

## Brett Ryan Terespolsky

Brett Ryan Terespolsky Phone: 072-375-6160 E-mail: bteres@gmail.com

September 15, 2015

School of Electrical and Information Engineering Chamber of Mines Building West Campus University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg, South Africa, 2050

Dear Prof. Takawira and Prof. Nixon,

I would like to express my gratitude to the School of Electrical and Information Engineering for the support that I received while carrying out my masters research and write up of my dissertation. Furthermore, I have had an enjoyable time at Wits and intend to maintain a long lasting relationship with the university and the school in particular. Most importantly, I would like to thank Ken for his constant support and encouragement. When things seemed hopeless, he managed to pick my spirits back up and I have made it this far because of him.

I would also like to thank the external examiners for the time they put in to give me such detailed comments. Clearly, both examiners put in a lot of effort to understand my research and critically analyse my work. Their comments have helped me produce a document that I can truly be proud of.

This letter details all of the comments made by the examiners with my remarks stating whether or not I made any changes and an explanation thereof. There is one section for each examiner's comments. The comments are tabulated detailing where the comment was made or was appropriate to, what the examiner's comment was and the description and/or correction made. Anything that requires further explanation is detailed below the table of the respective examiner. In making these corrections, I was able to pick up a few small typographical errors that have been corrected.

| Sincerely, |
|------------|
|------------|

## Examiner A

Examiner A's comments were very positive with only a small list of corrections. These corrections were mostly grammatical and I agreed with most of them as is indicated in the table below. The addition of the tables indicating the variation of the error with the change in the various parameters of the approximation added a lot of value to the dissertation.

| Placement           | Examiner comment                      | Correction / Description                |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Page 1, Par 1, Line | Lightning Protection Systems can be   | Changed all occurrences.                |
| 2                   | abbreviated LPSs not LPSes            |   |
| Page 8, Par Bot-    | "Referring back to Figure 3.1(a)"     | Correction made which clarifies the     |
| tom, Line 1         | - Should this be Figure 3.1?          | sentence slightly.                      |
| Page 9, Par 6, Line | "LPLs" - has not been explained       | The abbreviation is defined as Light-   |
| 3                   | prior to use                          | ning Protection Levels at this point.   |
| Page 12, Par 5,     | Reference for "Feizhou and Shange"    | The reference was at the end of the     |
| Line 1              |                                       | paragraph and has been moved to di-     |
|                     |                                       | rectly after the sentence mentioning    |
|                     |                                       | Feizhou and Shange. This paragraph      |
|                     |                                       | and the following 4 paragraphs all      |
|                     |                                       | have the same style of referencing      |
|                     |                                       | now.                                    |
| Page 13, Par 2,     | Incorrect spelling of Vujević         | Corrected all occurrences in docu-      |
| Line 1              |                                       | ment.                                   |
| Page 14, Par 2,     | "so that they can be inter-           | Changed to "so that the Heidler         |
| Line 7              | changed" - explain what is meant      | function and the approximation can      |
|                     | by they                               | be interchanged" to clarify the         |
|                     |                                       | statement.                              |
| Page 15, Par 1,     | "By evaluating Equations 4.2 and      | This paragraph has been rewritten       |
| Line 1              | 4.3 independently the limitations of  | to explain what the two equations       |
|                     | the equations can be found." This     | are. Their limitations are briefly dis- |
|                     | paragraph is too diluted and needs    | cussed and the future sections that     |
|                     | to be firmed up by elaborating on     | analyse these equations are alluded     |
|                     | the specifics.                        | to. Finally it is stated that these are |
|                     |                                       | the building blocks for the approxi-    |
|                     |                                       | mation.                                 |
| Page 15, Section    | Point out that the rise of the Hei-   | The second sentence now specifies       |
| 4.2.1, Par 1        | dler function is represented by an    | that "This function is identified as a  |
|                     | "S-curve" before delving into the de- | form of an "S-curve."                   |
|                     | velopment of the "S-curve"            |   |
| Page 16, Par 2,     | The sentence "Therefore an alterna-   | Sentence has been moved to the end      |
| Line 2              | tive is required that can easily be   | of the paragraph.                       |
|                     | modified" fits better at the end of   |   |
|                     | the paragraph.                        |   |
| Page 17, Par 1,     | " outlined above" could read bet-     | a colon has been added to indicate      |
| Line 1              | ter with a colon                      | an explanatory sentence.                |
| Page 17, Par 2,     | Move "Where $I_0$ , $\eta$ and $y(t)$ | Move to below equation.                 |
| Line 2              | function" to follow equation 4.6      |   |

| Placement                                | Examiner comment  | Correction / Description   |
|--|---|--|
| Page 19                                  | Would have been useful if the two traces (Figure 4.2 and Figure 4.3) were presented in a single graph for purposes of comparison  | Figures 4.2 and 4.3 are not comparable (one is a rise function and the other is a decay function). Assuming the examiner is referring to figures 4.2 and 4.4, these are not compared here as the chapter is about the approximation and not talking to its accuracy. It would break the focus and flow of the chapter to introduce such a comparison at this point. All comparisons are made in the results and discussion chapters. |
| Page 21, Par 1                           | It may be useful to clearly show the differences in the rise time and the peak current in a table for the different values of steepness factor expressed as a percentage. This would have allowed for a better "feel" for the sensitivity of the different factors. | Added Table 4.2 to show how the rise time and peak current change as a percentage with a change in the steepness factor.   |
| Page 22                                  | Same comment as for the steepness factor above should be applied to the rise time constant  | Added Table 4.4 to show how the rise time and peak current change as a percentage with a change in the rise time constant.   |
| Page 23                                  | Same comment as for the steepness factor above should be applied to the fall time constant  | Added Table 4.6 to show how the rise time and peak current change as a percentage with a change in the fall time constant.   |
| Page 21, Section 4.4.2  Page 22, Section | Heading to read "Rise Time Constant"  Heading to read "Fall Time Con-   | Added the word "Constant" to the section title.  Added the word "Constant" to the  |
| 4.4.3 Pages 24, 29 and 40                | stant" Figures 4.9, 5.1 and 6.3 are not discussed in the sections where they appear   | A typesetting style has been used throughout the document where the images and tables are inserted where there is space close to where they are references. With this style, images and tables are moved to the top and bottom of pages and this causes the section heading to appear after the image in some cases.   |

| Placement          | Examiner comment                                   | Correction / Description               |
|--------------------|--|--|
| Page 33, Par 1,    | It may be useful to have a sentence                | A typesetting style has been used      |
| Line 1             | discussing Figure 5.4 before the fig-              | throughout the document where the      |
|                    | ure and not following the figure                   | images and tables are inserted where   |
|                    |  | there is space close to where they     |
|                    |  | are references. With this style, im-   |
|                    |  | ages and tables are moved to the       |
|                    |  | top and bottom of pages and some-      |
|                    |  | times they appear before they are      |
|                    |  | referenced and sometimes after.        |
| Page 56, Ref [26]  | Spelling - "Vujević" and "Lovrić"                  | Spelling has been corrected.           |
| List of References | "Last accessed $\langle date \rangle$ " should be  | These references are not internet ref- |
|                    | added to internet references includ-               | erences. They are journal articles     |
|                    | [1] ing [2], [3], [6], [7], [9], [10], [12], [16], | and conference papers. For the con-    |
|                    | $[18], [19], [20], \dots [33].$                    | venience of the reader, a URL has      |
|                    |  | been included to find the particular   |
|                    |  | journal article or conference paper    |
|                    |  | online. There is no need for a last    |
|                    |  | accessed $\langle date \rangle$ here.  |

## Examiner B

Examiner B marked up the dissertation and made more general statements about the dissertation in the examiner's report. These comments were very insightful and I have made changes accordingly. The comparison back to the standard was overlooked in the original submission and the comment about a tolerable range of error has now helped polish the dissertation. The table below indicates the comments and/or changes relating to the examiner's remark. The list below is a discussion around some points that the examiner made on the dissertation.

| Placement           | Examiner comment                                       | Correction / Description   |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Page vi, Contents   | "Developing an Approximation to                        | Changed first occurrence to "Decom-  |
|                     | the Heidler Function" used as subse-                   | posing the Heidler function".  |
|                     | quent sections names                                   |  |
| Page xiv, Nomen-    | IEC 62305 is not the only lightning                    | Specified that it is the IEC lightning                                     |
| clature             | protection standard                                    | protection standard.   |
| Page 6, Par 1, Line | "There is some insight given"                          | Changed accordingly  |
|                     | should read "Insight is given"                         |  |
| Page 11, Par 1,     | "For instance, a subsequent short                      | Clarified by changing the sentence to                                      |
| Line 5              | stroke for LPL-I would have a max-                     | "545 kA/ $\mu$ s, which is far greater                                     |
|                     | imum current steepness of about                        | than the maximum value outlined in   |
|                     | $545 \text{ kA}/\mu\text{s}$ [21]" could be clarified. | the standard of less than 200 kA/ $\mu$ s [21]."                           |
| Page 13, Par 3      | Are there any other limitations?                       | The limitations of the approximation                                       |
|                     |  | are discussed in the future work. I  |
|                     |  | have added a section to Chapter 2 to                                       |
|                     |  | introduce these limitations accord-  |
|                     |  | ingly.   |
| Page 13, Par 4,     | remove the words "all" and "that is"                   | Changed accordingly  |
| Line 1              | from "given all the background                         |  |
|                     | information that is relevant"                          |  |
| Page 36, Par 4      | Is the comparison made to error mar-                   | This comparison was made to show   |
|                     | gins allowed?  | the overall difference between the   |
|                     |  | Heidler function and the approxima-  |
|                     |  | tion. However a paragraph and table  |
|                     |  | have been added to the dissertation  |
|                     |  | to make the crucial connection back<br>to the IEC 62305 standard. This ta- |
|                     |  | ble shows that the peak current and  |
|                     |  | rise time errors in the approxima-   |
|                     |  | tion are within the tolerable bands  |
|                     |  | allowed for by the standard.   |
| Page 39, Par 3,     | The word "when" is used twice in                       | Second "when" is removed from the  |
| Line 1              | the same sentence.                                     | sentence.  |
|                     |  |  |

| Placement       | Examiner comment                    | Correction / Description  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Page 41, Par 3, | What is a tolerable range of error? | According to the IEC 62305-1 stan-  |
| Line 2          |                                     | dard, there is a tolerance of $\pm 10\%$                                  |
|                 |                                     | on the peak current and $\pm 20\%$ on the rise time. A paragraph has been |
|                 |                                     | added to Section 6.2.1 to explain this                                    |
|                 |                                     | and Table 6.1 compares the errors   |
|                 |                                     | with this tolerance in mind.  |
| Page 43, Par 2, | Please compare with standard.       | The new table (Table 6.1) and para-                                       |
| Line 4          | -                                   | graph in Section 6.2.1 explain this in                                    |
|                 |                                     | detail.   |
| Page 43, Par 2, | Elaborate on when it is required to | This is discussed in detail in the back-                                  |
| Last Line       | use frequency spectra of lightning  | ground chapter. See Section 3.2.2.  |
|                 |                                     | This details the work done by Lee   |
|                 |                                     | et al., in which they are looking at                                      |
|                 |                                     | the effects of the various frequency                                      |
|                 |                                     | components (rather than current am-                                       |
|                 |                                     | plitude) of lightning on lightning in-                                    |
|                 |                                     | jury and death. Another area where  |
|                 |                                     | the frequency spectrum of lightning is required is in the design of sys-  |
|                 |                                     | tems such as a lightning detection  |
|                 |                                     | system which acts as an antenna   |
|                 |                                     | picking up lightning frequencies. Un-                                     |
|                 |                                     | derstanding the strongest frequen-  |
|                 |                                     | cies enables the designer to make   |
|                 |                                     | changes depending on the particular                                       |
|                 |                                     | circumstances.  |
| Page 43, Par 3, | Remove "with each other" from       | Changed accordingly   |
| Line 2          | "they are easily interchangeable    |   |
|                 | with each other."                   |   |

Examiner B has mentioned a few things that he would have liked to seen in the dissertation. These things are discussed in more detail below. The overarching comment made by examiner B was comparing the approximation back to the standard.

- A slight expansion on the limitations of the research would enhance the conclusion and add to the humility of the dissertation. The scope and limitations of the work have now been clearly defined in Chapter 2, the Approach Taken. I have now made it clear that the research is scoped as an approximation to the Heidler function within the bounds of the IEC 62305 standard. Therefore evidence is only given as to where the approximation could be used as an alternative to the Heidler function. It is not a general alternative across all standards, designs and research areas.
- It would have been advantageous if a comparison was made between the errors obtained in the approximations performed in this thesis and the errors allowed in the relevant standards with regard to the Heidler function. Clearly there is more than one standard that can be used for comparison but this research is clearly scoped to just the IEC 62305. This is now more clearly defined. Moreover, a comparison is now made back to the

standard indicating the tolerable range of peak current and rise time and this shows that the approximation falls within these ranges.

- An expansion on the particular usage of the frequency spectra of lightning strokes with an example would help the reader relate to the particular problem There are various cases such as the design of lightning detection systems that use frequencies to determine where and when a lightning strike occurred. However, the basics have been defined in the background and it is clear that this approximation is useful in any scenario that requires an analytical integral of a lightning stroke current, not only when the frequency spectra are required. This is more of an example of a specific case.
- It would have been really interesting to see the results of simulations with some extreme waveforms, for example with very long rise times, which the examiner suspects would not have a large error at all I agree with the examiner but the scope of the research is limited. In order to keep the research focused and not stray off topic, the simulations were chosen with great care. It would be nice to see such simulations in a research paper and these simulations are alluded to in the future work section of the dissertation.