implementation in python was not available.

#### References

- [1] L. Paninski, J. W. Pillow, and E. P. Simoncelli. Maximum likelihood estimation of a stochastic integrate-and-fire neural encoding model. *Neural Comput*, 16(12):2533–2561, Dec 2004.
- [2] W. Press, S. Teukolsky, W. Vetterling, and B. Flannery. *Numerical Recipes in C.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 1992.