AMA 505: Optimization Methods

Subject Lecturer: Ting Kei Pong

Lecture 6 Semidefinite Programming I **Duality Theory**

0/15

Semidefinite Programming

Our main focus in this lecture and the next is $X:X \geq 0$ is convex Minimize tr(CX)Minimize tr(CX)

subject to $tr(A_iX) = b_i, i = 1, ..., m,$

Here:

- subject to $X \succeq 0$, $X \succeq 0$, ere:

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.

 S^n is the space of all real symmetric matrices.
- For an $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\operatorname{tr}(Y) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{ii}$.
- The constraint $X \succ 0$ requires the symmetric matrix X to be positive semidefinite, i.e., all eigenvalues are nonnegative.
- These problems are called semidefinite programming (SDP) problems.
- The feasible region is convex. (CHECK!)
- SDPs are convex problems.

What is tr(AX)?

For $A, B \in \mathcal{S}^n$, we have

$$tr(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (AB)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ij}.$$

Note: tr(AB) is really the vector inner product of the vectors vec(A) (obtained by stacking columns of A) and vec(B) (obtained by stacking columns of B).

Example: Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $tr(AB)$ equals

$$2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot (-2) + 3 \cdot (-2) + (-1) \cdot 6 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = [\text{vec}(A)]^T \text{vec}(B).$$

2/15

LPs are SDPs

Consider the following linear program.

Minimize
$$x_1 - x_2$$

subject to $6x_1 + x_2 = 3$, $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$.

We show that this is equivalent to an instance of SDP.

KEY FACT: If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is diagonal, then $X \succeq 0$ if and only if $x_{ii} \geq 0$ for all i.

Now, thinking of $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}$, then the above is equivalent to

positive definite Ps are SDPs cont.

More generally, consider the following linear program.

Minimize
$$c^T x$$

subject to $Ax = b$, $x \ge 0$, (1)

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. We show that this is equivalent to an instance of SDP.

KEY FACT: If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is diagonal, then $X \succeq 0$ if and only if $x_{ii} \geq 0$ for all i.

Let $X \in \mathcal{S}^n$ and think of its diagonal to be x. Then

$$c^T x = \text{tr}[\text{Diag}(c)X], \quad \mathbf{a}_i^T x = \text{tr}[\text{Diag}(\mathbf{a}_i)X],$$

where \mathbf{a}_{j}^{T} is the *j*th row of A, and $Diag(c) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal being c.

4/15

LPs are SDPs cont.

Next, to enforce that X is diagonal, we impose $x_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. These are given by

$$\operatorname{tr}[E_{ij}X]=0,$$

where E_{ij} is the symmetric matrix that is $\frac{1}{2}$ at the ij and jith entries, and is zero otherwise. Why two $\frac{1}{2}$'s?

Thus, (1) is equivalent to the following SDP:

Minimize
$$\operatorname{tr}[\operatorname{Diag}(c)X]$$

subject to $\operatorname{tr}[\operatorname{Diag}(\mathbf{a}_j)X] = b_j, \ j = 1, \dots, m,$
 $\operatorname{tr}[E_{ij}X] = 0, \ 1 \le i < j \le n,$
 $X \succeq 0,$

Why SDPs?

- SDPs are generalizations of LPs. They inherit nice properties such as strong duality (extra assumptions needed).
- Many solvers have been developed for SDPs. Solvers based on interior-point methods (IPM) can solve medium-sized problems readily on standard desktops.
- As we shall see later: A large class of problems can be reformulated as SDPs, and a large number of applications can be modeled using SDPs.
- As we shall see later: A software called CVX largely automates
 the process of transforming problems into standard SDP formats
 and calling solvers. We will mainly look at its MATLAB interface
 (which calls free IPM-based solvers SeDuMi or SDPT3). CVX
 also has interfaces for Python and Julia.

6/15

Thm: if $\exists \overline{y}$, (-t. $C - \Sigma g_i \overline{A}_i > 0$ Strong duality $\{(tr(CX), tr(A_iX) - tr(A_mX))^{\intercal} \mid x > 0\}$ is attainable.

Theorem 6.1 (Strong duality for SDPs)

Consider the following primal-dual SDP pairs:

Primal: $\begin{cases} & \underset{X \in \mathcal{S}^n}{\text{Minimize}} & \text{tr}(CX) \\ & \text{subject to} & \text{tr}(A_iX) = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & X \succeq 0, \end{cases}$ Dual: $\begin{cases} & \underset{y \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\text{Maximize}} & b^T y \\ & \text{subject to} & C - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i \succeq 0, \end{cases}$

where $C \in S^n$ and $A_i \in S^n$ for all i. Let v_p and v_d denote their optimal values respectively. Then the following statements hold.

- 1. If there exists $\overline{X} > 0$ such that $tr(A_i \overline{X}) = b_i$ for all i, then $v_p = v_d$ and v_d is attained when finite.
- 2. If there exists $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $C \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i A_i > 0$, then $v_p = v_d$ and v_p is attained when finite.

Z iz unique

feasible region

Def: (slater point): I satisfies (>0

7/15

Example

Example: Here shows a primal-dual pair of SDP, in standard form.

Primal:

Dual:

$$\label{eq:maximize} \begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & 3y_1 + 0y_2 \\ \text{subject to} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} - y_1 \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - y_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \end{array}$$

We next argue that strong duality holds and both primal and dual problems have optimal solutions.

8/15

Example cont.

Example cont.:

• Step 1: Come up with a primal Slater point. Note that $\bar{X} := \begin{bmatrix} 1/6 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$ and is primal feasible. Thus, by Theorem 6.1, $v_p = v_d$, v_d is attained when finite. Moreover,

$$v_p \le \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{bmatrix}\bar{X}\right) = -11/6 < \infty$$
.

• Step 2: Come up with a dual Slater point. Note that if we take (>0) .

 $\bar{y} := \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{bmatrix} - (-2)\begin{bmatrix}6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} - 0\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}13 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} \succ 0.$$

Thus, by Theorem 6.1, $v_p = v_d$, v_p is attained when finite. Moreover, $v_d \ge 3\bar{y}_1 = -6 > -\infty$.

Step 3: Thus, $-11/6 \ge v_p = v_d \ge -6$, showing that $v_p = v_d$ and is finite. Thus, both values are attainable. $\Rightarrow v_p = v_d$

we found primal Slater Point & dual Slater -

Nonnegative trace

To understand Theorem 6.1, we need the following.

Theorem 6.2
$$\nearrow$$
 O Let $A \in \mathcal{S}^n_+$ and $C \notin \mathcal{S}^n_+$. Then $tr(AC) \ge 0$.

Proof: Since A is symmetric, there exist an orthogonal matrix U and a diagonal matrix D so that $A = UDU^T$. $t_{\Upsilon}(UDU^T) = t_{\Upsilon}(U^TUD) = t_{\Upsilon}(D) \nearrow 0$.

Since all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative, we have $d_{ii} \geq 0$ for all i. Define $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ to be the diagonal matrix so that

$$w_{ij} = egin{cases} \sqrt{d_{ii}} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the square root of A as $A^{\frac{1}{2}} := UWU^{T}$.

One can show that this definition is independent of the specific eigenvalue decomposition used. Thus, it is really "the" square root.

Then $A^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{S}^n_+$ and $(A^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = A$. Similarly, we can define $C^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

10/15

Nonnegative trace cont.

Proof of Theorem 6.2 cont.: Recall that for any two matrices X, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we have tr(XY) = tr(YX).

Thus

$$\operatorname{tr}(AC) = \operatorname{tr}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \operatorname{tr}(C^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}})
= \operatorname{tr}([A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{T}A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Finally, note that for any matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(Y^TY) = \sum_{i=1}^n [Y^TY]_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n y_{ji}y_{ji} \ge 0.$$

Hence, $tr(AC) \ge 0$.

Strong duality cont.

Remarks on Theorem 6.1:

• It always holds that $v_p \ge v_d$. Indeed, for any primal feasible X and dual feasible y, we have

$$b^{T}y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}y_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{tr}(A_{i}X)y_{i} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}A_{i}X\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}A_{i} - C\right]X\right) + \operatorname{tr}(CX) \leq \operatorname{tr}(CX),$$

$$\preceq O \Rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(CX) \leq O$$

where the inequality follows from the feasibilities and Theorem 6.2.

This is known as weak duality.

12/15

Strong duality cont.

Remarks on Theorem 6.1 cont.:

- Recall that in the proof of LP duality, another important ingredient there is the closedness of $\left\{\begin{bmatrix}c^T\\A\end{bmatrix}x:\ x\geq 0\right\}$.
- · Here, we should look at the object

$$\widehat{\Upsilon} := \{ [\operatorname{tr}(CX) \operatorname{tr}(A_1X) \cdot \cdot \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A_mX)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : X \succeq 0 \}.$$

Unfortunately, this object is not closed in general.

• If there exists $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $C - \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i A_i \succ 0$, then $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ is closed. Why? See the next slide for a proof. One can then similarly use Theorem 4.3 to argue that $v_p = v_d$. The attainment of v_p (when finite) also follows from the closedness of $\widehat{\Upsilon}$.

Strong duality cont.

Here we prove the closedness of $\widehat{\Upsilon}$, assuming there exists $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $C - \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i A_i > 0$.

Proposition 6.1

Consider Primal and Dual in Theorem 6.1 and the set $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ on the previous slide. Suppose that there exists $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $C - \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i A_i \succ 0$. Then $\widehat{\Upsilon}$ is closed.

Proof: Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $C - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{y}_i A_i \succeq \delta I \succ 0$.

Suppose that $\{u^k\} \subseteq \widehat{\Upsilon}$ and $u^k \to u^*$ for some u^* . We need to show that $u^* \in \widehat{\Upsilon}$.

By definition, there exist $\{X^k\}$ with $X^k \succeq 0$ for all k and

$$u^k = [\operatorname{tr}(CX^k) \operatorname{tr}(A_1X^k) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(A_mX^k)]^T$$
.

(ordered)

14/15

Principal submatrices := X(I, I)Strong duality cont. I:= indicator

Proof of Proposition 6.1 cont.: Now, using Theorem 6.2, we have

$$\delta \operatorname{tr}(X^k) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(\left\lceil C - \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i A_i \right\rceil X^k\right) = \operatorname{tr}(CX^k) - \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{y}_i \operatorname{tr}(A_i X^k).$$

Since $\operatorname{tr}(CX^k) - \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{y}_i \operatorname{tr}(A_i X^k) \to u^{*T} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\bar{y} \end{bmatrix}$, and $X^k \succeq 0$ for all k, the above display shows that $\{X^k\}$ is bounded. $\operatorname{tr}(X^k) = \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^k) \leq L$ Hence, there is a convergent subsequence $X^{k_i} \to X^*$ for some $\Lambda^k \nearrow 0$ $X^* \succeq 0$. Then

$$u^* = \lim_{i \to \infty} u^{k_i} = \lim_{i \to \infty} [\operatorname{tr}(CX^{k_i}) \operatorname{tr}(A_1X^{k_i}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(A_mX^{k_i})]^T$$

= $[\operatorname{tr}(CX^*) \operatorname{tr}(A_1X^*) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(A_mX^*)]^T \in \widehat{\Upsilon},$

where the last inclusion holds because $X^* \succeq 0$.

 $\chi^{ki} \rightarrow \chi^* \in \text{fensible region}, \chi^* \neq 0.$ $f(\chi^{ki}) \rightarrow f(\chi^*) = u^* \Rightarrow f(\chi^*) \in \stackrel{15}{\text{cet}}(). \square$