


DC/DC Voltage Regulator

Complete Simulation Report

Cemil Ürgüp
Burak Kemal Kara

A report presented for the sake of
Humanity and Science



Electrical & Electronics Engineering
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
TURKEY
23.03.2020

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Forward Converter topology	2
3	Design Equations	2
3.1	Transformer Considerations	3
3.2	MOSFET Considerations	5
3.3	Output Inductor Considerations	5
3.4	Capacitor Considerations	5
3.5	Diode Considerations	5
4	Aimed Bonuses	7
4.1	Analog Controller IC	7
4.2	Efficiency Bonus	7
4.3	Current Mode Control	7
4.4	PCB	7
4.5	Soft Starting	7
5	Cost Analys	8
6	References	8

1 Introduction

Switch mode power supplies are commonly used to DC/DC converter applications. Beside of voltage control, it provides galvanic isolations. In this project we used Forward Converter topology which is good choice when output need high current and power range is below 200W. In addition, UC3844 IC is examined and simulated in order to obtain constant output voltage with current control. This document aims to discuss component selections, cost and power estimation according to teoric and computational simulation results.

2 Forward Converter topology

In this project, we had different design options as can be seen from Figure 1.

	Project No	FLY #1	FLY #2	FLY #3
FLYBACK	Minimum Input Voltage (V)	24	24	200 Vac
	Maximum Input Voltage (V)	48	48	240 Vac
	Output Voltage (V)	15	10	5
	Output Power (W)	60	60	60
	Output Volt. Peak-to-Peak Ripple (%)	4	4	5
	Line Regulation (%)	2	2	3
	Load Regulation (%)	2	2	3
	Project No	FOR #1	FOR #2	FOR #3
FORWARD	Minimum Input Voltage (V)	24	24	200 Vac
	Maximum Input Voltage (V)	48	48	240 Vac
	Output Voltage (V)	15	10	5
	Output Power (W)	48	48	48
	Output Volt. Peak-to-Peak Ripple (%)	2	2	3
	Line Regulation (%)	2	2	3
	Load Regulation (%)	2	2	3

Figure 1: Different Design alternatives of the project

From these alternatives, Forward #1 option is selected. Although, Forward Converter has more components and it is more complex than Flyback Converter, it has some important advantages over Flyback converter such as:

- It has more efficiency because of better utilization of transformer.
- A gapless core can be used because there are inductor at the output and there is no need high reluctance for transformer.
- Output inductor and diode ensure continuous output current and because of that forward converter has less output voltage ripple.

3 Design Equations

The following specifications are design equtions for Forward Converter Design.

Minimum Input Voltage (V)	24
Maximum Input Voltage (V)	48
Output Voltage (V)	15
Output Power (W)	48
Output Volt. Peak-to-Peak Ripple (%)	2
Switching Frequency (fs)	100 kHz

Table 1: Design specifications

3.1 Transformer Considerations

First of all we should calculate turn ratio of transformer. For the sake of simplicity reset winding and primary winding ratio is same.

$$n_1 = n_3 \quad (1)$$

This equation limit max duty ratio to 50%, since core cant demagnetize faster than magnetizing time. Primary and secondary winding ratio can found when critical points are considered. When Input decreased mininum, Duty cycle reached max. ratio. At this point this converter should supply desired V_o .

$$V_o < v_i \frac{n_3}{n_1} D \implies \frac{n_3}{n_1} > \frac{V_o}{V_i \cdot D} = 1.33 \implies 2 \quad (2)$$

When $V_o = 15V$ as specifications, extra voltage drop on D_2 around 1V.

$V_{o,max} = 16V$.

Minimum voltage is 24 V.

Maximum D is 50%.

At this point we choose R type material ferrite core (Code:0R45959EC). We selected since, R type core loss is less than P type core. According to this core turning factor can found from Lenz Rule,

$$V = n_1 \frac{d\phi}{dt} \implies V_{i,max} = \frac{n_1 \cdot B_{sat} \cdot A_e}{D_{max} \cdot T_s} \quad (3)$$

$$\therefore n_1 > 2.18$$

$$V_{i,max} = 48 \text{ V}$$

$$D_{max} = 0.5$$

$$B_{sat} = 0.3$$

$$A_e = 368 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ taken from datasheet [2].}$$

This is minimum turning ratio to avoid core saturation. To calculate max turn number we should calculate wire type to know wire size. At the worst scenario we have $V_{in,min} = 24V$. For primary winding,

$$I_{primary} = \frac{P_o}{V_{in,min}} = 2A \quad (4)$$

For secondary winding,

$$I_{secondary} = \frac{I_{primary}}{n} = 1A \quad (5)$$

Since this currents ratings are for ideal case, it is safer to choose higher rating wires. From AWG chart, for primary and reset windings can use AWG #16, Secondary AWG #18. Selection criteria is max current. Their properties are shown at table 2.

AWG	Area mm ²	Max. Current Amperes
AWG#16	1.31	3.7
AWG#18	0.823	2.3

Table 2: Wire properties

Core window area is calculated from datasheet. Also geometry parameters are taken from datasheet [2].

$$A_w = (E - F) \cdot D = 510 \text{mm}^2 \quad (6)$$

Since area of wires are known max turn can be calculate by,

$$N_{max} = \frac{k_{fill} \cdot A_w}{A_{pri} + n \cdot A_{sec} + A_{reset}} = 71.73 \quad (7)$$

Note that fill factor is 0.3 for litz wire. Increasing turn is good because flux density decreasing and core loss decreasing according to Steinmetz equation, but increased turn also increase resistance of wire and copper loss increased. Core loss equation,

$$P_{fe} = K_{fe} (B_{peak})^\beta A_c l_m \quad (8)$$

P_{fe} at 100kHz, 100mT given 85mW/cm^3

P_{fe} at 100kHz, 200mT given 550mW/cm^3

By using these values steinmetz parameters calculated as,

$$\therefore \beta = 2.7 \quad , K_{fe} = 42$$

Core loss is simply $I^2 R_{ac}$ but wires new resistance should be calculated by skin effect. Since skin depth decrease wire area new resistance calculated by,

$$R_{ac} = \frac{n \cdot MLT \cdot \rho}{Area} \quad (9)$$

To find optimum turn number loss calculated at matlab script which provided at github repo.




Figure 2: According to number of turn Total loss calculated

It is better to select turn ratio 8 which Total loss is 1 Watt. At this number $R_{pri} = R_{reset} = 0.05 \text{k}\Omega$, $R_{sec} = 0.06 \text{k}\Omega$.

By using AL number windings inductance found and,

$$X_m = k \cdot \sqrt{X_1 \cdot (X_2 + X_3)} = 637 \mu H \quad (10)$$

Since reset and secondary windings direction same they summed. Also from Steinmetz eqn. R_m can found. Where applied voltage is 48 V.

$$P_{fe} = 0.1375 \text{Watt} \implies R_m = \frac{V^2}{P_{fe}} = 16.7 \text{k}\Omega \quad (11)$$

3.2 MOSFET Considerations

Since when switch is off, reset winding discharge core, beside of input voltage additional voltage seen on mosfet.

$$V_s = V_i + \frac{n_1}{n_2} \cdot V_i = 96V \quad (12)$$

For the worst case, selected $V_i = 48V$. To be stay at the safe side lets make it 150V. We aims to work in %90 efficiency. Since $P_o = 48W$, $P_i = 54W$. At the minimum voltage max current created.

$$I_i = \frac{P_i}{V_i} = 2.22A \quad (13)$$

To be safe side minimum current of mosfet should be 5 A. We selected to use IRF 740 N [1], its voltage is 400V but since it has low resistance and it presents at available component list at the laboratory it is advantageous.

According to datasheet turn on and turn off time is 45ns. This much smaller than switching t_{off} and t_{off} .

$$P_{cond} = I_i^2 \cdot R_{on} = 2.7W \quad (14)$$

$$P_{switching} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot V_{in} I_{in} (t_r + t_f) f_s = 0.12W \quad (15)$$

Conduction power loss is higher than expect, We can change this mosfet with better one at future. We will use this mosfet since we already have this component at the laboratory.

3.3 Output Inductor Considerations

We considered ripple voltage limit in our simulations and we chose 470 μH inductor according to our simulations. In addition, previous 10 A current rating selection must be valid for inductor. Therefore, we picked an inductor with 10 A maximum DC current and 470 μH inductance which is “CG3885-ALB” inductor from Coilcraft. It has also 8 m Ω maximum DC resistance and we used that value in our simulations. Since inductors has nonideal series resistance values, there are power losses of these resistance values also. CG3885-ALB inductor has 8 m Ω resistance and it has 3.2 A current. Therefore, power losses on inductor can be calculated as follows:

$$P_{inductor} = I^2 \cdot R = 3.2^2 \cdot 8 \cdot 10^{-3} = 0.08W \quad (16)$$

3.4 Capacitor Considerations

Because of ripple voltage limit, we chose 100 μF capacitor. In addition, we select voltage rating of capacitor as 50 V because of safety limit and possibility of voltage oscillation in case of soft start does not working. Therefore, we picked “860080675012” capacitor from Würth Elektronik.

3.5 Diode Considerations

As can be seen from Figures 5, there are 100 Volts when diodes are OFF and there are 3.2 A current when they are ON. Therefore, because of safety margin and high current possibility at the starting we chose 200 V and 10 A ratings for diodes. Hence, we picked “DPG10I200PM” fast recovery diodes. For diodes another important parameter is reverse recovery time and this diode has 35 ns which is enough for 100 kHz frequency applications.




Figure 3: Diodes

Output diodes has 0.98 V voltage drop and at steady state their current will be 3,2 A. When one diode is ON the other diode is OFF at the output. Therefore, we can calculate conduction losses by simply multiply voltage drop and forward current.

$$P_{conduction} = V_f I_f = 0.98V \cdot 32A = 3.13W \quad (17)$$

For calculating switching losses of diodes, reverse recovery charge, blocking voltage and switching frequency is needed. From Figure 4, we can estimate our reverse recovery charge as 0.1 μC . Blocking voltage is 100 V from simulations and switching frequency is 100 kHz.




Figure 4: Reverse recovery charge values of DPG10I200PM

From these values, switching losses of two diodes can be calculated as follows:

$$P_{switching} = Q_R V_R f_s = 2 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 100V \cdot 100kHz = 2W \quad (18)$$

4 Aimed Bonuses

4.1 Analog Controller IC

We are planning to use UC3844 High Performance Current Mode Controller. It also has soft start option if proper external circuitry is designed. In Simulink, we established current mode control by using inner circuitry of this IC by oscillator and error amplifier and it worked as we want.

4.2 Efficiency Bonus

As simulated at matlab efficiency is %85. We try to select components to decrease loss and increase efficiency.

4.3 Current Mode Control

Current control Mode control decrease oscillations and output reach steady state faster.

4.4 PCB

We are planning to implement our final circuitry on Printed Circuit Board (PCB). Because PCB has some advantages over stripboard. It is more professional than stripboard and it is less noisy. Also, after drawing proper PCB layout it is easy to implement.

4.5 Soft Starting

Soft starting is important issue for such applications because at the very beginning of implementation circuit has tendency to draw much more current than steady state. Reason of this problem is energy storage devices in the circuit. Until capacitors and inductor reaches steady state, they draw more current and that is why soft starting is needed. Since it is not desired, most of the controller ICs have soft starting feature and UC3884 also has this feature. For using soft starting mode of our IC, simple circuitry that can be seen in Figure 5 is enough.




Figure 5: Soft starting circuitry of UC3884

5 Cost Analys

6 References

References

- [1] *IRF 740 N POWER MOSFET*. <https://pdf.direnc.net/upload/irf740a-datasheet.pdf>.
- [2] *0R45959EC R Material Core*. <https://www.mag-inc.com/Media/Magnetics/Datasheets/0R45959EC.pdf>.