

REPORT 6195B840DDE18F0019C88E24

Created Thu Nov 18 2021 02:19:44 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)

Number of analyses 1

User 5f50e9c4f992e6001848d9db

REPORT SUMMARY

Analyses ID	Main source file	Detected vulnerabilities

31489c10-b3ec-4c14-be8c-5e571b6d5c24

buckpool.sol

13

Started Thu Nov 18 2021 02:19:52 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)

Finished Thu Nov 18 2021 03:04:57 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)

Mode

Client Tool Remythx

Main Source File Buckpool.Sol

DETECTED VULNERABILITIES

(HIGH	(MEDIUM	(LOW
0	0	13

ISSUES

LOW A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

```
5 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
6 
7 pragma solidity ^0.7.0
8 
9 /*
```

LOW A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file

buckpool.sol

Locations



LOW

A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file

buckpool.sol

Locations



LOW

A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file

buckpool.sol

Locations



A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.0". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file

buckpool.sol

Locations

```
711 | 712 | 713 | pragma solidity ^0.7.0 | 714 | 715 | /**
```

LOW

A floating pragma is set.

SWC-103

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.7"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source file

buckpool.sol Locations

```
1825
1826
1827
pragma solidity >=8.6.7

1828
1829 interface AggregatorV3Interface {
```

LOW

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

```
#/
function getPriorVotes(address account, uint blockNumber) public view returns (uint96) {
require(blockNumber < block number, "BEVY::getPriorVotes: not yet determined");

uint32 nCheckpoints = numCheckpoints[account];</pre>
```

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file

buckpool.sol

Locations

```
function _writeCheckpoint(address voter, uint32 nCheckpoints, uint96 oldWotes, uint96 newWotes) internal {

uint32 blockNumber = safe32(block number, "BEVY::_writeCheckpoint: block number exceeds 32 bits");

if (nCheckpoints > 0 && checkpoints[voter][nCheckpoints - 1].fromBlock == blockNumber) {
```

LOW

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

```
redeemCollateralBalances[msg.sender] = redeemCollateralBalances[msg.sender].add(collateral_needed);
unclaimedPoolCollateral = unclaimedPoolCollateral.add(collateral_needed);
lastRedeemed[msg.sender] = block number;

// Move all external functions to the end
```

LOW

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file buckpool.sol

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

```
unclaimedPoolBEVY = unclaimedPoolBEVY.add(bevy_amount);

inclaimedPoolBEVY = unclaimedPoolBEVY.add(bevy_amount);

inclaimedPoolBEVY_add(bevy_amount);

inclaimedPoolBEVY_add(bevy_amount);
```

LOW

Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.

SWC-120

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source file buckpool.sol Locations

```
// to take out BUCK/collateral from the system, use an AMM to trade the new price, and then mint back into the system.

function collectRedemption() external {

require((lastRedeemed[msg.sender].add(redemption_delay)) <= block number, "Must wait for redemption_delay blocks before collecting redemption");

bool sendBEVY = false;

bool sendCollateral = false;
```