EXHIBIT 24
UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE LODGED UNDER SEAL

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis </O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXTERNAL

(FYDIBOHF25SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=942ACCD3D3C54FBEA8B7253E97A8A6D7>

Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 12:59 AM

To: Ime Archibong
Subject: Re: Quick Path update

Attachments: Screen Shot 2014-12-21 at 10.32.11 AM.png

Not sure, if you have seen the results of the audit our policy enforcement team conducted, so here those are again:

Path

Restricted for the following: photo, status.

They are pulling gr:get:User/friends, gr:get:User/friendlists, though this wasn't restricted as as of our most recent vet the app wasn't actively using friendslist for growth within the app (which has historically been a requirement for restricting friends list).

Line

Restricted for the following: Friends.get (this is friends info), stream read, photo, photo album, checkin, post, group message.

It was added to the friends get site var 2013/05/30 by Cinzia, with the note "LINE - Asian messenger app - added to the site var as preventive measure."

So all in all, we are not leaking any graph information (mainly though friends list) to Line, while at the same time we have more restrictions imposed on Line than we have for Path.

I hope this helps, kp

From: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>

Date: Sunday, December 21, 2014 at 6:55 PM

To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis < kpapamiltiadis@fb.com >

Subject: Re: Quick Path update

Yes – we blocked them from friends list too, if I remember. Let's just check with DevOps. I think the most important thing we want to understand is if we're leaking any thing to Line and Kakao Talk.

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis < kpapamiltiadis@fb.com >

Date: Sunday, December 21, 2014 at 10:45 AM

To: Ime Archibong < ime@fb.com > **Subject:** Re: Quick Path update

I believe we blocked them in the past for invite requests. This product is not available anymore anyway.

I will check with devops as I don't have access to blacklists.

On 21 Dec 2014, at 18:43, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com> wrote:

They aren't getting special treatment, but are they blacklisted from anything? I think Path is blacklisted from a couple things.

From: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis < kpapamiltiadis@fb.com>

Date: Sunday, December 21, 2014 at 2:39 AM

To: Ime Archibong < ime@fb.com > Subject: Re: Quick Path update

KakaoTalk don't even use FB Login in productions App, there are a couple of test apps with 21 and 31 users respectively none of which has been whitelisted for any Capabilities.

Line on the other hand, do use FB in production, with 5.2MAPs but they are also not whitelisted for anything.... Attached screenshot from our Capabilities tool.



LINE

Notes: None



General Information

ID: 106149969545611

Contact: Ilm.sukjun@nhn.com

Daily Active: 222,944

Weekly Active: 1,227,268

Monthly Active: 5,199,524

Error rate: 0%

Response Time: 0 ms

Top Methods: Scuba

Capabilities

This app is not associated with any capability.

App groups

This app is not associated with any app group.

Based on this, I can say with a high degree of confidence that neither of the above have received any special treatment from us. However, just to be on the safe side, did he point out any specific features that let him believe that let him believe they have access to platform tools he does not?

From: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>

Date: Saturday, December 20, 2014 at 1:22 AM

To: Konstantinos Papamiltiadis < kpapamiltiadis@fb.com >

Subject: FW: Quick Path update

Fyi. No rush on this, but at some point can we see if Line and KakaoTalk have access to platform APIs that Path doesn't? See highlighted area.

2

From: Andrea Besmehn < dre@fb.com > Date: Friday, December 19, 2014 at 5:18 PM

To: Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com>

Cc: John Lagerling \text{Josofsky@fb.com}, Dan Rose \text{Josofsky@fb.com}, Dan Rose \text{Josofsky@fb.com}, Dan Rose \text{Josofsky@fb.com}, Dan Rose \text{Josofsky@fb.com}, Dan Rose \text{Josofsky@fb.com})

Subject: Re: Quick Path update

Thanks for including me. Will let you know if Dave reaches out.

On Dec 19, 2014, at 4:09 PM, Ime Archibong <ime@fb.com> wrote:

I met with Dave Morin (Path) yesterday in SF, as courtesy follow-up after he reached out to Justin and me a few weeks back. Dave mentioned in the meeting that "he was going to reach out to Mark to get a sense of how he views Path these days" so I'm sending a quick meeting recap to this group, in case he actually does:

• Path Update:

- Overall, despite not working with them as closely as Dave would like, our conversation was cordial and very friendly. I'd say that our relationship is in a healthy place.
- Dave said that Path has little to no traffic in the US, but is Top 5 in several markets in SeAsia. He claimed he personally was spending most of his business time in Asia these days.
- Path is pursuing a multi app strategy too, including breaking out their messaging application. But, Dave mentioned that "the core Path app is the only one really getting use."

Can Path & FB work together?

- We were both candid about the fact that we might not be able to find alignment to work together given the nature of our products.
- Audience Network. Dave claimed to have ~500M imp/day across his apps.
 He mentioned that he was going to begin looking at how to monetize these impressions in '15. Depending on how Mark feels about them, they could be an interesting target for the AN given their feed format and Southeast Asian presence.
- Platform. Dave was not up to speed on the evolution of Platform. He
 claimed that the apps that Path is competing with in Asia have access to
 platform tools that he doesn't. He explicitly called out Line and Kakao.
 ////My team & DevOps will look into how true this claim is or not.

There were no real actionable follow ups, but let me know if you have any questions/concerns.

<Screen Shot 2014-12-21 at 10.32.11 AM.png>