## Pass-LLVM-Value-Numbering

Writing a pass to find all the LVN-identifiable redundancy. Project 2 from CS201 UCR.

## **Setup(From HelloPass)**

- 1. Clone this repo, see the file details below:
- Pass root directory for build and source code
  - <u>Transforms</u> is top level directory for project.
  - o CMakeLists.txt file is CMakeLists for the Project.
    - ValueNumbering is top level directory for the Pass
      - ValueNumbering.cpp contains code for the Pass
      - <u>CMakeLists.txt</u> is CMakeLists for this Pass
  - <u>build</u> will build Pass in this directory
- test contains Test code
- 2. For Mac OSX users, uncomment the following line in Pass/Transforms/ValueNumbering/CMakeLists.txt

```
SET(CMAKE_MODULE_LINKER_FLAGS "-undefined dynamic_lookup")
```

3. Move to <u>Pass</u>/**build**/ directory using cd command on your local system. Next, execute the following command. If it executes successfully, proceed to next step.

```
cmake -DCMAKE_BUILD_TYPE=Release ../Transforms/ValueNumbering
```

4. Next execute make and it will generate \*.so files under build directory.

```
make -j4
```

5. Move to **test**/ directory and generate **Test.II** file for Test.c using following command.

```
clang -Xclang -disable-00-optnone Test.c -00 -S -emit-llvm -o Test.ll
```

6. Next generate *Test.bc* file for Test.ll using following command.

```
opt Test.ll -mem2reg -S -o Test.bc
```

7. After generating test.bc, execute following command it execute the LLVM Pass.

```
opt -load ../Pass/build/libLLVMValueNumberingPass.so -ValueNumbering < Test.bc
> /dev/null
```

## **Code Explanation**

- 1. The implemented Pass extends from FunctionPass class and overrides runOnFunction (Function &F) function. And it basically finds the redundancy expression in basic block.
- 2. In this implement, I used two hash\_table, the first one is to store the operand of each expression, the second one is to store the expression in string format.

```
std::map<llvm::Value*, int> hashmap;
std::map<std::string, int> expressionmap;
```

3. For hashmap which stores the operands. There is a function to judge whether this operand in the hashmap or not. And for each operand, it'll have one unique value number.

```
int searchHash(Value* v, bool found){
  auto search = hashmap.find(v);
  auto temp = vn;
  // errs() << v;
  if(search != hashmap.end()){
    temp = search->second;
  found = true;
    errs() << "true\t";
  }
  else{
    found = false;
    errs() << "false\t";
    hashmap.insert(make_pair(v, vn++));
  }
  return temp;
}</pre>
```

4. Also, there would be the situation that the expression is same but the operands are in different places. So I swap these two value numbers. And use the value numbers and the operation symbol to represent the expression.

```
op_1 = searchHash(op1, found);
op_2 = searchHash(op2, found);

// errs() << to_string(op_1) << "\t" << to_string(op_2) << "\n";

if(op_1 > op_2){
    swap(op_1, op_2);
}

string expression = to_string(op_1) + operation + to_string(op_2);
```

5. If the expression is in the expression map, it will return true, means this expression is redundant.

```
bool searchExpression(string exp){
  auto search = expressionmap.find(exp);
  auto temp = vn_expression;
  errs() << "\n" << exp << "\n";
  if(search != expressionmap.end()){
    return true;
  }
  else{
    expressionmap.insert(make_pair(exp, vn_expression++));
    return false;
  }
}</pre>
```

## **Tests**

1. For the c program below:

```
void test(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e, int f) {
    c = a + b;
    d = a * c;
    e = a;
    a = a + 1;
    d = a + b;
    f = e + b;
    f = e * f;
}

int main() {
    test(1,2,3,4,5,6);
    return 0;
}
```

The result is:

2. For c program below:

```
void test(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e, int f) {
    a = 1;
    b = 2;
    c = a + b;
    d = b + a;
    e = a * b;
    f = b * a;
    c = c * c;
    f = f * e;
}

int main() {
    test(1,2,3,4,5,6);
    return 0;
}
```

The result is: