

Group: Regina Walkability

Overall grade: 6 A B C D F

Grading criteria	100 points total	
Quality of Content	20	18
Clarity and Organization	20	18
Completeness (includes all parts of the research process)	20	20
Presentation Style (including images/figures/media)	20	18
Discussion Style	10	10
Presentation includes all group members	5	5
Peer evaluation of group members	5	5

Great
exercise during
discussion.
Is it walkable?
Great to Ask Why?
of respondents

94

Excellent application of H&G concepts

Great literature review focused on results!

Elderly specifically

Nice synthesis.

Lower obesity in walkable neighborhoods

↳ benefits review good.

Link demog. to walkability - original research - great!

Methods very clear - combined w/ census data - Nice idea.
for correlation

GIS - manipulation of line files to match scores

query for correlation w/ safety ratings for ↑

↑ % kids more walkability moderate correlation } go more slowly through

↑ income & ↑ walkability - not enough variation in income

correlation of ^{POP} density & walkability

maps higher #s = darker color would be better conventional in cart.

.89 R² value ↓ safety ↓ children/elderly

.87 " "

interesting disc. of reasons for correlations

[Link back to literature - could be stronger]

A