

## EXHIBIT 131

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

---

**From:** Vladimir Fedorov </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=VLADF>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 23, 2014 10:53 PM  
**To:** Mike Vernal; Ilya Sukhar; Vladimir Fedorov  
**Subject:** Message summary [id.1443971615843702]

Ilya Sukhar:

>What do you guys want to do about the competitive thing? Last time we discussed I think consensus was not to push it to preserve option value.

Michael Vernal:

>How acute an issue do you think it is in the ecosysteme/

Michael Vernal:

>E.g., if we mention it in the keynote, it seems like it has a high likelihood of "breaking into jail."

Ilya Sukhar:

>I don't disagree.

Michael Vernal:

>Does that mean you agree?

Michael Vernal:

>:)

Ilya Sukhar:

>I think it would just be a modifier on the stability guarantee rhetoric. "no matter what you're building..."

Ilya Sukhar:

>My double negative was to emphasize that I think that we've all in agreement that it risks breaking into jail. The question is whether it's worth it to solidify our message/positioning on the Platform. I don't really know. Probably not but we don't have a good alternative.

Ilya Sukhar:

><http://pando.com/2013/07/23/move-fast-break-things-the-sad-story-of-platform-facebooks-gigantic-missed-opportunity/>

Ilya Sukhar:

>Thoughts?

Ilya Sukhar:

>(Not trying to be negative with that link. It's just what we're up against.)

Michael Vernal:

>I haven't heard that again recently.

Ilya Sukhar:

>Yeah. I think we could do fine without "stability" as the #1 talking point. I was trying to say that in the meeting today.

Ilya Sukhar:

>What do we say tomorrow when Mark asks if we have another point to make about stability?

Michael Vernal:

>The question here is coming from stability not having enough talking points.

>

>I don't think we'd even have a slide on this "Open to All!" Too prominent.

Michael Vernal:

>So we're really arguing about a sentence in the script, I think.

Michael Vernal:

>I'll start an email thread.

Ilya Sukhar:

>Sounds good. To be clear, I'm not arguing that we should do it. :)

Vladimir Fedorov:

>I think we should do it but not in keynote :)

Vladimir Fedorov:

>I think it is not a good point for the stability part of the keynote, but I think Login is the key pillar and we want it to be the universal login system

Vladimir Fedorov:

>It will help us achieve that if we make the promise