

Self-Evaluation Report

Name of the assignment: Homework 3C

Name of the author: Brandon Yates

Due date of the assignment: 10/20/15

Date when the assignment actually is finished: 10/16/15

Effort:

- Number of hours spent in programming: 1 hour
- Have you enclosed the source code files as attachment to email to Dr. Lin? Yes
- Have you had a peer reviewer from the class test your executable? No
- Have you implemented all the project requirements? If not, what are missing? Yes

Name of the peer reviewer testing the implementation: N/A

Description of bugs or other problems discovered by you or the peer reviewer: N/A

Grade of the code reviewed: 6 based on the following 0-6 grading scale

(The grade could have a fractional part like 3.5)

0. Nothing done.
1. Source code is completed but the code fails to compile successfully.
2. Source code can compile and do something required, but has serious bugs or miss a couple of key features.
3. Source code can compile and do most of the features required, but has many minor bugs or miss a key required feature.
4. Source code can compile and do all the features required, nearly fully functional, only a couple of minor bugs.
5. Source code can compile and do all the features required, fully functional, no bugs.
6. In addition to the points received above, get one more point if the source code is well indented and commented to make it visually very readable.

Additional answers or experimental observations required by the assignment

SEE ADDITIONAL EXERCISES PDF