

EXHIBIT 160

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

From: Marie Hagman </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MARIEHC22>
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2013 8:12 AM
To: Douglas Purdy; Constantin Koumouzelis; Alan McConnell; Eddie O'Neil
Cc: Vladimir Fedorov
Subject: Re: Unified Review as business value gate

I like this idea. For this to work we need all API calls to require a session, many currently don't. It will be a big breaking change but is the direction we want to go.

M

On 3/17/13 4:36 PM, "Douglas Purdy" <dmp@fb.com> wrote:

>I have been thinking about the challenges around reciprocity and
>competitive enforcement (friends.get, etc.) and fact that it is all
>post facto.
>
>The way we are structured today, you build an app on FB and then launch
>and then we may just shut you down, harming users and the developer.
>
>I wonder if we should move as quickly as possible to a model in the
>product where all you get from platform is login (basic info) and
>sharing without approval. All other APIs are available in development,
>but have to be approved before the app launches to real users
>(basically all apps using friends.get have to have that capability approved).
>
>We are roughly on course to deliver this as part of unified review,
>save for the more granular approval for things like friends.get?
>
>What I love about this too is we could make our whitelists so much
>cleaner by making each capability an approval thing.
>
>Marie: I think makes your "depreciations" much easier.
>
>Thoughts?