Process Synchronization (III)

Reader-Writer Problem

- A data set is shared among a number of concurrent processes
 - Readers: only read the data set; they do not perform any updates
 - Writers: can both read and write
- Problem allow multiple readers to read at the same time. Only one single writer can access the shared data at a time.

Reader-Writer Problem: Analogies



It is fine for a group of people to watch (read) a TV simultaneously.



But changing (write) programs must be done sequentially (no concurrency)!

Reader-Writer Problem: Observations

- A writer is allowed to access the data set only when there is no other writer or reader accessing
- Readers can simultaneously access the data set
 - When a reader arrives, it is allowed to access the data set if (i) no one is accessing the data set, or (ii) some other reader is reading; otherwise (some writer is writing), it should wait.
 - For a waiting reader, it is allowed to read if the writing writer completes.

Reader-Writer Problem: Observations Solution

- A writer is allowed to access the data set only when there is no other writer or reader accessing
- Data Structure
 - Semaphore wrt initialized to 1 → to ensure at most one writer (no 2+ writers or one writer and reader(s)) allowed to access the data set (To guard access to data set)
- Code: The structure of a writer process

```
wait (wrt);.... writing is performed ...signal (wrt);
```

Reader-Writer Problem: Observations Solution

- Readers can simultaneously access the data set
 - When a reader arrives, it is allowed to access the data set if (i) no one is accessing the data set, or (ii) some other reader is reading; otherwise (some writer is writing), it should wait.
 - For a waiting reader, it is allowed to read if the writing writer completes.

Additional Data Structures:

- Integer readcount initialized to $0 \rightarrow$ to keep track of number of readers that are currently reading the data set
- Semaphore mutex initialized to 1 → to ensure mutual exclusive modification of readcount (To guard access to readcount)

Readers-Writers Problem: Solution

The structure of a reader process

```
wait (mutex);
if (readcount == 0) wait (wrt);
   //check if there is already reader being reading
   //if yes, go ahead to read;
   //otherwise, go on only if no writer writing
   //note: if this reader has to wait, follow-up readers should wait too; so block them
readcount ++;
signal (mutex);
            ... reading is performed...
wait (mutex);
readcount --;
if (readcount == 0) signal (wrt); //if this is the last reader
signal (mutex);
```

- Must guarantee:
 - No two processes can actively execute wait() on the same semaphore at the same time (but when one is blocked by wait, another is allowed to execute it)
 - No two processes can modify the value of semaphore at the same time (i.e., signal() and "S--" of wait() must be atomic)
- Thus, implementation becomes the critical section problem where the wait and signal code are placed in the critical section.

All competing processes share a variable lock initialized to false

```
Signal(S) {
     while(testAndSet(&lock));
     S++;
     lock=False;
}
```

All competing processes share a variable lock initialized to false

- Ideally, will not have busy waiting in critical section
 - Otherwise, applications may spend lots of time in critical sections.

- With each semaphore, there are
 - value (of type integer)
 - list: an associated waiting queue. Each entry in a waiting queue has two items: (1) pointer to a process/thread; (2) pointer to next record in the list
- Two (atomic) operations:
 - block place the process invoking the operation on a waiting queue.
 - wakeup remove one of processes on a waiting queue and place it in the ready queue.

```
(Rewritten) Definition of wait:
    wait(semaphore *S) {
        S->value--;
        if (S->value < 0) {
            add this process to S->list;
            block();
        }
    }
```

```
Implementation of wait (example):
       wait(semaphore *S) {
               while(testAndSet(&lock));
               S->value--;
               if (S->value < 0) {
                       add this process to S->list;
                       lock=False;
                       block();
               else lock=False;
```

Definition of signal:

```
signal(semaphore *S) {
    S->value++;
    if (S->value <= 0) {
        remove a process P from S->list;
        wakeup(P);
    }
}
```

```
Implementation of signal (example):
       signal(semaphore *S) {
               while(testAndSet(&lock));
              S->value++;
              if (S->value \le 0) {
                      remove a process P from S->list;
                      wakeup(P);
               lock=False;
```