Assignment 7

Due Friday 19 April 2024

This Assignment is based on sections 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, and 4.2 of our textbook, Borthwick (2020) *Spectral Theory: Basic Concepts and Applications*, Springer.

PLEASE DO THE FOLLOWING EXERCISES.

P33. The adjoint of a linear map between complex Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 can be defined. Here we consider bounded operators only. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the (Hilbert space) adjoint T^* is the unique linear map $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ so that

$$\langle v, Tu \rangle_2 = \langle T^*v, u \rangle_1$$
 for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

When $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ this is the same definition as in section 3.2.

- (a) Show that $(T^*)^* = T$ and that $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$.
- **(b)** Show that if T is invertible with $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ then $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$.
- (c) Suppose that $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ satisfies $Q^*Q = I_1$ and $QQ^* = I_2$ where I_i is the identity map on \mathcal{H}_i . Show that Q is unitary.

Hints. For part (a) assume that $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3)$. For part (b) use $TT^{-1} = T^{-1}T = I$, then (a). For part (c) use the definition on page 17 of the text: U is *unitary* if it is a bijective isometry.

- **P34.** Suppose $\{\phi_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis, of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , consisting of eigenvectors of some (unbounded) linear operator T on \mathcal{H} . Specifically, $\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T\phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$ for $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (a) Define the map $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2)$, where $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, by $(Qf)_n = \langle \phi_n, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Give a formula for Q^* . Show that Q is unitary.
- **(b)** Show that Q unitarily diagonalizes T in the sense that

$$QTQ^* = M$$

defines a multiplication operator on ℓ^2 .

Hints. For part (a) you may use P33(c), though that is not the only way. For part (b), make sure to define the action of M on arbitrary elements of ℓ^2 .

P35. (a) Let $\mathcal{H}=L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Define $(M_{x^2}\,v)\,(x)=x^2v(x)$, an unbounded multiplication operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(M_{x^2})=\{v\in\mathcal{H}:x^2v(x)\in\mathcal{H}\}$. Define (Tv)(x)=v''(x), an unbounded second derivative operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)=C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Show that these operators have no eigenvalues.

- **(b)** Let $\mathcal{H}=L^2(0,\pi)$. Define $(M_{x^2}v)(x)=x^2v(x)$, a multiplication operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(M_{x^2})=\{v\in\mathcal{H}: x^2v(x)\in\mathcal{H}\}$. Show that M_{x^2} is actually bounded, but that it has no eigenvalues.
- (c) Let $\mathcal{H}=L^2(0,\pi)$. Define (Tv)(x)=v''(x), a second derivative operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)=\{v\in\mathcal{H}:v\in C^2[0,\pi]\text{ and }v(0)=v(\pi)=0\}$. Show that T is unbounded, and that $\phi_k(x)=\sin(kx)$ is an eigenfunction for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Find the corresponding eigenvalues.

Hints. For part **(a)** you may use results in Example 3.3. For part **(b)** you may use the result in Example 2.8.

Comments. You do not need to prove self-adjointness or spectrum. However, textbook Examples 3.2, 3.5, and 3.22 show both M_{x^2} are self-adjoint. Example 3.26 shows that T in part (a) is essentially self-adjoint. Example 3.20 sketches why T in part (c) is essentially self-adjoint. See Theorems 4.5 for the spectrum of both M_{x^2} , thus by unitary-equivalence for the closure of T in part (a) also. Use P34(b) for the spectrum of the closure of T in part (b).

- **P36.** Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space. Recall that if A is a symmetric operator on \mathcal{H} then $v \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ implies $\langle v, Av \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. We will write A z for A zI.
- (a) Suppose A is a symmetric operator on \mathcal{H} . Show that if $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then

$$\operatorname{Im} \langle v, (A-z)v \rangle = -\operatorname{Im}(z) \|v\|^2.$$

(b) If furthermore $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is strictly complex, i.e. $\mathrm{Im} z \neq 0$, then

$$||v|| \le \frac{||(A-z)v||}{|\operatorname{Im}(z)|}.$$

In this situation, show that A - z is injective.

- **P37.** Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space. Recall that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a normed vector space with norm $||T|| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} ||Tv||$.
- (a) Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If |z| > ||T|| then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-k} T^k$$

converges in norm, i.e. absolutely, in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. By Theorems 2.4 and 2.10, the sum defines a bounded operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

(b) Under the same assumptions, show that

$$S(T - zI) = (T - zI)S = -zI.$$

Explain why this shows $z \in \rho(T)$.