Seminar 1. SQL Queries - DML Subset

SELECT statement

A very simple example of using SELECT statement is:

which returns all 21 year old students form Students table:

1234	John	j@cs.ro	21	331
1236	Anne	a@cs.ro	21	332

To find just names and email addresses, we should replace the first line with:

John	j@cs.ro
Anne	a@cs.ro

What does the following query compute?

Given the following instances of Students and Enrolled

Students

sid	name	email	age	gr
1234	John	j@cs.ro	21	331
1235	Smith	s@cs.ro	22	331
1236	Anne	a@cs.ro	21	332

Enrolled

sid	cid	grade
1234	Alg1	9
1235	Alg1	10
1234	DB1	10
1234	DB2	9

We get:

S.name	E.cid
John	DB1
Smith	Alg1

Semantics of a query:

A *conceptual evaluation method* for the previous query:

- 1. FROM clause: Compute *cross-product* of Students and Enrolled (12 tuples)
- 2. WHERE clause: Check conditions, discard tuples that fail (4 tuples meet the 1st condition, 6 tuples meet the 2nd one; the S.sid=E.sid AND E.grade=10 condition is met by 2 tuples)
- 3. SELECT clause: Delete unwanted fields (only S.name and E.cid remain in the result set)

Remember, this is *conceptual*. Actual evaluation will be *much* more efficient, but must produce <u>the</u> same answers.

A very simple SQL query looks like:

```
SELECT [DISTINCT] target-list FROM relation-list WHERE qualification
```

where:

- relation-list is a list of relation names (possibly with a range-variable after each name);
- *target-list* is a list of attributes of relations in relation-list;
- qualification contains logical expressions having comparisons (Attr op const or Attr1 op Attr2, where op is one of <, >, =, ≤, ≥, ≠) combined with logical operators AND, OR and NOT:
- **DISTINCT** is an optional keyword indicating that the answer should not contain duplicates. Default is that duplicates are not eliminated!

The semantics of an SQL query is defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:

- Compute the cross-product of relation-list;
- Discard resulting tuples if they fail qualifications;
- Delete attributes that are not in target-list;
- If DISTINCT is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.

Range variables are really needed only if the same relation appears twice in the FROM clause.

It is good style, however, to always use range variables.

So, we can write the same query in two distinct ways:

```
SELECT S.name, E.cid

FROM Students S, Enrolled E

WHERE S.sid=E.sid AND E.grade=10

Or

SELECT name, cid

FROM Students, Enrolled

WHERE Students.sid=Enrolled.sid

AND grade=10
```

Find students with at least one grade:

```
SELECT S.sid

FROM Students S, Enrolled E

WHERE S.sid=E.sid
```

Would adding DISTINCT to this query make a difference?

What is the effect of replacing *S.sid* by *S.name* in the SELECT clause? Would adding DISTINCT to this variant of the query make a difference?

The following query illustrates the use of arithmetic expressions and string pattern matching: Find triples (of ages of students and two fields defined by expressions) for students whose names begin and end with B and contain at least three characters.

```
SELECT S.age, age1=S.age-5, 2*S.age AS age2
FROM Students S
WHERE S.name LIKE 'B %B'
```

Observations:

- Note that AS and = are two ways to name fields in result.
- LIKE operator is used for string matching.
- `_' stands for any one character
- '%' stands for 0 or more arbitrary characters.

UNION: Can be used to compute the union of any two union-compatible sets of tuples (which are themselves the result of SQL queries). Duplicate rows are eliminated.

Example: Find sid of students with grades at courses with 4 or 5 credits

```
SELECT E.sid

FROM Enrolled E, Courses C

WHERE E.cid=C.cid

AND C.credits=4

UNION

SELECT E.sid

FROM Enrolled E, Courses C

WHERE E.cid=C.cid

AND C.credits=5
```

Alternative:

SELECT E.sid

FROM Enrolled E, Courses C

WHERE E.cid=C.cid

AND (C.credits=4 OR

C.credits=5)

In this version, duplicates are not eliminated.

If we replace OR by AND in this version, what do we get?

INTERSECT: can be used to compute the intersection of any two union-compatible sets of tuples. Included in the SQL-92 standard, but some systems don't support it.

Example: Find sid of students with grades at both a 4 credits course and a 5 credits course

```
SELECT E.sid

FROM Courses C, Enrolled E

WHERE E.cid=C.cid

AND C.credits=4

INTERSECT

SELECT E.sid

FROM Courses C, Enrolled E

WHERE E.cid=C.cid

AND C.credits=5
```

Alternative:

```
SELECT E1.sid

FROM Courses C1, Enrolled E1,

Courses C2, Enrolled E2
```

```
WHERE E1.sid=E2.sid AND E1.cid=C1.cid AND E2.cid=C2.cid AND (C1.credits=4 AND C2.credits=5)
```

Also available: *EXCEPT* statement, used to obtain all the records belonging to the first set of tuples which are not part of the second set of tuples (e.g., replace UNION with EXCEPT in the UNION query above).

Nested Queries

A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses.)

Sample: Find names of students who're enrolled at course 'Alg1'

```
SELECT S.name

FROM Students S

WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT E.sid

FROM Enrolled E

WHERE E.cid='Alq1')
```

To understand semantics of nested queries, think of a nested loops evaluation: For each Students tuple, check the qualification by computing the subquery.

Sample: Find names of students who're enrolled at course 'Alg1'

```
SELECT S.name

FROM Students S

WHERE EXISTS (SELECT *

FROM Enrolled E

WHERE E.sid=S.sid

AND E.cid='Alq1')
```

EXISTS is another set comparison operator, like IN.

The above example illustrates why, in general, subquery must be re-computed for each *Students* tuple.

Besides IN and EXISTS, we can also use NOT IN or NOT EXISTS. There are also available:

- *operator ANY* (the value is true if the condition is true for **at least one** item of the sub-query result)

- *operator ALL*(the value is true if the condition is true for **all** the items of the sub-query result)

Sample: Find students whose age is greater than that of some student called 'Joe':

Rewrite INTERSECT queries using IN:

Find sid of students with grades at both a 4 credits course and a 5 credits course:

Similarly, EXCEPT queries can be re-written using NOT IN.

Join Queries

	1234	Johr
0, 1,	1235	Smi
Students	1236	Anr

sid	name	email	age	gr
1234	John	j@cs.ro	21	331
1235	Smith	s@cs.ro	22	331
1236	Anne	a@cs.ro	21	332

('	01	115	PS

cid	cname	credits
Alg1	Algorithms1	7
DB1	Databases1	6
DB2	Databases2	6

Enrolled

sid	cid	grade
1234	Alg1	9
1235	Alg1	10
1237	DB2	9

Join variant	Sample query Result			Result	
	SELECT S.name, C.cname				
	FROM Students S		name	cname	
INNER JOIN	INNER JOIN Enrolled E ON S.sid = E.sid	Ľ	John	Algorithms1	
	INNER JOIN Courses C ON E.cid = C.cid		Smith	Algorithms1	
	SELECT S.name, C.cname	_			
LEFT OUTER JOIN	FROM Students S		name	cname	
(e.g., find	LEFT OUTER JOIN Enrolled E	J	ohn (Algorithms1	
students who never enrolled to	ON S.sid = E.sid	9	Smith	Algorithms1	
a course)	LEFT OUTER JOIN Courses C		Anne	NULL	
	ON E.cid = C.cid				
	SELECT S.name, C.cname				
RIGHT OUTER JOIN	FROM Students S		name	cname	
(e.g., find all grades given by	RIGHT OUTER JOIN Enrolled E		John	Algorithms1	
mistake to	ON S.sid = E.sid		Smith	Algorithms1	
non-existing students)	INNER JOIN Courses C	L	NULL	Databases2	
beddenes,	ON E.cid = C.cid				
	SELECT S.name, C.cname	г			
	FROM Students S		name	спате	
FULL OUTER JOIN	FULL OUTER JOIN Enrolled E	⊩	John	Algorithms1	
(LEFT + RIGHT	ON S.sid = E.sid		Smith	Algorithms1	
OUTER JOIN)			NULL	Databases2	
	FULL OUTER JOIN Courses C		NULL	Databases1	
	ON E.cid = C.cid	L	Anne	NULL	

NULL values

Field values in a tuple are sometimes *unknown* (e.g., a rating has not been assigned) or *inapplicable*. SQL provides a special value *null* for such situations.

The presence of *null* complicates many issues. E.g.:

- Special operators are needed to check if a value is/is not *null*.
- Is *rating*>8 true or false when *rating* is equal to *null*? What about AND, OR and NOT connectives?

Solution: we need a <u>3-valued logic</u> (**true**, **false** and *unknown*). Meaning of constructs must be defined carefully (e.g., WHERE clause eliminates rows that don't evaluate to true.). New operators (in particular *outer joins*) are possible/needed.