

Stock Movement Prediction Based on Bi-typed Hybrid-relational Market Knowledge Graph via Dual Attention Networks

YU ZHAO, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

HUAMING DU, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

YING LIU, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

SHAOPEG WEI, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

XINGYAN CHEN, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

FUZHEN ZHUANG, Beihang University, China

QING LI, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

JI LIU, AI Lab, Kwai Inc., USA

GANG KOU*, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

Stock Movement Prediction (SMP) aims at predicting listed companies' stock future price trend, which is a challenging task due to the volatile nature of financial markets. Recent financial studies show that the momentum spillover effect plays a significant role in stock fluctuation. However, previous studies typically only learn the simple connection information among related companies, which inevitably fail to model complex relations of listed companies in real financial market. To address this issue, we first construct a more comprehensive Market Knowledge Graph (MKG) which contains bi-typed entities including listed companies and their associated executives, and hybrid-relations including the explicit relations and implicit relations. Afterward, we propose DANSMP, a novel Dual Attention Networks to learn the momentum spillover signals based upon the constructed MKG for stock prediction. The empirical experiments on our constructed datasets against nine SOTA baselines demonstrate that the proposed DANSMP is capable of improving stock prediction with the constructed MKG.

CCS Concepts: • Computing methodologies → Artificial intelligence; • Information systems → Information systems applications.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Stock Movement Prediction, Bi-typed Hybrid-relational Market Knowledge Graph, Dual Attention Networks

*Gang Kou is the corresponding author.

Authors' addresses: Yu Zhao, Fintech Innovation Center, Financial Intelligence and Financial Engineering Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Department of Artificial Intelligence, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China, email:zhaoyu@swufe.edu.cn; Huaming Du, School of Business Administration, Faculty of Business Administration, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China; Ying Liu, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China; Shaopeng Wei, School of Business Administration, Faculty of Business Administration, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China; Xingyan Chen, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China; Fuzhen Zhuang, Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Beihang University, Beijing, China, email:zhuangfuzhen@buaa.edu.cn; Qing Li, Fintech Innovation Center, Financial Intelligence and Financial Engineering Key Laboratory, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China, email:liq_t@swufe.edu.cn; Ji Liu, AI Lab, Kwai Inc., Seattle, Washington, USA, (He is named one MIT technology review's "35 innovators under 35 in China", Google Scholar Citation > 8000), email:ji.liu.uwisc@gmail.com; Gang Kou, email:kougang@swufe.edu.cn, School of Business Administration, Faculty of Business Administration, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China, (Professor Kou awards the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars, Google Scholar Citation > 11000).

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

ACM Reference Format:

Yu Zhao, Huaming Du, Ying Liu, Shaopeng Wei, Xingyan Chen, Fuzhen Zhuang, Qing Li, Ji Liu, and Gang Kou. 2022. Stock Movement Prediction Based on Bi-typed Hybrid-relational Market Knowledge Graph via Dual Attention Networks. 1, 1 (January 2022), 22 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Stock Movement Prediction (SMP) is a hot topic in *Fintech* area since investors continuously attempt to predict the stock future trend of listed companies for seeking maximized profit in the volatile financial market [Cheng and Li 2021; Hu et al. 2018; Li et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2021]. The task has spurred the interest of researchers over the years to develop better predictive models [Wang et al. 2021]. In particular, the application of machine learning approaches yields a promising performance for SMP task [Feng et al. 2019b; Ye et al. 2020]. Previous studies in both finance and AI research fields predicting a stock movement rely on time-series analysis techniques using its own historical prices (e.g. *opening price*, *closing price*, *volume*, etc) [Feng et al. 2019a; Lin et al. 2017]. According to the Efficient Market Hypothesis (ETH) that implies financial market is informationally efficient [Malkiel and Fama 1970], therefore, besides these stock trading factors, other researchers mine more indicative features from its outside-market data such as web media [Li et al. 2017], including news information [Li et al. 2020b; Liu et al. 2018; Ming et al. 2014] and social media [Bollen et al. 2011; Nguyen et al. 2015; Si et al. 2013], while ignoring the stock fluctuation diffusion influence from its related companies, which is also known as momentum spillover effect [Ali and Hirshleifer 2020] in finance.

Recent studies attempt to model stock momentum spillover via Graph Neural Networks (GNN) [Velickovic et al. 2018]. However, most of them only consider the simple explicit relations among related companies [Feng et al. 2019b; Li et al. 2020a; Sawhney et al. 2020b; Ye et al. 2020], which inevitably fail to model the complex connections of listed companies in real financial market, such as the implicit relation, and the associated executives-based meta-relations [Cai et al. 2016; Jing and Zhang 2021].

To address this issue, we construct a more comprehensive Market Knowledge Graph (MKG), which consists of a considerable amount of triples in the form of (*head entity*, *relation*, *tail entity*), indicating that there exists a relation between the two entities. Different from previous graphs in other SOTA works [Chen et al. 2018; Cheng and Li 2021; Feng et al. 2019b; Li et al. 2020a; Sawhney et al. 2021; Ye et al. 2020], the newly constructed MKG develops two essential characteristics: (1) ***Bi-typed***, i.e. containing the significant associated executive entities aside from the ordinary company entities; (2) ***Hybrid-relational***, i.e. providing an additional implicit relation among listed companies aside from their typical explicit relations. Figure 1 shows a toy example of MKG (See Section 3.1 for more details).

Afterward, to learn the stock¹ momentum spillover signals on such bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG for stock movement prediction, we pertinently propose a novel **Dual Attention Networks** (DANSMP), as shown in Figure 2-II. Specifically, the proposed model DANSMP is equipped with dual attention modules that are able to learn the inter-class interaction among listed companies and associated executives, and their own complex intra-class interaction alternately. Different from previous methods that can only model homogeneous stock graph [Chen et al. 2018; Cheng and Li 2021] or heterogeneity of stock explicit relations [Chen et al. 2019; Nelson et al. 2017; Sawhney et al. 2021], our method is able to learn bi-typed heterogeneous entities and hybrid-relations in newly constructed market graph of stock for its spillover effects. The comprehensive comparison between the existing state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods with our newly proposed DANSMP model in terms of used market signals and main ideas is shown in Table 1, demonstrating the distinguished advantage of our work.

¹The term “listed company” and “stock” are used interchangeably.




Fig. 1. Example of a bi-typed (i.e. *listed companies*, and *executives*) hybrid-relational (i.e. *explicit relations*, and *implicit relation*) market knowledge graph (MKG). The relational information in MKG is essential for stock prediction but has not been well utilized in previous works.

We collect public data and construct two new SMP datasets (named **CSI100E**² and **CSI300E**) based on Chinese Stock Index to evaluate the proposed method, since no existing benchmark datasets can satisfy our need. Aside from the typical stock historical prices and media news, our newly published benchmark datasets CSI100E and CSI300E also provide rich market knowledge graph as mentioned above. The empirical experimental results on CSI100E and CSI300E against nine SOTA methods demonstrate the better performance of our model DANSMP with MKG. The ablation studies reaffirm that the performance gain mainly comes from the use of the associated executives, and additional implicit relation among companies in MKG via the proposed DANSMP.

The contributions of this paper are threefold:

- To model stock momentum spillover via the complex relations among companies in real market, we first construct a novel market knowledge graph. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first attempt to explore such bi-typed hybrid-relational knowledge graph of stock via heterogeneous GNNs for its spillover effects.
- We then propose DANSMP, a novel Dual Attention Networks to learn the stock momentum spillover features based on the newly constructed bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG for stock prediction, which is also a non-trivial and challenging task.

²"E" denotes an extension version.

- We propose two new benchmark datasets (CSI100E and CSI300E) to evaluate our method, which are also expected to promote Fintech research field further. The empirical experiments on our constructed datasets demonstrate our method can successfully improve stock prediction with bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG via the proposed DANSMP³.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize and compare the related work. In Section 3, we introduce the market signals for stocks prediction. Section 4 introduces the details of the proposed methodology. Extensive experiments are conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed model in Section 5. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

2 RELATED WORK

In this section, we evaluate the existing relevant research on stock prediction. Stock movement prediction (SMP) has received a great deal of attention from both investors and researchers since it helps investors to make good investment decisions [Deng et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2016; Li et al. 2016; Rather et al. 2015]. In general, traditional SMP methods mainly can be categorized into two classes: technical analysis and fundamental analysis, according to the different types of the available stock own information they mainly used. Another major aspect for yielding better stock prediction is to utilize the stock connection information [Chen et al. 2018; Cheng and Li 2021; Sawhney et al. 2020b; Ye et al. 2020]. We review them in the following.

2.1 Technical Analysis

Technical analysis takes time-series historical market data of a stock, such as trading price and volume, as features to make prediction [Chen et al. 2019; Edwards et al. 2018]. The basic idea behind this type of approach is to discover the hidden trading patterns that can be used for SMP. Most recent methods of this type predict stock movement trend using deep learning models [Bao et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2017; Nelson et al. 2017]. To further capture the long-term dependency in time series, the Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) especially Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTM) have been usually leveraged for prediction [Gao 2016]. Bao et al. [2017] presented a deep learning framework for stock forecasting using stacked auto-encoders and LSTM. Nelson et al. [2017] studied the usage of LSTM networks to predict future trends of stock prices based on the price history, alongside with technical analysis indicators. Lin et al. [2017] proposed an end-to-end hybrid neural networks that leverage convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and LSTM to learn local and global contextual features respectively for predicting the trend of time series. Zhang et al. [2017] proposed a state frequency memory recurrent network to capture the multi-frequency trading patterns for stock price prediction. Feng et al. [2019a] proposed to employ adversarial training and add perturbations to simulate the stochasticity of price variable, and train the model to work well under small yet intentional perturbations. Despite their achieved progress, however, technical analysis faces an issue that it is incapable of unveiling the rules that govern the fluctuation of the market beyond stock price data.

2.2 Fundamental Analysis

On the contrary, fundamental analysis takes advantage of information from outside market price data, such as economic and financial environment, and other qualitative and quantitative factors [Hu et al. 2018; Xu and Cohen 2018; Zhang et al. 2018]. Many methods are proposed to explore the relation between stock market and web media, e.g., news information,

³The source code and our newly constructed benchmark datasets (CSI100E and CSI300E) will be released on [Github](https://github.com/trytodoit227/DANSMP): <https://github.com/trytodoit227/DANSMP>

and social media opinion [Akita et al. 2016; Li et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018]. For instance, Ming et al. [2014] mined text information from Wall Street Journal for SMP. Akita et al. [2016] presented a deep learning method for stock prediction using numerical and textual information. Vargas et al. [2017] proposed a deep learning method for stock market prediction from financial news articles. Xu and Cohen [2018] put forward a novel deep generative model jointly exploiting text and price signals for this task. Liu et al. [2018] presented a hierarchical complementary attention network for predicting stock price movements with news. Li et al. [2020b] proposed a multimodal event-driven LSTM model for stock prediction using online news. Some researchers mined market media news via analyzing its sentiment, and used it for SMP [Qin and Yang 2019; Sedinkina et al. 2019]. For instance, Bollen et al. [2011] analyzed twitter mood to predict the stock market. Si et al. [2013] exploited topics based on twitter sentiment for stock prediction. Nguyen et al. [2015] incorporated the sentiments of the specific topics of the company into the stock prediction model using social media. Rekabsaz et al. [2017] investigated the sentiment of annual disclosures of companies in stock markets to forecast volatility. Qin and Yang [2019] proposed a multimodal method that takes CEO’s vocal features, such as emotions and voice tones, into consideration. In this paper, we extract the signals from stock historical prices and media news sentiments as the sequential embeddings of stocks.

2.3 Stock Relations Modeling

Recent SMP studies take stock relations into consideration [Chen et al. 2018; Cheng and Li 2021; Li et al. 2020a; Sawhney et al. 2020b]. For instance, Chen et al. [2018] proposed to incorporate corporation relationship via graph convolutional neural networks for stock price prediction. Feng et al. [2019b] captured the stock relations in a time-sensitive manner for stock prediction. Kim et al. [2019] proposed a hierarchical attention network for stock prediction using relational data. Li et al. [2019] presented a multi-task recurrent neural network (RNN) with high-order Markov random fields (MRFs) to predict stock price movement direction using stock’s historical records together with its correlated stocks. Li et al. [2020a] proposed a LSTM Relational Graph Convolutional Network (LSTM-RGCN) model, which models the connection among stocks with their correlation matrix. Ye et al. [2020] encoded multiple relationships among stocks into graphs based on financial domain knowledge and utilized GCN to extract the cross effect based on these pre-defined graphs for stock prediction. Sawhney et al. [2020b] proposed a spatio-temporal hypergraph convolution network for stock movement forecasting. Cheng and Li [2021] proposed to model the momentum spillover effect for stock prediction via attribute-driven graph attention networks. Despite the substantial efforts of these SOTA methods, surprisingly, most of them only focus on modeling the momentum spillover via the explicit relations among stocks, while ignoring their complex relations in real market.

Table 1 summarizes the key advantages of our model, comparing with a variety of previous state-of-the-art (SOTA) studies in terms of the used market signals, their methods and GNN types. (1) Different from previous studies, our method takes advantage of all three types of stock market signals, including stock historical data, media news, and market knowledge graph. In particular, we construct a more comprehensive heterogeneous market graph that contains explicit relations, implicit relations and executive relations. (2) Different from most existing models that can only model homogeneous stock graph [Chen et al. 2018; Cheng and Li 2021], or heterogeneity of stock explicit relations [Feng et al. 2019b; Sawhney et al. 2021, 2020a; Ye et al. 2020], which fall down in modeling heterogeneity of entities in real market, we propose a novel dual attention networks that is able to model bi-typed heterogeneous entities and hybrid-relations in newly constructed market graph of stock for its spillover effects. (3) To the best of our knowledge, this work is the first attempt to study stock movement prediction via heterogeneous GNNs.

Table 1. Comparison between several SOTA methods and the proposed model in terms of used market signals and main ideas.

| Literature | Main ideas | Market | Metrics | Method | GNN Types | Stock Market Signals | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Historical Data | Media News | Explicit Relation | Implicit Executives Relation |
| EB-CNN [Ding et al. 2015] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neural tensor network for learning event embedding • Deep CNN to model the combined influence | S&P500 | DA, MCC, Profit | Open IE, CNN | - | X | ✓ | X | X |
| SFM [Zhang et al. 2017] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extending LSTM by decomposing the hidden memory states • Modeling the latent trading patterns with multiple frequencies | NASDAQ | Average square error | LSTM, DFT | - | ✓ | X | X | X |
| Chen's [Chen et al. 2018] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single investment relation | CS1300 | DA | LSTM, GCN | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | X | ✓ | X |
| TGC [Feng et al. 2019b] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single historical data • Dynamically adjust predefined firm relations | NASDAQ, NYSE | MSE, MRR, IRR | LSTM, Temporal Graph Convolution | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | X | ✓ | X |
| HATS [Kim et al. 2019] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hierarchical aggregate different types of firm relational data | S&P500 | SR, FI, DA, Return | GAT | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | X | ✓ | X |
| ALBERT+eventHAN [Wu 2020] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ALBERT enhanced event representations • Event-enhanced hierarchical attention network | S&P500, DOW, NASDAQ | DA, Annualized return | Open IE, ALBERT, HAN | - | X | ✓ | X | X |
| MAN-SF [Sawhney et al. 2020a] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-modal market information • Hierarchical graph attention method | S&P500 | FI, MCC | GAT, GRU | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X |
| STHAN-SR [Sawhney et al. 2021] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A neural hypergraph architecture for stock selection • Temporal hawkes attention mechanism | NASDAQ, NYSE, TSE | SR, IRR, NDCGS | Hypergraph Convolution | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X |
| AD-GAT [Cheng and Li 2021] | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-modal market information • Attribute-driven graph attention network | S&P500 | DA, AUC | GAT | Homogeneous GNNs | ✓ | ✓ | X | X |
| DANSMP (ours) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-modal market information • Bi-typed hybrid-relation data • Dual attention network | CSI100E, CSI300E | DA, AUC, SR, IRR | GRU, Dual Attention Network | Heterogeneous GNNs | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

3 MARKET SIGNALS

In this section, we introduce the significant signals of stocks in real financial market. We first give the details of the newly proposed bi-typed hybrid-relational market knowledge graph. Afterward, we introduce the historical price data and media news of stocks. Most of previous works focus on partial financial market information, which makes their modeling insufficient. In this work, we take advantage of all three types of market data, fusing numerical, textual, and relational data together, for stock prediction. The features of each data used in this study are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Features

| Information data | Features |
|------------------|--|
| Entities | companies, executives. |
| Relations | explicit relations (industry category, supply chain, business partnership, investment), implicit relation. |
| Historical price | opening price (op), closing price (cp), highest price (hp), lowest price (lp), trade volume (tv). |
| Media news | positive media sentiment of a stock $Q(i)^+$, negative media sentiment of a stock $Q(i)^-$, media sentiment divergence of a stock $D(i)$. |

3.1 Bi-typed Hybrid-relational Market Knowledge Graph (MKG)

3.1.1 Bi-typed Entities. Most existing SMP methods solely learn from company relationships in market [Kim et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020a; Ye et al. 2020]. In fact, in most stock markets there are also significant associated executives for listed companies, with rich associative information about these companies [Cai et al. 2016; Jing and Zhang 2021]. Hence, our constructed MKG contains not only company entities but also executive entities. The executive entities can act as the intermediary among companies to build the meta-relations involved in company entities (e.g. *Company-Executive-Company (CEC)*, *Company-Executive-Executive-Company (CEEC)*). For example, in Fig. 1 we show the associated executives of the companies sampled from Chinese Stock Index. The stock spillover signals can pass from neighboring companies to a target company through the meta-relations established by their connected executives, such as Company 4-Executive C-Company 1; Company 2-Executive A $\xleftrightarrow{\text{classmate}}$ Executive B-Company 1. In sum, the newly constructed MKG contains bi-typed entities, i.e. *listed companies* and their *associated executives*.

3.1.2 Hybrid-relations. Most existing methods only take the explicit relations among companies, such as *industry category*, *supply chain*, *business partnership* and *investment*, into consideration [Chen et al. 2018]. However, the limited explicit company relationships are always insufficient for market knowledge graph construction due to the complex nature of financial market. To solve the MKG incompleteness issue, here we propose an attribute-driven method to conduct MKG completion by inferring missing implicit correlative relation among stocks, which employs stocks attributions.

Specifically, the attribute-driven implicit unobserved relation is calculated based on the features from both its historical prices and news information filtered by a normalized threshold. A single-layer feed-forward neural network is adopted to calculate the attention value α_{ij}^t between company i and j for inferring their implicit relation.

$$\alpha_{ij}^t = \text{LeakyRelu} \left(\mathbf{u}^\top [\mathbf{s}_i^t \| \mathbf{s}_j^t] \right), \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{s}_i^t and \mathbf{s}_j^t are fused market signals of i and j , which are calculated by the Equation 6 (Section 4.1). $\|$ denotes the concatenate operation. \mathbf{u} denotes the learnable matrix and LeakyReLU is a nonlinearity activation function. Borrowing gate mechanism in [Cheng and Li 2021], we set an implicit relation between i and j if $\alpha_{ij}^t > \eta$. η denotes a pre-defined threshold. In short, the constructed MKG contains hybrid-relations, i.e. **explicit relations** and **implicit relation**.

3.2 Historical Price and Media News

3.2.1 Technical Indicators. Transactional data is the main manifestation of firms' intrinsic value and investors' expectations. We collect the daily stock price and volume data, including *opening price (op)*, *closing price (cp)*, *highest price (hp)*, *lowest price (lp)*, and *trade volume (tv)*. In order to better compare and observe the fluctuation of stock price, the stock price is transferred to the return ratio, and the trade volume is transferred to the turnover ratio before being fed into our model. The return ratio is an index reflecting the level of stock return, the higher the return ration is; the better the profitability of the stock is. The turnover is the total value of stocks traded over a period of time; the higher the share turnover could indicate that the share has good liquidity. $\mathbf{p}_i \in \mathbb{R}^5$ indicates the technical indicators of company i , as follows:

$$\mathbf{p}_i = [op(i), cp(i), hp(i), lp(i), tv(i)]^\top. \quad (2)$$

3.2.2 Sentiment Signals. Modern behavioral finance theory [Li et al. 2017] believes that investors are irrational, tending to be influenced by the opinions expressed in the media. Media sentiment reflects investors' expectations concerning the future of a company or the whole stock market, resulting in the fluctuations of stock price. To capture media sentiment signals, we extract the following characteristics: *positive media sentiment*, *negative media sentiment* and *media sentiment divergence* [Li et al. 2020b]. They are denoted respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(i)^+ &= \frac{N(i)^+}{N(i)^+ + N(i)^-}, \\ Q(i)^- &= \frac{N(i)^-}{N(i)^+ + N(i)^-}, \\ D(i) &= \frac{N(i)^+ - N(i)^-}{N(i)^+ + N(i)^-}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $N(i)^+$ and $N(i)^-$ are the sum of the frequency of each positive and negative sentiment word found in the financial news articles of company i , respectively. $D(i)$ denotes the sentiment divergence. Since many negative sentiment words in the general sentiment dictionary no longer express negative emotional meanings in the financial field, we resort to a finance-oriented sentiment dictionary created in previous study [Li et al. 2016]. $\mathbf{q}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ indicates the news sentiment signals of company i .

$$\mathbf{q}_i = [Q(i)^+, Q(i)^-, D(i)]^\top. \quad (4)$$

Note that we do not have everyday news for all companies since the randomness of the occurrence of media news. In order to make the technical indicators aligned with the media sentiment signals and keep pace with the real situation, the sentiment feature \mathbf{q}_i of the firm i is assigned to zero on the day when there are no any media news about it.

4 METHODOLOGY

In this section, we introduce the details of our proposed method. Figure 2 gives an overview of the proposed framework. (I) First, the stock sequential embeddings are learned with historical price and media news via multi-modal feature fusion and sequential learning. (II) Second, a Dual Attention Networks is proposed to learn the stock relational embeddings based upon the constructed MKG. (III) Last, the combinations of sequential embeddings and relational embeddings are utilized to make stock prediction.




Fig. 2. The overall framework of the proposed method. (I) **Learning Stock Sequential Embeddings** based on Tensor Fusion and GRU. Tensor Fusion is the Neural Tensor Network (NTN) to learn the fusion of the technical indicators vector p_i and media news sentiment features q_i . The GRU is designed to learn the sequential embedding s_i^t . (II) **Learning Stock Relational Embeddings** by a dual mechanism to model the mutual affects and inner interaction among the bi-typed entities (i.e. companies and executives) alternately, including: (a) inter-class attention, and (b) intra-class attention. The former aims to deal with the interaction between listed companies and their associated executives and the latter aims to learn the interaction among the same type of entities. (III) **Stock Movement Prediction** via Feed-forward Neural Network (FNN) with the learned firm embeddings.

4.1 Learning Stock Sequential Embeddings

The stocks are influenced by multi-modal time-series market signals. Considering the strong temporal dynamics of stock markets, the historical state of the stock is useful for predicting its future trend. Due to the fact that the influence of market signals on the stock price would last for some time, we should consider the market signals in the past couple of days when predicting stock trend \hat{y}_i^t of company i at date t . We first capture the multimodal interactions of technical indicators and sentiment signals. We then feed the fused features into a one-layer GRU and take the last hidden state as the sequential embedding of stock i which preserves the time dependency, as shown in Figure 2-I.

4.1.1 Multimodal Features Fusion. To learn the fusion of the technical indicators vector p_i and media news sentiment features q_i , we adopt a Neural Tensor Network (NTN) which replaces a standard linear neural network layer with a M -dimensional bilinear tensor layer that can directly relate the two features across multiple dimensions. The fused daily market signals⁴ of stock i , $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^M$, are calculated by the tensor-based formulation as follows:

$$x_i = \sigma \left(p_i W_T^{[1:M]} q_i + \mathcal{V} \begin{bmatrix} p_i \\ q_i \end{bmatrix} + b \right), \quad (5)$$

where σ is an activation function, $W_T^{[1:M]} \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 3 \times M}$ is a trainable tensor, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times M}$ is the learned parameters matrix and $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is the bias vector. Three parameters are shared by all stocks.

⁴Here, the superscript t is omitted for simplicity.

4.1.2 Sequential Learning. We feed the fused daily market signals in the past T days into the GRU to learn its sequential embedding \mathbf{s}_i^t , as follows:

$$\mathbf{s}_i^t = \text{GRU} \left(\mathbf{x}_i^{t-T}, \mathbf{x}_i^{t-T+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i^{t-1} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_i^t \in \mathbb{R}^F$ denotes the last hidden state of GRU. F is the hidden size of GRU.

4.2 Learning Stock Relational Embeddings via Dual Attention Networks

In real market, the stock fluctuation is partially affected by its related stocks which is known as momentum spillover effect in finance [Ali and Hirshleifer 2020]. In this section, based upon our newly constructed bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG, we propose a Dual Attention Networks to learn the relational embeddings of stocks that represent their received spillover signals. Specifically, we employ a **dual mechanism** to model the mutual affection and inner influence among the *bi-typed* entities (i.e. companies and executives) alternately, including inter-class interaction and intra-class interaction, as shown in Figure 2-II.

4.2.1 Inter-class Attention Networks. The inter-class attention aims to deal with the interaction between listed companies and their associated executives, as shown in Figure 2 (II-a). Since they are different types of entities, their features usually lie in different space. Hence, we first project their embeddings into a common spaces. Specifically, for a company entity $u \in \mathcal{E}_1$ with type $\tau(u)$ and an executive entity $v \in \mathcal{E}_2$ with type $\tau(v)$, we design two type-specific matrices $\mathbf{W}^{\tau(\cdot)}$ to map their features $\mathbf{h}_u, \mathbf{h}_v$ into a common space.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}'_u &= \mathbf{W}^{\tau(u)} \mathbf{h}_u, \\ \mathbf{h}'_v &= \mathbf{W}^{\tau(v)} \mathbf{h}_v, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{h}'_u \in \mathbb{R}^{F'}$ and $\mathbf{h}_u \in \mathbb{R}^F$ denote the original and transformed features of the entity u , respectively. \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are the sets of listed companies and the executives, respectively. Here, the original vectors of company entities ($\mathbf{h}_u, \mathbf{h}_v$) are initialized by learned sequential embeddings ($\mathbf{s}_u, \mathbf{s}_v$) learned in Section 4.1, which can bring rich semantic information in downstream learning. The initial features of executives are then simply an average of the features of the companies which they work for.

We assume that the target company entity u connects with other executives via a relation $\theta_i \in \Theta_{\text{inter}}$ which denotes the set of inter-class relations, so the neighboring executives of a company u with relation θ_i can be defined as $\mathcal{N}_{\text{inter}}^{\theta_i}(u)$. For entity u , different types of inter-class relations contribute different semantics to its embeddings, and so do different entities with the same relation. Hence, we employ attention mechanism here in entity-level and relation-level to hierarchically aggregate signals from other types of neighbors to target entity u .

We first design an entity-level attention to learn the importance of entities within a same relation. Then, to learn the importance $e_{uv}^{\theta_i}$ which means how important an executive v for a company u under a specific relation θ_i , we perform self-attention [Vaswani et al. 2017] on the entities as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{uv}^{\theta_i} &= \text{att}_{\text{node}}(\mathbf{h}'_u, \mathbf{h}'_v; \theta_i) \\ &= \text{LeakyRelu}(\mathbf{a}_{\theta_i}^T \cdot [\mathbf{h}'_u \| \mathbf{h}'_v]), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{h}'_u and \mathbf{h}'_v are the transformed representations of the node u and v . $\mathbf{a}_{\theta_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{2F'}$ is a trainable weight vector. $\|$ denotes the concatenate operation. LeakyReLU is a nonlinearity activation function. To make $e_{uv}^{\theta_i}$ comparable over different

entities, we normalize it using the softmax function.

$$\gamma_{uv}^{\theta_i} = \text{softmax}_v(e_{uv}^{\theta_i}) = \frac{\exp(e_{uv}^{\theta_i})}{\sum_{\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{inter}}^{\theta_i}(u)} \exp(e_{u\bar{v}}^{\theta_i})}, \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma_{uv}^{\theta_i}$ denotes the attention value of entity v with relation θ_i to entity u . $\mathcal{N}_{\text{inter}}^{\theta_i}(u)$ denotes the specific relation-based neighbors with the different type. We apply entity-level attention to fuse inter-class neighbors with a specific relation θ_i :

$$\mathbf{h}_u^{\theta_i} = \sigma \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{inter}}^{\theta_i}(u)} \gamma_{uv}^{\theta_i} \cdot \mathbf{h}'_v \right), \quad (10)$$

where σ is a nonlinear activation, and \mathbf{h}'_v is the projected feature of entity v .

Once we learned all relation embeddings $\{\mathbf{h}_u^{\theta_i}\}$, we utilize relation-level attention to fuse them together to obtain the inter-class relational embedding \mathbf{z}_u for entity u . We first calculate the importance of each relation w^{θ_i} as follows:

$$w^{\theta_i} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{E}_1} \mathbf{q}^{\tau(u)} \cdot \mathbf{h}_u^{\theta_i} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_2|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{E}_2} \mathbf{q}^{\tau(v)} \cdot \mathbf{h}_v^{\theta_i}, \quad (11)$$

$$\epsilon^{\theta_i} = \frac{\exp(w^{\theta_i})}{\sum_{\theta_j \in \Theta_{\text{inter}}} \exp(w^{\theta_j})}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{q}^{\tau(\cdot)} \in \mathbb{R}^{F' \times 1}$ is learnable parameter. We fuse all relation embeddings to obtain the inter-class relational embedding $\mathbf{z}_u \in \mathbb{R}^{F'}$ of entity u .

$$\mathbf{z}_u = \sum_{\theta_i \in \Theta_{\text{intra}}} \epsilon^{\theta_i} \cdot \mathbf{h}_u^{\theta_i}. \quad (13)$$

In inter-class attention, the aggregation of different entities' embedding are seamlessly integrated, and they are mingled and interactively affected each other in nature, as shown in Figure 2 (II-a).

4.2.2 Intra-class Attention Networks. The intra-class attention aims to learn the interaction among the same type of entities, as shown in Figure 2 (II-b). Specifically, given a relation $\phi_k \in \Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}$ that starts from entity u , we can get the intra-class relation based neighbors $\mathcal{N}_{\text{intra}}^{\phi_k}(u)$. $\Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}$ indicates the set of all intra-class relations of u . For instance, as shown in Figure 1, Company 5 is a neighbor of Company 3 based on an implicit relation, and Company 4 is a neighbor of Company 1 based on meta-relation CEC. Each intra-class relation represents one semantic interaction, and we apply relation-specific attention to encode this characteristic. We first calculate the attention value of entity \tilde{u} with relation ϕ_k to entity u as follows:

$$\alpha_{u\tilde{u}}^{\phi_k} = \frac{\exp(\text{LeakyRelu}(\mathbf{a}_{\phi_k}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}_u \| \mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}_{\tilde{u}}]))}{\sum_{u' \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{intra}}^{\phi_k}(u)} \exp(\text{LeakyRelu}(\mathbf{a}_{\phi_k}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}_u \| \mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}_{u'}])), \quad (14)$$

where \mathbf{z}_u and $\mathbf{z}_{\tilde{u}}$ are output representations of the inter-class attention, respectively. $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{F' \times F'}$ is a trainable weight matrix which is shared to every node of the same type. $\mathbf{a}_{\phi_k} \in \mathbb{R}^{2F'}$ is the node-level attention weight vector for relation ϕ_k . $\mathcal{N}_{\text{intra}}^{\phi_k}(u)$ denotes the intra-class neighbors of u under relation ϕ_k . The embedding $\mathbf{h}_u^{\phi_k}$ of entity u for the given relation ϕ_k is calculated as follows.

$$\mathbf{h}_u^{\phi_k} = \sigma \left(\sum_{\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{intra}}^{\phi_k}(u)} \alpha_{u\tilde{u}}^{\phi_k} \cdot \mathbf{W}\mathbf{z}_{\tilde{u}} \right), \quad (15)$$

where σ is a non-linear activation. In total, we can get $|\Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}|$ embeddings for entity u . Then, we conduct relation-level attentions to fuse them into the relational embedding $\mathbf{h}_u \in \mathbb{R}^{F'}$:

$$\mathbf{h}_u = \sum_{\phi_k \in \Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}} \beta^{\phi_k} \cdot \mathbf{h}_u^{\phi_k}, \quad (16)$$

where $\Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}$ denotes the set of all intra-class relationships of entity u . β^{ϕ_k} denotes the importance of intra-class relation ϕ_k , which is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}^{\phi_k} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{E}_1} \mathbf{q}^{\tau(u)} \cdot \mathbf{h}_u^{\phi_k}, \\ \beta^{\phi_k} &= \frac{\exp(\mathbf{g}^{\phi_k})}{\sum_{\phi_l \in \Phi_{\text{intra}}^{\tau(u)}} \exp(\mathbf{g}^{\phi_l})}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{q}^{\tau(u)} \in \mathbb{R}^{F'}$ is a learnable parameter.

4.3 SMP with Stock Final Embeddings

Finally, the stock final embeddings by combining learned sequential embeddings and relational embeddings are utilized to make stock prediction by a dense layer feed-forward neural network (FNN) and a softmax function, as shown in Figure 2-III.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_i^t &= \mathbf{SMP}(\mathbf{s}_i^t \| \mathbf{h}_i^t) \\ &= \text{Softmax}(\mathbf{W}_{\text{smp}}[\mathbf{s}_i^t \| \mathbf{h}_i^t] + b_{\text{smp}}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where \mathbf{W}_{smp} is a trainable weight matrix, and b_{smp} is the bias vector. We leverage the Adam algorithm [Kingma and Ba 2014] for optimization by minimizing the cross entropy loss function \mathcal{L} .

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i=1}^{|N|} \sum_t y_i^t \ln(\hat{y}_i^t), \quad (19)$$

where y_i^t and \hat{y}_i^t represent the ground truth and predict stock trend of stock i at t day, respectively. $|N|$ is the total number of stocks.

5 EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we present our experiments, mainly focusing on the following research questions:

- RQ1: Can our model achieve better performance than the state-of-the-art stock prediction methods?
- RQ2: Can our model achieve a higher investment return and lower risk in the investment simulation on real-world datasets?
- RQ3: How is the effectiveness of different components in our model?
- RQ4: Are all firm relations equally important for SMP? How do different parameters influence our model's performance?

In the following, we first present the experimental settings and then answer these research questions by analyzing the experimental results.

Table 3. Statistics of datasets.

| | CSI100E | CSI300E |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| #Companies(Nodes) | 73 | 185 |
| #Executives(Nodes) | 163 | 275 |
| #Investment(Edges) | 7 | 44 |
| #Industry category(Edges) | 272 | 1043 |
| #Supply chain(Edges) | 27 | 37 |
| #Business partnership(Edges) | 98 | 328 |
| #Implicit relation(Edges) | <i>dynamic</i> | <i>dynamic</i> |
| #meta-relation CEC | 18 | 42 |
| #meta-relation CEEC | 134 | 252 |
| #Classmate(Edges) | 338 | 592 |
| #Colleague(Edges) | 953 | 2224 |
| #Management(Edges) | 166 | 275 |
| #Investment(Edges) | 1 | 8 |
| #Train Period | 21/11/2017-05/08/2019 | 21/11/2017-05/08/2019 |
| #Valid Period | 06/08/2019-22/10/2019 | 06/08/2019-22/10/2019 |
| #Test Period | 23/10/2019-31/12/2019 | 23/10/2019-31/12/2019 |

5.1 Experimental Settings

5.1.1 Data Collection. Since no existing stock prediction benchmark datasets can satisfy our need to evaluate the effectiveness of our method, we collect public available data about the stocks from the famous China Securities Index (CSI) and construct two new datasets. We name them **CSI100E** and **CSI300E** with different number of listed companies, respectively. 185 stocks in CSI300E index without missing transaction data and having at least 60 related news articles during the selected period are kept. Similarly, 73 stocks in CSI100E index are kept. First, we get historical price of stocks⁵ from November 21, 2017 to December 31, 2019 which include 516 transaction days. Second, we collect web news published in the same period from four financial mainstream sites, including *Sina*⁶, *Hexun*⁷, *Sohu*⁸ and *Eastmoney*⁹. Last, we collect four types of company relations¹⁰ and the connections of executives¹¹ for CSI300E and CSI100E. The basic statistics of the datasets are summarized in Table 3. The usage details of the multimodal market signals are described in Section 3.2.

5.1.2 Evaluation Protocols. SMP is usually treated as a binary classification problem. If the closing price of a stock i is higher than its opening price at day t , the stock movement trend is defined as “upward” ($y_i^t = 1$), otherwise as “downward” ($y_i^t = 0$). According to statistics, there are 46.7% “upward” stocks and 53.3% “downward” ones in CSI100E, and 47.8% “upward” and 52.2% “downward” stocks in CSI300E. Hence, the datasets are roughly balanced.

⁵We collect daily stock price and volume data from <https://www.wind.com.cn/>

⁶<http://www.sina.com>

⁷<http://www.hexun.com>

⁸<http://www.sohu.com>

⁹<http://www.eastmoney.com>

¹⁰We collect four types of company relations by a publicly available API tushare: <https://tushare.pro/>.

¹¹We collect executives relationships from : <http://www.51ifind.com/>.

Some indicators [Sousa et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2020] are selected to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, i.e. Directional Accuracy (DA), Precision, (AUC), Recall, F1-score. We use the **Directional Accuracy (DA)** and **AUC** (the area under the precision-recall curve) to evaluate classification performance in our experiments, which are widely adopted in previous works [Cheng and Li 2021; Li et al. 2020b]. Similar to [Sawhney et al. 2021, 2020b], to evaluate DANSMP’s applicability to real-world trading, we assess its profitability on CSI100E and CSI300E using metrics: cumulative investment return rate (**IRR**) and **Sharpe Ratio** [Sharpe 1994]. Similar to previous method [Cheng and Li 2021; Li et al. 2020b], we use the market signals of the past T trading days (also called lookback window size) to predict stock movement on t^{th} day. The DA, IRR and SR are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} DA &= \frac{n}{N}, \\ IRR^t &= \sum_{i \in S^{t-1}} \frac{p_i^t - p_i^{t-1}}{p_i^{t-1}}, \\ SR_a &= \frac{E[R_a - R_f]}{std[R_a - R_f]}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where n is the number of predictions, which witness the same direction of stock movements for the predicted trend and the actual stock trend and N is the total number of predictions. S^{t-1} denotes the set of stocks on day $t - 1$, and p_i^t is the price of stock i at day t . R_a denotes an asset return and R_f is the risk-free rate. In this study, the risk-free rate is set as the one-year deposit interest rate of the People’s Bank of China in 2019, i.e. $R_f = 1.5\%$.

Note that, to ensure the robustness of the evaluation, we repeat the testing procedure 10 times with different initialization for all the experimental results and the average performance is reported as the final model result.

5.1.3 Parameter Settings. All trainable parameters vectors and matrices are initialized using the Glorot initialization [Xavier and Yoshua 2010]. In our DANSMP, we set the lookback window size T among [10, 15, 20, …, 40]. We search the learning rate from [0.00005, 0.0001, 0.00015, …, 0.002]. The slice size of NTN M and attention layer hidden size F' are determined in [5, 10, 15, …, 50] and [10, 11, 12, …, 50], respectively. The GRU hidden size F is set from [20, 22, 24, …, 100]. In our model, all hyperparameters were optimized with the validation set, and Table 4 shows the hyper-parameter settings of our method. The proposed DANSMP is implemented with PyTorch¹² and PyTorch Geometric¹³, and each training process costs 1.5hrs averagely using a GTX 1080 GPU. To prevent overfitting, we use early stopping based on AUC (the area under the precision-recall curve) over the validation set.

Table 4. The hyper-parameter settings on two datasets.

| Parameter | CSI100E | CSI300E |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Lookback window size T | 20 | 20 |
| The slice size of NTN M | 10 | 10 |
| Attention layer hidden size F' | 39 | 22 |
| GRU hidden size F | 78 | 44 |
| Learning rate | 0.0008 | 0.00085 |
| Implicit relation threshold η | 0.0054 | 0.0052 |
| Maximum number of epochs | 400 | 400 |

¹²<https://pytorch.org/>.

¹³<https://pytorch-geometric.readthedocs.io/en/latest/>.

5.1.4 Baselines. To demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed model DANSMP, we compare the results with the following baselines.

- LSTM [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997]: a typical RNN model that has promising performance on time-series data. In the evaluation, two-layer LSTM networks are implemented.
- GRU [Cho et al. 2014]: a simpler RNN that achieves similar performance with LSTM. In the comparison, two-layer GRU networks are implemented.
- GCN [Kipf and Welling 2017]: It performs graph convolutions to linearly aggregate the attributes of the neighbor nodes. In this study, two-layer GCN network was implemented.
- GAT [Velickovic et al. 2018]: It introduces attention mechanism which assigns different importance to the neighbors adaptively. Two-layer GAT networks are implemented.
- RGCN [Schlichtkrull et al. 2018]: It designs specialized mapping matrices for each relations. Two-layer RGCN network was implemented.
- HGT [Hu et al. 2020]: It uses transformer architecture to capture features of different nodes based on type-specific transformation matrices.
- MAN-SF [Sawhney et al. 2020a]: It fuses chaotic temporal signals from financial data, social media and stock relations in a hierarchical fashion to predict future stock movement.
- STHAN-SR [Sawhney et al. 2021]: It uses hypergraph and temporal Hawkes attention mechanism to rank stocks with only historical price data and explicit firm relations. We only need to slightly modify the objective function of MAN-SF to predict future stock movement.
- AD-GAT [Cheng and Li 2021]: a SOTA method to use an attribute-driven graph attention network to capture attribute-sensitive momentum spillover of stocks, which can modeing market information space with feature interaction to further improve stock movement prediction.

These baselines cover different model characters. Specifically, the sequential-based LSTM [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997] and GRU [Cho et al. 2014] can capture the time dependency of stock data, and the fused market signals were used as the input to the LSTM and GRU model. The homogeneous GNNS-based GCN [Kipf and Welling 2017], GAT [Velickovic et al. 2018], RGCN [Schlichtkrull et al. 2018], HGT [Hu et al. 2020], MAN-SF [Sawhney et al. 2020a], STHAN-SR [Sawhney et al. 2021] and AD-GAT [Cheng and Li 2021] can capture the influence of related stocks based on the fused market signals and simple firm relations. Note that, for fair comparison, we do not select the methods that are incapable of dealing with all fused multi-modal market signals (i.e. historical price, media news and stock relations) as baselines.

5.2 Experimental Results and Analysis (RQ1)

Table 5 shows the evaluation results of the two datasets against nine state-of-the-art (SOTA) baselines, from which we observe that our proposed method outperforms all baselines for stock movement prediction in terms of all metrics on CSI100E and CSI300E. It confirms the capability of our method in modeling the comprehensive market signal representations via dual attention networks.

Analysis. (1) The LSTM and GRU, which only consider historical prices and media news, perform largely worse than our method. The results indicate that the relation datas contribute to stock movement prediction and the proposed method can take full advantage of the relational information in MKG to improve performance. (2) The graph-based methods, such as GCN and GAT, are homogeneous GNNS which are incapable of modeling heterogeneous market graph. Although being

Table 5. Stock prediction results of different models.

| Methods | CSI100E | | CSI300E | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Accuracy | AUC | Accuracy | AUC |
| LSTM [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997] | 51.14 | 51.33 | 51.78 | 52.24 |
| GRU [Cho et al. 2014] | 51.66 | 51.46 | 51.11 | 52.30 |
| GCN [Kipf and Welling 2017] | 51.58 | 52.18 | 51.68 | 51.81 |
| GAT [Velickovic et al. 2018] | 52.17 | 52.78 | 51.40 | 52.24 |
| RGCN [Schlichtkrull et al. 2018] | 52.33 | 52.69 | 51.79 | 52.59 |
| HGT [Hu et al. 2020] | 53.01 | 52.51 | 51.70 | 52.19 |
| MAN-SF [Sawhney et al. 2020a] | 52.86 | 52.23 | 51.91 | 52.48 |
| STHAN-SR [Sawhney et al. 2021] | 52.78 | 53.05 | 52.89 | 53.48 |
| AD-GAT [Cheng and Li 2021] | 54.56 | 55.46 | 52.63 | 54.29 |
| DANSMP (ours) | 57.75 | 60.78 | 55.79 | 59.36 |

Table 6. Profitability of all methods in back-testing.

| Methods | CSI100E | | CSI300E | |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | IRR | SR | IRR | SR |
| LSTM [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997] | -4.57% | -2.1713 | -0.38% | -0.326 |
| GRU[Cho et al. 2014] | -2.55% | -1.053 | -3.73% | -1.197 |
| GCN [Kipf and Welling 2017] | 1.59% | 0.719 | 3.55% | 1.873 |
| GAT [Velickovic et al. 2018] | 0.3% | 0.050 | -1.82% | -1.121 |
| RGCN [Schlichtkrull et al. 2018] | 6.41% | 3.789 | -3.64% | -1.905 |
| HGT[Hu et al. 2020] | 2.54% | 1.716 | 0.36% | 0.076 |
| MAN-SF[Sawhney et al. 2020a] | -2.91% | -1.590 | 1.38% | 0.604 |
| STHAN-SR[Sawhney et al. 2021] | -0.12% | -0.092 | 5.41% | 1.565 |
| AD-GAT [Cheng and Li 2021] | 2.34% | 1.190 | 15.12% | 4.081 |
| DANSMP (ours) | 10.18% | 4.112 | 16.97% | 4.628 |

able to model multi-relational graph, RGCN can not sufficiently encode bi-typed heterogeneous graph become of the fact that it ignores the heterogeneity of node attributes and calculates the importance of neighbors within the same relation based on predefined constants. HGT focuses on handling web-scale heterogeneous graphs via graph sampling strategy, which thus is prone to overfitting when dealing with relative sparse MKG. HGT can not learn multi-level representation by sufficiently utilize interactions between two types of nodes. We believe that is the reason they perform worse than our model DANSMP which is pertinently designed to model bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG. (3) The proposed DANSMP consistently outperforms three other SMP competitors, including AD-GAT, STHAN-SR and MAN-SF. Specifically, it exceeds the second place by approximately 3.19% and 5.32% in terms of Accuracy and AUC in CSI100E, and 3.16% and 5.07% in CSI300E. The results clearly demonstrate the effectiveness of DANSMP and the explicit relation and executives relation are meaningful for stock movement prediction.




Fig. 3. Profitability analysis on CSI100E and CSI300E.

5.3 Investing simulation (RQ2)

To test whether a model can make a profit, we set up a back-testing via simulating the stock investment in CSI100E and CSI300E over the test period, during which the CSI100 and CSI300 index increased by 4.20% and 5.14% (from 4144.05 to 4317.93 and 3896.31 to 4096.58), respectively. Specifically, the top-15 stocks with the highest predicted ranking score in each model are bought and held for one day. We choose RMB 10,000 as the investment budget, and take into account a transaction cost of 0.03% when calculating the investment return rate, which is in accordance with the stock market practice in China. The cumulative profit will be invested into the next trading day. From Table 6 and Figure 3, we can find that DANSMP achieves approximately stable and continuous positive returns throughout the back-testing. Particularly, the

Table 7. The ablation study over DANSMP.

| Variants | CSI100E | | CSI300E | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Accuracy | AUC | Accuracy | AUC |
| DANSMP | 57.75 | 60.78 | 55.79 | 59.36 |
| w/o executives | 52.71 | 52.62 | 53.80 | 55.88 |
| w/o implicit rel. | 53.52 | 54.38 | 52.13 | 53.37 |
| w/o explicit rel. | 55.12 | 57.05 | 54.10 | 55.49 |
| w/o dual | 56.12 | 58.60 | 55.43 | 57.85 |

advantage of DANSMP over all baselines mainly lies in its superior performance when the stock market is in a bear stage. The proposed DANSMP achieves significantly higher returns than all baselines with the cumulative rate of 10.18% and 16.97% in CSI100E and CSI300E. In addition, DANSMP results in a more desirable risk-adjusted return with Sharpe Ratio of 4.113 and 4.628 in CSI100E and CSI300E, respectively. These results further demonstrate the superiority of the proposed method in terms of the trade-off between the return and the risk.

5.4 Ablation Study (RQ3)

To examine the usefulness of each component in DANSMP, we conduct ablation studies on CSI100E and CSI300E. We design four variants: (1) **DANSMP w/o executives**, which deletes the executive entities. MKG is degraded into a simple uni-type knowledge graph. (2) **DANSMP w/o implicit relation**, which removes the implicit relation when we model the stock momentum spillover effect. (3) **DANSMP w/o explicit relation**, which deletes the explicit relations and only use the implicit relation to predict stock movement. (4) **DANSMP w/o dual**, which replaces the dual attention module by conventional attention mechanism and does not distinguish the node intra-class and inter-class relation.

From Table 7, we observe that removing any component of DANSMP would lead to worse results. The effects of the four components vary in different datasets, but all of them contribute to improving the prediction performance. Specifically, removing executives relations and implicit relations leads to the most performance drop, compared to the other two, which means a company can influence the share price of other companies through interactions between executives. In contrast, using the conventional attention mechanism produces the least performance drop. Compared with conventional attention mechanism, the dual attention module enables DANSMP to adaptively select more important nodes and relations. This finding further proves that the proposed DANSMP fully leverages bi-typed hybrid-relational information in MKG via dual mechanism for better stock prediction.

5.5 Analysis of Firm Relation (RQ4)

To investigate the impact of using different types of relations for stock prediction, we show the learned attention scores of our model in Figure 4. The attention score is learned parameter for different firm relations. Some main findings are as follows: (1) We can observe Figure 4 that the learned attention score of implicit relation gains more weight than other relations, and the implicit relation which contains a lot of valuable information proved to be helpful for stock movement prediction. (2) The industry category, supply chain and investment get almost the same attention scores, and those can improve the performance of model. (3) Compared with other relations, the business partnership has the lowest score. Although the number of business partnership relation is greater than that of investment and supply chain, the relatively dense business partnership relation may carry some noise, which adds irrelevant information to the representations of




Fig. 4. The presentation of the learned attention scores of DANSMP on CSI100E and CSI300E. Here, IC denotes the industry category; BP stands for the business partnership; IV denotes the investment; SC is the supply chain; IR denotes the implicit relation.




Fig. 5. Sensitivity to parameters T and η .

target nodes. The results further demonstrate the necessity of considering the implicit relation in modeling the momentum spillover effect. In addition, our model can adaptively weight important company relations to obtain better representations of target nodes, which can improve the performance of the model for stock movement prediction.

5.6 Parameter Sensitivity Analysis (RQ4)

We also investigate on the sensitivity analysis of two parameters in DAN SMP. We report the results of DAN SMP under different parameter settings on CSI100E and CSI300E and experimental results are shown in Figure 5.

Lookback window size T . We analyze the performance variation with different lookback window size T in Figure 5 (a). Our model performs best when T is set to about 20 in both datasets.

Implicit relation threshold η . The results of our model with different implicit relation thresholds are reported in Figure 5 (b). The performance of our proposed model grows with the increment of η and achieves the best performance when η is set to 0.0054 in CSI100E. With the increment of η , the performance raises at first and then drops gradually in the dataset CSI300E. When the η becomes bigger, the performance decreases possibly because some meaningful implicit edges are neglected.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we focus on stock movement prediction task. To model stock momentum spillover in real financial market, we first construct a novel bi-typed hybrid market knowledge graph. Then, we propose a novel Dual Attention Networks, which are equipped with both inter-class attention module and intra-class attention module, to learn the stock momentum spillover features on the newly constructed MKG. To evaluate our method, we construct two new datasets CSI100E and CSI300E. The empirical experiments on the constructed datasets demonstrate our method can successfully improve stock prediction with bi-typed hybrid-relational MKG via the proposed DAN SMP. The ablation studies reaffirm that the performance gain mainly comes from the use of the associated executives, and additional implicit relation between companies in MKG.

An interesting future work direction is to explore web media about the executives including: (i) the negative facts from news, such as accusation of crime, health issue, etc; (ii) the improper speech on social media, such as Twitter and Weibo. We believe these factual event information of executives can be detected and utilized to feed into graph-based methods for better SMP performance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all anonymous reviewers in advance. This research has been partially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 71725001, 71910107002, 61906159, 62176014, U1836206, 71671141, 71873108, 62072379, the State key R & D Program of China under Grant No. 2020YFC0832702, the major project of the National Social Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 19ZDA092, and the Financial Intelligence and Financial Engineering Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province.

REFERENCES

- Ryo Akita, Akira Yoshihara, Takashi Matsubara, and Kuniaki Uehara. 2016. Deep learning for stock prediction using numerical and textual information. In *Proceedings of ICIS*. 1–6.
- Usman Ali and David Hirshleifer. 2020. Shared analyst coverage: Unifying momentum spillover effects. *Journal of Financial Economics* 136, 3 (2020), 649–675. https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w25201/w25201.pdf
- Wei Bao, Jun Yue, and Yulei Rao. 2017. A deep learning framework for financial time series using stacked autoencoders and long-short term memory. *PloS one* 12, 7 (2017). <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0180944>
- Johan Bollen, Huina Mao, and Xiaojun Zeng. 2011. Twitter mood predicts the stock market. *Journal of computational science* 2, 1 (2011), 1–8.
- Jie Cai, Ralph A Walkling, and Ke Yang. 2016. The price of street friends: Social networks, informed trading, and shareholder costs. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 51, 3 (2016), 801–837. <https://www.business.unsw.edu.au/About-Site/Schools-Site/banking-finance-site/Documents/R-Walkling-the-price-of-street-friends.pdf>

- Chi Chen, Li Zhao, Jiang Bian, Chunxiao Xing, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2019. Investment behaviors can tell what inside: Exploring stock intrinsic properties for stock trend prediction. In *Proceedings of KDD*. 2376–2384. <https://doi.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3292500.3330663>
- Yingmei Chen, Zhongyu Wei, and Xuanjing Huang. 2018. Incorporating corporation relationship via graph convolutional neural networks for stock price prediction. In *Proceedings of CIKM*. 1655–1658.
- Rui Cheng and Qing Li. 2021. Modeling the Momentum Spillover Effect for Stock Prediction via Attribute-Driven Graph Attention Networks. In *Proceedings of AAAI*.
- Kyunghyun Cho, Bart Van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1406.1078.pdf>
- Shumin Deng, Ningyu Zhang, Wen Zhang, Jiaoyan Chen, Jeff Z Pan, and Huajun Chen. 2019. Knowledge-Driven Stock Trend Prediction and Explanation via Temporal Convolutional Network. In *Proceedings of WWW*. 678–685.
- Xiao Ding, Yue Zhang, Ting Liu, and Junwen Duan. 2015. Deep learning for event-driven stock prediction. In *Twenty-fourth international joint conference on artificial intelligence*. 2327–2333.
- Xiao Ding, Yue Zhang, Ting Liu, and Junwen Duan. 2016. Knowledge-driven event embedding for stock prediction. In *Proceedings of COLING*. 2133–2142.
- Robert D Edwards, John Magee, and WH Charles Bassetti. 2018. Technical analysis of stock trends. *CRC press* (2018).
- Fuli Feng, Huimin Chen, Xiangnan He, Ji Ding, Maosong Sun, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2019a. Enhancing Stock Movement Prediction with Adversarial Training. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.09936>
- Fuli Feng, Xiangnan He, Xiang Wang, Cheng Luo, Yiqun Liu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2019b. Temporal relational ranking for stock prediction. *ACM TOIS* 37, 2 (2019), 1–30.
- Qiyuan Gao. 2016. Stock market forecasting using recurrent neural network. *Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Missouri–Columbia* (2016).
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jurgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation* 9, 8 (1997), 1735–1780. <https://doi.org/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.676.4320&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Ziniu Hu, Yuxiao Dong, Kuansan Wang, and Yizhou Sun. 2020. Heterogeneous Graph Transformer. In *Proceedings of WWW*. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3366423.3380027>
- Ziniu Hu, Weiqing Liu, Jiang Bian, Xuanzhe Liu, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2018. Listening to chaotic whispers: A deep learning framework for news-oriented stock trend prediction. In *Proceedings of WSDM*. 261–269.
- Wei Jing and Xueyong Zhang. 2021. Online social networks and corporate investment similarity. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 68 (2021), 101921. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0929119921000420>
- Raehyun Kim, Chan Ho So, Minbyul Jeong, Sanghoon Lee, Jinkyu Kim, and Jaewoo Kang. 2019. HATS: A hierarchical graph attention network for stock movement prediction. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07999*.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Thomas N. Kipf and Max Welling. 2017. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of ICLR*.
- Chang Li, Dongjin Song, and Dacheng Tao. 2019. Multi-task Recurrent Neural Networks and Higher-order Markov Random Fields for Stock Price Movement Prediction: Multi-task RNN and Higer-order MRFs for Stock Price Classification. In *Proceedings of SIGKDD*. 1141–1151.
- Qing Li, Yuanzhu Chen, Li Ling Jiang, Ping Li, and Hsinchun Chen. 2016. A tensor-based information framework for predicting the stock market. *ACM TOIS* 34, 2 (2016), 1–30.
- Qing Li, Yan Chen, Jun Wang, Yuanzhu Chen, and Hsinchun Chen. 2017. Web media and stock markets: A survey and future directions from a big data perspective. *TKDE* 30, 2 (2017), 381–399.
- Qing Li, Jinghua Tan, Jun Wang, and HsinChun Chen. 2020b. A multimodal event-driven lstm model for stock prediction using online news. *TKDE* (2020).
- Wei Li, Ruihan Bao, Keiko Harimoto, Deli Chen, Jingjing Xu, and Qi Su. 2020a. Modeling the Stock Relation with Graph Network for Overnight Stock Movement Prediction. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*. 4541–4547.
- Tao Lin, Tian Guo, and Karl Aberer. 2017. Hybrid neural networks for learning the trend in time series. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*. 2273–2279.
- Qikai Liu, Xiang Cheng, Sen Su, and Shuguang Zhu. 2018. Hierarchical Complementary Attention Network for Predicting Stock Price Movements with News. In *Proceedings of CIKM*. 1603–1606. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3269206.3269286>
- Burton G Malkiel and Eugene F Fama. 1970. Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The journal of Finance* 25, 2 (1970), 383–417.
- Felix Ming, Fai Wong, Zhenming Liu, and Mung Chiang. 2014. Stock market prediction from WSJ: text mining via sparse matrix factorization. In *Proceedings of ICDM*. 430–439. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1406.7330>
- David MQ Nelson, Adriano CM Pereira, and Renato A. de Oliveira. 2017. Stock market's price movement prediction with LSTM neural networks. In *Proceedings of IJCNN*. 1419–1426.
- Thien Hai Nguyen, Kiyoshi Shirai, and Julien Velcin. 2015. Sentiment analysis on social media for stock movement prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications* 42, 24 (2015), 9603–9611.
- Yu Qin and Yi Yang. 2019. What you say and how you say it matters: Predicting stock volatility using verbal and vocal cues. In *Proceedings of ACL*. 390–401.
- Akther Mohiuddin Rather, Arun Agarwal, and VN Sastry. 2015. Recurrent neural network and a hybrid model for prediction of stock returns. *Expert Systems with Applications* 42, 6 (2015), 3234–3241.

- Navid Rekabsaz, Mihai Lupu, Artem Baklanov, Allan Hanbury, Alexander Dür, and Linda Anderson. 2017. Volatility prediction using financial disclosures sentiments with word embedding-based IR models. In *Proceedings of ACL*. 1712–1721.
- Ramit Sawhney, Shivam Agarwal, Arnav Wadhwa, Tyler Derr, and Rajiv Ratn Shah. 2021. Stock Selection via Spatiotemporal Hypergraph Attention Network: A Learning to Rank Approach. In *Proceeding of AAAI*. 497–504.
- Ramit Sawhney, Shivam Agarwal, Arnav Wadhwa, and Rajiv Ratn Shah. 2020a. Deep Attentive Learning for Stock Movement Prediction From Social Media Text and Company Correlations. In *Proceedings of EMNLP*.
- Ramit Sawhney, Shivam Agarwal, Arnav Wadhwa, and Rajiv Ratn Shah. 2020b. Spatiotemporal Hypergraph Convolution Network for Stock Movement Forecasting. In *Proceedings of ICDM*. 482–491. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9338303/>
- Michael Schlichtkrull, Thomas N. Kipf, Peter Bloem, Rianne van den Berg, Ivan Titov, and Max Welling. 2018. Modeling Relational Data with Graph Convolutional Networks. In *Proceedings of ESWC*. 593–607.
- Marina Sedinkina, Nikolas Breitkopf, and Hinrich Schutze. 2019. Automatic Domain Adaptation Outperforms Manual Domain Adaptation for Predicting Financial Outcomes. In *Proceedings of ACL*. 346–359. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.14209>
- William F Sharpe. 1994. The sharpe ratio. *Journal of portfolio management* 21, 1 (1994), 49–58.
- Jianfeng Si, Arjun Mukherjee, Bing Liu, Qing Li, Huayi Li, and Xiaotie Deng. 2013. Exploiting topic based twitter sentiment for stock prediction. In *Proceedings of ACL*. 24–29.
- Matheus Gomes Sousa, Sakiyama Kenzo, Lucas de Souza Rodrigues, Pedro Henrique Moraes, Eraldo Rezende Fernandes, and Edson Takashi Matsubara. 2019. BERT for stock market sentiment analysis. In *2019 IEEE 31st International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI)*. IEEE, 1597–1601.
- Manuel R Vargas, Beatriz SLP De Lima, and Alexandre G Evsukoff. 2017. Deep learning for stock market prediction from financial news articles. In *Proceeding of CIVEMSA*. 60–65.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention Is All You Need. In *Proceedings of NeurIPS*. 5998–6008.
- Petar Velickovic, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. 2018. Graph Attention Networks. In *Proceedings of ICLR*.
- Guifeng Wang, Longbing Cao, Hongke Zhao, Qi Liu, and Enhong Chen. 2021. Coupling Macro-Sector-Micro Financial Indicators for Learning Stock Representations with Less Uncertainty. In *Proceedings of AAAI*.
- Huiuze Wu, Wei Zhang, Weiwei Shen, and Jun Wang. 2018. Hybrid Deep Sequential Modeling for Social Text-Driven Stock Prediction. In *Proceedings of CIKM*. 1627–1630.
- Xianchao Wu. 2020. Event-Driven Learning of Systematic Behaviours in Stock Markets. In *Proceedings of EMNLP*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 2434–2444.
- Glorot Xavier and Bengio Yoshua. 2010. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. In *Proceedings of AISTATS*. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 249–256.
- Yumo Xu and Shay B. Cohen. 2018. Stock movement prediction from tweets and historical prices. In *Proceedings of ACL*. 1970–1979.
- Jiexia Ye, Juanjuan Zhao, Kejiang Ye, and Chengzhong Xu. 2020. Multi-Graph Convolutional Network for Relationship-Driven Stock Movement Prediction. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04955*.
- Liheng Zhang, Charu Aggarwal, and Guo-Jun Qi. 2017. Stock price prediction via discovering multi-frequency trading patterns. In *Proceedings of KDD*. 2141–2149.
- Xi Zhang, Yunjia Zhang, Senzhang Wang, Yuntao Yao, Binxing Fang, and S. Yu Philip. 2018. Improving stock market prediction via heterogeneous information fusion. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 143 (2018), 236–247.