C++ ABI for the Arm[®] Architecture

Date of Issue: 12th April 2021



1 Preamble

1.1 Abstract

This document describes the C++ Application Binary Interface for the Arm architecture.

1.2 Keywords

C++ ABI, generic C++ ABI, exception handling ABI

1.3 Latest release and defects report

Please check Application Binary Interface for the Arm® Architecture for the latest release of this document.

Please report defects in this specification to the issue tracker page on GitHub.

1.4 Licence

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Grant of Patent License. Subject to the terms and conditions of this license (both the Public License and this Patent License), each Licensor hereby grants to You a perpetual, worldwide, non-exclusive, no-charge, royalty-free, irrevocable (except as stated in this section) patent license to make, have made, use, offer to sell, sell, import, and otherwise transfer the Licensed Material, where such license applies only to those patent claims licensable by such Licensor that are necessarily infringed by their contribution(s) alone or by combination of their contribution(s) with the Licensed Material to which such contribution(s) was submitted. If You institute patent litigation against any entity (including a cross-claim or counterclaim in a lawsuit) alleging that the Licensed Material or a contribution incorporated within the Licensed Material constitutes direct or contributory patent infringement, then any licenses granted to You under this license for that Licensed Material shall terminate as of the date such litigation is filed.

1.5 About the license

As identified more fully in the Licence section, this project is licensed under CC-BY-SA-4.0 along with an additional patent license. The language in the additional patent license is largely identical to that in Apache-2.0 (specifically, Section 3 of Apache-2.0 as reflected at https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0) with two exceptions.

First, several changes were made related to the defined terms so as to reflect the fact that such defined terms need to align with the terminology in CC-BY-SA-4.0 rather than Apache-2.0 (e.g., changing "Work" to "Licensed Material").

Second, the defensive termination clause was changed such that the scope of defensive termination applies to "any licenses granted to You" (rather than "any patent licenses granted to You"). This change is intended to help maintain a healthy ecosystem by providing additional protection to the community against patent litigation claims.

1.6 Contributions

Contributions to this project are licensed under an inbound=outbound model such that any such contributions are licensed by the contributor under the same terms as those in the Licence section.

1.7 Trademark notice

The text of and illustrations in this document are licensed by Arm under a Creative Commons Attribution—Share Alike 4.0 International license ("CC-BY-SA-4.0"), with an additional clause on patents. The Arm trademarks featured here are registered trademarks or trademarks of Arm Limited (or its subsidiaries) in the US and/or elsewhere. All rights reserved. Please visit https://www.arm.com/company/policies/trademarks for more information about Arm's trademarks.

1.8 Copyright

Copyright (c) 2003, 2005-2009, 2012, 2015, 2018, 2020, 2021, Arm Limited and its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Contents

1	Prean	nble	2
	1.1	Abstract	2
	1.2	Keywords	2
	1.3	Latest release and defects report	2
	1.4	Licence	3
	1.5	About the license	3
	1.6	Contributions	3
	1.7	Trademark notice	3
	1.8	Copyright	3
2	Abou	t this document	5
	2.1	Change control	5
		2.1.1 Current status and anticipated changes	5
		2.1.2 Change history	5
	2.2	References	6
	2.3	Terms and abbreviations	7
	2.4	Acknowledgements	7
3	Overv	riew	8
	3.1	The Generic C++ ABI	8
	3.2	The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture	8
	3.3	The exception handling components example implementation	9
4	The C	++ ABI supplement	10
	4.1	Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI	10
	4.2	Differences in detail	12
		4.2.1 Representation of pointer to member function	12
		4.2.2 Array construction and destruction	13
		4.2.3 Guard variables and the one-time construction API	19
		4.2.4 Static object construction and destruction	19
		4.2.5 Inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage	20
		4.2.6 ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols	24

2 About this document

2.1 Change control

2.1.1 Current status and anticipated changes

The following support level definitions are used by the Arm ABI specifications:

Release

Arm considers this specification to have enough implementations, which have received sufficient testing, to verify that it is correct. The details of these criteria are dependent on the scale and complexity of the change over previous versions: small, simple changes might only require one implementation, but more complex changes require multiple independent implementations, which have been rigorously tested for cross-compatibility. Arm anticipates that future changes to this specification will be limited to typographical corrections, clarifications and compatible extensions.

Beta

Arm considers this specification to be complete, but existing implementations do not meet the requirements for confidence in its release quality. Arm may need to make incompatible changes if issues emerge from its implementation.

Alpha

The content of this specification is a draft, and Arm considers the likelihood of future incompatible changes to be significant.

All content in this document is at the Release quality level.

2.1.2 Change history

If there is no entry in the change history table for a release, there are no changes to the content of the document for that release.

Issue	Date	Change
1.0	30 th October 2003	First public release.
2.0	24 th March 2005	Second public release.
2.01	4 th July 2005	Fixed defect in Static object construction and destructioninit_array sections must be writable, but compiled as if read-only.
2.02	5 th January 2006	In Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols, forbid the export of entities declared in unnamed namespaces.
2.03	3 rd May 2006	In Code example foraeabi_atexit, Static object destruction, andaeabi_atexit, clarified the use ofaeabi_atexit().
2.04 / A	25 th October 2007	In Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI, specified the name mangling (GC++ABI §5.1.5) for the 16-bit FP type added to AAPCS32 in ABI r2.06. Updated the base standard for C++ to ISO/IEC 14882:2003. Added an Arm-specific rule for the ELF binding of guard variable symbols (ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols). Document renumbered (formerly GENC-003540 v2.04).

Issue	Date	Change	
В	10 th October 2008	In Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI, removed the Arm-specified mangling for 16-bit FP types added in r2.06 now that the GCPPABI defines it to be Dh: noted the mangling of std::va_list resulting from its definition in AAPCS32.	
C 5 th October 2009		In Library helper functions, corrected typos in/ wording of the justification for definingaeabi_vec_delete3 but notaeabi_vec_delete2; in the definition ofaeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor, corrected the order of size and count parameters toaeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor(). In Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols, corrected broken class export syntax; corrected comments about entities declared in unnamed namespaces and those derived from them.	
D r2.09	30 th November 2012	In Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI, clarified handling of empty classes.	
E r2.10	24 th November 2015	In Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI, again clarified handling of empty classes.	
2018Q4	21 st December 2018	Minor typographical fixes, updated links.	
2019Q4	30 th January 2020	Add name mangling rules for half-precision Brain floating point format: Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI.	
2020Q4	21 st December 2020	document released on Github new Licence: CC-BY-SA-4.0 new sections on Contributions, Trademark notice, and Copyright	

2.2 References

This document refers to, or is referred to by, the following documents.

Ref	URL or other reference	Title
AAPCS32		Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture
BSABI32		ABI for the Arm Architecture (Base Standard)
CPPABI32	This document	C++ ABI for the Arm Architecture
EHABI32		Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture
EHEGI		Exception handling components, example implementations
GCPPABI	http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html	Itanium C++ ABI (\$Revision: 1.71 \$) (Although called Itanium C++ ABI, it is very generic).
GELF	http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/	Generic ELF, 17th December 2003 draft.

Ref	URL or other reference	Title	
ISO C++	ISO/IEC 14882:2003 (14882:1988 with Technical Corrigendum)	International Standard ISO/IEC 14882:2003 – Programming languages C++	

2.3 Terms and abbreviations

The ABI for the Arm Architecture uses the following terms and abbreviations.

AAPCS

Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture.

ABI

Application Binary Interface:

- 1. The specifications to which an executable must conform in order to execute in a specific execution environment. For example, the *Linux ABI for the Arm Architecture*.
- 2. A particular aspect of the specifications to which independently produced relocatable files must conform in order to be statically linkable and executable. For example, the C++ ABI for the Arm Architecture [CPPABI32], the Run-time ABI for the Arm Architecture [RTABI32], the C Library ABI for the Arm Architecture [CLIBABI32].

AEABI

(Embedded) ABI for the Arm architecture (this ABI...)

Arm-based

... based on the Arm architecture ...

core registers

The general purpose registers visible in the Arm architecture's programmer's model, typically r0-r12, SP, LR, PC, and CPSR.

EABI

An ABI suited to the needs of embedded, and deeply embedded (sometimes called free standing), applications.

Q-o-l

Quality of Implementation – a quality, behavior, functionality, or mechanism not required by this standard, but which might be provided by systems conforming to it. Q-o-I is often used to describe the tool-chain-specific means by which a standard requirement is met.

VFP

The Arm architecture's Floating Point architecture and instruction set. In this ABI, this abbreviation includes all floating point variants regardless of whether or not vector (V) mode is supported.

2.4 Acknowledgements

This specification has been developed with the active support of the following organizations. In alphabetical order: Arm, CodeSourcery, Intel, Metrowerks, Montavista, Nexus Electronics, PalmSource, Symbian, Texas Instruments, and Wind River.

3 Overview

The C++ ABI for the Arm architecture (CPPABI) comprises four sub-components.

- The generic C++ ABI, summarized in The Generic C++ ABI, is the referenced base standard for this component.
- The C++ ABI supplement in Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI details Arm-specific additions to and deviations from the generic standard.
- The separately documented *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI32], summarized in The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture, describes the language-independent and C++-specific aspects of exception handling.
- The example implementations of the exception handling components [EHEGI], summarized in The exception handling components example implementation, include:
 - A language independent unwinder.
 - A C++ semantics module.
 - Arm-specific C++ unwinding personality routines.

The generic C++ ABI is implicitly an SVr4-based standard, and takes an SVr4 position on symbol visibility and vague linkage. The C++ ABI supplement in The C++ ABI supplement details extensions for DLL-based environments.

3.1 The Generic C++ ABI

The generic C++ ABI [GCPPABI] (originally developed for SVr4 on Itanium) specifies:

- The layout of C++ non-POD class types in terms of the layout of POD types (specified for this ABI by the Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture [AAPCS32]).
- How class types requiring copy construction are passed as parameters and results.
- The content of run-time type information (RTTI).
- Necessary APIs for object construction and destruction.
- How names with linkage are mangled (name mangling).

The generic C++ ABI refers to a separate Itanium-specific specification of exception handling. When the generic C++ ABI is used as a component of *this* ABI, corresponding reference must be made to the *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI32] and The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture.

3.2 The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture

In common with the Itanium exception handling ABI, the *Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture* [EHABI32] specifies table-based unwinding that separates language-independent unwinding from language specific aspects. The specification describes:

- The base class format and meaning of the tables understood by the language-independent exception handling system, and their representation in relocatable files. The language-independent exception handler only uses fields from the base class.
- A derived table class used by Arm tools that efficiently encodes stack-unwinding instructions and compactly represents the data needed for handling C++ exceptions.
- The interface between the language independent exception handling system and the *personality routines* specific to a particular implementation for a particular language. Personality routines interpret the language-specific, derived class tables. Conceptually (though not literally, for reasons of implementation convenience and run-time efficiency), personality routines are member functions of the derived class.

- The interfaces between the (C++) language exception handling semantics module and:
 - The language-independent exception handling system.
 - The personality routines.
 - The (C++) application code (effectively the interface underlying *throw*).

The EHABI contains a significant amount of commentary to aid and support independent implementation of:

- · Personality routines.
- The language-specific exception handling semantics module.
- · Language-independent exception handling.

This commentary does not provide, and is not intended to provide, complete specifications of independent implementations, but it does give a rationale for the interfaces to, and among, these components.

3.3 The exception handling components example implementation

The exception handling components example implementation (EHEGI) comprises the following files.

- **cppsemantics.cpp** is a module that implements the semantics of C++ exception handling. It uses the language-independent unwinder (unwinder.c), and is used by the Arm-specific personality routines (unwind_pr.[ch]).
- cxxabi.h describes the generic C++ ABI (The Generic C++ ABI).
- Licence.txt describes your licence to use the exception handling example implementation.
- unwind_env.h is a header that describes the build and execution environments of the exception handling components. This header must be edited if the exception handling components are to be built with non-Arm compilers. This header #includes cxxabi.h.
- unwind_pr.c implements the three Arm-specific personality routines described in the Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture.
- unwinder.c is an implementation of the language-independent unwinder.
- unwinder.h describes the interface to the language-independent unwinder, as described in the Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture.

4 The C++ ABI supplement

4.1 Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI

This section summarizes the differences between the *C++ ABI for the Arm architecture* and the generic C++ ABI. Section numbers in captions refer to the generic C++ ABI specification. Larger differences are detailed in subsections of Differences in detail.

GC++ABI §1.2 Limits

The offset of a non-virtual base sub-object in the full object containing it must fit into a 24-bit signed integer (because of RTTI implementation). This implies a practical limit of 2^{23} bytes on the size of a class sub-object.

GC++ABI §2.2 POD Data Types

The GC++ABI defines the way in which empty class types are laid out. For the purposes of parameter passing in AAPCS32, a parameter whose type is an empty class shall be treated as if its type were an aggregate with a single member of type unsigned byte.

Note

Of course, the single member has undefined content.

GC++ABI §2.3 Member Pointers

The pointer to member function representation differs from that used by Itanium. See Representation of pointer to member function.

GC++ABI §2.7 Array operator new cookies

Array cookies, when present, are always 8 bytes long and contain both element size and element count (in that order). See Array construction and destruction.

GC++ABI §2.8 Initialization guard variables

Static initialization guard variables are 4 bytes long not 8, and there is a different protocol for using them which allows a guard variable to implement a semaphore when used as the target of Arm SWP or LDREX and STREX instructions. See Guard variables and the one-time construction API.

GC++ABI §2.9.1 Run-Time Type Information (RTTI), General

The target platform ABI specifies whether address equality is required for type_info objects that describe the same type. (The ABI-defined symbol names for type_info objects and their names match the pattern _ZT{I,S}*). A C++ system that supports a platform must follow the platform's specification. The GC++ABI gives the correct specification for SVr4-based platforms such as Linux.

A C++ system must provide implementations of std::type_info::operator==, std::type_info::operator!=, and (const std::type_info&)::before appropriate to the target platform.

These std::type_info functions should not be inline by default, as doing so makes the relocatable file platform-specific. A C++ system must provide an option or default (Q-o-I) to force them out of line.

GC++ABI §3.1.5 Constructor return values

This ABI requires C1 and C2 constructors to return *this* (instead of being void functions) so that a C3 constructor can tail call the C1 constructor and the C1 constructor can tail call C2.

Similarly, we require D2 and D1 to return this so that D0 need not save and restore this and D1 can tail call D2 (if there are no virtual bases). D0 is still a void function.

We do not require thunks to virtual destructors to return *this*. Such a thunk would have to adjust the destructor's result, preventing it from tail calling the destructor, and nullifying any possible saving.

Consequently, only non-virtual calls of D1 and D2 destructors can be relied on to return this.

GC++ABI §3.3.2 One-time construction API

The type of parameters to __cxa_guard_acquire, __cxa_guard_release and __cxa_guard_abort is 'int*' (not '__int64_t*'), and use of fields in the guard variable differs. See Guard variables and the one-time construction API.

GC++ABI §3.3.4 Controlling Object Construction Order

#pragma priority is not supported. See Top-level static object construction for details of how global object construction is coordinated.

GC++ABI §3.3.5.3 Runtime API

This ABI defines __aeabi_atexit (Code example for __aeabi_atexit and __aeabi_atexit), for use in place of __cxa_atexit.

It is forbidden for user code to call __cxa_atexit or __aeabi_atexit directly, or for any call to __aeabi_atexit (other than ones from the implementations of the atexit library functions) to be executed more than once (Static object destruction).

GC++ABI §3.4 Demangler API

The demangler is not provided as a library.

GC++ABI §5.1.5 Builtin Types

The __bf16 is mangled as u6__bf16.

GC++ABI §5.2.2 Static Data (new in ABI r2.06)

If a static datum and its guard variable are emitted in the same COMDAT group, the ELF binding [GELF] for both symbols must be STB_GLOBAL, not STB_WEAK as specified in GCPPABI. ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols justifies this requirement.

GC++ABI §5.2.3 Virtual Tables and the key function

A compiler selects the key function for a class T when it has read the entire translation unit containing the definition of T. The key function is the textually first, non-inline, non-pure, virtual, member function of T.

An inline member is not a key function even if it is the first declared inline at the completion of the class definition.

(In contrast, the GC++ABI §5.2.3 defines the key function to be the textually first, non-inline, non-pure, virtual function identified at completion of the class definition).

In the following example, the key function is T::f.

GC++ABI §5.3 Unwind Table Location

See section 'The top-level exception handling architecture' of *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI32].

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – a library nothrow new function must not examine its 2nd argument)

Library versions of the following functions *must not* examine their second argument.

```
::operator new(std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&)
::operator new[](std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&)
```

(The second argument conveys no useful information other than through its presence or absence, which is manifest in the mangling of the name of the function. This ABI therefore allows code generators to use a potentially invalid second argument – for example, whatever value happens to be in R1 – at a point of call).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI - library placement new functions must be inline)

We require the library placement allocation functions (§18.4.1.3 of ISO C++) to be inline with these definitions:

```
inline void *operator new(std::size_t, void* __ptr) throw() { return __ptr; }
inline void *operator new[](std::size_t, void* __ptr) throw() { return __ptr; }
```

We do not require the library placement deallocation functions to be inline:

```
void operator delete(void*, void*) throw();
void operator delete[](void*, void*) throw();
```

(They can only be called via exceptions thrown by failing constructors or directly by user code).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI, but would be §2.2 POD data types)

Pointers to extern "C++" functions and pointers to extern "C" functions are interchangeable if the function types are otherwise identical.

In order to be used by the library helper functions described below, implementations of constructor and destructor functions (complete, sub-object, and allocating) must have a type compatible with:

```
extern "C" void* (*)(void* /* , other argument types if any */);
```

Deleting destructors must have a type compatible with:

```
extern "C" void (*)(void*);
```

(No section in the generic C++ ABI, but would be §3.3.4 Controlling Object Construction Order)

Global object construction and destruction are managed in a simplified way under this ABI (see Static object construction and destruction).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – DLL symbol visibility and linkage issues)

Inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage discusses inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage issues.

(No section in the generic C++ ABI - Namespace and mangling for the va_list type) (new in r2.07)

The type __va_list is in namespace std. The type name of va_list therefore mangles to St9__va_list.

4.2 Differences in detail

4.2.1 Representation of pointer to member function

The generic C++ ABI [GCPPABI] specifies that a pointer to member function is a pair of words <ptr, adj>. The least significant bit of ptr discriminates between (0) the address of a non-virtual member function and (1) the offset in the class's virtual table of the address of a virtual function.

This encoding cannot work for the Arm-Thumb instruction set where code addresses use all 32 bits of ptr.

This ABI specifies that *adj* contains twice the *this* adjustment, plus 1 if the member function is virtual. The least significant bit of *adj* then makes exactly the same discrimination as the least significant bit of *ptr* does for Itanium.

A pointer to member function is NULL when ptr = 0 and the least significant bit of adj is zero.

4.2.2 Array construction and destruction

4.2.2.1 Array cookies

An array cookie is used for heap-allocated arrays of objects with class type where the class has a destructor or the class's usual (array) deallocation function [ISO C++ $\S3.7.3.2$] has two arguments, i.e. T::operator delete(void*, std::size_t). Nonetheless, an array cookie is not used if ::operator new[](std::size_t, void*) is used for the allocation as the user is then responsible for the deallocation and the associated bookkeeping.

When a cookie is needed this ABI always specifies the same cookie type:

```
struct array_cookie {
   std::size_t element_size; // element_size != 0
   std::size_t element_count;
};
```

This is different than the generic C++ ABI which uses a variable sized cookie depending on the alignment of element type of the array being allocated.

Note

Although it's not a particularly useful property, this cookie is usable as a generic C++ cookie when the generic C++ cookie size is 8 bytes.

Both the element size and element count are recorded in the cookie. For example, in the following the element size would be size of (S) = 8 and the element count would be 3 * 5 = 15.

```
struct S { int a[2]; };
typedef SA S[3];
S* s = new SA[5];
```

Note

The element size can never legally be zero. Finding a zero element size at delete [] time indicates heap corruption.

4.2.2.2 Array cookie alignment

The array cookie is allocated at an 8-byte aligned address immediately preceding the user's array. Since the cookie size is 8 bytes the user's array is also 8-byte aligned.

4.2.2.3 Library helper functions

The generic C++ ABI contains some helper functions for array construction and destruction:

Compilers are not required to use these helper functions but runtime libraries must supply them and they must work with the always 8-byte cookies. These functions take pointers to constructors or destructors. Since constructors and destructors conforming to this ABI return this (Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI, ¶§3.1.5 Constructor return values, above) the return types of these parameters are void* instead of void.

The generic C++ ABI gives __cxa_vec_ctor and __cxa_vec_cctor a void return type. This ABI specifies void* instead. The value returned is the same as the first parameter – a pointer to the array being constructed. We do not change the return type for __cxa_vec_dtor because we provide __aeabi_vec_dtor which has the additional advantage of not taking a padding_size parameter.

In addition, we define the following new helpers which can be called more efficiently.

```
__aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_cctor_nocookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie_noctor
__aeabi_vec_new_nocookie
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie
__aeabi_vec_dtor
__aeabi_vec_dtor
__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie
__aeabi_vec_delete
__aeabi_vec_delete3
__aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor
__aeabi_atexit
```

Again, compilers are not required to use these functions but runtime libraries must supply them.

```
__aeabi_vec_dtor effectively makes __cxa_vec_dtor obsolete.
```

Compilers are encouraged to use the __aeabi_vec_dtor instead of __cxa_vec_dtor and __aeabi_vec_delete instead of __cxa_vec_delete. Run-time environments are encouraged to expect this, perhaps implementing __cxa_vec_delete in terms of __aeabi_vec_delete instead of the other way around.

We define __aeabi_vec_delete3 but not a corresponding __aeabi_vec_delete2. Using that would be less efficient than using __aeabi_vec_dtor and calling the T1::operator delete[] directly. See note 3 on page 18, below.

```
__cxa_vec_ctor still has uses not covered by __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor and __aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor.
```

Additional helpers for array construction (i.e. new T[n], __aeabi_vec_new_*) may be added in future releases of this ABI.

Definitions of the __aeabi_* functions are given below in terms of example implementations. It is not required to implement them this way.

```
#include <cstddef> // for ::std::size_t
#include <cxxabi.h> // for __cxa_*

namespace __aeabivl {
    using ::std::size_t;

    // Note: Only the __aeabi_* names are exported.
    // array_cookie, cookie_size, cookie_of, etc. are presented for exposition only.
    // They are not expected to be available to users, but implementers may find them useful.

struct array_cookie {
    size_t element_size; // element_size != 0
    size_t element_count;
};

// The struct array_cookie fields and the arguments element_size and element_count
// are ordered for convenient use of LDRD/STRD on architecture 5TE and above.

const size_t cookie_size = sizeof(array_cookie);
```

```
// cookie_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the cookie.
inline array_cookie& cookie_of(void* user_array)
 return reinterpret_cast<array_cookie*>(user_array)[-1];
// element_size_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the
// element_size field of the cookie.
inline size_t& element_size_of(void* user_array)
 return cookie_of(user_array).element_size;
// element_count_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the
// element_count field of the cookie.
inline size_t& element_count_of(void* user_array)
 return cookie_of(user_array).element_count;
// user_array_of() takes a pointer to the cookie and returns a pointer to the user array.
inline void* user_array_of(array_cookie* cookie_address)
 return cookie_address + 1;
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor(
       void* user array.
        void* (*constructor)(void*),
       size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
\{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // Note: AEABI mandates that __cxa_vec_ctor return its first argument
 return __cxa_vec_ctor(user_array, element_count, element_size, constructor, NULL);
}
    _aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor is like __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor but sets
// cookie fields and returns user_array. The parameters are arranged to make STRD
// usable. Does nothing and returns NULL if cookie is NULL.
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor(
        array_cookie* cookie,
        void*(*constructor)(void*),
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
\{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  if (cookie == NULL) { return NULL; }
 else
   cookie->element_size = element_size; cookie->element_count = element_count;
   return __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor(
            user_array_of(cookie), constructor, element_size, element_count);
}
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_cctor_nocookie_nodtor(
        void* user_array_dest,
        void* user_array_src,
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
        void* (*copy_constructor)(void*, void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // Note: AEABI mandates that __cxa_vec_cctor return its first argument
 return __cxa_vec_cctor(user_array_dest, user_array_src,
         element_count, element_size, copy_constructor, NULL);
}
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie_noctor(size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
\{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
 array_cookie* cookie =
      reinterpret_cast<array_cookie*>
```

```
(::operator new[](element_count * element_size + cookie_size));
 cookie->element_size = element_size; cookie->element_count = element_count;
 return user_array_of(cookie);
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_nocookie(
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
        void* (*constructor)(void*))
\{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
 return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, 0, constructor, NULL);
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie_nodtor(
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
        void* (*constructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
 return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, cookie_size, constructor, NULL);
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie(
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
        void* (*constructor)(void*),
        void* (*destructor)(void*))
\{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
 return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, cookie_size, constructor, destructor);
// __aeabi_vec_dtor is like __cxa_vec_dtor but has its parameters reordered and returns
// a pointer to the cookie (assuming user_array has one).
// Unlike __cxa_vec_dtor, destructor must not be NULL.
// user_array must not be NULL.
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_dtor(
        void* user_array,
        void* (*destructor)(void*),
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
   _cxa_vec_dtor(user_array, element_count, element_size, destructor);
 return &cookie_of(user_array);
// __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie is only used on arrays that have cookies.
    _aeabi_vec_dtor is like __cxa_vec_dtor but returns a pointer to the cookie.
// That is, it takes a pointer to the user array, calls the given destructor on
// each element (from highest index down to zero) and returns a pointer to the cookie.
// Does nothing and returns NULL if cookie is NULL.
// Unlike __cxa_vec_dtor, destructor must not be NULL.
// Exceptions are handled as in __cxa_vec_dtor.
// __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie must not change the element count in the cookie.
// (But it may corrupt the element size if desired.)
extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // like:
 // __cxa_vec_dtor(user_array, element_count_of(user_array),
                     element_size_of(user_array), destructor);
 return user array == NULL ? NULL :
         __aeabi_vec_dtor(user_array, destructor,
                           element_size_of(user_array), element_count_of(user_array));
}
extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete(void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
 // like: __cxa_vec_delete(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
                            cookie_size, destructor);
  try {
    ::operator delete[](__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(user_array, destructor));
```

```
} catch (...) {
      if (user_array != NULL) {
        :: operator delete[](&cookie_of(user_array));
      throw;
   }
  }
 extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete3(
         void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*), void (*dealloc)(void*, size_t))
  { // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
   // like: __cxa_vec_delete3(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
                                cookie_size, destructor, decalloc);
   if (user_array != NULL) {
       size_t size =
           element_size_of(user_array) * element_count_of(user_array) + cookie_size;
     void *array_cookie;
       array_cookie = __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(user_array, destructor);
      } catch (...) {
       try {
         (*dealloc)(&cookie_of(user_array), size);
        } catch (...) {
          std::terminate();
       throw;
      (*dealloc)(array_cookie, size);
   }
  }
 extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor(
         void* user_array, void (*dealloc)(void*, size_t))
  \{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
   // like: __cxa_vec_delete3(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
                                cookie_size, 0, decalloc);
   if (user_array != NULL) {
       size_t size =
            element_size_of(user_array) * element_count_of(user_array) + cookie_size;
      (*dealloc)(&cookie_of(user_array), size);
   }
 extern "C" int __aeabi_atexit(void* object, void (*destroyer)(void*), void* dso_handle)
  { // atexit(f) should call __aeabi_atexit (NULL, f, NULL)
    // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
   return __cxa_atexit(destroyer, object, dso_handle);
                                                                  // 0 ==> OK; non-0 ==> failed
} // namespace __aeabiv1
```

4.2.2.4 Code examples for the delete expression

Section 5.3.5 of the ISO C++ standard discusses the delete expression.

The code needed to implement delete [] p is tabulated in Implementation of delete [] p, below. It depends on:

- The static element type of p (referred to as T below),
- Which operator delete [] is being used for this de-allocation: either :: operator delete(void*) or T1:: operator delete(void*), where T1 is T or a base class of T.
- Whether a cookie is needed for arrays of T (see Array cookies).
- Has dtor, which means T is a class type with a non-trivial destructor [ISO C++ §12.4]. In cases where there is no cookie there must be no dtor.

Implementation of delete [] p

operator delete []	Needs cookie	Has dtor	<pre>Implementation of delete [] p / ::delete [] p</pre>	Note
::operator delete[](void*)	N	-	::operator delete[](p)	
::operator delete[](void*)	Υ	N	::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p))	2
		Υ	aeabi_vec_delete(p, &T::~T{D1})	
T1::operator delete[]	Υ	N	T1::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p))	
(void*)		Υ	T1::operator delete[] (aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(p, &T::~T{D1}))	
T1::operator delete[] (void*, std::size_t)	Y	N	aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor (p, &T1::operator delete[])	3
		Υ	aeabi_vec_delete3 (p, &T::~T{D1}, &T1::operator delete[])	4

Note

- 1. Other operator delete[]s, such as. operator delete[](void*, const std::nothrow&) or operator delete[](void*, void*), can be called explicitly by a user, but can only be called implicitly when a new array expression throws an exception during allocation or construction.
- 2. This is an unusual case that can only be reached by using ::delete[], for example:

```
struct T { static void operator delete(void*, std::size_t); } *p;
::delete[] p;
```

 $3. \ _ \texttt{aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor(p, \&T1::operator delete[])} \ \textbf{could also be done this way} :$

```
T1::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p), sizeof(T)*element_count_of(p))
```

4. __aeabi_vec_delete3(p, &T::~T{D1}, &T1::operator delete[]) could also be done this way:

```
T1::operator delete[]
   (__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(p, &T::~T{D1}), sizeof(T)*element_count_of(p))
```

4.2.2.5 Code example for __aeabi_atexit

Because constructors conforming to this ABI return this, construction of a top-level static object and the registration of its destructor can be done as:

```
__aeabi_atexit(T::T{C1}(&t), &T::~T{D1}, &__dso_handle);
```

This saves an instruction compared with calling __cxa_atexit directly, and allows a smart linker to calculate how much space to allocate statically to registering top-level object destructions (Static object destruction).

4.2.3 Guard variables and the one-time construction API

4.2.3.1 Guard variables

To support the potential use of initialization guard variables as semaphores that are the target of Arm SWP and LDREX/STREX synchronizing instructions we define a static initialization guard variable to be a 4-byte aligned, 4-byte word with the following inline access protocol.

```
#define INITIALIZED 1
// inline guard test...
if ((obj_guard & INITIALIZED)!= INITIALIZED) {
    // TST obj_guard, #1; BNE already_initialized
    if (__cxa_guard_acquire(&obj_guard)) {
        ...
}
```

Usually, a guard variable should be allocated in the same data section as the object whose construction it guards.

4.2.3.2 One-time construction API

```
extern "C" int __cxa_guard_acquire(int *guard_object);
```

If the guarded object has not yet been initialized, this function returns 1. Otherwise it returns 0.

If it returns 1, a semaphore might have been claimed and associated with *guard_object*, and either __cxa_guard_release or __cxa_guard_abort must be called with the same argument to release the semaphore.

```
extern "C" void __cxa_guard_release(int *guard_object);
```

This function is called on completing the initialization of the guarded object. It sets the least significant bit of guard_object (allowing subsequent inline checks to succeed) and releases any semaphore associated with it.

```
extern "C" void __cxa_guard_abort(int *guard_object);
```

This function is called if any part of the initialization of the guarded object terminates by throwing an exception. It releases any semaphore associated with *guard_object*.

4.2.4 Static object construction and destruction

4.2.4.1 Top-level static object construction

The compiler is responsible for sequencing the construction of top-level static objects defined in a translation unit in accordance with the requirements of the C++ standard. The run-time environment (helper-function library) sequences the initialization of one translation unit after another. The global *constructor vector* provides the interface between these agents as follows.

- Each translation unit provides a fragment of the constructor vector in an ELF section called .init_array of type SHT_INIT_ARRAY (=0xE) and section flags SHF_ALLOC + SHF_WRITE.
- Each element of the vector contains the address of a function of type extern "C" void (* const)(void) that, when called, performs part or all of the global object construction for the translation unit. Producers must treat .init_array sections as if they were read-only.

The appropriate entry for an element referring to, say, __sti_file that constructs the global static objects in filecpp, is 0 relocated by R_ARM_ TARGET1(__sti_file). Usually, R_ARM_ TARGET1 is interpreted by a static linker as R_ARM_ABS32 (for details, see the [Note] below).

• Run-time support code iterates through the global constructor vector in increasing address order calling each identified initialization function in order. This ABI does not specify a way to control the order in which translation units are initialized.

Note

In some execution environments, constructor vector entries contain self-relative references, which cost an additional ADD in the library code that traverses the vector, but save dynamic relocations, giving a smaller executable size and faster start-up when an executable must be dynamically linked and relocated. In these environments, a static linker interprets R_ARM_TARGET1 as R_ARM_REL32 rather than as R_ARM_ABS32. In some execution environments, constructor vector entries will be allocated to a read-only execution segment.

4.2.4.2 Static object destruction

The sequencing of static object destruction in C++ requires destructions to be registered dynamically in the order of object construction (Code example for __aeabi_atexit), correctly interleaved with any calls to the *atexit* library function(s).

This ABI requires static object destruction to be registered by calling __aeabi_atexit (Code example for __aeabi_atexit and [__aeabi_atexit]).

Implementations of the generic C++ ABI helper function __cxa_atexit usually allocate elements of the list of static objects to be destroyed dynamically, but some execution environments require static allocation. To support allocating this list statically, compilers must ensure that:

- Static object destructions are registered using __aeabi_atexit, not __cxa_atexit.
- Each call to __aeabi_atexit registers the destruction of the data objects constructed by the calling code. (Thus each static call will be executed at most once, and table-driven registration of several destructions by a single static call to __aeabi_atexit is forbidden).

The maximum number of destructions that can be registered by a relocatable file is then the number of sites calling __aeabi_atexit. A smart linker can count the number of sites and allocate space for the list accordingly.

The maximum number of calls to __aeabi_atexit on behalf of the *atexit* library functions is bounded by the implementation definition. The C++ standard requires at least 32 calls to be supported.

It is Q-o-I whether a linker and matching run-time library can allocate the list statically. So is the behaviour if the library calls __aeabi_atexit (e.g. on behalf of *atexit*) more times than a static allocation supports.

4.2.5 Inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage

Strictly, only subsection Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols of this section contributes to this ABI. Subsections Background, Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import, Symbol visibility for C++ entities, Vague linkage, and One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage give background, terminology, and rationale, but do not form part of this standard.

4.2.5.1 Background

An SVr4 (Unix or Linux) dynamic shared object (DSO) is best thought of as a library, rather than a module with a controlled interface. By default, every global symbol defined in a DSO is visible to its clients. When a program is linked dynamically with a DSO, the linkage semantics are the same as when it is linked statically with the corresponding static library. (We ignore here DSOs loaded dynamically by dlopen(), for which there is no static counterpart). In this environment the C++ ABI need not be aware of the existence of DSOs, and, indeed, the generic C++ ABI hardly mentions them.

In contrast, a dynamic link library (DLL) is much more a module with a controlled interface. Historically, the visibility of symbols between DLLs has been controlled explicitly using import and export directives to the static linker or source code annotations such as the __declspec(dllexport) and __declspec(dllimport) familiar to Microsoft Windows developers. By default, global symbols defined in a DLL are invisible outside of it.

In C, there is a one to one correspondence between source entities with external linkage and global symbols. There are no implicit global symbols other than compiler helper functions. It is, therefore, tractable to control visibility explicitly (using a variety of Q-o-I mechanisms).

In C++ there are several implicit entities associated with classes (v-tables, RTTI, etc) that have corresponding C++ ABI-specified global symbols, but there is no simple, universally accepted model of controlling their visibility between DLLs. This ABI specifies a simple binary interface that promotes inter-operation between independently compiled relocatable files while remaining faithful to the DLL-based expectation of explicit visibility control.

A further complication is that, at the time of writing, not all DLL-based execution environments encompassed by the *ABI for the Arm Architecture* are capable of resolving vague linkage (Vague linkage) dynamically. This means that they cannot always provide a single address for entities required to have a single definition (One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage).

4.2.5.2 Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import

At the binary interface, the scope of an ELF global symbol is restricted by giving it a non default visibility attribute. Specifically, STV_HIDDEN restricts the visibility of a symbol to the executable file that defines it.

In effect, STV_DEFAULT implements DLL export and STV_HIDDEN implements DLL no export.

The source annotation denoting export is Q-o-I, but we expect __declspecl([no]dllexport) to be widely used.

Exporting

Exporting a function that can be inlined should force the creation and export of an out-of-line copy of it. (See also Importing, below).

When compiling for an SVr4-based environment, symbols with global binding should have default visibility by default (unless source annotation or tool options dictate otherwise). The C++ ABI does not change this.

When compiling for a DLL-based environment, we start from the position that symbols with global binding should have STV_HIDDEN visibility by default (unless source annotation or tool options dictate otherwise). This C++ ABI modifies this starting point as described in Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols.

In some DLL models, addressing an imported datum requires an additional level of indirection compared with addressing a locally defined one. In these models, DLL import makes a compile-time distinction between a reference to a datum exported by some other DLL and one defined by this DLL.

Aside

Under the SVr4 DSO model, all global symbols are addressed indirectly, whether imported or not, so no source annotation is needed. This supports pre-emption of any DSO definition at dynamic link time and allows vague linkage to be implemented dynamically.

The source annotation denoting import is Q-o-I, but we expect __declspecl([no]dllimport) to be widely used.

It is Q-o-I whether importing a definition also exports it, whether exporting a reference also imports it, and how these annotations interact with compiler steering options. Nevertheless, in ELF, restricting the visibility of an undefined symbol restricts the visibility of a definition that matches it at static link time.

Importing

Importing a function that can be inlined should suppress the creation of an out-of-line copy of it, the imported reference being used instead. (See also Exporting, above).

4.2.5.3 Symbol visibility for C++ entities

Many C++ entities with linkage map one to one via C++ ABI-defined name mangling [GCPPABI] to corresponding ELF symbols with global binding. Examples include many data objects and class member functions. In principle, the export of these entities can be controlled explicitly by source annotation, just as in C.

Some C++ ABI-defined global symbols are associated uniquely with an entity of the above sort. Examples include static data local to a function that might be inlined and the initialization guard variables associated with it. In these cases, symbol visibility must follow that of the export controlled C++ entity (here, the function itself).

Remaining C++ ABI-defined global symbols relate to class impedimenta – virtual tables and RTTI. Under the generic C++ ABI they are the global symbols _ZT{V,T,I,S}type, where type is a mangled class name.

4.2.5.4 Vague linkage

Some C++ entities (including class impedimenta, out of line copies of inline functions, and the static data and string literals belonging to them) can have *vague linkage*.

Entities with vague linkage are defined in many relocatable files linked to form an executable file. Duplication is avoided using COMDAT groups [GCPPABI], so there is at most one definition in a DLL, DSO, or executable file.

To ensure a single definition program wide requires pre-emption of all but one definition at dynamic link time. In turn this requires that references to a DLL-local definition can be relocated at dynamic link time.

Class impedimenta and some other class entities have vague linkage unless the class has a *key function*. The translation unit containing the definition of the key function provides a unique point of definition for the impedimenta. Otherwise, definitions must be emitted wherever they are used.

4.2.5.5 Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols

For terminology, please refer to Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import, Symbol visibility for C++ entities, and Vague linkage.

Among C++ entities with linkage, only classes are exported by default (in the absence of Q-o-I source annotations and compiler options). No ELF symbol directly represents a class.

If a C++ ABI-defined global (CAG) symbol Y names an entity associated with a C++ function or data object X:

- Y must be exported if, and only if, X is exported.
- Y must be addressed as imported if X is addressed as imported.

If a CAG symbol Y names one of the impedimenta associated with an exported class X:

- If X has a key function K:
 - Y is exported from the DLL containing the translation unit that defines K.
 - Y is addressed as imported in every other DLL containing a translation unit that refers to X and uses Y.
- Otherwise, if X has no key function:
 - Y is both exported from, and addressed as imported in, each DLL that refers to X and uses Y 1.

Strictly, as far as this ABI is concerned, the control of export is Q-o-I. However, to foster inter-operation between compilers we require that:

- A class should be exported unless explicitly tagged otherwise (e.g. by class __delcspec(nodllexport) X...).
- A member of an implicitly exported class should be exported only if explicitly tagged as such (e.g. by __delcspec(dllexport) C::f(...) {...}).

- If a class is explicitly exported (e.g. by __delcspec(dllexport) class X ...) and no class member is explicitly exported then all class members should be exported.
- These rules apply to each class individually. Explicitly exporting a class X does not implicitly export any base class of X, or any class derived from X.

Some names, despite formally having external linkage, are not usable outside the translation unit in which they are declared. Names to which this applies

- · Are declared in unnamed namespaces.
- Have external C++ linkage but not extern "C" linkage.

Whether such names have local or global binding is Q-o-I but they must not have dynamic linkage.

4.2.5.6 One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage

The last rule given in the first half of Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols ("[Otherwise], ...") ensures that a DLL-based system capable of resolving vague linkage dynamically can give unique (within the program) addresses to the impedimenta associated with a class that has no key function.

As observed in Vague linkage, other C++ entities suffer from vague linkage, but, ultimately, these are all functions, or associated with functions. If a system cannot resolve vague linkage dynamically, a few simple rules that can be backed by compiler warnings will serve to alert programmers to most potential problems with multiple definitions.

For example, a compiler might warn of the following in relation to a function with vague linkage.

- Taking its address (it will yield different results in different DLLs).
- Using function local static data (they will be different data in different DLLs).
- Taking the address of a string literal, or passing a string literal to other than a library function (the literal will have a different address in different DLLs, and this might matter if the address rather than the value is stored).

In short, it is feasible and reasonable for a system to avoid these problems through its programming standards.

However, usage of the class impedimenta cannot be regulated through programming conventions, so we need different rules for them. Specifically, we must drop the requirement that one definition should mean one address. This appears to have no consequence for virtual tables (symbols matching _ZT{V,T}type), as nothing seems to depend on the address of a virtual table being unique, but it matters for RTTI (symbols matching _ZT{I,S}type).

This runs contrary to §2.9.1 of GCPPABI which states:

It is intended that two type_info pointers point to equivalent type descriptions if and only if the pointers are equal.
 An implementation must satisfy this constraint, e.g. by using symbol preemption, COMDAT sections, or other mechanisms.

Fortunately, we can ignore this requirement without violating the C++ standard provided that:

- type_info::operator== and type_info::operator!= compare the strings returned by type_info::name(), not just the pointers to the RTTI objects and their names.
- No reliance is placed on the address returned by type_info::name(). (That is, t1.name() != t2.name() does not imply that t1 != t2).

The first condition effectively requires that these operators (and type_info::before()) must be called out of line, and that the execution environment must provide appropriate implementations of them. A relocatable file built this way is oblivious to whether or not RTTI objects have unique addresses.

Finally we need to revisit the last rule of Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols ("[Otherwise], ..."). It states:

• If X is exported but has no key function, Y is both exported from, and addressed as imported in, each DLL that refers to X and uses Y.

For any Y for which the execution environment waives the one address rule, these requirements are pointless. The exported Y will never be used, and because the system cannot resolve vague linkage dynamically, there is no need to address the entity named by Y as imported.

Import potentially affects code generation, so this involves a compile time decision. However, we can note that a system that imports indirectly – using an extra indirection to access imported data – can in principle resolve vague linkage dynamically, while one that does not in general cannot. (But note that SVr4 applications do precisely this by using copy relocations and a local copy of the data that pre-empts any DSO copy). So, in practice, code generation is unlikely to be changed unless a system capable of resolving vague linkage dynamically chooses not to do so for class impedimenta, or unless code is generated differently for applications and DLLs.

Export does not affect code generation directly – merely the visibility of symbols and, hence, the efficiency of export tables. So it is desirable to drop the export requirement in environments that waive the one address rule. Doing this at compile time restricts the portability of the relocatable file. However it is easy to do the restriction at static link time, as follows.

• For any global symbol Y whose name matches _ZT{V,T,S,I}type, if Y is defined in a section belonging to a COMDAT group, reduce Y's visibility to STV_HIDDEN.

(If Y names an entity whose linkage is not vague, it will not be defined in a COMDAT group).

Whether implemented at compile time or link time, support by tool chains is Q-o-l.

4.2.6 ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols

The generic C++ standard [GCPPABI] states at the end of §5.2.2:

Some objects with static storage duration have associated guard variables used to ensure that they are initialized only once (see 3.3.2). If the object is emitted using a COMDAT group, the guard variable must be too. It is suggested that it be emitted in the same COMDAT group as the associated data object, but it may be emitted in its own COMDAT group, identified by its name. In either case, it must be weak.

In effect the generic standard permits a producer to generate one of two alternative structures. Either:

Or:

A link step involving multiple groups of the first kind causes no difficulties. A linker must retain only one copy of the group and there will be one definition of *Variable Name* and one weak definition of *Guard Variable Name*.

A link step involving pairs of groups of the second kind also causes no difficulties. A linker must retain one copy of each group so there will be one definition of *Variable Name* and one weak definition of *Guard Variable Name*.

A link step involving a group of the first kind and a pair of groups of the second kind generates two sub-cases.

- If the linker discards the group that defines two symbols there is no problem.
- If the linker retains the group that defines both *Variable Name* and *Guard Variable Name* it must nonetheless retain the group called *Guard Variable Name*. There are now two definitions of *Guard Variable Name* with ELF binding STB_WEAK.

In this second case there is no problem provided the linker picks one of the definitions.

1

Unfortunately, GELF does not specify how linkers must process multiple weak definitions when there is no non-weak definition to override them. If a linker faults duplicate weak definitions there will be a functional failure.

This ABI requires the ELF binding of Guard Variable Name in the first structure to be STB_GLOBAL.

The rules codified in GELF then make all three linking scenarios well defined and it becomes possible to link the output of compilers such as armcc that choose the first structure with the output of those such as gcc that choose the second without relying on linker behavior that the generic ELF standard leaves unspecified.

See One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage for a discussion of this rule and possible optimizations of it.