EXTERNAL SANDHI IN PĀLI (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE SUTTANIPĀTA)

Since words in Pāli can, as a general rule, end only in a vowel or anusvāra, external sandhi in Pāli consists of a series of contractions of final vowels with the initial vowels of following words, or the loss of the nasalisation and the contraction of the vowel remaining.

As Geiger points out, 1 external sandhi in Pāli differs fundamentally from that in Sanskrit. It is always arbitrary and applies only to words which are syntactically closely connected. It permits hiatus, elides and combines vowels including nasalised vowels, and in particular depends upon the crasis or elision of -i and -u before vowels rather than the development to -y and -v found in Sanskrit. Windisch pointed out that such a sandhi system appears to be older and more natural than the system found in Sanskrit.

When the final vowel is -i/-e or -u/-o we do sometimes find -y or -v appearing. Since, in the case of -e and -o, this is not historically correct, I regard these as hyperforms, with -y and -v inserted incorrectly by someone who had some knowledge of Sanskrit. I similarly regard the appearance of -y and -v in place of -i and -u as insertions in imitation of Sanskrit.

On occasion, the long vowel which results from combination remains before a doubled consonant or consonant group (indicated by CC in the following examples), in defiance of the Law of Two Morae, by which a long vowel should be shortened in those circumstances. There seems to

¹ W. Geiger, Pāli Literatur und Sprache, 1916, § 68.

² Quoted by Geiger, *ibid*.

be no example in the Suttanipāta of this happening before a nasal + consonant (indicated by NC).

The great variety of sandhi forms which occur probably supports Windisch's belief. External sandhi in Pāli is, however, by its very variety more complex and confusing to readers, since it is not always obvious what vowels are being combined. In the belief that readers of Pāli, especially beginners, 1 might find an analysis of sandhi forms helpful, I have collected here a number of examples of external sandhi which occur in the Suttanipāta, although I have very occasionally given examples from other texts of sandhi forms which do not occur in the Suttanipāta. I make no claims for completeness, but I believe that the list contains most of the sandhi forms which readers of Pāli are likely to encounter. For the most part I give only one example of each sandhi development, usually but not always the first occurrence of the form in the Suttanipata. Numbers without any other indication refer to the verses of the Suttanipata, and references prefixed with p. refer to the prose portions of that text. I sometimes give additional examples in the footnotes, including references to texts other than the Suttanipata, where the sandhi is rare, or for some reason particularly interesting.

Since editors sometimes differ in the way in which they show contractions and elisions, I have omitted inverted commas, etc., and simply show the result of sandhi between hyphens. Since we cannot always be certain what vowels have been elided, I have drawn attention to possible ambiguities. As a result of the same uncertainty, some of my reconstructions may be wrong. I hope that the number of incorrect forms has been kept to a minimum.

The developments of the particles api and iti sometimes show a secondary development from the historical Sanskrit sandhi. I have discussed these in a separate excursus.

In an Appendix at the end I list the sandhi developments in reverse order, so that beginners, faced with a sandhi form which they do not recognise, may have guidance in recognising which vowels may have combined to produce the form in question.

<i>-a</i>)	$-a + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	14 yassa + anusayā > yassānusayā
	-a + aCC - > -aCC	p. 16,6 yassa + atthāya > yassatthāya
	$-a + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC -$	5 na + ajjhagamā > nājjhagamā¹
	-a + aNC - > -aNC	6 yassa + antarato > yassantarato
	$-a + \bar{a} - > -\bar{a}$	p. 16,5 pana + āyasmā > panāyasmā
	$-a + i$ - $> -\bar{a}$ -	84 Cunda + iti > Cundāti ²
	-a + i - > -i -	p. 106,12 tassa imāni > tassimāni
	$-a + i - > -\bar{i}$ -	793 kena + idha > kenīdha
	-a + i - > -e -	$272 \text{ nigrodhassa} + iva > -asseva^3$
	-a + iCC - > -iCC	39 yena + icchakam > yenicchakam
	-a + iNC - > -iNC	516 yassa + indriyāni > yassindriyāni
	-a + u - > -u -	p. 13,5 tena + upasamkami > tenupa-
	$-a + u - > -\bar{u}$ -	433 na + upasussaye > nūpasussaye ⁴
	-a + u - > -o -	221 na + upeti > nopeti ⁵
	-a + uCC - > -uCC -	435 pattassa + uttamavedanam >
		pattassuttama-
	-a + e - > -e -	81 na + esa > nesa

 $^{^{1}}$ cf. 8 foll. $na + accas\bar{a}r\bar{i} > n\bar{a}ccas\bar{a}r\bar{i}$; 291 $na + assu > n\bar{a}ssu$.

¹ Some of whom, I know, find the detailed accounts of sandhi in Geiger, §§ 68 foll. and A.K. Warder, *Introduction to Pali*, pp. 213 foll., rather daunting.

² This is probably not the result of -a + i, but is an analogical form based upon -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- below.

 $^{^{3}}$ cf. 768 sappassa + iva > sappasseva.

 ⁴ cf. 801 yassa ubhayante > yassūbhayante; 849 na + upasamkheyyo > nūpa-;
 1077 jīvitena + upapannam > jīvitenūpa-; 1106 ca + ubhayam > cūbhayam.
 ⁵ cf. 779 na + upalitto > nopalitto; 812 na + upalippati > nopalippati.

	-a + o- > -o-	270 iva + ossajanti > ivossajanti ¹
-aṃ)	$-am + a - > -\bar{a}$	p. 16,1 labheyyam + aham >
		labheyyāhaṃ ²
	-am + a - > -a -	538 -tamaṃ + agā > -tamagā
	-am + aCC - > -aCC -	225 samam + atthi > samatthi ³
	$-am + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC$	769 gavam + assam > gavāssam ⁴
	-am + aNC - > -aNC	1148 pañhānam antakaro >
		pañhānantakaro
	$-am + \bar{a}$ - $>$ $-\bar{a}$ -	285 brāhmaṇānam + āsum >
		brāhmaṇānāsuṃ
	$-am + i$ - $> -\bar{i}$ -	833 yesam + idha > yesīdha
	-am + u - > -u -	700 anagāriyam + upetassa >
		anagāriyupetassa
	$-am + u - > -\bar{u}$	901 tapam upanissāya > apūpanissāya ⁵
	-am + uCC - > -uCC	
		20 20 20 20
-ā)	$-\bar{a}+a->-\bar{a}-$	53 yathā + abhirantaṃ > yathābhi-
	$-\bar{a} + aCC - > -aCC -$	146 pāṇabhūtā (perhaps) + atthi >
		-bhūtatthi ⁶

¹ Is this *iva* + *osajanti* (with gemination of -s-) or *iva* + *ussajanti*, i.e. is it -a + o->-o-, or -a + u->-o-?

	$-\bar{a} + aCC - > -\bar{a}CC$	379 mantayitvā + ajjhagamā >
		mantayitvājjhagamā ¹
	$-\bar{a} + aNC - > -aNC$	570 dantā + amha > dantamha
	$-\bar{a}+\bar{a}->-\bar{a}-$	249 mantā + āhutī > mantāhutī
	$-\bar{a}+i->-\bar{i}-$	182 saddhā + idha > saddhīdha
	$-\bar{a} + iCC - > -iCC -$	512 manasā + icchasi > manasicchasi ²
	$-\bar{a} + iNC - > -iNC$	229 yathā + indakhīlo > yathinda-
	$-\bar{a} + e - > -e -$	19 Mahiyā + eka- > Mahiyeka-
	$-\bar{a} + o - > -o -$	60 yathā + odhikāni > yathodhikāni
-i)	-i + a - > -a -	90 hi + apeti > hapeti³
-i)	$-i + a - > -a - i + a - > -\bar{a} - i$	90 hi + apeti > hapeti ³ p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴
-i)		•
-i)	$-i + a - > -\bar{a}$	p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴
-i)	$-i + a - > -\bar{a}$ -i + a - > -i	p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴ 783 iti + ahan > itihan
-i)	$-i + a - > -\bar{a}$ -i + a - > -i $-i + a - > -ty\bar{a}$	p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴ 783 iti + ahan > itihan Ja VI 325,26* ti + abhivadī > tyābhi-
-i)	$-i + a - > -\bar{a}$ -i + a - > -i $-i + a - > -iy\bar{a}$ -i + aCC - > -aCC	p. 15,1 kassaci + ahaṃ > kassacāhaṃ ⁴ 783 iti + ahan > itihan Ja VI 325,26* ti + abhivadī > tyābhi- 672 api ⁵ + atthi > apatthi
-i)	-i + a- > -ā- -i + a- > -i- -i + a- > -tyā- -i + aCC- > -aCC- -i + aCC- > -iCC-	p. 15,1 kassaci + aham > kassacāham ⁴ 783 iti + ahan > itihan Ja VI 325,26* ti + abhivadī > tyābhi- 672 api ⁵ + atthi > apatthi 162 kacci + assa > kaccissa
-i)	-i + a- > -ā- -i + a- > -i- -i + a- > -tyā- -i + aCC- > -aCC- -i + aCC- > -iCC-	p. 15,1 kassaci + ahaṃ > kassacāhaṃ ⁴ 783 iti + ahan > itihan Ja VI 325,26* ti + abhivadī > tyābhi- 672 api ⁵ + atthi > apatthi 162 kacci + assa > kaccissa 287 sayanehi + āvasathehi >

 $^{^{1}}$ cf. 830 sā + assa > sāssa; 1100 āsavā + assa > āsavāssa.

² cf. 353 1061 vācam abhikankhāmi > vācābhikankhāmi; 365 nibbānapadam + abhipatthayāno > -padābhipatthayāno; 788 etam + abhijānam > etābhijānam; 896 khema + abhipassam > khemābhipassam; 1033 jappam + abhilepanam > jappābhilepanam; 1057 1083 etam abhinandāmi > etābhinandāmi.

³ cf. 693 vitthārikam + assa > vitthārikassa; 970 kuvam + ajja > kuvajja [NB kuvam in 970a].

⁴ Is this internal or external sandhi, i.e. is it a compound or two separate words? Is it $-a + aCC - \bar{a}CC - \text{or } -am + aCC - \bar{a}CC - ?$

⁵ cf. 972 kukkucciyam + upacchinde > kukkucciyūpa-.

⁶ cf. 151 yāvatā + assa > yāvatassa; 508 Brahmā + ajja > Brahmajja; 595 padakā + asmā > padakasmā; 875–76 ettāvatā + aggaṃ > ettāvataggaṃ; 1022 unnā + assa > unnassa.

 $^{^{2}}$ cf. 1030 manasā + icchatha > manasicchatha.

³ cf. 231 catūhi + apāyehi > catūhapāyehi.

⁴ cf. 693 phusissati + ayam > phusissatāyam; 693 vattessati + ayam > vattessatāyam; and Thī 206 tehi + anuciņņam > tehānuciņņam.

⁵ See K.R. Norman, The Group of Discourses (= GD) II, note ad 672.

⁶ cf. p. 13,15 $bhu\tilde{n}j\bar{a}mi + iti > bhu\tilde{n}j\bar{a}miti$. The development of vowels before (i)ti follows a consistent pattern, and I have listed them in their appropriate places (e.g. $-a + i - > -\bar{a}$ -; $-i + i - > -\bar{i}$ -; $-u + i - > -\bar{u}$ -; -e + i - > -e-; -o + i - > -o-), but since the development of -am > -an in comparable situations (e.g. 9 idam + ti > idanti) seems to suggest that we are dealing with ti, not iti, I would rather suggest that, on the analogy of historical Skt $-i + i - > -\bar{i}$ -, e.g. $bhavati + iti > bhavat\bar{i}ti$ which was analysed as $bhavat\bar{i}ti$, short vowels before (i)ti were lengthened, and -e and -e remained unchanged. A similar analogical development has taken place in

Ardha-Māgadhī, where the historical bhavatīti was replaced by the alternative bhavatītti, analysed as bhavatī tti, which led to the analogical -a tti and -u tti. Before the double consonant a long vowel is shortened, e.g. samītī tti (Uttarādhyayanasūtra 8.9), for samītē tti, logu ti (Utt. 8.20) for logo tti, and patta tti (Utt. 12.47) for pattā tti.

-uṃ)	$-um + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	D I 213,10 amu $m + aham > am\bar{a}ham^1$
-e)	$-e + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	891 ye + abhivadanti > yābhivadanti²
	-e + a - > -e	891 te + abhirattā > tebhirattā
	-e + aCC - > -aCC -	377 te + atthi > tatthi
	-e + aCC - > -yaCC -	340 te + atthu > tyatthu
	$-e + aCC - > -y\bar{a}CC$	961 ke + assa > kyāssa
	-e + aNC - > -aNC	62 salile + ambucārī > salilambu-
	-e + i - > -e -	137 me + idaṃ > medaṃ³
	-e + e- > -e-	51 me + etam > metam
<i>-o</i>)	-o + a- > -o-	18 duddhakhīro + aham > -khīroham
	$-o + a - > -\bar{a}$ -	378 -cchaddo + asi > -cchaddāsi ⁴
	$-o + a - > -v\bar{a}$ -	p. 21,16 <i>kho</i> + <i>ahaṃ</i> > <i>khvāhaṃ</i> ⁵
	-o + aCC - > -aCC	61 appo + assādo > appassādo ⁶
	-o + aCC- > -oCC-	25 bhatako + asmi > bhatakosmi
	-o + aCC - > -vaCC -	819 kho + assa > khvassa
	$-o + aCC - > -v\bar{a}CC$	998 so + ajja > svājja
	-o + aNC - > -aNC	$1040\ 1042\ ubho + antam > ubhantam^7$

¹ cf. p. 124,5 hi + evam > hevam, where, however, hevam may be a word in its own right (see K.R. Norman, "Pāli lexicographical studies IX", JPTS Vol. XVI, 1992, pp. 77–85 [p. 85]); p. 218,18 M III 133,29 ti + eva > teva.

² For 1032 kissābhilepanaṃ see GD II, note ad 1032.

³ I suspect that this is a Sanskritism for *bhaves' aham*.

⁴ p. 13,13 *bhuñjasūti* is probably not the result of -u + i-, but is an analogical form based upon -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

⁵ I suspect that this is a Sanskritism for adhivāset' eva; cf. 611 manussesu + etam > manussesvetam (= manusses' etam?); p. 126,12 tu + eva > tveva; and Thī 499 pitupitusu + eva > pitupitusveva, which is probably a Sanskritism for pitupitus' eva. See GD II, note ad 144.

¹ See Sv 389.10.

 $^{^2}$ cf. 1046 kāme abhijappanti > kāmābhijappanti; 1116 dve + aham > dvāham; and Ja V 154,18* ve + aham > vāham.

³ cf. p. 21,16 dhamme + iti > dhammeti. This is, however, probably not the result of -e + i-, but is an analogical form based upon the development of -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

⁴ cf. 461 rato + aham > ratāham; 690 anuttaro + ayam > anuttarāyam; 692 orako + ayam > orakāyam; and S I 238,22 kuddho + aham > kuddhāham; Dhp 56 yo + ayam > yāyam; S II 178,8 anamataggo + ayam > anamataggāyam.

⁵ cf. Vin II 181,6 $ko + ayam > kv \bar{a} yam$.

⁶ cf. 94 asanto + assa > asantassa; 324 sammāniviṭṭho + assa > -niviṭṭhassa; 584 bhiyyo + assa > bhiyyassa; 920 anejo + assa > anejassa; 922 lolo + assa > lolassa; 923 phuṭṭho + assa > phuṭṭhassa; 925 pādalolo + assa > pādalolassa; 994 soko + assa > sokassa; and Dhp 389 yo + assa > yassa.

⁷ cf. S III 93,9* otinno + amhi > otinnamhi.

$-o + \bar{a} - > -\bar{a}$	1136 tamanudo + $\bar{a}s\bar{i}no > -nud\bar{a}s\bar{i}no$
-o + i- > -i-	Ja IV 480,10* mokkho + ito > mokkhito
-o + i- > -o-	$87 \ yo + idha > yodha^1$
-o + i - > -uvi	$JV 237,14* ko + idha > kuvidha^2$
-o + eCC- > -eCC-	448 vāyaso + etto > vāyasetto
-0+0->-0-	$214 yo + ogahane > *yogahane^3$

Excursus on the sandhi of api and (i)ti

In 460 api + eva > apy eva > app eva, i.e. the Pāli sandhi is a development of the Skt sandhi, showing the assimilation of py > pp.

In 241 iti + eva > ity eva > icceva; 251 iti etam > iccetam; 355 iti + abravī > iccabravī, i.e. the Pāli sandhi is a development of the Skt sandhi, showing the palatalisation of ty > cc.

We also find some contexts where what must originally have been (i)ti occurs as tv, as though from tu:

kālakato tv eva sankham gacchati, M III 137,8 foll. Ekadhammasavanīyo tv eva samaññā ahosi, Th-a I 162,12.

As the first of these comes only a few pages after ti + eva > t' eva, it seems suspicious. Trenckner notes⁴ that "in canonical writings there is sufficient authority to distinguish between t' eva = ti eva and tveva = tu eva. In comments the latter is never used, but the scribes often substitute

tveva for t' eva. I do not scruple to correct it, though all my Mss give the wrong form throughout".

I suspect that the reason for this is a development of ti > tti, as in AMg, which is elided to tt' before a vowel and is then "backformed" > tv by someone who had some knowledge of Sanskrit.

We also find itveva for iti eva, i.e. it is taken as though from ito eva1:

itveva coro asim āvudhañ ca, M II 100,5* (itvevā ti evam vatvā yeva, Ps III 333,23, with v.l. iccheva [sic]) = Th 869 (iccevā [Ee so] iti eva, evam vatvā anantaram eva, Th-a III 58,26).

Shepreth

K.R. Norman

¹ cf. 142 $br\bar{a}hmano + ti > br\bar{a}hmanoti$. This is, however, probably not the result of -o + i, but is an analogical form based upon the development of -i + iti. See the note on -i + i- above.

² If genuine, this is presumably a secondary development from kv with a svarabhakti vowel. Perhaps we should read kvidha with Be.

³ See GD II, note ad 214.

⁴ At Mil 423 (ad Mil 114,11).

¹ See CPD s.vv. *itveva* and *itvevam*, where it is derived from *ity eva(m)*, and the equivalence is *icceva*. See also Sadd 617,14-17.

APPENDIX

-а-	<	-aṃ + a- -i + a-	-āCC-	<	-a + aCC- -am + aCC- -ā + aCC-
-aCC-	<	-a + aCC-			
		-am + aCC	-i-	<	-a+i-
		-i + aCC	•		-i+i
		-е + aСС-			-o + i-
		-o + aCC-			0 1 1
		0 . 400	-iCC-	_	-a + iCC
-aNC-	<	-a + aNC-	-100-		$-\ddot{a} + iCC$
-4110-		-am + aNC			-i + aCC
		$-\bar{a} + aNC$			
					-iṃ + aCC-
		-e + aNC	13.70	_	. 270
		-o + aNC-	-iNC-	<	-a + iNC
_					$-\bar{a} + iNC$ -
-ā-	<	-a + a			
		$-a+\bar{a}$ -	-Ĩ-	<	-a+i
		-am + a			-aṃ + i-
		$-am + \bar{a}$ -			$-\bar{a}+i$ -
		$-\bar{a} + a$ -			-i + i-
		$-\bar{a}+\bar{a}$ -			-iṃ + i-
		-i + a-			-u + i
		$-i + \bar{a}$ -			
		-im + a-	-u-	<	-a + u-
		-u + a-			-aṃ + u-
		$-u + \tilde{a}$ -			
		-um + a-	-uCC-	<	-a + uCC-
		-e + a-			-aṃ + uCC-
		-o + a-			
		$-o+\bar{a}$ -	-uvi-	<	-o + i-

-ū-	<	-a + u-	-vā-	<	$-u + \bar{a}$ -
		-am + u-			-o + a-
		-u + i			
			-vāCC-	<	-o + aCC
-e-	<	-a + i			
		-a + e-	-ve-	<	-u + e
		$-\ddot{a} + e$ -			
		-i + e-			
		-e + a-			
		-e+i			
		-e + e-			
-eCC-		-o + eCC-			
-0-	<	-a + u			
		-a + o-			
		$-\bar{a} + o$			
		-o + a-			
		-o + i-			
		-o + o-			
-oCC-	<	-o + aCC-			
-yaCC-	<	-e + aCC-			
-yāCC-	<	-e + aCC			
-va-	<	-u + a			
-vaCC-	<	-o + aCC-			