

# Carry trade and negative policy rates in Switzerland

Bruno Thiago Tomio

Guillaume Vallet

32nd Annual EAEPE Conference

# Definition of carry trade

The carry trade is the name of the strategy of going short (betting the foreign exchange value will fall) in a low-interest rate currency like the Japanese yen, while simultaneously going long (betting the foreign exchange value will rise) in a high-interest rate currency like the New Zealand dollar.

--- Frankel (2008)<sup>1</sup>

[1] Frankel, J. (2008), 'Carried away: everything you always wanted to know about the carry trade, and perhaps much more', *Milken Institute Review*, 10(1), 38.

Figure 1 - CT ratio, US policy rate, and Switzerland's policy rate




Table 1 - Description of variables

Variable	Definition	Source
CT	Ratio of short positions over long positions (Short/Long) - noncommercial traders	CFTC
ER	Nominal exchange rates, USDCHF	BIS
IRD	Difference between the policy interest rate in Switzerland and the policy interest rate in the United States	BIS
VIX	Market sentiment, CBOE DJIA Volatility Index	FRED
SM	Swiss Market Index, ^SSMI (Swiss stock market)	Yahoo Finance
SMUS	S&P 500, ^GSPC (US stock market)	Yahoo Finance

# Caveats for using CFTC data

First, while non-commercial traders are generally associated with speculative activity, it is possible that some commercial traders also take speculative positions.

Second, the trades identified as speculative may not result from carry trades.

Finally, a comparison with statistics from the BIS Triennial Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity shows that only a very small proportion of foreign exchange market activity is executed through exchanges.

--- Galati et al. (2007)<sup>2</sup>

[2] Galati, G., A. Heath and P. McGuire (2007), 'Evidence of carry trade activity', *BIS Quarterly Review*.

# Aim of the paper

We address two questions, by comparing both PI and NI periods:

The **first** refers to the determination of the financial factors that hold important roles in explaining the Swiss franc carry trade.

# Aim of the paper

We address two questions, by comparing both PI and NI periods:

The **first** refers to the determination of the financial factors that hold important roles in explaining the Swiss franc carry trade.

**Second**, we reflect on the consequences of the unwind of Swiss franc carry trade activities. Specifically, we are interested in how carry trade shocks the related financial variables.

# Methodology

## Data specification

- CT ratio: weekly released (each Tuesday)
- Other variables: daily (Tuesday)



# Methodology

## Data specification

- CT ratio: weekly released (each Tuesday)
- Other variables: daily (Tuesday)

## Econometric method

- Structural vector-autoregressive (SVAR) model to infer strong causation
- Toda-Yamamoto approach to capture long-term effects and to avoid unit root testing biases

# Methodology

## Data specification

- CT ratio: weekly released (each Tuesday)
- Other variables: daily (Tuesday)

## Econometric method

- Structural vector-autoregressive (SVAR) model to infer strong causation
- Toda-Yamamoto approach to capture long-term effects and to avoid unit root testing biases

*PDF, slides, dataset, and code (do-file, Stata) are available at*  
<https://bttomio.github.io>.

# Results

## Forecast-error variance decompositions (FEVDs)

- How much of the carry trade fluctuations are explained by the financial variables?

## Graphics of impulse response functions (IRFs)

- How the carry trade responds to shocks in the financial variables?
- How the financial variables respond to shocks in the carry trade activity?

# FEVDs - Responses of the **carry trade** to one std. dev. shocks

PI period

Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	2.55	3.42	90.54	1.23	2.12	0.14
8	2.08	<b>8.45</b>	77.54	4.98	6.29	0.66
12	2.07	<b>11.93</b>	67.85	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.36</b>	1.19
16	2.35	<b>13.61</b>	62.38	<b>11.28</b>	<b>8.87</b>	1.51
20	2.75	<b>14.3</b>	59.36	<b>13.13</b>	<b>8.82</b>	1.64

# FEVDs - Responses of the **carry trade** to one std. dev. shocks

## PI period

Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	2.55	3.42	90.54	1.23	2.12	0.14
8	2.08	<b>8.45</b>	77.54	4.98	6.29	0.66
12	2.07	<b>11.93</b>	67.85	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.36</b>	1.19
16	2.35	<b>13.61</b>	62.38	<b>11.28</b>	<b>8.87</b>	1.51
20	2.75	<b>14.3</b>	59.36	<b>13.13</b>	<b>8.82</b>	1.64


## NI period

Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	4.35	0.12	87.44	6.5	1.02	0.56
8	5.51	0.28	76.28	<b>14.6</b>	1.48	1.86
12	<b>8.66</b>	0.37	66.25	<b>15.56</b>	5.44	3.71
16	<b>10.01</b>	0.6	57.14	<b>13.91</b>	<b>12.28</b>	6.07
20	<b>9.7</b>	1.13	48.96	<b>11.79</b>	<b>19.71</b>	<b>8.71</b>

## Structural Impulse Responses of CT

The financial variables impact the carry trade...

Figure 2 - PI period



Impact	Shock/Impulse
+	ER
-	SMUS
+	VIX

## Structural Impulse Responses of CT

The financial variables impact the carry trade...

Figure 2 - PI period





Figure 3 - NI period



Impact	Shock/Impulse
+	ER
-	SMUS
+	VIX

Impact	Shock/Impulse
+	ER (0-12 weeks)
+	IRD (1 week)
-	IRD (12-20 weeks)
+	SMUS (8-... weeks)
-	SM (8-... weeks)

# FEVDs - Responses of the **financial variables** to one std. dev. shocks

PI period						
Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	0.26	0.04	90.54	6.58	1.62	0.92
8	1.51	0.82	77.54	7.04	5.35	4.9
12	2.43	1.83	67.85	7.1	7.69	<b>8.7</b>
16	2.66	2.31	62.38	7.21	<b>8.7</b>	<b>11.05</b>
20	2.54	2.43	59.36	7.39	<b>9.01</b>	<b>12.24</b>



# FEVDs - Responses of the **financial variables** to one std. dev. shocks

## PI period

Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	0.26	0.04	90.54	6.58	1.62	0.92
8	1.51	0.82	77.54	7.04	5.35	4.9
12	2.43	1.83	67.85	7.1	7.69	<b>8.7</b>
16	2.66	2.31	62.38	7.21	<b>8.7</b>	<b>11.05</b>
20	2.54	2.43	59.36	7.39	<b>9.01</b>	<b>12.24</b>


## NI period

Step	IRD	VIX	CT	ER	SMUS	SM
4	0.34	0.16	87.44	0.41	0.7	3.1
8	1.76	0.31	76.28	0.49	3.19	5.41
12	3.15	0.62	66.25	0.48	4.28	5.37
16	4.3	1.5	57.14	0.6	4.5	4.93
20	5.13	2.35	48.96	0.84	4.51	4.66

## Structural Impulse Responses to the CT shocks

The carry trade impacts the financial variables...

Figure 4 - PI period



Impact	Response
+	ER
+	SMUS (2-16 weeks)
+	SM (4-... weeks)

## Structural Impulse Responses to the CT shocks

The carry trade impacts the financial variables...

Figure 4 - PI period





Figure 5 - NI period



Impact	Response
+	ER
+	SMUS (2-16 weeks)
+	SM (4-... weeks)

Impact	Response
-	IRD (10-... weeks)
+	SMUS (4-12 weeks)
+	SM (2-6 weeks)
+	VIX (14-... weeks)

# Conclusions

- NIRP (Negative interest rate policy) seems to be strengthening the Swiss carry trade activity.
- The contribution of IRD is more substantial in the NI period.
- Weak evidence of the impact of carry trade in the financial variables. Nonetheless, in the NI period, the unwind of carry trade activity would impact negatively VIX and stock markets (US and Swiss).
- It seems to be a source of systemic risk (caution: small contribution - FEVDs).
- For the Swiss National Bank (SNB), increased macro-prudential supervision is needed (through new instruments that take into account the evolution of financial variables into its monetary reaction function).

# Thank you!

Slides created with [xaringan](#) and [xaringanthemer](#).