

Group: Cassie

## Walkability

Overall grade: A (B) C D F

Grading criteria	100 points total
Quality of Content	20 <u>15</u>
Clarity and Organization	20 <u>15</u>
Completeness (includes all parts of the research process)	20 <u>20</u>
Presentation Style (including images/figures/media)	20 <u>15</u>
Discussion Style	10 <u>10</u>
Presentation includes all group members	5 <u>5</u>
Peer evaluation of group members	5 <u>5</u>

85

- Thesis - not a "will be" but your argument - what did you find?

- Goals - stated

Good use of sense of place H.G.

Good lit - relevant

} heavy traffic  
poor separation of road from walking area

Method - clear description very good

Best route identified Route 3 Worst Route 1

Ranking system - Ave block score - Good

→ [Cant for me:  
check this with slide  
more closely]

Smart city - technology into city → - good links to lit.

Recommendations to make more walkable - could be strengthened with connections to lit review.

1:30 left

Good answer to question asked

Do a bit more on what best route had and what worst section had in terms of findings.

Link the characteristics that were observed to those identified in lit review. What in your findings matched findings in lit rev. articles?