

21-300 Assignment 1

Robert Liu

rql@andrew.cmu.edu

August 31, 2011

X1004

(a,b,c) First we prove that the empty formula is not a wff. To do this we prove that the length of a wff is at least 1 using X1000. This holds true for every propositional variable \mathbf{p} since each propositional variable has length 1. For (2) if $\#\mathbf{A} = n \geq 1$ then $\#\sim \mathbf{A} = n + 1 > 1$ and so the property holds. Finally for (3) if $\#\mathbf{A} = n \geq 1$ and $\#\mathbf{B} = m \geq 1$ then $\#[\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B}] = n + m > 1$. Thus we have that all wff have length at least 1. Since the length of the empty formula is 0, it is not a wff.

Now we introduce the notation that the symbols of a formula are enumerated from left to right. That is $\mathbf{A} = x_0x_1x_2 \dots x_n$.

Next we define a “pair” of square brackets. A “pair” is composed of a left square bracket with a right square bracket to its right. If there are no other brackets between them, they are already a pair. Otherwise, a left bracket is paired with the closest right bracket with a higher index.

First I claim that all brackets are paired. Using X1000 we know that this holds for all propositional variables since they have no brackets. (2) If the property holds for \mathbf{A} then it also holds for $\sim \mathbf{A}$ since no new brackets are introduced. (3) If the property holds for \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} then it also holds for $[\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{C}$. The additional brackets in \mathbf{C} automatically pair because we’ve assumed that all of the brackets in \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are already paired. By definition, since the new right bracket is the closest right bracket to the new left bracket they are paired. Thus it holds that the brackets in \mathbf{C} are paired and so the property holds for all wff by X1000.

Now I claim that every pair of brackets in a wff must have a disjunction with a wff on both sides. Specifically x_l is the left bracket and x_r is the right bracket, then I claim that there exists an x_d with $l < d < r$ that is the disjunction symbol and that the symbols from $[x_{l+1}, x_{d-1}]$ and the symbols from $[x_{d+1}, x_{r-1}]$ both form wffs. Again we use X1000. (1) Every propositional variable does not have a pair of brackets so this is vacuously true. (2) If the property holds for \mathbf{A} then it also holds for $\sim \mathbf{A}$ because the constructed wff does not have any new pairs of square brackets. (3) If the property holds for \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} then $[\mathbf{A} \vee \mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{C}$ also satisfies the property. To show this, we know first know that all brackets in \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are already paired as proved by the previous lemma. Thus by definition the new brackets in \mathbf{C} are paired. By inspection there is an x_d with $l < d < r$. Finally, since we have assumed \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are wffs the property holds for \mathbf{C} . Thus by X1000 the property holds for all wffs.

Finally, for each of the formulas in a) $\sim p[\sim q]$, b) $[\]p$, c) $[\sim p \vee]q$ it is clear that they do not satisfy the properties above so they are not wffs. In particular, the third formula does not have a wff on the right side of the disjunction (since we proved that the empty formula is not a wff).