

Want more revision exercises? Get [MathsFit](#) - New from projectmaths.

08	5c	<p>Light intensity is measured in lux. The light intensity at the surface of a lake is 6000 lux. The light intensity, I lux, a distance s metres below the surface of the lake is given by $I = Ae^{-ks}$ where A and k are constants.</p> <p>(i) Write down the value of A.</p> <p>(ii) The light intensity 6 metres below the surface of the lake is 1000 lux. Find the value of k.</p> <p>(iii) At what rate, in lux per metre, is the light intensity decreasing 6 metres below the surface of the lake?</p>	<p>1</p> <p>2</p> <p>2</p>
<p>(i) When $s = 0$, $I = 6000$:</p> $I = Ae^{-ks}$ $6000 = Ae^{-k(0)}$ $6000 = A$ $A = 6000$ <p>(ii) When $s = 6$, $I = 1000$:</p> $I = 6000e^{-ks}$ $1000 = 6000e^{-k(6)}$ $e^{-6k} = \frac{1}{6}$ $e^{6k} = 6$ <p>Taking logs of both sides:</p> $6k = \log_e 6$ $k = \frac{1}{6} \log_e 6$ $= 0.298626578 \dots$ $= 0.2986 \text{ (4 dec pl)}$		<p>(iii) $I = 6000e^{-ks}$</p> $\frac{dI}{ds} = -6000ke^{-ks}$ <p>When $s = 6$,</p> $\frac{dI}{ds} = -6000ke^{-6k}$ $= -6000 \times \frac{1}{6} \log_e 6 \times e^{-6 \cdot \frac{1}{6} \log_e 6}$ $= -298.6 \text{ (1 dec. pl)}$ <p>\therefore decreasing at 298.6 lux/metre</p>	

* These solutions have been provided by projectmaths and are not supplied or endorsed by the Board of Studies

Board of Studies: Notes from the Marking Centre

- (ii) Candidates should be aware that the natural logarithm function $\log_e(x)$ appears as \ln on most calculator keypads and not as \log (which is \log to base 10). Responses that presented a clear final answer in parts (ii) and (iii) in terms of natural logs and exponentials were not penalised for incorrect subsequent evaluations using the calculator.
- (iii) In some better responses, candidates answered part (iii) efficiently by arguing that $I' = kI = 1000k$. An alternative for this part was to differentiate the formula for intensity with respect to distance s and then make appropriate substitutions for A , k and s . Common errors were to differentiate with respect to k rather than s , to argue that $\frac{d}{ds}e^{-ks} = -kse^{-ks-1}$ or to abandon the use of calculus altogether and calculate the average rate of change in intensity over 6 metres rather than the instantaneous rate at $s = 6$.

Source: http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au/hsc_exams/