

EXHIBIT 76

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXTERNAL
(FYDIBOHF25SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=
942ACCD3D3C54FBEA8B7253E97A8A6D7>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 1:56 AM
To: Chris Daniels; Ime Archibong
Subject: Re: Data points

Unfortunately, I can't find API logs beyond the last 30 days. Need to double check with someone from the e-team in case I am missing something though..

In the meantime, as far as I can tell from Insights, around mid April, the API calls LinkedIn makes have doubled while the number of DAUs remained flat. The attached slide may give you an idea of this increase.

I will revert back with an update if I can pull more specific data.

Thanks a lot,
kp

From: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 1:54 AM
To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>; Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Thanks KP. I'm really uncomfortable with LinkedIn. Can you easily find out when they started to pull friends, etc.?

The reason I ask is that we had discussions with them 6-9 months ago where we told them not to access our APIs until we worked out an agreement both ways, but it seems they unilaterally decided to do so.

Thx,

Chris

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 2:56 PM
To: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>; Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Thanks for the feedback, Chris.

While LinkedIn and Sync.me are definitely in the list of apps to include in the audit, I would not have considered Airbnb. That said, you are right to suggest there is an element of reputation building and as such we should definitely include it. The original list of apps I had in mind for this category included Tinder, HotorNot (badoo), Lulu, Truths about you (an app that tells you what your friends think about you), Klout, etc

As for the process, I have set up a reminder for myself to check the logs bi-weekly for LinkedIn and can extend it to the rest of the apps listed below. To give you an idea, in case you can access the link for youtube:

https://our.intern.facebook.com/intern/scuba/query?dataset=platform_stats&drillstate=%7B%22start%22%3A%22-1+week%22%2C%22end%22%3A%22now%22%2C%22compare%22%3A%22none%22%2C%22dimensions%22%3A%5B%22me

[thod%22%5D%2C%22metric%22%3A%22sum%22%2C%22top%22%3A200%2C%22constraints%22%3A%7B%22app_id%22%3A%7B%22eq%22%3A%5B%22%5C%22106966931352%5C%22%5D%22%5D%7D%7D%7D](https://our.intern.facebook.com/intern/scuba/query?dataset=platform_stats&drillstate=%7B%22start%22%3A%221+week%22%2C%22end%22%3A%22now%22%2C%22compare%22%3A%22none%22%2C%22dimensions%22%3A%22method%22%5D%2C%22metric%22%3A%22sum%22%2C%22top%22%3A%200%2C%22constraints%22%3A%7B%22app_id%22%3A%7B%22eq%22%3A%5B%22106966931352%5C%22%5D%22%5D%7D%7D%7D)

They pulled feed data for 212 users in the last seven days – much less than I would have thought to be honest.

While LinkedIn:

[1+week%22%2C%22end%22%3A%22now%22%2C%22compare%22%3A%22none%22%2C%22dimensions%22%3A%22method%22%5D%2C%22metric%22%3A%22sum%22%2C%22top%22%3A%200%2C%22constraints%22%3A%7B%22app_id%22%3A%7B%22eq%22%3A%5B%22%5C%22161320853908703%5C%22%5D%22%5D%7D%7D%7D](https://our.intern.facebook.com/intern/scuba/query?dataset=platform_stats&drillstate=%7B%22start%22%3A%221+week%22%2C%22end%22%3A%22now%22%2C%22compare%22%3A%22none%22%2C%22dimensions%22%3A%22method%22%5D%2C%22metric%22%3A%22sum%22%2C%22top%22%3A%200%2C%22constraints%22%3A%7B%22app_id%22%3A%7B%22eq%22%3A%5B%22%5C%22161320853908703%5C%22%5D%22%5D%7D%7D%7D)

Has requested friends lists from 176K users.

Last but not least we are in total agreement on questions 1&2. Thanks again for adding your perspective.

I will be sending you a sample of the apps we are planning to audit by the end of the week if that's alright.

Cheers,
kp

From: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>
Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 7:04 PM
To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

This is great. I'm most interested in seeing what apps that we are going to put into the audit in each category. For example, some apps I do want to review and keep an eye on include:

- LinkedIn (I assume falls into "reputation"?)
- Sync.me (contacts)
- Airbnb (I assume that this falls under you're "reputation" bucket?)

What is our standard process to see what competitors are accessing / trying to access on a regular basis, i.e. Google/YouTube, LinkedIn, Path, etc.?

To weigh in on your two questions at the end:

1. The prevailing opinion by Vernal/Justin O seems to be to wait to bucket all changes with Platform 3, but we should feel free to have a contrasting opinion. To me, it depends on the level of competitive threat that we uncover (i.e. If more, we should enforce sooner).
2. What would the philosophy be around forcing spend for access to permissions? I think that we should separate Neko spend from platform access. The latter should be standard and based on what we're comfortable with partners using. The former should be very open except to direct competitors.

Chris

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 9:32 AM
To: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Hello Chris,

As a follow up to our conversation with Sam last week, we have come up with a plan that I have attached here for your review. In short our current thinking is to:

- 1/ Carry on an audit of the top 500 apps that fall under the 3 main verticals within identity that Sam is mostly concerned about, ie. Context, Contacts and Reputation with support from the Dev Ops team
- 2/ Communicate this plan with Vernal and Sam to confirm whether the timing of the enforcement for those apps should coincide with the roll out of Platform Simplification or if we should act independently

One other thing I need to double check with Ali Hendrix (when she is back from Jury duty) is the possibility of further clarifications on our Policies document if we wanted to enforce now.

Please let us know what you think,
kp

From: Chris Daniels <chrisd@fb.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 3:17 PM
To: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Cc: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Great work KP. I'd love to see the deck when the time is right.

Chris

On Sep 17, 2013, at 1:54 PM, "Ime Archibong" <ime@fb.com> wrote:

+Chris, FYI

KP - Great job pulling this deck together and walking Lessin through your thinking. He had great things to say about you when you dropped off the VC.

In terms of next steps, continue to take lead for the team on this thinking. Refine your recommendation on how Unified Review should handle Identity App submissions based on the roadmap that Sam shared with us — ie. context, contact, reputation, productivity.

Lastly, based on Sam's input, we should also consider if we need to move a bit faster on certain apps, e.g. Refresh. Once you pull together a recommendation, we should circulate it with the broader partnerships organization before taking any action.

Again, great job with this.

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 8:44 AM
To: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Sounds good! Also attached v0.4 with a few cosmetic changes. I will try to share my screen, but just in case something goes wrong, I think we should use the same version.

From: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 2:30 PM

To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Subject: Re: Data points

Thanks for pulling and sharing this, KP. I think this is really good data that we can reference if the conversation goes to this granularity.

lme

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis <kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 6:27 AM
To: lme Archibong <ime@fb.com>
Subject: Data points

Hello lme,

A few more data points I think we can use for the meeting with Sam.

Engagement: The apps in questions are not spammy or crap, but apps users like and use a lot

Benchmark: Pinterest DAUs are 30% of WAUs and 13% of MAUs (23m) - 43% of lifetime users are MAUs

- Refresh: DAUs are 95% of the WAUs and 83% of the MAUs (1.6K) - 80% of lifetime users are MAUs
- Tinder: DAUs are 60% of the WAUS and 37% of the MAUs (2.1m) - 64% of lifetime users are MAUs
- Wrapp: DAUs are 25% of WAUs and 20% MAUs (800K) - 50% of lifetime users are MAUs

Spend: Dating apps are bing spender on NEKO

NEKO Spend: Only in Q1

- Zoosk: #14 top NEKO spender with \$ 655K - more than Spotify did (530K)
- Lovoo: \$ 273K - more than Scramble with Friends (271K), Path (172K) or NIKE (170K) did
- Lovestruck: \$ 110k - more than Fab did (96K), Rdio (63K)

No need to change the slides, just use some of the data above...

Let me know what you think,

kp