CONJUGACY CONDITIONS FOR SUPERSOLUBLE COMPLEMENTS OF AN ABELIAN BASE AND A FIXED POINT RESULT FOR NON-COPRIME ACTIONS

MICHAEL C. BURKHART

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom (mcb93@cam.ac.uk)
(Received 31 July 2022)

Abstract We demonstrate that two supersoluble complements of an abelian base in a finite split extension are conjugate if and only if, for each prime p, a Sylow p-subgroup of one complement is conjugate to a Sylow p-subgroup of the other. As a corollary, we find that any two supersoluble complements of an abelian subgroup N in a finite split extension G are conjugate if and only if, for each prime p, there exists a Sylow p-subgroup S of G such that any two complements of $S \cap N$ in S are conjugate in G. In particular, restricting to supersoluble groups allows us to ease D. G. Higman's stipulation that the complements of $S \cap N$ in S be conjugate within S. We then consider group actions and obtain a fixed point result for non-coprime actions analogous to Glauberman's lemma.

Keywords: conjugacy of complements; supersoluble groups; non-coprime actions

2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 20E22; 20F16; 20E45 Secondary 05E18

1. Introduction

A finite group G splits over a normal subgroup N if there exists a complement H such that $G \cong N \rtimes H$ forms a semidirect product. When |N| and [G:N] are coprime, Schur proved a complement must exist and Zassenhaus demonstrated, under the additional assumption* that either N or G/N is soluble, that such a complement is unique up to conjugacy. When N is abelian, Gaschütz showed a complement exists if and only if, for each prime p, there exists a Sylow p-subgroup S of G that splits over $S \cap N$. Higman considered the question of conjugacy for such complements and found that [2, cor. 2]

Corollary (D. G. Higman). Let G be a split extension of an abelian subgroup N. If for each prime p there is a Sylow p-subgroup S of G such that any two complements of $S \cap N$ in S are conjugate in S, then any two complements of N in G are conjugate.

In this note, we establish similar criteria for complements of an abelian base to be conjugate, loosening the restrictiveness of the conjugacy condition for the Sylow subgroups.

^{*} rendered unnecessary by Feit and Thompson's theorem that every finite group of odd order is soluble

 $^{\ \, \}textcircled{C}$ The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on Behalf of The Edinburgh Mathematical Society

To this end, we consider supersoluble groups, namely those groups G that possess a series $1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_{s-1} \triangleleft G_s = G$ with each factor G_i normal in G and each quotient G_{i+1}/G_i cyclic, for $0 \le i < s$. All finite nilpotent groups are supersoluble and in turn all supersoluble groups are soluble. We find that

Theorem 1. Suppose the supersoluble subgroups H and H' each complement a normal abelian subgroup N in a finite group G. If for each prime p, H' contains a conjugate of some Sylow p-subgroup of H, then H and H' are conjugate.

We then offer the following corollary, more in the spirit of Higman's work,

Corollary 1. Let G be a split extension of an abelian subgroup N such that G/N is supersoluble. If for each prime p there is a Sylow p-subgroup S of G such that any two complements of $S \cap N$ in S are conjugate in G, then any two complements of N in G are conjugate.

If G is supersoluble, then G/N is as well. Thus, for supersoluble groups, complements of $S \cap N$ in S need not be conjugate strictly within S but merely in the full group G.

We then consider the fixed points of groups with operator groups, where Glauberman's result on the existence of fixed points for coprime actions [1, thm. 4] states

Lemma (Glauberman). Given a finite group H acting via automorphisms on a finite group N, suppose the induced semidirect product $N \rtimes H$ acts on some non-empty set Ω where the action of N is transitive. If the orders of H and N are coprime, then there exists an H-invariant element $\omega \in \Omega$.

We offer an analogous fixed point result for non-coprime actions. In particular, theorem 1 and Gaschütz's existence result allow us to demonstrate

Corollary 2. Given a finite supersoluble group H acting via automorphisms on a finite abelian group N, suppose the induced semidirect product $N \rtimes H$ acts on some non-empty set Ω where the action of N is transitive. If for each prime p, a Sylow p-subgroup of H fixes some element of Ω , then there exists an H-invariant element $\omega \in \Omega$.

While Isaacs previously considered non-coprime actions and outlined some conditions for the existence of a fixed point [3], results of this nature appear to be rare in the literature.

1.1. Outline

We proceed as follows. In the remainder of this section, we introduce some notation before proving the main theorem in section 2. We then prove the corollaries in section 3 and make concluding remarks in section 4.

1.2. Notation

All groups in this note are assumed to be finite. We use standard notation readily found in any introductory textbook on group theory, such as Isaacs' [4]. We let groups act from the left and denote conjugation by $g^{\gamma} = \gamma^{-1}g\gamma$ for $g, \gamma \in G$. For subgroups J and K of

G, we let $N_K(J)$ and $C_K(J)$ denote the elements of K that normalise and centralise J, respectively. In the semidirect product $G \cong N \rtimes H$, we note that any two complements of N are necessarily isomorphic (to the quotient group G/N) and that $N_K(J) = C_K(J)$ whenever both $K \leq N$ and $J \leq H$, as then $[J, N_K(J)] \leq J \cap N = 1$.

2. Proof of main theorem

We first restrict N to be an abelian p-subgroup and then consider the general case that N is abelian. In both cases that follow, we assume we are given supersoluble complements H and H' of a nontrivial subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ satisfying the hypotheses of theorem 1. The theorem would hold trivially if H were a q-subgroup for some prime q, so without loss, at least two distinct primes divide |H|. Zassenhaus's result further implies p must be one of these primes. As any two Sylow subgroups of H are conjugate within H for a given prime, our assumptions imply that H' contains some conjugate of every Sylow subgroup of H where each such conjugate is itself a Sylow subgroup of H'. Furthermore, as any element $g \in G$ can be uniquely written g = hn for some $n \in N$ and $h \in H$, we may assume that for each prime p, there exists a Sylow p-subgroup P of H such that $P^n \leq H'$ for some $n \in N$.

2.1. Case that N is a p-subgroup

We first suppose N is a p-subgroup for some prime p and induct on the order of G. As H is supersoluble, we may find a normal subgroup $H_0 \triangleleft H$ of index q [4, exer. 3B.9], where q is the smallest prime divisor of |H|. Under the inductive hypothesis, H_0 is conjugate to some subgroup of H' and so the left action of H_0 on the cosets $\Omega = G/H'$ has a fixed point, say n'H'. If H itself does not fix any point of Ω , then the nonempty set $\operatorname{Fix}_{\Omega}(H_0)$ of points in Ω fixed by H_0 may be partitioned into orbits of H, each having cardinality $[H:H_0]=q$. On the other hand, the map from $N_N(H_0)$ to $\operatorname{Fix}_{\Omega}(H_0)$ given by $n\mapsto n\cdot n'H'$ provides a bijective correspondence between these two sets, and as $N_N(H_0) \leqslant N$ is a p-group, we may assume without loss that q=p. In particular, H_0 contains a p'-Hall subgroup of H, say M, such that $C_N(M) \supseteq C_N(H_0) = N_N(H_0)$ is nontrivial. Furthermore, we may assume without loss that $M \lhd H$ [4, exer. 3B.8] so $N_0 := [N, M] \lhd G$ where $N = N_0 \times C_N(M)$ by a theorem of Fitting [4, thm. 4.34]. Consequently, N_0 is a strict subgroup of N.

Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of H. By hypothesis, there exists some $n \in N$ such that $P^n \leq H'$. Replacing H by H^n in the statement of the theorem if necessary, we may assume without loss that n is trivial, i.e. that $P \leq H'$.

Glauberman's lemma implies the left action of NM/N_0 on the cosets G/N_0H' has an M-invariant element. As $N=N_0\times C_N(M)$, it follows that $\overline{N}=\overline{C_N(M)}$ in $\overline{G}=G/N_0$. Furthermore, as fixed points come from fixed points in coprime actions [4, cor. 3.28], $\overline{N}=C_{\overline{N}}(M)$ so that M fixes every element of G/N_0H' . In particular, $M\leqslant N_0H'$ so that N_0M acts on the cosets N_0H'/H' . Glauberman's lemma implies this second action also has an M-invariant element so that $M^{n_0}\leqslant H'$ for some $n_0\in N_0$. Thus, as $H\leqslant N_0H'$, we may apply the inductive hypothesis in N_0H' to conclude that H and H' are conjugate.

2.2. General case that N is abelian

We again induct on the order of G. Building upon the results of our first case, we now assume |N| has multiple prime divisors. In particular, we may write $N=N_1\times N_2$ where N_1 and N_2 are characteristic in N and thus normal in G. (We may, for example, let N_1 be the first primary component of N and N_2 be the product of the other primary components.) In G/N_1 , the inductive hypothesis implies the action of H on the left cosets G/N_1H' has a fixed point, say n_2N_1H' for some $n_2\in N_2$. Analogously, in G/N_2 , we find that the action of H on G/N_2H' must also have a fixed point, say n_1N_2H' for some $n_1\in N_1$. Consequently, we conclude that the action of H on G/H' fixes n_1n_2H' so that H and H' are conjugate.

3. Proofs of corollaries

In this section, we provide proofs for the corollaries stated in section 1.

3.1. Proof of Corollary 1

Given a group G satisfying the hypotheses of corollary 1, we suppose by way of contradiction that H and H' complement N in G but fail to be conjugate. By theorem 1, there exists a prime p and Sylow p-subgroups P and P' of H and H', respectively, such that P and P' fail to be conjugate in G. Let E be the unique Sylow E-subgroup of E. Then E and E are Sylow E-subgroups of E and thus are conjugate to the Sylow E-subgroup E of E specified in the statement of the corollary. In particular, E and E are conjugate in E are conjugate in E and E are conjugate in E and E are conjugate in E are conjug

3.2. Proof of Corollary 2

Suppose $G = N \rtimes H$ acts on a set Ω according to the hypotheses of corollary 2. Fix some $\alpha \in \Omega$ and consider the point stabilizer G_{α} of α in G. As N is transitive on Ω , for any $g \in G$, we have $g \cdot \alpha = n \cdot \alpha$ for some $n \in N$, so that $n^{-1}g \in G_{\alpha}$. Thus, $G = NG_{\alpha}$. As $N \triangleleft G$, it follows that $G_{\alpha} \cap N \triangleleft G_{\alpha}$.

We claim G_{α} splits over $G_{\alpha} \cap N$. By Gaschütz's theorem, it suffices to show that for each prime p, there exists a Sylow p-subgroup S of G_{α} that splits over $S \cap N$. Fix p, an arbitrary prime. By hypothesis, there exists some $n \in N$ and a Sylow p-subgroup P of P such that $P^n \leq G_{\alpha}$. Let P be the Sylow P-subgroup of P of P is a Complement of P is a Complement of P is a Complement of P in that subgroup.

Thus G_{α} splits and we may let H' complement $G_{\alpha} \cap N$ in G_{α} . It follows that $|H'| = [G_{\alpha} : G_{\alpha} \cap N] = [G : N]$ so H' complements N in G. Theorem 1 then implies that $H' = H^n$ for some $n \in N$ so that H fixes $n \cdot \alpha$.

4. Concluding remarks

We conclude with some historical context.

4.1. Conjugacy of complements

D. G. Higman's work included another result on the conjugacy of complements that we mention here for completeness. In a split extension $G \cong N \rtimes H$ of an abelian subgroup N, Higman considered an intermediate subgroup $N \leqslant B \leqslant G$ of index [G:B] = b such that the map $n \mapsto n^b$ for $n \in N$ has an inverse. (Such an inverse always exists if b and |N| are coprime, for example.) He showed that if any two complements of N in B are conjugate in B, then any two complements of B in B in B are conjugate in B. Furthermore, given two specific complements B and B in B are conjugate in B if and only if B and B if B are conjugate in B [2, cor. 1].

4.2. Fixed points

Glauberman's original paper [1] provided two conditions for N-transitive actions of split extensions $G \cong N \rtimes H$ that jointly imply the existence of an H-invariant element, namely (S) if $W \leqslant G$ satisfies WN = G then W splits over $W \cap N$, and (Z) any two complements of N in G are conjugate.* The hypotheses of our corollary 2 do not necessarily imply (Z) but rather only that two specific complements of N are conjugate, namely H and a complement of G_{α} in $G_{\alpha} \cap N$, where $\alpha \in \Omega$ is a member of the set on which G acts. For this reason, we did not directly apply Glauberman's result but instead mirrored his proof.

To further illustrate the connection between the conjugacy of complements and the fixed points of certain group actions, we note that corollary 2 implies theorem 1. To see this equivalence, we may apply corollary 2 to the action of $N \times H$ on the set $\Omega = G/H'$ of left cosets for any second complement H' satisfying the hypotheses of theorem 1.

Finally, we note that in the language of group cohomology, corollary 1 provides a vanishing condition for the first cohomology group $H^1(H, N)$ of the split extension $G \cong N \rtimes H$ when N is abelian and H is supersoluble.

Acknowledgements. We thank Elizabeth Crites, Michael Bate, Charles Eaton, Lenny Taelman, Martin Liebeck, Laura Ciobanu, and anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and insightful feedback.

Competing interests. The author declares none.

References

- G. GLAUBERMAN, Fixed points in groups with operator groups, Math. Zeitschr. 84 (1964), 120–125.
- 2. D. G. Higman, Remarks on splitting extensions, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 545–555.
- I. M. ISAACS, Fixed Points and Characters in Groups with Non-Coprime Operator Groups, Can. J. Math. 20 (1968), 1315–1320.
- 4. I. M. ISAACS, Finite Group Theory, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.

^{*} conditions (S) and (Z) correspond to Schur's existence and Zassenhaus's conjugacy results for the complements of normal Hall subgroups