GP_model_checking_test_cases_rjf_upgrade1

December 16, 2018

1 Gaussian process model checking: test cases

Here we test model checking diagnostics patterned after Bastos-O'Hagen:

```
Leonardo S. Bastos and Anthony O'Hagan, 
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1198/TECH.2009.08019"> <i>Diagnostics for Gaussian Process Emulators Technometrics <b>51</b>, 425 (2009).
```

The diagnostic functions are from the gsum module written by Jordan Melendez.

Last revised 15-Dec-2018 by Dick Furnstahl [furnstahl.1@osu.edu], building on the original notebook by Jordan Melendez and modifications by Daniel Phillips.

1.1 Overview of B&O Model Checking Implementation

Bastos & O'Hagan provide a versatile set of diagnostic tools for testing whether or not a Gaussian process (GP) is a reasonable emulator for an expensive simulator. Our use case is slightly different than theirs. We don't necessarily care about our GPs matching some underlying simulator. Rather, given a set of curves from a hierarchy of simulators, we wish to answer the following questions: 1. Can they reasonably be assumed to be drawn from the same underlying Gaussian process? 2. If so, which Gaussian process? 3. The underlying GP is later used as a model discrepancy, so how can we test its performance against experiment?

These three questions may or may not be decided by diagnostics discussed in B&O, but to find out we must implement their methods! This notebook tests our adaptations of their methods.

1.2 Modules to import

(rjf note: imports in the original notebook that were moved to gsum have been removed.)

```
In [1]: # standard python: see online documentation
    import numpy as np
    import scipy as sp

# For plotting we use matplotlib; other choices are possible
    import matplotlib as mpl
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# special imports for python programming: see online documentation
```

```
# scikit-learn machine learning https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/classes.html
        from sklearn.gaussian_process import GaussianProcessRegressor
           # see https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/gaussian_process.html
           # for documentation. Main excerpt:
           # The GaussianProcessRegressor implements Gaussian processes (GP) for
           # regression purposes. For this, the prior of the GP needs to be specified.
           # The prior mean is assumed to be constant and zero (for normalize_y=False)
           # or the training datas mean (for normalize_y=True).
           # The priors covariance is specified by passing a kernel object.
           # The hyperparameters of the kernel are optimized during fitting of
           # GaussianProcessRegressor by maximizing the log-marginal-likelihood (LML)
           # based on the passed optimizer. If the initial hyperparameters should be kept
           # fixed, None can be passed as optimizer.
        from sklearn.gaussian_process.kernels import RBF, ConstantKernel as C, WhiteKernel
           # RBF is a particular GP kernel (radial-basis function kernel, aka
           # squared-exponential kernel).
           # ConstantKernel: "Can be used as part of a product-kernel where it scales the
           # magnitude of the other factor (kernel) or as part of a sum-kernel, where it
           # modifies the mean of the Gaussian process."
           # WhiteKernel: "The main use-case of this kernel is as part of a sum-kernel where
           # it explains the noise-component of the signal. Tuning its parameter corresponds
           # to estimating the noise-level."
        # qsum is the package written by Jordan Melendez
        import gsum
        from gsum import rbf, default_attributes, cholesky_errors, mahalanobis
        from gsum import lazy_property, pivoted_cholesky
        from gsum import ConjugateGaussianProcess, ConjugateStudentProcess
        from gsum import Diagnostic, GraphicalDiagnostic
In [2]: # set rcParams here
        mpl.rcParams['figure.dpi'] = 120
In [3]: %matplotlib inline
```

1.3 Test case

This test case will generate toy data from the same given GP by sampling a few curves and selecting a set of training points from each curve. Then we fit a GP to the data.

1.3.1 Class definition for model checking of a toy model

from itertools import cycle

```
In [4]: from math import ceil

class Toy_model:

"""

Toy model for GP model checking based on Bastos & O'Hagan.
```

Uses functions from the gsum package by Jordan Melendez. To explore a new toy model: 1. Make an instance of this class, using default or specified parameters, e.g., :: tm1 = Toy_model(name='rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3', $x_min=0$, $x_max=20$, $x_num=21$, $data_skip=3$, $data_offset=2$, $n_samples=3$, $n_ref=1000$, basevar=1.0, varshiftfactor=1.0, baselengthscale=3.0, lengthscaleshift=0.0, seed=6, $nugget_sd = 1e-4$, vlines=True, print_level=1 There are print methods to output information on the toy model. 2. Set up the kernels and gps for the models, e.g., :: tm1.setup_toy_model() 3. Fit the data using the test data and compute means and covariancs, e.g., :: tm1.fit_toy_model() 4. Run one or more of the methods to do model checking, e.g., :: ``tm1.model_checking_with_test_data_global(plots='Md and pivoted cholesky') If you don't specify `plots`, you get the full plotzilla graph. **Parameters** _____ name : str Description/label of the particular toy model. x_min , x_max : float xnum : intMesh points for the GPs: x_num points from x_min to x_max. Used by setup_X_full data_skip, data_offset, data_pts : int Training data points: every data_skip points starting with data_offset point. Used by setup_mask to create the mask for the training data. $n_samples : int$ Draw n_samples curves $n_ref:int$ Number of diagnostic samples (should this ever change?) basevar, varshiftfactor, baselengthscale, lengthscaleshift: float DP characterization of the GP(s) used to sample the toy data. Specifies hyperparameters (hps) var and length scale for each GP. Run self.setup_toy_gp_hps to create the toy_gp_hps array. seed : int $self.toy_gp_seeds = seed + np.arange(n_samples)$ # array of n_samples values Here specified as ascending integers starting from an initial seed, but they could be specified by hand or randomized $nugget_sd:float$ Nugget as standard deviation

Vertical lines (True) or a histogram (False) for the md and kl plots

```
self.vlines = vlines
print_level : int
    How much information to print using print_information(print_level).
      0 --- don't print anything
      1 --- all parameters and sample information
Methods
_____
print_parameter_list()
   Print the parameters of the toy model.
print_sample_table()
   Print the characteristics of the sampled GPs.
print_information(level=1):
   Print information about the toy model based on level.
Notes
____
1. Need to ensure that toy models are completely updated when changes
    are made to the parameters.
11 11 11
def __init__(self,
             name = '[unnamed]',
             x_{\min} = 0,
             x_max = 20,
             x_num = 41,
             data_skip = 5,
             data_offset = 0,  # should be less than data_skip
             n_samples = 4,
             n_ref = 1000,
             basevar = 1.0,
             varshiftfactor = 1.0,
             baselengthscale = 3.0,
             lengthscaleshift = 0.0,
             seed = 2,
             nugget_sd = 1e-4,
             vlines = True,
             print_level = 1
            ):
    """Specify the toy model by the range and number of points, which
        points are used for training, how many gp curves are sampled and
        with what qp hyperparameters, and what seed and nugget are used.
    self.name = name
    # set up mesh points for the GPs (x_num points from x_min to x_max)
```

```
self.x_min = x_min
    self.x_max = x_max
    self.x_num = x_num
    self.setup_X_full()
    # training data points (every data_skip points starting with data_offset point)
    self.data_skip = data_skip
    self.data_offset = data_offset
    self.data_pts = ceil(x_num/data_skip)
    self.setup_mask()
    self.n_samples = n_samples # draw n_samples curves
    self.n_ref = n_ref
                            # number of diagnostic samples (should this ever change
    # DP characterization of the GP(s) used to sample the toy data.
    # Specifies hyperparameters (hps) var and length scale for each GP
    self.basevar = basevar
    self.varshiftfactor = varshiftfactor
    self.baselengthscale = baselengthscale
    self.lengthscaleshift = lengthscaleshift
    self.setup_toy_gp_hps() # create the toy_gp_hps array
    self.seed = seed
    self.toy_gp_seeds = seed + np.arange(n_samples) # array of n_samples values
    # Here specified as ascending integers starting from an initial seed,
    # but they could be specified by hand or randomized
    self.nugget_sd = nugget_sd # Check if we are sensitive to the value
    # Vertical lines (True) or a histogram (False) for the md and kl plots
    self.vlines = vlines
    self.print_level = print_level
    self.print_information(self.print_level)
def print_parameter_list(self):
    """Print the parameters of the toy model."""
    print(' * mesh for the GPS: \{0:d\} points from \{1:.2f\} to \{2:.2f\}\
               .format(self.x_num, self.x_min, self.x_max))
    print(' * training data: every {0:d} points starting with point {1:d};'\
               .format(self.data_skip, self.data_offset),\
          ' total {0:d} pts'.format(self.data_pts))
    print(' * nugget_sd: {0:.2e}'.format(self.nugget_sd))
def print_sample_table(self):
    """Print the characteristics of the sampled GPs."""
    self.setup_toy_gp_hps() # make sure that the hyperparameters are set
    gps_cycle = cycle(np.arange(len(self.toy_gp_hps))) # go through gps cyclically
```

```
print('\n sample # variance length scale seed color')
    for i in range(self.n_samples):
        gp_index = next(gps_cycle)
        print('
                 \{0:2d\}
                                                {2:.1f}
                                                               {3:2d}'\
                                 {1:.2f}
               .format(i, self.toy_gp_hps[gp_index][0], self.toy_gp_hps[gp_index][1]
               self.toy_gp_seeds[i]))
def print_information(self, level=1):
    """Print information about the toy model based on level (0-1)"""
    if (level==1):
        print('\n\n', '*'*72)
        print(' Information for toy model: \'{:s}\' '.format(self.name))
        self.print_parameter_list()
        self.print_sample_table()
def setup_X_full(self):
    """Creates the array of x points (X_full)."""
    self.X_full = np.atleast_2d(np.linspace(self.x_min, self.x_max, self.x_num)).T
def setup_mask(self):
    """ Creates the mask array for the training data. Has a True entry if
         corresponding point is in training data, otherwise the entry is False.
    self.mask = np.array([(i-self.data_offset) % self.data_skip == 0 \
                          for i in range(len(self.X_full))])
def setup_toy_gp_hps(self):
    """Set up the hyperparameters to be used for the different GPs in the toy model.
       Currently based on the DP scheme of specifying baseline values for the
       variance and length scale and then factors to multiply/divide the variance
       and add/substract to the length scale, for a total of three different GPs.
       More generally, one could extend the setup so that
            set \ toy\_gp\_hps = [ [var0, ls0], [var1, ls1], ...].
    _bv = self.basevar; _bls = self.baselengthscale;
    _vs = self.varshiftfactor; _ls = self.lengthscaleshift
    self.toy_gp_hps = [ [_bv, _bls],
                        [\_bv*\_vs, \_bls+\_ls],
                        [_bv/_vs, _bls-_ls] ]
def setup_toy_model(self):
    """Set up array of kernels and corresponding GPs for the toy model.
       Currently each kernel is the sum of an RBF kernel scaled by the variance
       and a noise kernel (which uses the nugget_sd nugget).
    self.setup_toy_gp_hps()
                              # make sure that the hyperparameters are set
    self.toy_gp_kernel = []
    self.toy_gp = []
```

```
for i in range(len(self.toy_gp_hps)):
        self.toy_gp_kernel.append( C(self.toy_gp_hps[i][0], (1e-3, 1e3)) \
                               * RBF(self.toy_gp_hps[i][1], (1e-2, 1e2)) \
                               + WhiteKernel(self.nugget_sd**2) )
        self.toy_gp.append( GaussianProcessRegressor(kernel=self.toy_gp_kernel[i], or
    # kernel with starting hyperparameters
    self.base_gp_kernel = C(self.basevar, (1e-3, 1e3)) * RBF(self.baselengthscale, (
    # Generate full toy data and split into training and test data.
    # Sample the gps at all of the X points.
    self.toy_data_full = []
                              # toy data at X_full
    self.toy_data_training = [] # the points used to train
    self.toy_data_test = [] # the remaining points
    gps_cycle = cycle(np.arange(len(self.toy_gp_hps)))
                                                        # sample from gps cyclicall
    for i in range(self.n_samples):
        self.toy_data_full.append( self.toy_gp[next(gps_cycle)].sample_y(
                                                    self.X_full, n_samples=1,
                                                    random_state=self.toy_gp_seeds[i
        self.toy_data_training.append( self.toy_data_full[i][:, self.mask] )
        self.toy_data_test.append( self.toy_data_full[i][:, ~self.mask])
    self.toy_data_full = np.concatenate(self.toy_data_full)
    self.toy_data_training = np.concatenate(self.toy_data_training)
    self.toy_data_test = np.concatenate(self.toy_data_test)
    self.X_training = self.X_full[self.mask]
    self.X_test = self.X_full[~self.mask]
def fit_toy_model(self):
    """Fit GP hyperparameters for the training data"""
    self.my_gp = ConjugateGaussianProcess(self.base_gp_kernel)
    self.my_gp.fit(self.X_training, self.toy_data_training, noise_sd=self.nugget_sd)
    # compute the mean and covariance of the fitted GP at the training set points
    self.fitmean_training = self.my_gp.mean(self.X_training)
    self.fitcov_training = self.my_gp.cov(self.X_training)
    # compute the values of the fitted GP at all the data points
    self.m_test, self.K_test = self.my_gp.predict(self.X_test,
                                                  return_cov=True, pred_noise=True)
    # print(np.diag(K_pred))
    self.sd_test = np.sqrt(np.diag(self.K_test))
    \# compute the mean and covariance of the overall GP at the set X_{-}full
    self.fitmean_test = self.my_gp.mean(self.X_test)
    self.fitcov_test = self.my_gp.cov(self.X_test,self.X_test)
```

```
def plot_toy_data_and_fits(self, **kwargs):
    """ Plot the gps, test data, and fits"""
    fig = plt.figure(figsize=(12,4))
    ax1 = fig.add_subplot(1,2,1)
    ax1.plot(self.X_full.ravel(), self.toy_data_full.T);
    ax1.plot(self.X_training.ravel(), self.toy_data_training.T,
             ls='', marker='o', fillstyle='none', markersize=10, c='gray');
    ax2 = fig.add_subplot(1,2,2)
    # Plot the underlying process
    ax2.plot(self.X_training.ravel(), self.my_gp.mean(), ls='--', c='gray')
    ax2.plot(self.X_training.ravel(), self.my_gp.mean() + self.my_gp.sd(), ls=':', or
    ax2.plot(self.X_training.ravel(), self.my_gp.mean() - self.my_gp.sd(), ls=':', o
    # Now the true data
    ax2.plot(self.X_full.ravel(), self.toy_data_full.T);
    ax2.plot(self.X_training.ravel(), self.toy_data_training.T, ls='', marker='o',
             fillstyle='none', markersize=10, c='gray');
    # The predicted interpolants and their errors
    ax2.plot(self.X_test.ravel(), self.m_test.T, c='k', ls='--', label='test');
    for m in self.m_test:
        ax2.fill_between(self.X_test.ravel(), m + 2*self.sd_test, m - 2*self.sd_test
                         color='gray', alpha=0.25)
    # self.ax2.legend();
    return fig, ax1, ax2
def model_checking_with_training_data_only(self, plots='plotzilla'):
    """Perform the model checking and generate plots using training data only.
       The fit mean and covariance are calculated in fit\_toy\_model.
    11 11 11
    np.random.seed(self.seed)
    gp = ConjugateGaussianProcess(self.base_gp_kernel)
    gpmc = Diagnostic(self.fitmean_training, self.fitcov_training)
    gd = GraphicalDiagnostic(gpmc, self.toy_data_training, nref=self.n_ref)
    if plots == 'plotzilla':
        gd.plotzilla(self.X_training, gp, vlines=self.vlines);
    elif plots == 'Md and pivoted cholesky':
        self.plot_Md_and_pivoted_cholesky(self.X_training, gd, vlines=self.vlines);
def model_checking_with_test_data_global(self, plots='plotzilla'):
    """Perform global model checking and generate plots using test data.
       The fit mean and covariance are calculated in fit\_toy\_model.
    np.random.seed(self.seed)
    gp = ConjugateGaussianProcess(self.base_gp_kernel)
```

```
self.fitcov_test
                                       + self.nugget_sd**2 * np.eye(self.fitcov_test.shape[0]))
                gd_test = GraphicalDiagnostic(gpmc_test, self.toy_data_test, nref=self.n_ref)
                if plots == 'plotzilla':
                    gd_test.plotzilla(self.X_test, gp, vlines=self.vlines);
                elif plots == 'Md and pivoted cholesky':
                    self.plot_Md_and_pivoted_cholesky(self.X_test, gd_test, vlines=self.vlines);
            def model_checking_with_test_data_interpolants(self, plots='plotzilla'):
                """Perform model checking with interpolants and generate plots using test data.
                   m_test and K_test are calculated in fit_toy_model.
                11 11 11
                np.random.seed(self.seed)
                gp = ConjugateGaussianProcess(self.base_gp_kernel)
                gp.fit(self.X_training, self.toy_data_training, noise_sd=self.nugget_sd)
                self.mean_est, self.cov_est = gp.predict(self.X_test, return_cov=True, pred_nois
                gpmc = Diagnostic(np.zeros(self.m_test.shape[1]), self.K_test \
                                  + self.nugget_sd**2 * np.eye(self.K_test.shape[0]))
                gd = GraphicalDiagnostic(gpmc, self.toy_data_test - self.m_test, nref=self.n_ref
                if plots == 'plotzilla':
                    gd.plotzilla(self.X_test, gp, predict=True, vlines=self.vlines);
                elif plots == 'Md and pivoted cholesky':
                    self.plot_Md_and_pivoted_cholesky(self.X_test, gd, vlines=self.vlines);
            def plot_Md_and_pivoted_cholesky(self, X, gd=None, predict=False, vlines=True):
                """Make a plot with a subset of the plotzilla plots. Here three plots are
                    shown: Mahalanobis distance, pivoted Cholesky errors, and the corresponding
                    pivoted Cholesky QQ plot.
                if gd is None:
                    pass
                fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 3, figsize=(12, 3))
                gd.md(vlines=vlines, ax=axes[0])
                gd.pivoted_cholesky_errors(axes[1])
                gd.pivoted_cholesky_errors_qq(axes[2])
                fig.tight_layout()
                return fig, axes
1.3.2 Ok, let's roll!
In [208]: from copy import deepcopy # when we want to duplicate a toy model
          # Initiate a toy model (note that we only need to specify values different from defaul
```

gpmc_test = Diagnostic(self.fitmean_test,

this one has the same gps for all the samples

tm1 = Toy_model(name='rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3',

```
x_min=0, x_max=40, x_num=81, data_skip=4, data_offset=0,
                      n_samples=3, n_ref=1000,
                      basevar=1.0, varshiftfactor=1.0, baselengthscale=3.0, lengthscaleshift=
                      seed=4, nugget_sd = 1e-4,
                      vlines=True, print_level=1
                     )
        # this one changes the variances by varshiftfactor (all else the same)
        tm2 = deepcopy(tm1)
        tm2.name = 'rjf_var_shift_2_seed_6_samples_3'
        tm2.varshiftfactor = 2.0
        tm2.print_information()
        # this one changes the length scales by lengthscaleschift
        tm3 = deepcopy(tm1)
        tm3.name = 'rjf_scale_shift_0p3_seed_6_samples_3'
        tm3.lengthscaleshift=0.3
        tm3.print_information()
***************************
Information for toy model: 'rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3'
* mesh for the GPS: 81 points from 0.00 to 40.00
* training data: every 4 points starting with point 0; total 21 pts
* nugget_sd: 1.00e-04
sample #
         variance length scale seed
                                      color
  0
           1.00
                      3.0
                                  4
  1
           1.00
                      3.0
                                  5
  2
           1.00
                      3.0
                                 6
******************************
Information for toy model: 'rjf_var_shift_2_seed_6_samples_3'
* mesh for the GPS: 81 points from 0.00 to 40.00
* training data: every 4 points starting with point 0; total 21 pts
* nugget_sd: 1.00e-04
sample #
          variance length scale seed
                                      color
  0
           1.00
                      3.0
                                  4
  1
           2.00
                      3.0
                                  5
           0.50
                      3.0
*****************************
Information for toy model: 'rjf_scale_shift_0p3_seed_6_samples_3'
```

* mesh for the GPS: 81 points from 0.00 to 40.00

```
* training data: every 4 points starting with point 0; total 21 pts
  * nugget_sd: 1.00e-04
            variance length scale seed
 sample #
                                            color
              1.00
                          3.0
    0
                                       4
    1
              1.00
                          3.3
                                       5
    2
              1.00
                          2.7
                                       6
In [209]: # Set up the kernels and qps for the models
          tm1.setup_toy_model()
          tm2.setup_toy_model()
          tm3.setup_toy_model()
          # Fit the data using the test data and compute means and covariancs
          tm1.fit_toy_model()
          tm2.fit_toy_model()
          tm3.fit_toy_model()
```

1.3.3 Aside: Do the nugget size check

Here we are varying the nugget for tm3 and checking whether it makes a difference


```
In [210]: # make 6 deep (new and complete) copies of tm3 for testing impact of nugget size
          tm_nugget_test = [deepcopy(tm3) for _ in range(6)]
          factors = 2**(np.arange(6)) # start with tm1 nugget and multiply by 2 each time
          for i, tm in enumerate(tm_nugget_test):
              tm.nugget_sd = factors[i] * tm1.nugget_sd
              tm.name = tm1.name + '_nugget_{:.1e}'.format(tm.nugget_sd)
              #tm.print_information()
          # Plot the data and fits for the original model tm3
          tm3.print_information()
          tm3.plot_toy_data_and_fits();
          plt.show()
                         # this waits until the plot is finished before proceeding
          # set up kernels and fits for the nugget test models
          # and then generate model checking plotzilla for global test data
          for tm in tm_nugget_test:
              #tm.print_information()
              tm.setup_toy_model()
              tm.fit_toy_model()
              print('\n *** TESTING: nugget_sd is {:.1e} ***'.format(tm.nugget_sd))
              print(' Condition number for covariance matrix is {:.1e}'.format(
                    np.linalg.cond(
                     tm.fitcov_test + tm.nugget_sd**2 * np.eye(tm.fitcov_test.shape[0]) )))
              #tm.model_checking_with_training_data_only(plots='Md and pivoted cholesky')
```


```
print(' Plots for global test data:')
tm.model_checking_with_test_data_global(plots='Md and pivoted cholesky')
plt.show() # this waits until the plot is finished before proceeding
print(' Plots for interpolant test data:')
tm.model_checking_with_test_data_interpolants(plots='Md and pivoted cholesky')
plt.show() # this waits until the plot is finished before proceeding
```

Information for toy model: 'rjf_scale_shift_0p3_seed_6_samples_3'


- * mesh for the GPS: 81 points from 0.00 to 40.00
- * training data: every 4 points starting with point 0; total 21 pts
- * nugget_sd: 1.00e-04


sample #	variance	length scale	seed	color
0	1.00	3.0	4	
1	1.00	3.3	5	
2	1.00	2.7	6	







*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 1.0e-04 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 1.2e+09
Plots for global test data:









Plots for interpolant test data:




*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 2.0e-04 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 3.1e+08
Plots for global test data:




Plots for interpolant test data:




*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 4.0e-04 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 7.9e+07
Plots for global test data:




Plots for interpolant test data:




*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 8.0e-04 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 1.9e+07
Plots for global test data:




Plots for interpolant test data:




*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 1.6e-03 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 4.7e+06
Plots for global test data:




Plots for interpolant test data:



*** TESTING: nugget_sd is 3.2e-03 ***
Condition number for covariance matrix is 1.2e+06
Plots for global test data:




Plots for interpolant test data:





1.3.4 Plot the toy data and fits

rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3





rjf_var_shift_2_seed_6_samples_3





rjf_scale_shift_0p3_seed_6_samples_3








Comments:

1.3.5 Model checking with the training data only

 ${\tt rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3}$









Comments:


1.3.6 Use the test dataset

rjf_no_shift_seed_6_samples_3






rjf_scale_shift_0p3_seed_6_samples_3




Comments:


1.3.7 Model checking with the interpolants

What if we performed the same model checking with the interpolants? This time, we are comparing each colored curve to the process defined by the thin gray bands around that curve. One potential clever way to combine the diagnostics from interpolated processes relies on the fact that the only thing that is different about the interpolating processes is their mean function that interpolates the data. If we subtract the means off the process and the data, then we are back to the simple iid case.



rjf_var_shift_2_seed_6_samples_3





Comments: