R-Booking

Requirements Specification and Analysis

Version 1.0

19.10.2018

Mert Penduzgun
Abdulwahab A. Sani
Alper Cevik
Burak Sonmez

Prepared for SE301 Software Engineering



Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1.Purpose of the System.	3
1.2.Scope of the System	3
1.3. Objectives and Success Criteria of the Project	4
1.4.Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	4
1.5.Overview	4
2. Current System	6
3. Proposed System	6
3.1.Overview	7
3.2.Functional Requirements	7
3.3.Nonfunctional Requirements	7
Usability	7
Reliability	7
Performance	7
Supportability	7
Implementation	7
Interface	7
Packaging	7
Legal	7
3.4.System Models	7
Scenarios	8
Use case model	8
Object model	8
Dynamic model	8
User interface—navigational paths and screen mock-ups	9
3.5.Project Schedule	9
4. Glossary	9
5 D.f	0

REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS DOCUMENT[1]

1. Introduction

The purpose of this system is to provide users with an easy way of being able to reserve space in a restaurant right from their mobile phone so that they are assured of being able to get a place at any restaurant of their choice.

1.1.Purpose of the System

The driving reason behind developing this system is to give restaurant goers assurance of having space/table when they go out to eat. More times than not, people go to a restaurant usually in a group and unfortunately there is no space to accommodate them all as such, our system will let them know for sure whether they will get a table or not which also saves their time and energy. It also gives the users valuable information about the restaurant and also importantly- choices. There is an expansive list that the user can choose from and also see the ratings.

1.2. Scope of the System

Our system will allow the users to reserve a place at a restaurant of their choosing prior to their visit to the restaurant. The user first needs to sign up, then after logging in they can view the variety of restaurants available/open and select the number of people that will be coming. This service is very versatile and as such it can be used by a people ranging from government officials down to students at a college.

Our system will have three users each with a different level of authority. They are the admin, the manager and the customer. The admin is the one with the most authority and he is responsible for adding new restaurants (or deleting them) from the system. He can also add new managers and customers to the system and just as well delete them. Maintaining the system is also his responsibility.

The manager is the one who will send a request to the admin to add a new restaurant and can also send a delete request to the restaurant. The manager can update restaurant info such as location (if the restaurant moved elsewhere), restaurant name, available capacity etc..

Finally, the customer is the one with least authority but has the most functionalities. The customer can make a reservation and select the number of people that they want to reserve for. In addition to making the reservation, a customer can of course have a change of mind and cancel their reservation. The customer can also leave an optional and rating which will be useful in giving other users insight about the restaurant's

quality. They can also update their information such as email address, favourite restaurant etc. The customer will be able to search for a restaurant and view it which is part of the process for making their reservation.

1.3. Objectives and Success Criteria of the Project

Our objective is to give our users the peace of mind and assurance of knowing they are guaranteed a place at the restaurant they want to visit. By doing this we also want to save their time so they don't go to a restaurant and its full and they have to choose another place again which could be full again. This can get frustrating. We believe that our system can help assist with this problem. We shall gauge the success of the project by:

- positive reviews
- number of users/downloads
- improving people's time efficiency
- system popularity
- improved planning for large groups of people

1.4.Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

- RAD: Requirement Analysis Document.
- Admin: System administrator who manages users and managers and also maintains and updates the system.
- System: the software that is to be developed.

1.5.Overview

This RAD shall compare the current system that is being used which shall be replaced with the to-be developed system. It shall look at the upsides and benefits of replacing the old system. It also contains the system models which include functional and non-functional requirements which shall be described in detail and explained, there is also a use case description and scenarios that were created. Also included is the Gantt Chart which a schedule for when the project is expected to be fully developed along with milestones. We included a glossary as well so as to define words that may seem foreign to those outside of the project team.

The organisational structure of the RAD is designed from the ground up so, we describe the things included from the basic descriptions of our system to the more complex system models and functionalities of the system. So we started with Introduction where we specify and familiarise the reader with what the project is all about and what it will do. The purpose of the system and the objectives of the system and what it is expected to achieve. Furthermore, we give an overview of the project which tells about what this RAD contains.

Next up is the current system section where we talk about the system that is currently being used by a majority number of people and this is the system we intend to replace and ultimately improve. We mention the things that make this current system inefficient and how is it time consuming and leaves room for uncertainty such as visiting a restaurant and it is full and so people have to search for a another place.

In proposed system section we speak on how the to-be developed system shall be an improvement on the old one. We discuss the advantages and why it is the right step forward. We mentioned that the current system is time consuming and uncertain, in the proposed system, this problem is solved by allowing the customer to reserve a table/ space for a number of people. If you reserve the space, you are guaranteed a table and this saves time and makes it easier for people to choose where to go. The proposed system will also be made to be as user friendly as possible so that customers of all ages and computer literacy can use it with minimal difficulty.

In overview section, we discuss the functionalities of the system which is what the system is going to be capable of and what actions it supports.

In functional requirements section, we discussed how the different users of our system -the manager, admin and customer- interact with the system and their different levels of authority. We make mention of what the Restaurant Booking system is capable of doing and its features how the users have different but sometimes similar functions.

In non-functional requirements section, we talk about functions that are not necessarily defining the behaviour of our system but rather, they are criteria for how the system should be judged or rated. These criteria include: usability, performance, reliability or supportability, implementation, interface, legal and packaging. We shall discuss these in more detail in later sections of the RAD.

In system models section, we talk about the scenarios, use cases, object model and dynamic model of the system. We created scenarios for manager, admin and the customer as our actors. Each scenario discusses how the actor shall partake in the use case and achieve the task at hand. For the use cases we gave full descriptions

including, entry conditions, exit conditions, flow of events etc. The use case is an action to be performed by the specified actor within the system.

Lastly, in the glossary section we defined terms and other words or phrases needed to fully understand the RAD with minimal fuss. It is a guide for the reader who gets lost or misunderstands something.

2. Current System

The reservation system currently being used is by making a phone call to the restaurant a person desires to go and provides details to get the reservation, the correspondent then lets the caller know if the reservation is available. Alternatively the person can go to the restaurant physically and make the reservation at the reception. Using this method, if the person has no idea about the restaurant (quality, food taste, location etc) then it doesn't provide insight about the restaurant you are going to. In addition to this, because it is via network, the caller can be made to wait because of a busy line or, being even unable to reach the restaurant due to some network problems. In this case the caller is being made to wait. If the person goes physically to make the reservation, he goes without knowledge of whether there is available space or not, and he may not know the location.

3. Proposed System

The system to be designed is going to be made for making online reservations for restaurants. A restaurant manager signs up and sends a request to the admin to registers the restaurant. For the registration, the manager needs to provide information about the restaurant which include it's location, food type, menus etc.. The system admin accepts the restaurant registration request which then makes the new restaurant visible to customers who may want to reserve. Then the customer also signs up and logs into their account and from there, they browse through the list of available restaurants and view the restaurant of their choice. The rating of a restaurant helps a customer decide where they want to make the reservation. So each restaurant has a rating which is given by previous customers based on their personal experiences. Because this is done online, a customer doesn't need to call to make a reservation so this avoids the inconvenience of waiting. Another benefit of this proposed online reservation system is that the menus and type of restaurant are displayed on screen before making a decision, so it helps to make up people's minds. Restaurants have ratings, so our customers have a general idea of knowing what kind of restaurant they are walking into. The uncertainty we spoke of earlier about restaurant location wont be a problem either because restaurant managers provide the location address before registering to the system. The customer can search for the address and pinpoint the location. After a customer completes their reservation, they are assured of being able to get a place in that restaurant and it avoids the frustration of going to a restaurant and it is unfortunately full, prompting another search for another place which may be full as well. We make all this unnecessary by making it possible online where there is a variety of different restaurants.

3.1.Overview

Presents a functional overview of the system.

3.2. Functional Requirements

Describes the high-level functionality of the system.

3.3. Nonfunctional Requirements

Describes user-level requirements that are not directly related to functionality. This includes usability, reliability, performance, supportability, implementation, interface, operational, packaging, and legal requirements.

Usability

Reliability

Performance

Supportability

Implementation

Interface

Packaging

Legal

3.4.System Models

Describes the scenarios, use cases, object model, and dynamic models for the system. This section contains the complete functional specification, including mockups illustrating the user interface of the system and navigational paths representing the sequence of screens.

For example, one of the functionalities our system is designed to support is to make a reservation. To do this, firstly the customer needs to create an account. The customer subsequently logs in after successfully creating the account. After this he browses through the list of available restaurants and views the restaurant. He then chooses the number of people to reserve for and finalises his reservation. For the object models we depict our classes using UML diagrams and show the relation between them. In the dynamic model, we showed interactions between objects within a use case using sequence diagrams and showed how state machines are used to show the behaviour of that single object.

*/

Scenarios

A scenario is an instance of a use case.

Use case model

A use case is a generalization of a number of scenarios. Therefore, the number of scenarios must be equal to or greater than the number of use cases.

Object model

The analysis object model, depicted with UML class diagrams, includes classes, attributes, and operations. The analysis object model is a visual dictionary of the main concepts visible to the user.

Dynamic model

The dynamic model is depicted with sequence diagrams and with state machines. Sequence diagrams represent the interactions among a set of objects during a single use case. State machines represent the behavior of a single object (or a group of very tightly coupled objects). The dynamic model serves to assign responsibilities to individual classes and, in the process, to identify new classes, associations, and attributes to be added to the analysis object model.

When working with either the analysis object model or the dynamic model, it is essential to remember that these models represent user-level concepts, not actual software classes or components.

3.5.Project Schedule

Prepare Gannt Chart, and add it to this section.

4. Glossary

To establish a clear terminology, developers **identify the participating objects** for each use case. Developers should **identify, name, and describe them** unambiguously and collate them into a glossary.

5. References

This subsection should:

- Provide a complete list of all documents referenced elsewhere in the RAD, or in a separate, specified document.
- Identify each document by title, report number if applicable date, and publishing organization.
- Specify the sources from which the references can be obtained.

The following is an example of listing a book in this section. Check the text to see how it is cross referenced (The whole document is based on [1]).

1. Bruegge B. & Dutoit A.H.. (2010). *Object-Oriented Software Engineering Using UML, Patterns, and Java*, Prentice Hall, 3rd ed.

2.