Operating Systems
Lecture 12

Readers/Writers and Deadlock

Prof. Mengwei Xu

# Goals for Today

- Readers/Writers Lock

- Deadlock

Goals for Today

- Readers/Writers Lock

- Deadlock

Readers/Writers Problem

- Motivation: Consider a shared database
  - Two classes of users:
    - ❑Readers – never modify database
    - ❑Writers – read and modify database
  - Is using a single lock on the whole database sufficient?
    - ❑Like to have many readers at the same time
    - ❑Only one writer at a time

Basic Readers/Writers Solution

- Correctness Constraints:
  - Readers can access database when no writers
  - Writers can access database when no readers or writers
  - Only one thread manipulates state variables at a time
- Basic structure of a solution:
  - **`Reader()`**
    ```
    Wait until no writers
    Access data base
    Check out – wake up a waiting writer
    ```
  - **`Writer()`**
    ```
    Wait until no active readers or writers
    Access database
    Check out – wake up waiting readers or writer
    ```
  - State variables (Protected by a lock called "lock"):
    - ❑ int AR: Number of active readers; initially = 0
    - ❑ int WR: Number of waiting readers; initially = 0
    - ❑ int AW: Number of active writers; initially = 0
    - ❑ int WW: Number of waiting writers; initially = 0
    - ❑ Condition okToRead = NIL
    - ❑ Condition okToWrite = NIL

Code for a Reader

```
Reader() {
  // First check self into system
  lock.Acquire();

  while ((AW + WW) > 0) {        // Is it safe to read?
    WR++;                        // No. Writers exist
    okToRead.wait(&lock);        // Sleep on cond var
    WR--;                        // No longer waiting
  }

  AR++;                          // Now we are active!
  lock.release();

  // Perform actual read-only access
  AccessDatabase(ReadOnly);

  // Now, check out of system
  lock.Acquire();
  AR--;                          // No longer active
  if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)         // No other active readers
    okToWrite.signal();          // Wake up one writer
  lock.Release();
}
```

Why release lock here?

Code for a Writer

```
Writer() {
  // First check self into system
  lock.Acquire();

  while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
    WW++;                  // No. Active users exist
    okToWrite.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
    WW--;                  // No longer waiting
  }

  AW++;                    // Now we are active!
  lock.release();

  // Perform actual [                ]ss
  AccessDatabase(Re[     ]

  // Now, check out
  lock.Acquire();
  AW--;                    // No longer active
  if (WW > 0){             // Give priority to writers
    okToWrite.signal();    // Wake up one writer
  } else if (WR > 0) {     // Otherwise, wake reader
    okToRead.broadcast();  // Wake all readers
  }
  lock.Release();
}
```

Why broadcast() here instead of signal()?

Why Give priority to writers?

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- Use an example to simulate the solution

- Consider the following sequence of operators:
  - R1, R2, W1, R3

- Initially: AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

- R1 comes along
- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R1 comes along

- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) { // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                    // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock);    // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                    // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                        // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 comes along

- AR = 1, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 comes along

- AR = 1, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R1 comes along

- AR = 1, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 comes along

- AR = 1, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R2 comes along

- AR = 1, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) { // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                    // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                    // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                        // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 comes along

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 comes along

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
       WR++;                  // No. Writers exist
       okToRead.wait(&lock);  // Sleep on cond var
       WR--;                  // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();


    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);


    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
       okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 comes along

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    }
}
```

Assume readers take a while to access database
    Situation: Locks released, only AR is non-zero

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 comes along (R1 and R2 are still accessing dbase)

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {    // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                  // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                  // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 comes along (R1 and R2 are still accessing dbase)

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {    // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                   // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);  // Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                   // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 comes along (R1 and R2 are still accessing dbase)

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {   // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                 // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 comes along (R1 and R2 are still accessing dbase)

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {    // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                  // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                  // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

W1 cannot start because of readers, so goes to sleep

- R3 comes along (R1, R2 accessing dbase, W1 waiting)

- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R3 comes along (R1, R2 accessing dbase, W1 waiting)
- AR = 2, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R3 comes along (R1, R2 accessing dbase, W1 waiting)

- AR = 2, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R3 comes along (R1, R2 accessing dbase, W1 waiting)

- AR = 2, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
```

Status:
- R1 and R2 still reading
- W1 and R3 waiting on okToWrite and okToRead, respectively

- R2 finishes (R1 accessing dbase, W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 2, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 finishes (R1 accessing dbase, W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 1, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R2 finishes (R1 accessing dbase, W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 1, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + WW) > 0) { // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                  // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                  // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                      // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R2 finishes (R1 accessing dbase, W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 1, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 1, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {   // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

All reader finished, signal writer – note, R3 still waiting

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 1

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Got signal from R1

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;               // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WW--;               // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 1, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)
- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 1, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                 // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Simulation of Readers/Writers Solution

- W1 gets signal (R3 still waiting)
- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Writer() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {  // Is it safe to write?
        WW++;                // No. Active users exist
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);// Sleep on cond var
        WW--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

No waiting writer, signal reader R3

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 1, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;               // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;               // No longer waiting
    }
                            // Now we are active!
    ...release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

Got signal from W1

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {  // Is it safe to read?
       WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
       okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
       WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                    // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
       okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

- R1 finishes (W1, R3 waiting)

- AR = 0, WR = 0, AW = 0, WW = 0

```
Reader() {
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) { // Is it safe to read?
        WR++;                 // No. Writers exist
        okToRead.wait(&lock); // Sleep on cond var
        WR--;                 // No longer waiting
    }
    AR++;                     // Now we are active!
    lock.release();

    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);

    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

DONE!

Read/Writer Questions

```
Reader() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {
        WR++;
        okToRead.wait(&lock);
        WR--;
    }
    AR++;
    lock.release();


    // read-only
    AccessDbase(


    // check out
    lock.Acquire(
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

```
Writer() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {
        WW++;
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);
        WW--;
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();


    // read/write access
    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);


    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

> What if we remove this line?

Read/Writer Questions

```
Reader() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {
        WR++;
        okToRead.wait(&lock);
        WR--;
    }
    AR++;
    lock.release();


    // read-only
    AccessDbase(
```

What if we turn signal to broadcast?

```
    // check out
    lock.Acquire
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okToWrite.broadcast();
    lock.Release();
}
```

```
Writer() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {
        WW++;
        okToWrite.wait(&lock);
        WW--;
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();

    // read/write access
    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);

    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okToWrite.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okToRead.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Read/Writer Questions

```
Reader() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {
        WR++;
        okContinue.wait(&lock);
        WR--;
    }
    AR++;
    lock.release();


    // read-only access
    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);


    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okContinue.signal();
    lock.Release();
}
```

```
Writer() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();
    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {
        WW++;
        okContinue.wait(&lock);
        WW--;
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();


    // read/write access
    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);


    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okContinue.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okContinue.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

What if we turn okToWrite and okToRead into okContinue?

Read/Writer Questions

```
Reader() {                          Writer() {
    // check into system               // check into system
    lock.Acquire();                    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {             while ((AW + AR) > 0) {
        WR++;                              WW++;
        okContinue.wait(&lock);            okContinue.wait(&lock);
        WR--;                              WW--;
    }                                  }

    AR++;                              AW++;
    lock.release();                    lock.release();


    // read-only access                // read/write access
    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);             AccessDbase(ReadWrite);


    // check out of system             // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();                    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;                              AW--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)             if (WW > 0){
        okContinue.signal();               okContinue.signal();
    lock.Release();                    } else if (WR > 0) {
}                                          okContinue.broadcast();
                                       }
                                       lock.Release();
                                   }
```

- **R1 arrives**
- **W1, R2 arrive while R1 still reading → W1 and R2 wait for R1 to finish**
- **Assume R1's signal is delivered to R2 (not W1)**

Read/Writer Questions

```
Reader() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + WW) > 0) {
        WR++;
        okContinue.wait(&lock);
        WR--;
    }
    AR++;
    lock.release();


    // read-only access
    AccessDbase(ReadOnly);


    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AR--;
    if (AR == 0 && WW > 0)
        okContinue.broadcast();
    lock.Release();
}
```

```
Writer() {
    // check into system
    lock.Acquire();

    while ((AW + AR) > 0) {
        WW++;
        okContinue.wait(&lock);
        WW--;
    }
    AW++;
    lock.release();


    // read/write access
    AccessDbase(ReadWrite);


    // check out of system
    lock.Acquire();
    AW--;
    if (WW > 0){
        okContinue.signal();
    } else if (WR > 0) {
        okContinue.broadcast();
    }
    lock.Release();
}
```

Need to change to broadcast!

Implementing RWLock

- Let's wrap the code into a RWLock class

```
RWLock* rwlock;

rwlock->startRead();
// Read shared data
rwlock->doneRead();


rwlock->startWrite();
// Write shared data
rwlock->startRead();
```

Implementing RWLock

```
class RWLock {
  Lock lock;
  CV canRead;
  CV canWrite;
  int AR, AW, WR, WW;
}
```

```
void RWLock::startRead() {
  lock.acquire();
  WR ++;
  while ((AW + WW > 0)) {
    canRead.Wait(&lock);
  }
  WR --;
  AR ++;
  lock.release();
}
```

```
void RWLock::doneRead() {
  lock.acquire();
  AR --;
  if ((AR == 0) && (WW > 0)) {
    canWrite.signal();
  }
  lock.release();
}
```

Implementing RWLock

```
class RWLock {
  Lock lock;
  CV canRead;
  CV canWrite;
  int AR, AW, WR, WW;
}
```

```
void RWLock::startWrite() {
  lock.acquire();
  WW ++;
  while ((AW + AR > 0)) {
    canWrite.Wait(&lock);
  }
  WW --;
  AW ++;
  lock.release();
}
```

```
void RWLock::doneWrite() {
  lock.acquire();
  AW --;
  assert(AW == 0);
  if (WW > 0) {
    canWrite.signal();
  }
  else {
    canRead.broadcast();
  }
  lock.release();
}
```

Goals for Today

- Readers/Writers Lock
- Deadlock

Deadlock

- Deadlock (死锁): a cycle of waiting among a set of threads, where each thread waits for some other thread in the cycle to take some action.

- A simple case: mutually recursive locking

```
// Thread A

lock1.acquire();
lock2.acquire();
lock2.release();
lock1.release();
```

```
// Thread B

lock2.acquire();
lock1.acquire();
lock1.release();
lock2.release();
```

Deadlock

- Deadlock (死锁): a cycle of waiting among a set of threads, where each thread waits for some other thread in the cycle to take some action.

- Another example with 2 locks and 1 condition variable

```
// Thread A

lock1.acquire();
lock2.acquire();
while (need to wait) {
  cv.wait(&lock2);
}
lock2.release();
lock1.release();
```

```
// Thread B

lock1.acquire();
lock2.acquire();
cv.signal();
lock2.release();
lock1.release();
```

Deadlock

- Deadlock (死锁): a cycle of waiting among a set of threads, where each thread waits for some other thread in the cycle to take some action.

- Another example with 2 locks and 1 condition variable

```
// Thread A

lock1.acquire();
lock2.acquire();
while (need to wait) {
    cv.wait(&lock2);
}
lock2.release();
lock1.release();
```

```
// Thread B

lock1.acquire();
lock2.acquire();
cv.signal();
lock2.release();
lock1.release();
```

Any deadlock?

Starvation vs Deadlock

- ## Starvation vs. Deadlock
  - Starvation: thread waits indefinitely
    - ❑ Example, low-priority thread waiting for resources constantly in use by high-priority threads

  - Deadlock: circular waiting for resources
    - ❑ Thread A owns Res 1 and is waiting for Res 2
      Thread B owns Res 2 and is waiting for Res 1

  - Deadlock ⟹ Starvation but not vice versa
    - ❑ Starvation can end (but doesn't have to)
   - ❑ Deadlock can't end without external intervention

Bridge Crossing Example

- Each segment of road can be viewed as a resource
    - Car must own the segment under them
    - Must acquire segment that they are moving into

- For bridge: must acquire both halves
    - Traffic only in one direction at a time
    - Problem occurs when two cars in opposite directions on bridge: each acquires one segment and needs next

- If a deadlock occurs, it can be resolved if one car backs up (preempt resources and rollback)
    - Several cars may have to be backed up

- Starvation is possible
    - East-going traffic really fast ⇒ no one goes west

Dining Philosophers Problem

- Dining Philosophers Problem (哲学家进餐问题)
  - For example: 5 philosophers, 5 plate, and 5 chopsticks
  - When a philosopher thinking, he holds nothing
  - When a philosopher wants to eat, he first picks up the left chopstick, and then the right chopstick. After eating, he puts down both chopsticks.

  - Stuck when everyone holds the left chopstick
  - A general case of mutually recursive locking

Conditions for Deadlock

- Deadlock not always deterministic – Example 2 mutexes:

Thread A	Thread B
x.P();	y.P();
y.P();	x.P();
y.V();	x.V();
x.V();	y.V();

  - Deadlock won't always happen with this code
    - ❑ Have to have exactly the right timing ("wrong" timing?)
    - ❑ So you release a piece of software, and you tested it, and there it is, controlling a nuclear power plant…

- Deadlocks occur with multiple resources
  - Means you can't decompose the problem
  - Can't solve deadlock for each resource independently

- Example: System with 2 disk drives and two threads
  - Each thread needs 2 disk drives to function
  - Each thread gets one disk and waits for another one

Four requirements for Deadlock

- ## Mutual exclusion
  - Only one thread at a time can use a resource.

- ## Hold and wait
  - Thread holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other threads

- ## No preemption
  - Resources are released only voluntarily by the thread holding the resource, after thread is finished with it

- ## Circular wait
  - There exists a set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ of waiting threads
    - ❑ T_i is waiting for a resource that is held by T_{i+1}

Four requirements for Deadlock

- ## Mutual exclusion
  - Only one thread at a time can use a resource.
  - Each chopstick can be held by a single philosopher at a time
- ## Hold and wait
  - Thread holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other threads
  - When a philosopher needs to wait for a chopstick, he continues to hold onto any chopsticks he has already picked up
- ## No preemption
  - Resources are released only voluntarily by the thread holding the resource, after thread is finished with it
  - Once a philosopher picks up a chopstick, he does not release it until he is done eating.
- ## Circular wait
  - There exists a set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ of waiting threads
    - ❑ T_i is waiting for a resource that is held by T_{i+1}
  - Everyone is holding the left chopstick but waiting for the right one.

Methods for Handling Deadlocks

- Allow system to enter deadlock and then recover
  - Requires deadlock detection algorithm
  - Some technique for forcibly preempting resources and/or terminating tasks

- Ensure that system will *never* enter a deadlock
  - Need to monitor all lock acquisitions
  - Selectively deny those that *might* lead to deadlock

- Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system
  - Used by most operating systems, including UNIX

Resource-Allocation Graph

- System Model
  - A set of Threads $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$
  - Resource types $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$
    - *CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices*
  - Each resource type R_i has W_i instances
  - Each thread utilizes a resource as follows:
   - ❑`Request() / Use() / Release()`
- Resource-Allocation Graph:
  - V is partitioned into two types:
    - ❑$T = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$, the set threads in the system.
    - ❑$R = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m\}$, the set of resource types in system
  - request edge – directed edge $T_1 \rightarrow R_j$
 - assignment edge – directed edge $R_j \rightarrow T_i$

Symbols

T_1 T_2

R_1

R_2

Resource Allocation Graph Examples

Simple Resource Allocation Graph

Allocation Graph With Deadlock

Allocation Graph With Cycle, but No Deadlock

Deadlock Detection Algorithm

- Only one of each type of resource $\Rightarrow$ look for loops
- More General Deadlock Detection Algorithm
  - Let [X] represent an m-ary vector of non-negative integers (quantities of resources of each type):

    ```
    [FreeResources]:    Current free resources each type
    [Request_X]:        Current requests from thread X
    [Alloc_X]:          Current resources held by thread X
    ```

  - See if tasks can eventually terminate on their own

    ```
    [Avail] = [FreeResources]
    Add all nodes to UNFINISHED
    do {
       done = true
       Foreach node in UNFINISHED {
          if ([Request_node] <= [Avail]) {
             remove node from UNFINISHED
             [Avail] = [Avail] + [Alloc_node]
             done = false
          }
       }
    } until(done)
    ```

 - Nodes left in **UNFINISHED** $\Rightarrow$ deadlocked

What to do when detect deadlock?

- Terminate thread, force it to give up resources
  - In Bridge example, Godzilla picks up a car, hurls it into the river. Deadlock solved!
  - But, not always possible – killing a thread holding a mutex leaves world inconsistent
- Preempt resources without killing off thread
  - Take away resources from thread temporarily
  - Doesn't always fit with semantics of computation
- Roll back actions of deadlocked threads
  - Hit the rewind button, pretend last few minutes never happened
  - For bridge example, make one car roll backwards (may require others behind him)
  - Common technique in databases (transactions)
  - Of course, if you restart in exactly the same way, may reenter deadlock once again
- Many operating systems use other options

- Applications usually don't know exactly when/what they're going to request

- Resources are taken/released over time

Techniques for Preventing Deadlock

- Infinite resources
  - Include enough resources so that no one ever runs out of resources. Doesn't have to be infinite, just large
  - Give illusion of infinite resources (e.g. virtual memory)
- No Sharing of resources (totally independent threads)
  - Often true (most things don't depend on each other)
  - Not very realistic in general (can't guarantee)
- Don't allow waiting
  - How the phone company avoids deadlock
    - ❑ Call to your Mom in Toledo, works its way through the phone lines, but if blocked get busy signal.
      - Or straight to voicemail on cell phones
  - Technique used in Ethernet/some multiprocessor nets
    - ❑ Everyone speaks at once. On collision, back off and retry
  - Inefficient, since have to keep retrying
    - ❑ Consider: driving to San Francisco; when hit traffic jam, suddenly you're transported back home and told to retry!

Techniques for Preventing Deadlock (cont'd)

- Make all threads request everything they'll need at the beginning.
  - Problem: Predicting future is hard, tend to over-estimate resources
  - Example:
    - ❑ If need 2 chopsticks, request both at same time
    - ❑ Don't leave home until we know no one is using any intersection between here and where you want to go; only one car on the Bay Bridge at a time

- Force all threads to request resources in a particular order preventing any cyclic use of resources
  - Thus, preventing deadlock
  - Example (x.P, y.P, z.P, …)
    - ❑ Make tasks request disk, then memory, then…
    - ❑ Keep from deadlock on freeways around SF by requiring everyone to go clockwise

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- What if you don't know the order/amount of requests ahead of time?

- Must assume some worst-case "max" resource needed by each process

- Toward right idea:
  - State maximum resource needs in advance
  - Allow particular thread to proceed if:

    (available resources - #requested) ≥

    max remaining that might be needed by any thread
  - Invariant: At all times, every request would succeed
    - ❑ Really conservative! Let's do something better.

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- Invariant: At all times, there exists some order of requests that would succeed.

- Key ideas
  - A thread states its maximum resource requirements, but acquires and releases resources incrementally as the thread executes.
  - The runtime system delays granting some requests to ensure that the system never deadlocks.

Safe State and Unsafe State

- **Safe state:** for any possible <u>sequence of resource requests</u>, there is at least one safe <u>sequence of processing</u> the requests that eventually succeeds in granting all pending and future requests.

- **Unsafe state:** there is at least one sequence of future resource requests that leads to deadlock no matter what processing order is tried.

- **Deadlocked state:** the system has at least one deadlock.

Safe State and Unsafe State

- **Safe state:** for any possible <u>sequence of resource requests</u>, there is at least one safe <u>sequence of processing</u> the requests that eventually succeeds in granting all pending and future requests.

  - *A system in a safe state controls its own destiny*: for any workload, it can avoid deadlock by delaying the processing of some requests.

Safe State and Unsafe State

- **Unsafe state:** there is at least one sequence of future resource requests that leads to deadlock no matter what processing order is tried.

  - An unsafe state does not always lead to deadlock

  - However, as long as the system remains in an unsafe state, a bad workload or unlucky scheduling of requests can force it to deadlock.

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- Invariant: At all times, there exists some order of requests that would succeed.

- The banker's algorithm delays any request that takes it from a safe to an unsafe state.

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- Delay a request that takes us into unsafe state.

- How to implement this?
  - Allocate resources dynamically
    - ❑ Evaluate each request and grant if some ordering of threads is still deadlock free afterward
  - Use deadlock detection algorithm presented earlier:
    - ❑ BUT: Assume each process needs "max" resources to finish

```
[Avail] = [FreeResources]
    Add all nodes to UNFINISHED
    do {
            done = true
            Foreach node in UNFINISHED {
            if ([Request_node] <= [Avail]) {
                remove node from UNFINISHED
                [Avail] = [Avail] + [Alloc_node]
                done = false
            }
        }
    } until(done)
```

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- Delay a request that takes us into unsafe state.

- How to implement this?
  - Allocate resources dynamically
    - ❑ Evaluate each request and grant if some ordering of threads is still deadlock free afterward
  - Use deadlock detection algorithm presented earlier:
    - ❑ BUT: Assume each process needs "max" resources to finish

```
[Avail] = [FreeResources]
    Add all nodes to UNFINISHED
    do {
        done = true
        Foreach node in UNFINISHED {
        if ([Max_node]-[Alloc_node] <= [Avail]) {
            remove node from UNFINISHED
            [Avail] = [Avail] + [Alloc_node]
            done = false
        }
      }
    } until(done)
```

Each process might need "max" resources in order to finish

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- Delay a request that takes us into unsafe state.

- How to implement this?
  - Allocate resources dynamically
    - ❑ Evaluate each request and grant if some ordering of threads is still deadlock free afterward
  - Use deadlock detection algorithm presented earlier:
    - ❑ BUT: Assume each process needs "max" resources to finish

- Keeps system in a "SAFE" state, i.e. there exists a sequence $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots T_n\}$ with T_1 requesting all remaining resources, finishing, then T_2 requesting all remaining resources, etc..

- vs. "Require all before starting", the Banker's algorithm allows the sum of maximum resource needs of all current threads to be greater than total resources

Bankers Algorithm (银行家算法)

- **EXAMPLE: Page allocation with the Banker's Algorithm.**
  - Suppose we have a system with 8 pages of memory and three processes: A, B, and C, which need 4, 5, and 5 pages to complete, respectively.

- They take turns requesting one page each, and the system grants requests in order

- EXAMPLE: Page allocation with the Banker's Algorithm.
  - Suppose we have a system with 8 pages of memory and three processes: A, B, and C, which need 4, 5, and 5 pages to complete, respectively.

- They take turns requesting one page each, and the system grants requests in order

Oops! Deadlock!

| Process	Allocation											
A	0	**1**	1	1	**2**	2	2	**3**	3	3	wait	wait
B	0	0	**1**	1	1	**2**	2	2	**3**	3	3	wait
C	0	0	0	**1**	1	1	**2**	2	2	wait	wait	wait
Total	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	8	8

- EXAMPLE: Page allocation with the Banker's Algorithm.
  - Suppose we have a system with 8 pages of memory and three processes: A, B, and C, which need 4, 5, and 5 pages to complete, respectively.

- What if we use banker's algorithm?

Process	Allocation																	
A	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	wait	wait	wait	wait	3	4	4	5	0	0	0
C	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	wait	wait	wait	3	3	wait	wait	4	5	0
Total	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	8	4	6	7	7	8	4	5	0

Tasks successfully finished

Banker's Algorithm Example

- Banker's algorithm with dining philosophers
  - "Safe" (won't cause deadlock) if when try to grab chopstick either:
    - ❑ Not last chopstick
    - ❑ Is last chopstick but someone will have two afterwards
  - What if k-handed philosopher? Don't allow if:
    - ❑ It's the last one, no one would have k
    - ❑ It's 2nd to last, and no one would have k-1
    - ❑ It's 3rd to last, and no one would have k-2
    - ❑ …

Deadlock Prevention – The Reality

- Deadlock Prevention is HARD
  - How many resources will each thread need?
  - How many total resources are there?

- Also Slow/Impractical
  - Matrix of resources/requirements could be big and dynamic
  - Re-evaluate on every request (even for small/non-contended)
  - Banker's algorithm assumes everyone asks for max

- REALITY
  - Most OSes don't bother
  - Programmers job to write deadlock-free programs (e.g. by ordering all resource requests).