EXHIBIT 105
UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

From: Douglas Purdy </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DOUGLAS PURDY>

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 10:20 AM

To: Kevin Lacker

Cc: Ilya Sukhar; Eddie O'Neil; Vladimir Fedorov; Mike Vernal

**Subject:** Re: Partner API Requests (was FW: Bubbler :: query by email API access?)

Chris always says no.

On Aug 15, 2013, at 10:14 AM, "Kevin Lacker" < lacker@fb.com> wrote:

Giving out private API access annoys everyone else when they see some app that competes with them doing something that they can't do. I would rather we do that as little as possible, and in a way where being friends with someone in Platform does not help you. So I like whoever is most likely to say "no" being the approver;-)

From: Douglas Purdy <dmp@fb.com>

Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2013 11:59 PM

**To:** Ilya Sukhar <<u>is@fb.com</u>>, Eddie O'Neil <<u>ekoneil@fb.com</u>>, Vladimir Fedorov <<u>vladf@fb.com</u>>, Kevin

Lacker < lacker@fb.com>

Cc: Mike Vernal < vernal@fb.com>

Subject: FW: Partner API Requests (was FW: Bubbler :: query by email API access?)

How do you guys think about this categorization and potential process? I really want to get these decisions to be made by Dan's team with us throw exceptions as needed, but happy to discuss other models.

From: Douglas Purdy <dmp@fb.com>

Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2013 at 11:50 PM

To: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>, Justin Osofsky <josofsky@fb.com>

Cc: Mike Vernal < vernal@fb.com >, Dan Rose < drose@fb.com >

Subject: Partner API Requests (was FW: Bubbler :: query by email API access?)

I get a ton of requests like the below. I imagine Mike does too.

We have a tool (that we just rebuilt — Marie Hagman has a demo of it — it should really help) and a lightweight process (internal people post to <a href="https://www.facebook.com/groups/420494141332540/">https://www.facebook.com/groups/420494141332540/</a>), and Eugene/Eddie approve) but we really don't have the level of rigor I would like to have on these requests.

As we move into the world of Platform 3.0, I am starting to talk about the APIs as follows:

## **Facebook App APIs**

Private APIs used by our iOS, Android, etc. apps. Approval: Cory and/or Chris Daniels.

## **Partner APIs**

Private APIs that we will make available to Partners like the News Feed API (not GraphQL), Coefficient API or requests like this. Private Beta APIs are in this bucket too — prior to a big launch. Approval: Chris Daniels

Platform APIs (Core)

Publicly available APIs that we make generally available to all non-competitive developers that we have an SLA and backward compatible guarantees. Competitive developer get a restricted access to just Login and Sharing. Approval: Justin (via Ops Unified Review but Justin is the ultimate approver)

## Platform APIs (Experimental)

Publicly available APIs that we make general available to all developers with no or limited SLA and back compat. Once these APIs are moved into Core, they get the strong SLAs. Approval: Justin (via Ops Unified Review but Justin is the ultimate approver)

Chris/Justin: do you guys agree (broadly) with this frame and with you taking this role?

Mike/Dan: feedback?

From: Douglas Purdy <dmp@fb.com>

Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2013 at 10:31 PM

To: Dick Hardt < dick.hardt@gmail.com >, Chris Daniels < chrisd@fb.com >

Cc: Mike Vernal < vernal@fb.com>

Subject: Re: Bubbler :: query by email API access?

Chris met Dick. He goes back a ways with Mike and I. See the below context. This API is no longer available as part of Core Platform APIs that are available to all developers, but part of the Partnership APIs. I'll leave it to you to make the determination if this make sense for the business.

Dick met Chris. He is also ex-Microsoft and runs our partnership team. He is the final approver on all requests like this. However it turns out, would love to see a demo. Hope all is well.

From: Dick Hardt < dick.hardt@gmail.com > Date: Wednesday, August 14, 2013 at 8:21 PM

To: Douglas Purdy <dmp@fb.com>

**Subject:** Re: Bubbler :: query by email API access?

Interesting ... I'd like an opportunity to pitch my case (again). I've asked and gotten access a couple of times now from FB. :)

FWIW: Twitter and LinkedIn don't give out their API easy either.

Are you part of the group that decides who has access? If not, who?

I'd like to setup a meeting in mid September if possible? The demo rocks!

-- Dick

On Wed, Aug 14, 2013 at 8:05 PM, Douglas Purdy < <a href="mailto:dmp@fb.com">dmp@fb.com</a>> wrote: We aren't offering it anymore.

It falls under the terms of our search engine policy.

You can ask to crawl the site or graph via that policy, but I don't think we approve many of those requests.

On Aug 14, 2013, at 5:30 PM, "Dick Hardt" < dick.hardt@gmail.com > wrote:

Thanks for getting back to me Doug.

I have that API for Twitter and LinkedIn. Without having it from Facebook I won't be able to show much data from Facebook.

Is it possible to get access so that I can show the value? Demoing Facebook data is difficult without it.

-- Dick

On Wed, Aug 14, 2013 at 5:12 PM, Douglas Purdy < <a href="mailto:dmp@fb.com">dmp@fb.com</a>> wrote: We removed this API with the latest version of Platform.

We aren't whitelisting any apps for it, save for a few partner apps that are the de facto FB implementation on those platforms.

From: Dick Hardt < <a href="mailto:dick.hardt@gmail.com">dick.hardt@gmail.com</a>>
Date: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 at 1:51 PM

To: Douglas Purdy <dmp@fb.com>

Subject: Bubbler :: query by email API access?

**Hey Doug** 

I've dusted off Bubbler and am making some great progress. Was wiring in my previous code that searched on email to get the Facebook profile and I am now getting:

{ error:

{ message: '(#200) Must have a valid access\_token to access this endpoint', type: 'OAuthException', code: 200 } }

when calling

GET /search?q=jennifer%40blame.ca&type=user&access\_token=XXXX

The same access token works making other calls such as:

GET /jenniferhardt?access\_token=XXXX

This used to work (Vernal shared the API with me). What am I doing wrong? Is there a bit somewhere that needs to get flipped so I have access?

-- Dick

CONFIDENTIAL FB-00551864