# In this notebook, I explore the data given and discover a technique for accurately predicting the document classifiation for the anonymized text

### First we import the CSV and take an initial look at the data

```
In [5]: %matplotlib inline
    import pandas as pd
    import numpy as np
    import collections
    import time
    import pickle
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

df = pd.read_csv("../shuffled-full-set-hashed.csv", header=None)
    df.head()
```

#### Out[5]:

	0	
e04a09c87692 d6b72e591b91 5d066f0246f1 ed4	DELETION OF INTEREST	0
a3b334c6eefd be95012ebf2b 41d67080e078 ff1d	RETURNED CHECK	1
586242498a88 9ccf259ca087 54709b24b45f 6bf9	BILL	2
cd50e861f48b 6ca2dd348663 d38820625542 f077	BILL	3
9db5536263d8 1c303d15eb65 3f89b4673455 b73e	BILL	4

```
In [11]: print("Rows %d"%len(df[0]))
         print("\nMost Common Categories:")
         cntr = collections.Counter(df[0])
         cntr.most common()
         Rows 62204
         Most Common Categories:
Out[11]: [('BILL', 18968),
          ('POLICY CHANGE', 10627),
           ('CANCELLATION NOTICE', 9731),
           ('BINDER', 8973),
          ('DELETION OF INTEREST', 4826),
           ('REINSTATEMENT NOTICE', 4368),
           ('DECLARATION', 968),
           ('CHANGE ENDORSEMENT', 889),
           ('RETURNED CHECK', 749),
           ('EXPIRATION NOTICE', 734),
          ('NON-RENEWAL NOTICE', 624),
           ('BILL BINDER', 289),
           ('INTENT TO CANCEL NOTICE', 229),
           ('APPLICATION', 229)]
```

### Not a very even distribution of categories, what about the distribution of word counts within each document

```
In [12]: def word_count(words):
    return len(words.split(' ')) if type(words) == str else 0
    df['word_count'] = [word_count(x) for x in df[1]]
    df.head()
```

#### Out[12]:

	0	1	word_count
L	ETION OF INTEREST	e04a09c87692 d6b72e591b91 5d066f0246f1 ed41171	465
	RETURNED CHECK	a3b334c6eefd be95012ebf2b 41d67080e078 ff1c26e	403
	BILL	586242498a88 9ccf259ca087 54709b24b45f 6bf9c0c	185
	BILL	cd50e861f48b 6ca2dd348663 d38820625542 f077614	337
	BILL	9db5536263d8 1c303d15eb65 3f89b4673455 b73e657	546

```
In [13]:
         df.word_count.describe()
Out[13]: count
                   62204.000000
                     334.148479
         mean
         std
                     330.217525
                       0.000000
         min
         25%
                     148.000000
         50%
                     252.000000
         75%
                     402.000000
                    9076.000000
         max
         Name: word count, dtype: float64
```

### A slightly more predictable word count, a few hundred in most documents with some clear outliers,

### What about the words themselves?

```
In [14]: def split_or_empty(words):
    return words.split(' ') if type(words) == str else []

word_lst = [split_or_empty(x) for x in df[1]]

all_wrds = [word for lst in word_lst for word in lst]
    set_wrds = set(all_wrds)
    print("Total words %d"%df.word_count.sum())
    print("Unique words %d"%len(set_wrds))

Total words 20785372
Unique words 1037934
```

That's a lot of unique words, and for 62K lines, not a lot of documents, but lets assume that there are a lot of stop words and since these are personalized documents a lot of names, addresses, etc

```
In [17]: wrd_cntr = collections.Counter(all_wrds)
    common_words = wrd_cntr.most_common()
    print("Num common words %d"%len(common_words))
```

Num common words 1037934

```
In [18]: freq_lst = [x[1] for x in common_words]
           freq arr = np.array(freq lst)
           print("Frequency Distribution of Words: (Mean, Variance) %f %f"%(freq ar
           r.mean(), freq arr.var()))
          Frequency Distribution of Words: (Mean, Variance) 20.025716 846230.6574
In [19]:
          | \text{vocab} = [x[0]] \text{ for } x \text{ in common words if } x[1] > 5 \text{ and } x[1] < 10000]
           vocab set = set(vocab)
           def keep vocab(words):
               return set(split or empty(words)) & vocab set
           df['words in vocab'] = [len(keep vocab(x)) for x in df[1]]
           df.words in vocab.describe()
Out[19]: count
                     62204.000000
                       101.385120
          mean
          std
                        86.443821
                         0.00000
          min
          25%
                        48.000000
          50%
                        77.000000
          75%
                       128.000000
                      1610.000000
          max
          Name: words in vocab, dtype: float64
In [20]:
          df.head()
Out[20]:
                            0
                                                                    word_count words_in_vocab
                   DELETION OF
                                 e04a09c87692 d6b72e591b91 5d066f0246f1
           0
                                                                           465
                                                                                         139
                      INTEREST
                                                           ed41171...
                                 a3b334c6eefd be95012ebf2b 41d67080e078
               RETURNED CHECK
                                                                           403
                                                                                         117
           1
                                                            ff1c26e...
                                  586242498a88 9ccf259ca087 54709b24b45f
           2
                          BILL
                                                                           185
                                                                                          36
                                                           6bf9c0c...
                                 cd50e861f48b 6ca2dd348663 d38820625542
```

So from this quick exercise, it seems like we can get a more manageable vocabulary by focusing on the words which are not too common and not too uncommon

9db5536263d8 1c303d15eb65 3f89b4673455

**BILL** 

**BILL** 

3

130

131

337

546

f077614...

b73e657...

```
In [21]: df_nona = df.dropna()
    df['words_in_vocab'] = [' '.join(keep_vocab(x)) for x in df[1]]
    df.head()
```

Out[21]:

	0	1	word_count	words_in_vocab
0	DELETION OF INTEREST	e04a09c87692 d6b72e591b91 5d066f0246f1 ed41171	465	743b314e5665 b2c878a75d7e 44d3870bca21 4e9eb06
1	RETURNED CHECK	a3b334c6eefd be95012ebf2b 41d67080e078 ff1c26e	403	29503e65a644 b2c878a75d7e 1850801b9c05 ea05dcb
2	BILL	586242498a88 9ccf259ca087 54709b24b45f 6bf9c0c	185	0ad17934ee05 f1424da4e7d6 e0a34e168ea4 c85a9f2
3	BILL	cd50e861f48b 6ca2dd348663 d38820625542 f077614	337	011113964d37 dafbb201715e 69c87281a156 83da9eb
4	BILL	9db5536263d8 1c303d15eb65 3f89b4673455 b73e657	546	0025e6b23cc5 d671855584fd ba943a1c3175 dfa88dd

So using this quick attempt to remove stop words and very infrequent words, let's move on to the prediction.

My first attempt attempt was to use a Naive Bayes approach using TF-IDF, thinking that these words (the ones remaining), might be useful for categorization

```
In [22]: from nltk.tokenize import word_tokenize
    from nltk import pos_tag
    from nltk.corpus import stopwords
    from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer
    from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
    from collections import defaultdict
    from nltk.corpus import wordnet as wn
    from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
    from sklearn import model_selection, naive_bayes, svm
    from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score

    train_x, test_x, train_y, test_y = model_selection.train_test_split(df.w ords_in_vocab, df[0],test_size=0.2)

In [30]: np.random.seed(5020)

# Encode the Prediction Label, so that we're using integers and not stri
```

enc\_train\_y = encoder.fit\_transform(train\_y)
enc test y = encoder.fit transform(test y)

encoder = LabelEncoder()

ngs

```
In [31]: df.head()
```

#### Out[31]:

	0	1	word_count	words_in_vocab
0	DELETION OF INTEREST	e04a09c87692 d6b72e591b91 5d066f0246f1 ed41171	465	fbe7c05e32d5 1807f8910862 3102eeb23202 1fa87d6
1	RETURNED CHECK	a3b334c6eefd be95012ebf2b 41d67080e078 ff1c26e	403	1357209fd44f 687214cd0acb 7d4501e8b694 31cbd98
2	BILL	586242498a88 9ccf259ca087 54709b24b45f 6bf9c0c	185	b834a58b85b9 2e182c67811b 6753b57205cb 3d877a3
3	BILL	cd50e861f48b 6ca2dd348663 d38820625542 f077614	337	034e2d7f187e c8207fafe699 7860028b1d17 a4ffd27
4	BILL	9db5536263d8 1c303d15eb65 3f89b4673455 b73e657	546	1807f8910862 6c941621f20f a100eb50abec ad5f00d

```
In [32]: tfidf_vect = TfidfVectorizer(ngram_range=(1,3))
    tfidf_vect.fit(df.words_in_vocab)
```

```
In [36]: train_x_tfidf = tfidf_vect.transform(train_x)
test_x_tfidf = tfidf_vect.transform(test_x)
```

```
In [37]: # fit the training dataset on the NB classifier
    nb = naive_bayes.MultinomialNB()
    nb.fit(train_x_tfidf, train_y)

# predict the labels on validation dataset
    predictions_nb = nb.predict(test_x_tfidf)

# Use accuracy_score function to get the accuracy
    print("Naive Bayes Accuracy Score -> ",accuracy_score(predictions_nb, te st_y)*100)
```

Naive Bayes Accuracy Score -> 65.91110039385902

```
In [31]: encoder = LabelEncoder()
         enc_train_y = encoder.fit_transform(train_y)
         enc test y = encoder.fit transform(test y)
In [32]: vectorizer.fit(df nona[1])
Out[32]: TfidfVectorizer(analyzer='word', binary=False, decode error='strict',
                         dtype=<class 'numpy.float64'>, encoding='utf-8',
                         input='content', lowercase=True, max df=0.5, max featur
         es=10000,
                         min df=2, ngram range=(1, 3), norm='12', preprocessor=N
         one,
                         smooth idf=True, stop words=None, strip accents=None,
                         sublinear tf=False, token pattern='(?u)\\b\\w\\w+\\b',
                         tokenizer=None, use idf=True, vocabulary=None)
In [33]: | train_x_tfidf = vectorizer.transform(train x)
         test x tfidf = vectorizer.transform(test x)
In [44]: # fit the training dataset on the NB classifier
         nb = naive bayes.MultinomialNB()
         nb.fit(train x tfidf, train y)
         # predict the labels on validation dataset
         predictions nb = nb.predict(test x tfidf)
         # Use accuracy score function to get the accuracy
         print("Naive Bayes Accuracy Score -> ",accuracy_score(predictions_nb, te
         st y)*100)
```

Naive Bayes Accuracy Score -> 76.93050193050193

## So even using NLTK's stopword system, TF-IDF vectors, and N-Grams of up to 3 words, we haven't cracked 80% accuracy

### Next I move on to a combination of linear techniques

```
In [34]: from sklearn.decomposition import TruncatedSVD
from sklearn.pipeline import make_pipeline
from sklearn.preprocessing import Normalizer
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
```

```
In [35]: svd = TruncatedSVD(100)
    lsa = make_pipeline(svd, Normalizer(copy=False))

# Run SVD on the training data, then project the training data.
    train_x_lsa = lsa.fit_transform(train_x_tfidf)

explained_variance = svd.explained_variance_ratio_.sum()
    print("Explained variance of the SVD step: %2f %".format(int(explained_variance * 100)))

test_x_lsa = lsa.transform(test_x_tfidf)

Explained variance of the SVD step: %2f %

In [36]: test_x_lsa.shape

Out[36]: (12432, 100)
```

So here I'm making a big matrix of the training data's TF-IDF values, and then using Singular Value Decomposition to factor that matrix. This makes it easy to reduce the number of dimensions (since with up to 3 words as a feature, there are a LOT of dimensions).

Now that I've done SVD, I can project my TF-IDF vectors into this reduced vector space and use a simple categorizing algorithm (K-Nearest Neighbor) to train categories.

```
In [37]: num_cats = len(encoder.classes_)
In [38]: # Build a k-NN classifier. Use k = 5 (majority wins), the cosine distanc
e,
# and brute-force calculation of distances.
knn_lsa = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=num_cats, algorithm='brute',
metric='cosine')
knn_lsa.fit(train_x_lsa, enc_train_y)
# Classify the test vectors.
p = knn_lsa.predict(test_x_lsa)
```

```
In [57]: # Measure accuracy
numRight = 0;
for i in range(0,len(p)):
    if p[i] == enc_test_y[i]:
        numRight += 1

print(" (%d / %d) correct - %.2f%%" % (numRight, len(enc_test_y), float (numRight) / float(len(enc_test_y)) * 100.0))

(10273 / 12432) correct - 82.63%
```

Now that we've broken into the 80's with a respectable 82.6% accuracy, we can move on to pushing these models up to a production environment.

My next steps are to train a LSTM neural network on these word vectors. I tried to use Word2Vec to create new word vectors but I believe the relatively small size of the data makes this difficult, and that the linear nature of SVD allows these vectors to perform better

However, there is clearly a use of the words that involves order that is important, and it would behoove any data scientist to at least try an LSTM and see if the accuracy could be improved