## TEST FOR AN ADEQUATE GENERAL PLAN

In Cecily Talbery Barclay and the late Daniel J Curtin's *California Land Use Planning and Law*, they pose helpful questions to determine whether a general plan is legally adequate:

IS IT COMPLETE? (SEVEN ELEMENTS)  YES NO, BECAUSE
IS IT INFORMATIONAL, READABLE, AND PUBLIC?  YES NO, BECAUSE
IS IT INTERNALLY CONSISTENT?
☐ YES ☐ NO, BECAUSE
YES NO, BECAUSE
DOES IT COVER ALL TERRITORY WITHIN ITS BOUNDARIES?  YES NO, BECAUSE
IS IT LONG-TERM IN PERSPECTIVE?  YES NO, BECAUSE
DOES IT ADDRESS ALL LOCALLY RELEVANT ISSUES?  YES NO, BECAUSE
IS IT CURRENT?  YES NO, BECAUSE
DOES IT CONTAIN THE STATUTORY CRITERIA REQUIRED BY STATE LAW AS DEMANDED BY THE COURTS? FOR EXAMPLE:
- DOES THE LAND USE ELEMENT IDENTIFY AREAS WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO FLOODING?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- ARE NOISE CONTOURS SHOWN FOR ALL OF THE LISTED SOURCES OF NOISE?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- DOES IT CONTAIN ADEQUATE STANDARDS OF POPULATION DENSITY AND BUILDING INTENSITY?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- DOES THE CIRCULATION ELEMENT RESPONSIBLY LIST SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR NEW TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- IS THE CIRCULATION ELEMENT CORRELATED WITH THE LAND USE ELEMENT?  YES NO, BECAUSE

- DOES THE GENERAL PLAN CLEARLY SPECIFY ALLOWABLE USES FOR EACH LAND USE DISTRICT?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- ARE THE DENSITY RANGES SPECIFIC ENOUGH TO PROVIDE GUIDELINES IN MAKING CONSISTENCY FINDINGS WHERE NECESSARY?  YES NO, BECAUSE
- DOES THE HOUSING ELEMENT CONTAIN A PROGRAM TO CONSERVE AND IMPROVE THE CONDITION OF THE EXISTING AFFORDABLE HOUSING STOCK?  YES NO, BECAUSE
ARE THE DIAGRAMS OR MAPS ADEQUATE? DO THEY SHOW PROPOSED LAND USES FOR THE ENTIRE PLANNING AREA?  YES NO, BECAUSE
IS THE LAND USE MAP LINKED DIRECTLY TO THE TEXT OF THE GENERAL PLAN?  YES NO, BECAUSE
ARE THE MAPS AND TEXT CONSISTENT?  YES NO, BECAUSE
DOES IT SERVE AS A YARDSTICK? CAN YOU TAKE AN INDIVIDUAL PARCEL AND CHECK IT AGAINST THE PLAN AND THEN KNOW HOW YOU CAN USE YOUR PROPERTY?  YES NO, BECAUSE
DOES IT CONTAIN AN ACTION PLAN OR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN?  YES NO, BECAUSE
FINALLY, BUT MOST IMPORTANT, IS IT PROCEDURALLY CORRECT? WAS IT ADOPTED PROPERLY?  YES NO, BECAUSE
DID IT RECEIVE PROPER ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW?  YES NO, BECAUSE