

EXHIBIT 80

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

From: Simon Cross </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SIMON CROSS>
Sent: Thursday, September 05, 2013 12:33 PM
To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis; Ime Archibong; Jackie Chang
Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

I'd say for now, just list out the capabilities/Gks/Sitevars we want to audit – but don't actually do the audit - that's what we'll do in the hack. We need to build collective experience on how to review the access that's been granted, and how to make decisions about keep/kill/contract.

This review cycle should include what's currently in Capabilities, as well as whitelists administered via Gks and Sitevars. I don't want us to have to go through this excise ever again. For example, we should appraise what Netflix (for example) has across all these three whitelisting mechanisms in one go.

S

--
Simon Cross
Product Partnerships
www.facebook.com/sicross @sicross
Facebook, 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2013 11:05 AM
To: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>
Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Awesome. I could try either of the following two options:

- 1/ Identify capabilities that matter and find out which companies use them and audit those
- 2/ Audit Tier 0 and 1 partners across all the capabilities

From previous conversations with Marie adding the GKs into the Talent tool is still a few months out, so we may need to repeat the process then.

Any thoughts/preferences mainly on either 1 or 2 above?

Cheers,
kp

From: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2013 7:00 PM
To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>
Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Sure!

The main thing is planning for the "Capabilities Cleanup".

As we discussed, probably the best way to do this is to attack it as a 2 day (?) hackathon. We should involve some guys from the scaled outreach team and the PMD team too, and possibly others who are still at the company who used to be involved in Partnerships.

What we need to do to make this happen is the following:

- Draw up a list of the Capabilities, the Gatekeepers and the non-capability sitevars that we want to include in the audit – perhaps tiering them by significance.
- Draw up a list of the high-risk/threat companies (and their apps) that we want to audit – we should order these by MAU
- Build a spreadsheet for use in the hack where we list out the apps, companies and capabilities we've audited, and our decision about any action we take. I think this comes down to 4 options:
 1. Keep access (and verify we have an agreement with them)
 2. Revoke access
 3. Keep access, but need to get an extended Platform agreement with them
 4. Escalate (need someone else to make a call, or to provide more context)

The first two bullets will be the place to start.

Want to take a stab at that?

We should also check with Marie about the scope of what the Talent tool covers, and if they have imminent plans to migrate whitelists currently controlled by Sitevars or Gatekeeper into this tool.

S

--
Simon Cross

Product Partnerships

www.facebook.com/sicross @sicross

Facebook, 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>

Date: Thursday, September 5, 2013 10:47 AM

To: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>

Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Hello Simon,

Are there any actionable items after the meeting with Chris I could help with?

Let me know.

Cheers,

kp

From: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>

Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2013 7:57 PM

To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>

Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Feedback in line:

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2013 4:28 AM
To: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>
Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

This is SUPER, Simon. You nailed it.

A few recommendation on minor issues:

1/ Slide 2: I think you are right to suggest that a full audit is a huge task with unclear value. I certainly agree with your recommendation, however I would recommend that we do a thorough audit on the apps that have been whitelisted for capabilities equivalent to the public APIs we will be deprecating, i.e apps that have been whitelisted for read_stream API should be audited the same way as those that request the read_stream permission. Same for friends_*, read_mailbox, etc. My take is that if we deprecated the permission, there is no point maintaining access to the capability all together.

Agree we should review these – however, the capability will remain to give access features which are publicly deprecated, but available to whitelisted apps.

2/ Slide 3: How did you come up with the Tiering & SLA here? My 2cents is that this is a bit confusing and I would stick to the classification outlined on Slide 4.

This is actually the classification the PM team are using. They're separating partner APIs (titan api, auth.login) from the set of APIs you need to rebuild a Facebook client (FB in-house apps, BlackBerry, Windows Phone). The aim is to reduce the # of third party 'internal' apps and manage them more strongly.

3/ Slide 4: Should we add a few examples next to the 4 categories, i.e Pre-enforce: Twitter, Standard: Amazon, Extension: Samsung TV, Exception: HTC

Yep - done

4/ Slide 5: I am not sure about the revenue saved. Is this really a cost-cutting exercise? Removing access to all_friends lists seems more like a indirect way to drive NEKO adoption.

Jackie and I discussed this – I think most people here have no idea of the cost we expend running these APIs or which apps cost use the most. I agree with Jackie that instrumenting this gives us a great line internally about the money we're saving as well as cleaning up the platform.

5/ Slide 7: I think the XFN team should also include the TV and Mobile folks together with Games.

sure

6/ Slide 8: We need to make a recommendation on who can help us with the audit. Would that be dev ops? As per the discussion with Allison, they have no capacity to help us. Could we ask for Justin's help with the UO team?

Agree we need help – not sure which team is best as it depends on how deep we decide to go, will leave as open question.

I hope this feedback is useful. Thanks again for putting those together.

Cheers,
kp

From: Simon Cross <si@fb.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2013 3:28 AM

To: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>, Jackie Chang <jackie@fb.com>, Konstantinos Papamiltiadis

<kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>

Subject: Re: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Here's my draft deck for review tomorrow.

Yes, its a little longer than hoped, but I feel it needs to standalone when passed around beyond Chris, assuming he's OK with this strategy.

Feedback welcome, will try and incorporate ASAP

S

From: si@fb.com

When: 2:00 PM - 2:30 PM September 4, 2013

Subject: P3.0 Rollout Planning

Location: [LON0042.05] Nemesis; [MPK0018.02N] Barbie & Ken (Reservable Seats 8 LCD/WB)

Moving so Jackie can make it, and better for KP