

# Virtual Memory Management Simulation

Yohandi [SID: 120040025]

## Program Design

We were instructed to design a virtual memory management simulation for this task. As background information, virtual memory is a method that enables the execution of non-memory-resident processes. The fact that programs can be greater than physical memory is a significant advantage of this design. We were to implement a simple virtual memory in a GPU kernel function using a single thread, limited shared memory, and global memory for this project.

Each array in the program has a total of 1024 unsigned 32-bit integers. The first two arrays, namely `page_table` and `invert_page_table`, show whether or not a storage frame is in memory. Since each element has 32 bits of space, two storage frames can be represented. Consequently, each frame structure consists of 16 bits, with 4 bits assigned for the valid/invalid bit and the remaining 12 bits for the frame's page number in memory. Notice that 12 bits are already sufficient, given each frame runs from 0 to  $2^{12}$ . With the help of the arrays, we can search the needs in the virtual memory.

Aside from those arrays, a second array is also constructed to store the storage address of each memory element. This array is used during the swapping operation to restore data from memory to storage. In this software, the last array serves as the doubly-linked list for LRU implementation. Each entry in this array represents the location of the memory buffer corresponding to the corresponding index. The first 16 bits of each element indicate the following element, whereas the remaining bits indicate the previous element.

As a template is already given, we only want to modify the `vm_read`, `vm_write`, and `vm_snapshot` functions from the provided template. Therefore, the file `virtual_memory.cu` will contain three primary functions, omitting the initiation code. As stated, those are `vm_read`, `vm_write`, and `vm_snapshots`.

### `vm_read`

For `vm_read`, we need to partition it into two possible cases: the called address is in physical memory, or it is not in virtual memory. For the first case, we do not need to take into consideration the replacement of primary memory with secondary memory. Therefore, our application initially determined if the address could be located in the page table. If it is located, we can increase the page fault pointer. Moreover, we also want to save the index of the page table, which is also the frame address of the physical memory. Then, depending on the previous condition, the LRU is updated, and the output is returned. For the second case, however, we need to consider a condition whether there is an empty space in the memory or not. We update the flag and persist the index if there is an empty space. We then update the LRU, the page table, and the physical

memory immediately. In comparison, the situation where physical memory is full will be somewhat more complicated: 1. The LRU table is examined to obtain the index of the frame that has been utilized the least. 2. Using the index, update the LRU table by setting the matching address and incrementing the remaining addresses, but not for the empty frame. 3. We save the removed data in the secondary memory. Transfer the needed information from secondary memory to physical memory and update the page table.

### **vm\_write**

For `vm_write`, Like with the read function, there will be two cases to think about. First, when the physical memory already has the address in question. The flow of the code is pretty similar to the read, except that the new value will be added to the requested address in the buffer. When the requested address is not in physical memory, the second case is the same as the read function. We also need to think about what happens if the physical memory still has room or is already full. If it still has empty space, you only need to update the LRU, the buffer, and the page table. There is no need to replace it. But if the space is full, we have to store the frame data from the last time it was used. Give the requested address to the physical memory and change the value. Last, make sure the page table and the LRU set are up to date.

### **vm\_snapshots**

The `vm_snapshots` function's only job is to put all the information from storage into the results array. In short, the program will loop as many times as the size of the input and perform the exact same procedure as the previously described `vm_read`. However, not only it runs the same commands at the same time, but it also saves the information to results. The results will later be printed into a single bin file named `snapshot.bin`.

### **Bonus**

The task is divided into four threads (this question uses CUDA threads to simulate processes) to complete, that is, thread with  $pid = 0$  is responsible for reading and writing  $addr \% 4 = 0$  task, thread with  $pid = 1$  is responsible for reading and writing  $addr \% 4 = 1$  task, and so on. The four threads perform the same and whole process of testcase four times in total. This means that the addresses of the four threads are the same during read and write operations. We overwrite the next process with the content of the previous process.

## **Program Execution**

### **Program Environment**

Note that in order to execute the program, it is already assumed that the user has already set up an access to the school's computation resources.

### Login to Cluster

- Open a new terminal and type `ssh {Student_ID}@CSC4005_cluster` to connect to the cluster remote login with SSH protocol.
- Enter a password to login.

### Transfer Files to Cluster

- Open a new terminal and locate the files that are going to be transferred.
- Type `scp {file} {Student_ID}@CSC4005_cluster:.` In here, file can be in the format of `FILENAME.zip` if multiple files are to be sent. This will sent files directly to `nfsmnt/{Student_ID}` destination.

### Execution Steps

- After login to cluster, extract the zip files if the files are zipped.
- It is required that in the destination there are exactly 6 files: `data.bin`, `main.cu`, `slurm.sh`, `user_program.cu`, `virtual_memory.cu`, and `virtual_memory.h`.
- To submit a batch, type `sbatch ./slurm.sh`. After execution is done, new files named `result.out` and `snapshot.bin` will be printed in the same destination. `result.out` shows the compile and run result.
- Another method is by typing both `nvcc --relocatable-device-code=true main.cu user_program.cu virtual_memory.cu -o test` to directly compile the CUDA script using `nvcc` compiler and `srun ./test` to run the compiled execution file. Note that, this step produces the same result with the previous step; however, `result.out` will not be printed as the content will be printed directly to the terminal.

### Transfer Files from Cluster

- If the current terminal is still in the cluster root, type `exit` to exit from the cluster.
- Type `scp 120040025@CSC4005_cluster:~/ {file} .` to retrieve the file back to the current folder.

### Bonus

For bonus part, execution is performed exactly same with the normal part.

### Result

When changing a test case, kindly edit the `user_program.cu` file and transfer it back to cluster.

## Test Case #1

```
// Test Case 1
for (int i = 0; i < input_size; i++)
    vm_write(vm, i, input[i]);

for (int i = input_size - 1; i >= input_size - 32769; i--)
    int value = vm_read(vm, i);

vm_snapshot(vm, results, 0, input_size);
```

In this case, a number of 8193 pagefaults are expected. In first `vm_write` part, the number of `input_size` jobs, which is  $2^{17}$ , take  $\frac{2^{17}}{32} = 4096$  pages. Meaning 4096 page faults. After that, in the `vm_read` part, we realize that the size of physical memory is only  $2^{15}$  bytes while `vm_read` is executed for  $32769 = 2^{15} + 1$  different address. Meaning 1 extra page fault. In the last part, page fault will keep happening as `vm_snapshot` loops from 0 to `input_size` without any offset. This implies that another 4096 page faults are counted. This is also due to the physical memory that did not store the first  $2^{15}$  data. Adding those results up, we have  $4096 + 1 + 4096 = 8193$  page faults.

```
[120040025@node21 ~]$ srun ./test
input size: 131072
pagefault number is 8193
```

---

results

---

address	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	Ascii	<input type="checkbox"/> unsigned	<input type="checkbox"/> bigendian
00000000	37	0c	60	51	38	5a	25	0b	13	2a	2a	01	0c	07	05	2f	7.`Q8Z%..**....		
00000010	62	0b	3a	2b	3e	35	2b	3c	0e	27	60	3b	04	53	2a	3a	b.:>5+<.`';.S*:		
00000020	5e	5a	5b	31	1f	1b	0b	01	14	04	01	20	0b	05	1e	08	^Z[1. . . .		
00000030	44	57	02	1d	5c	61	28	05	23	58	3f	5a	46	39	63	3f	DW.\a(.#X?ZF9c?		
00000040	62	59	3f	1c	44	49	1c	57	1c	51	46	5a	55	63	32	34	bY?IDIWQFZuc24		
00000050	56	33	21	1d	2f	18	21	21	0b	30	16	50	38	15	2a	35	V3!@/!!0P8*5		
00000060	3d	38	20	1c	50	3b	43	08	5b	24	31	4c	23	62	4f	48	=8 P;C.[ \$1L#bOH		
00000070	01	3f	64	63	57	54	20	31	1f	05	1d	56	4d	16	5a	26	..?dcWT 1. .VVMZ&		

first 8 lines of snapshot.bin

0001ff80	62	44	64	33	1d	56	33	58	2c	5b	14	23	17	1e	20	1c	bDd3V3X,[#		
0001ff90	05	30	4e	62	5c	29	32	32	55	61	63	31	23	5a	2b	55	.0Nb\)22Uac1#Z+U		
0001ffa0	39	2a	23	55	50	26	19	4b	1c	60	09	02	1a	5c	52	52	9*#UP&K. .\RR		
0001ffb0	28	3b	4f	53	33	51	54	23	4d	53	24	40	18	4e	30	20	(;OS3QT#MS\$@N0		
0001ffc0	48	22	44	03	17	2c	4d	33	28	56	04	41	1d	25	62	14	H"D.,M3(V.A%b		
0001ffd0	30	1c	03	62	08	26	55	55	48	14	64	5f	31	2f	1a	14	0.b.&UUHd_1/		
0001ffe0	20	2e	16	37	59	33	39	1c	58	0c	2c	10	31	29	24	60	.Y39X.,.1)\$`		
0001fff0	45	5a	2d	1c	4f	1d	0c	33	30	3f	2d	61	3d	17	44	5d	EZ-00.30?-a=D]		

last 8 lines of snapshot.bin

## Test Case #2

```
// Test Case 2
for (int i = 0; i < input_size; i++)
    vm_write(vm, 32*1024+i, input[i]);

for (int i = 0; i < 32*1023; i++)
    vm_write(vm, i, input[i+32*1024]);

vm_snapshot(vm, results, 32*1024, input_size);
```

In this case, a number of 9215 pagefaults are expected. In first `vm_write` part, the number of `input_size` jobs, which is  $2^{17}$ , take  $\frac{2^{17}}{32} = 4096$  pages. Meaning 4096 page faults. After that, in the second part, a number of  $32 \times 1023$  `vm_write` are executed. This results in  $\frac{32 \times 1023}{32} = 1023$  page faults. In the last part, page fault will keep happening as `vm_snapshot` loops from 0 to `input_size` with offset (loop over all contents written in the first part). This implies that another 4096 page faults are counted. Add those results up, we have an expected number of  $4096 + 1023 + 4096 = 9215$  page faults.

```
[120040025@node21 ~]$ srun ./test
input size: 131072
pagefault number is 9215
```

results

address	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	Ascii	<input type="checkbox"/> unsigned	<input type="checkbox"/> bigendian
00000000	37	0c	60	51	38	5a	25	0b	13	2a	2a	01	0c	07	05	2f	7.`Q8Z%..**....		
00000010	62	0b	3a	2b	3e	35	2b	3c	0e	27	60	3b	04	53	2a	3a	b.:+>5+<.'`;S*:		
00000020	5e	5a	5b	31	1f	1b	0b	01	14	04	01	20	0b	05	1e	08	^Z[1... ..		
00000030	44	57	02	1d	5c	61	28	05	23	58	3f	5a	46	39	63	3f	DW.\a(.#X?ZF9c?		
00000040	62	59	3f	1c	44	49	1c	57	1c	51	46	5a	55	63	32	34	bY?DIWQFZUc24		
00000050	56	33	21	1d	2f	18	21	21	0b	30	16	50	38	15	2a	35	V3!/!/!.0P8*5		
00000060	3d	38	20	1c	50	3b	43	08	5b	24	31	4c	23	62	4f	48	=8 P;C.[\$1L#bOH		
00000070	01	3f	64	63	57	54	20	31	1f	05	1d	56	4d	16	5a	26	.?dcwT 1.VMZ&		

first 8 lines of snapshot.bin

0001ff80	62	44	64	33	1d	56	33	58	2c	5b	14	23	17	1e	20	1c	bDd3V3X,[##		
0001ff90	05	30	4e	62	5c	29	32	32	55	61	63	31	23	5a	2b	55	.0Nb\)22Uac1#Z+U		
0001ffa0	39	2a	23	55	50	26	19	4b	1c	60	09	02	1a	5c	52	52	9*#UP&K`..\RR		
0001ffb0	28	3b	4f	53	33	51	54	23	4d	53	24	40	18	4e	30	20	(;0S3QT#MS\$@N0		
0001ffc0	48	22	44	03	17	2c	4d	33	28	56	04	41	1d	25	62	14	H"D.,M3(V.A%b		
0001ffd0	30	1c	03	62	08	26	55	55	48	14	64	5f	31	2f	1a	14	0.b.&UUHd_1/		
0001ffe0	20	2e	16	37	59	33	39	1c	58	0c	2c	10	31	29	24	60	.7Y39X.,.1)\$`		
0001fff0	45	5a	2d	1c	4f	1d	0c	33	30	3f	2d	61	3d	17	44	5d	EZ-00.30?-a=D]		

last 8 lines of snapshot.bin

Bonus

```
input size: 131072
pagefault number is 9215
execution time: 19.65
```

Time used to  
execute the test case

## Reflection

This task has enhanced my understanding of the computer's paging system. Initially, we were taught CUDA programming. Second, we studied how memory functions in computers, particularly how primary memory and secondary memory function. This is the primary objective of the task. We discovered that the memory employs a page table to maintain track of the connections between physical and secondary storage. On the other hand, we better understand what occurs when we write miss, write hit, read miss, and read hit. When a read or write error occurs, the memory must be replaced. Finally, we discover that there is a technique named Least Recently Used. This approach is used in main memory to determine which data has been accessed the least frequently so that it can be switched out for other requested data.