Kera Jeong

Form 1: 28-03-2025

Items Performed

DDI-DDS

student_id

1362959

Student Name

Kera Jeong

Assessor Name

Ling Teh

Date

28-03-2025

Cohort

DDS1

Type

Simulation

Student Feedback

Inversion has much room for improvement; better use of mirror could be beneficial for future isolations. Rubber dam tearing was a recurring issue when placing the frame

Assessor Feedback

Consider use of different floss which may fray less. Good first effort - dont worry too much if first few RD placements have tears and issues as this is still new. Time management may be improved soon

assessor_positioning_MC1

Yes

assessor_positioning_MC2

Yes

Rubric Scores

Rubric	Score
Time Management	3.0
Entrustment	2.0
Communication	2.0
Professionalism	2.0

Evaluation for DDI-DDS: Dental Dam Isolation (DDS)

Marking Checklist	Student	Educator	Critical
Nasal airway open and oral cavity covered	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chosen clamp fits securely around tooth	Yes	Yes	No
Clamp correctly secured with floss, floss is secured and contained	Yes	Yes	Yes
Clamp handling: wings and beaks exposed, no floss caught in beaks	Yes	Yes	Yes
Accessory retention appropriately placed (if applicable)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dam secured to frame, frame correctly oriented	No	No	Yes
Appropriate number of teeth isolated	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dam flossed interproximally, including distal to the clamp	Yes	Yes	No
Dam inverted around all teeth	No	No	No
No large tears	No	No	Yes

Dental Dam Isolation (DDS) Score: 0.71 (Cutoff: 0.81)

Pass: False

Form 2: 03-04-2025

Items Performed

SOP-DDS, SOR-DDS, FS-DDS

student_id

1362959

Student Name

Kera Jeong

Assessor Name

Melinda Johansson

Date

03-04-2025

Cohort

DDS1

Type

Simulation

Student Feedback

I am satisfied with the placement of my fissure sealant in task 1 - it was initially quite difficult to tell if enough sealant was applied but I believe I managed to not overdo it. I believe I cleaned and prepared the occlusal surface thoroughly with the pumice and etched for the correct duration. As for task 2, I made the mistake of etching prior to drilling (critical error) however in terms of the caries removal, I managed to leave the DEJ caries free with clean and small access. I managed to place the composite in but did not get up to the finishing and polishing in this session, which suggests better time management is something to work on in future sessions.

Assessor Feedback

Neat work

assessor_positioning_MC1

Yes

assessor_positioning_MC2

Yes

Rubric Scores

Rubric	Score
Time Management	1.0
Entrustment	1.0
Communication	2.0
Professionalism	2.0

Evaluation for SOP-DDS: DDS1 SmOcc Prep

Marking Checklist	Student	Educator	Critical
Selective caries removal demonstrated: pulpal	Yes	Yes	Yes
Selective caries removal demonstrated: peripheral surfaces	Yes	Yes	Yes
DEJ is caries free	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cavity outline reflects extent of caries	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cavity depth reflects extent of caries	Yes	No	Yes
Cavosurface margins supported?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Internal surfaces smooth	Yes	Yes	No
Adjacent teeth/surfaces: no iatrogenic damage	Yes	Yes	Yes

DDS1 SmOcc Prep Score: 0.86 (Cutoff: 0.86)

Pass: True

Evaluation for SOR-DDS: DDS1 SmOcc Resto

Marking Checklist	Student	Educator	Critical
Composite handling: Homogenous	NA	Yes	Yes
Surface finish smooth	NA	Yes	No
Margins: No deficiency	NA	Yes	Yes
Margins: No excess	NA	Yes	No
Tooth morphology and contour resembles anatomy	NA	Yes	No
Adjacent teeth/surfaces: No iatrogenic damage	NA	Yes	Yes

DDS1 SmOcc Resto Score: 1.0 (Cutoff: 0.69)

Pass: True

Evaluation for FS-DDS: DDS1 FS

Marking Checklist	Student	Educator	Critical
Correct surface preparation prior to placement	Yes	Yes	NA
Neat placement in fissure system	Yes	Yes	NA

DDS1 FS Score: 0 (Cutoff: N/A)

Pass: