

From: Ilya Sukhar </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ILYAS8A7> Sent: Monday, November 18, 2013 10:11 PM To: Kevin Lacker; Ilya Sukhar Subject: Message summary [mid.1369073044867:1a7606a15dc8a83265] Kevin Lacker: >i've been talking with david more about third party ids Kevin Lacker: >it seems like there must be something you can do to leverage your >pm-running-build position to make that project go in a more intelligent >direction Ilya Sukhar: >Sorry I think that one is just an infinite sink of despair that must be accepted as status quo. Kevin Lacker: >lol Ilya Sukhar: >Eddie has a goal of "SDK 4.0 rebuild of the Login programming model" Ilya Sukhar: >do you know what that is Ilya Sukhar: >nevermind i talked to him about it Kevin Lacker: >about what Ilya Sukhar: >the sdk thing Kevin Lacker: >how is the eddie and login thing in general Kevin Lacker: >does he want to edge in on it or what Ilya Sukhar: >yeah he does Ilya Sukhar:

CONFIDENTIAL FB-01363717

1

>but he's not explicit about it

Kevin Lacker:

>does he have like explicit features he wants Kevin Lacker: >or is it more like, he's gonna keep scheduling meetings and talking >about long lists of bullet points in an attempt to take over the >narrative Ilya Sukhar: >i think it's in the middle Ilya Sukhar: >i think you are a bit too adversarial with him Ilya Sukhar: >i think he just wants to be involved Ilva Sukhar: >and has no particularly strong agenda beyond that Kevin Lacker: >ok Ilya Sukhar: >are you still here Kevin Lacker: >yeah i probably am too adversarial Kevin Lacker: >I am still here Kevin Lacker: >want to get dinner? Ilya Sukhar: >yeah Ilya Sukhar: >i am on the couch. i dont see you Ilya Sukhar: >nevermind Kevin Lacker: >ugh login v4 sucks

Ilya Sukhar:

Kevin Lacker:

>i havent read it yet

>like, peoples' friend list IS public

2

Kevin Lacker:
>it makes no sense to tell users, hey do you want to share your public profile? also now do you want to share your friend list?
Ilya Sukhar: >meh
Ilya Sukhar: >im not sure i buy that line of reasoning
Kevin Lacker: >well, it hurts the ability to do what i think is the idea UI
Kevin Lacker: >ideal UI
Kevin Lacker: >which is just to refer to both of these as "your public profile"
Kevin Lacker: >which is what the UI for log in with google uses
Ilya Sukhar: >find friends button is dumb
Kevin Lacker: >the "repeated permissions" stuff is tough too
Kevin Lacker: >yeah, what can you do with the find friends button
Kevin Lacker: >like a graph api call to figure out how many more times you can show a >particular UI to the user that doesn't really make sense, how you >would use that
Kevin Lacker: >stuff like
Kevin Lacker: >"The dialog won't be FB-branded, to prevent user frustration with the Facebook brand."
Kevin Lacker: >that sucks!
Ilya Sukhar: >yeah
Ilya Sukhar: >a lot of this would be solved by allowing mandatory permissions
Kevin Lacker:

CONFIDENTIAL FB-01363719

3

>yean
Kevin Lacker: >the rollout plan is also scary
Kevin Lacker: >because, this does seem like it'll break apps
Kevin Lacker: >basically, if you do anything besides like login, sharing through >dialogs
Kevin Lacker: >this update will fuck you over somehow
Kevin Lacker: >we've even pre-planned that developers will hate it by making some of >the UI non-facebook-branded
Kevin Lacker: >sheesh
Kevin Lacker: >this way, user hatred will be directed at our developers, not at us
Kevin Lacker: >the policy to forbid "double login" is tough
Kevin Lacker: >because, a lot of apps just require that extra information but it's not >obvious why
Kevin Lacker: >like, Parse. we require an email + password if you want to use the CLI >because you can't use FB login for a CLI
Kevin Lacker: >so what's up with eddie stating goals for login
Ilya Sukhar: >nothing really
Ilya Sukhar: >if you read his goals, theres no meat there really
Ilya Sukhar: >its mostly like "support login v4"
Kevin Lacker: >what is up with the login goals that we discussed with david and bryan
Kevin Lacker:

CONFIDENTIAL FB-01363720

>are those going into some goal list somewhere
Ilya Sukhar: >i put them in my doc
Ilya Sukhar: >yeah
Kevin Lacker: >oh ok
Ilya Sukhar: >they're there
Kevin Lacker: >are they jsut like two separate lists in the overall build plan or what
Kevin Lacker: >i am just imagining david seeing some output and being like uh why are >these random goals added to my plate
Kevin Lacker: >and then what am i saying
Ilya Sukhar: >can you just like read it
Ilya Sukhar: >there is literally one bullet point added that has no meaningful impact
Kevin Lacker: >ok can you link me? i thought it wasnt on there the last time i looked
llya Sukhar: >https://docs.fb.com/writer/ropen.do?rid=osbge97eedcc089e547deb3c2e650ea >d173bd
Kevin Lacker: >oh i probably cant access it because the vpn is not working for me
Kevin Lacker: >ok well i believe you
Kevin Lacker: >unrelated: what do you think i should be worrying about more that i'm >not worrying enough about
Ilya Sukhar: >Login >Login v4
>Anonymous Login >Rebuild Login programming model in SDK 4.0 Put out 2 server side SDKs
5

>(PHP, Node) to close the loop on end-to-end experience Work with docs >to ensure we have an end-to-end scenario fully documented and sampled > >
llya Sukhar: >that's the login bullet points
Kevin Lacker: >cool
llya Sukhar: >i think you should be worried about parse quality more
llya Sukhar: >i feel like we are playing it faster and looser than ever before
Kevin Lacker: >api quality or performance quality
Ilya Sukhar: >performance and bugs
Kevin Lacker: >hmm
llya Sukhar: >i think api design is still good from what i've seen
Kevin Lacker: >yeah bryan has handled a lot more of that and it is scaling fairly well >organization-wise
Kevin Lacker: >this recent push stuff, i was definitely wrong about my diagnosis of >the problems
Ilya Sukhar: >i am worried that our de-emphasizing revenue has led to a >de-emphasizing of customer happiness
Kevin Lacker: >it was indeed much deeper than i thought
Kevin Lacker: >hmmm
Ilya Sukhar: >like honestly there are a bunch of times on the weekend
Ilya Sukhar: >where i am bitching in the ops room

Ilya Sukhar: >i feel like we've lost a sense of urgency around production issues
Kevin Lacker: >we have also lost shyam
Ilya Sukhar: >yeah, true
Kevin Lacker: >who just directly fixed a lot of stuff
Ilya Sukhar: >but i think the new guys dont have the sense of urgency
Kevin Lacker: >hmm
Kevin Lacker: >that is true
llya Sukhar: >and dont have someone instilling it into them
llya Sukhar: >so i like feel comfortable paging charity
llya Sukhar: >but not abhishek
llya Sukhar: >or anyone else
Ilya Sukhar: >i dont even know who to page
Kevin Lacker: >yeah we need this system to be more scalable
Kevin Lacker: >the new folks are definitely like, uh a pm cant page us
Kevin Lacker: >well like naitik, ittai, abhishek
Kevin Lacker: >i could be like, hey guys, rules here are that ilya *can* page you
Kevin Lacker: >but, that doesn't really solve it

Kevin Lacker: >because you dont know who to page
Kevin Lacker: >also in a lot of cases i'm just like, well is there a real problem or >not
Kevin Lacker: >do you think this is push centric or not really
Kevin Lacker: >like can we translate this into some metric
Kevin Lacker: >and there are kind of two separate teams involved in most ops incidents >- either push or api
Kevin Lacker: >the human processes for each one are likely to be different
Kevin Lacker: >one thing i'm concerned about is that if we move support to be done by >some other team, then our distance from the customer will be even >larger and it will be even harder for something important to get >escalated
Ilya Sukhar: >yeah, but if we have a process to escalate, well that will be good
Kevin Lacker: >yeah
Kevin Lacker: >ok i'll think about this
Kevin Lacker: >i agree we need something better here
Ilya Sukhar: >(y)