EXHIBIT 42	
UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL	

From: Mike Vernal </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE

GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MVERNAL>

**Sent:** Friday, September 27, 2013 4:58 PM

To: Vladimir Fedorov

**Subject:** Re: PS12N meeting with Javi

Remind me why you forwarded this?

I think either Ilya or Doug's approach is reasonable. I think either will get the data you need. If I were you, I would just let whoever is running the meeting pick the approach.

-mike

From: Vladimir Fedorov <<u>vladf@fb.com</u>> **Date:** Friday, September 27, 2013 9:59 AM

To: k a <vernal@fb.com>

Subject: Fwd: PS12N meeting with Javi

Begin forwarded message:

From: Douglas Purdy < <a href="mailto:dmp@fb.com">dmp@fb.com</a>>
Date: September 27, 2013, 7:59:00 AM PDT

To: Ilya Sukhar < is@fb.com>

Cc: Eddie O'Neil < ekoneil@fb.com >, Vladimir Fedorov < vladf@fb.com >

Subject: Re: PS12N meeting with Javi

Sam has a ton of context (Mike used to work for him and he was involved in the planning with Zuck) and the key thing that Sam wanted to talk about was the timeline, which was not in the slides which is why he just wanted to get through the slides.

Javi has been more than clear on what he doesn't like about Platform to Mike and I on more than one occasion (if it were up to him there would likely be no platform).

This plan addresses that, but I want to make sure he agrees.

Now, I am happy for you folks to get that data however you want, but asking an open ended question to Javi may not get us the data I think we need.

In short, I this is less a "what is the problem" meeting (if we ask that way Javi is going to to say -- I have been telling you for a year) and more of a "here is the solution" meeting.

That all said, you folks should structure this how you want, but if this is your to be a "what's the problem" meeting, vlad and I should skip because Javi is going to think we both are incompetent. :-)

On Sep 27, 2013, at 7:49 AM, "Ilya Sukhar" <is@fb.com> wrote:

I prefer to just ask him what he dislikes about Platform and which parts he considers to be leakage. I don't think Sam found the slides to be that useful.

Sent on the go

On Sep 27, 2013, at 7:01 AM, "Douglas Purdy" < dmp@fb.com > wrote:

I believe all of you are invited to this meeting.

The goal for me (happy to calibrate) is that Javi has an opportunity to react to our POR.

I know there has been a few discussions with folks in growth, but getting Javi's personal read is the most important because he is the most vocal critic of graph leakage (along with Sam) on mteam.

I wonder if we use the same format as the meeting with Sam, save for one additional, a timeline slide?

Thoughts?

2