$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(d)} \text{(a)} \text{(d)} \text$$

ab (a) det(AB) = det(A) let(B) = -3.-4 = 12 lemma: An=[2-2n 2n-1] (b) det(SA) = 53 det(A) = 33 (-3)=-375 (c) det (BT) = det (B) = -4 proof by induction: (d) det (A") = det(A) = -3 for n=1, A'=[0 1]=[2-21 2'-1] (true) (e) det (A3) = det(A)3 = -33 =-27 Q7. A= [21 212] B= [bu bi2] assume AK = [2-2k 2k-1 2k-1] is true for n=k, k≥1 (S: det(A+1B) = det ([21+b2, 22+b2)] for n=k+1, AK+1 = AK.A = [2-2× 2×-1][0 1] [2-2×+1 2×+1-1][-23] = (aidbii](azztbzz) - (aiztbiz)(azitbzi) = [2-2.2* (2-2*)+3(2*-1)] 2-2.2**1 (2-2*)+3(2*+1-1)] det(A)+det(B)+det(c)+det(D) RHS: = (211222) - (812 22) + (b11 122) - (b2 b2)+ = [2-2K+1 2K+1-1] thue) (211 b22)-(212 b21)+(b11222)-(b12221) = 211 (222+622) - 212 (221+621)+ b11 (822+622)-612 (221+621) hence, it is proved o = (211+611)(222+622)-(212+612)(221+621) Q5. We know that: SINCE LHS = RHS, it is shown o

RO (2) det ([00]) = det ([00]) = 1 > A - deta adj(A) => adj(A) = det(A) A-1 (b) det ([00]]) =-det ([00]) =-1 => adj (A) = det(A) A => 2dj -(A) = det(A-1)A (proved)_11) (c) det ([; 20]) = k. det ([;0])=k => (A-1) -1 = 1 adj(A-1) Q9. $V=[V_1;V_2,V_3]=\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 & -7 \\ 9 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ det(V)=5[(5)(5)-(-7)(-5)]+3[(-7)(5)-(-7)(9)]=> A = 1 adj (A-1) => adj(A-1) = det(A-1) A (proved) -- (2) +2((-7)(-5)-(5)(9))=0 since detly)=0, V is singular. this implies that V is not able to be converted adj-'(A) = adj (A-1) into an identity matrix by using only elementary note that since adj-'(A) = adj(A-1), row operations, hence, vi, v2, and v3 are linearly dependent A" must be first exist (i.e. A must be invertible), since A is nonsingular, (40. det(UTU) = det(I) this condition is satisfied. => det(UTU)=1 => det(UT) det(U)=1 note also that adj-'(A) = det(A) A exists if => det(v)2=1 det(A) = 0 in other words A is nonsingular => det(u) = 2-1,13

hence, it is shown ,

(b) det(B) = -2 det (| 8 -410) QU(a) True, if the columns of A are linearly dependent, A is a singular matrix, det(A)=0 =(-2)(-1) det ([324]) Lb) False, consider A=[0]. det(A-1)=1+(-1) det(A)=-1 = 2 (-4(1312)-1412))-(1373)-1272)) (c) False, only for triangular matrix (d) False, consider A=[23] Q15. consider block matrix: (e) False, consider A=[0], B=[-10] >E=[Ix 0] det(B)=1 det(A)=1 >F=[A 0] det (A+B) = det ([00])=0 here, det(A+B) \$\neq \det(A) +det(B) $\Rightarrow EF = [Ix 0][A 0] = [A 0] = C$ $\Rightarrow det(C) = det(EF) = det(E) det(F)$ (12.12) det(M21)= det([52])=(5)(1)-(2)(2)=9 det(1122) = det ([12]) = (17(1)-(2)(1)=-) > det (E) = (-1) 1+1 det ([]k-1 0]) det (M23) = det ([1 5]) = (1)(-2)-(5)(1)=-7 = (-1)141 det ([Ix-2 0]) (b) A21 = (-1)2+1 det(M21)=-9 A22= (-1)2+2 det (1422) = -1 A23 = 1-122+3 det (M23) = 7 = (-1)1+1 Let (B) (c) det(A) = 22, A2, + 222 A22 + 223 A23 -> det (F) = (-1) n+n det ([A 0 In-k-1]) = 2(-9)+4 (-1)+(-1)7 = -29 = (-1)(n-1)4(n-1) det ([& In-k-2]) &13(2) consider 2nd row of A, det(A)=(-17(-1)((1)(6)-1-27(0))=6 (b) det (A") = det(A) "= 1296 = (-1) (K+1)+(K+1) det (A) (c) x1= det([-3002])=2. X2: det ([-1-2]) = 4 X3: det ([-3-6]) = 4 X3: det ([-3-6]) = -3 det(A) => det(c)= det(x) det(B) Q16. Li:U→V & L2:V→W be linear transformations, Q14(2) det(A)=-3 det ([4-+3-5] 5 2 0 0 5 5 2 -3] L=L20L1 defined as L(u)=L2(L1(u)) for =(-3) 2 det([43-5]) > for every veu, Limsel = -6 ((1471-3)-(-5)(5)) 2((47(2)-(5)(5))) >for every VEV, L2(L1(u1)EW=>L(u)EW therefore, L is a map from U to Wo

```
Q20. A = 1 = det(A) - 2dj(A)
    bloot:
    (i) let u, & u2 as vectors s.t. u,,u2 EU
                 L(4442)= L2(L1(4442))
                                              = L2(L1(U1)+L1(U2))
                                               = L2(L1(U1)) + L2(L1(U2))
                                               = L(U1) + L(U2)
  (ii) let 2 be a scalar s.t. 2+0
                 L(24) = L2(L1(24))
                                           = L2(& L1(4))
                                           = x L2(L1(U))
                                          = Llu)
both (i) +(ii) proved the linear
transformation L is valid,
: L is a linear transformation from U to W
Q17.(2) Ker(L) = {[0,0,0]<sup>7</sup>}
           (b) Ker(L): S[0,0,C]] CER3
            (c) ker(L) = 9[0, 4, 62] / 61, 62 ETR }
218. V= [ 1 X1 X1 X1 ]
1 X2 X2 1
1 X3 X3 2
           (a) det(v) = det ([0 x2-x1 x2-x12])
                                               = det ( \begin{aligned} \cdot \times \cdot \
                                               = 1. (x2-X1) (x3-X1)(x3+X1-X2-X1)
                                               = Lx2-X1)(x3-X1)(x3-X2)
          (b) V is non-singular if det(v) =00
                       this implies that x1+x2, x1+x3,
                        and X2±X30
alg. it is given that:
                                A=[[L(e1)]B [L(e2)]B]
                  where:
                              L(e1) = L(1,0) = b,+b3
                              L(e2) = L(0,1) = 62+63
                       => [L(e,1]B = (1,0,1) T
                       => [r(6)]B=(0'1'1)L
                        => A=[00]
```

=> adj(A) = det(A). A-1

=> det(adj(A))= det(A-1.det(A))

= det(A). det (A)

= detn-1(A)