

# **Cheat Sheet**

Datamodelling and databases (Technische Universiteit Eindhoven)

```
FD's and MVD's
            \alpha \subseteq R \land \beta \subseteq R, then \alpha \rightarrow \beta {Reflexivity}
            \alpha \to \beta \land \gamma \subseteq R, then \gamma \alpha \to \beta \gamma {Augmentation}
            \alpha \to \beta \land \beta \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \gamma {Transitivity}
             a. \alpha \to \beta \land \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \gamma\beta {union}
                      \alpha \to \beta \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \land \alpha \to \gamma
                      \alpha \to \beta \land \gamma \beta \to \delta, then \alpha \gamma \to \delta
            \alpha \to \beta, then \alpha \to (R - \beta) - \alpha {Complementation}
           \alpha \rightarrow \beta \land \gamma \subseteq R \land \delta \subseteq \gamma, then \gamma \alpha \rightarrow \delta \beta {MVD
            Augmentation}
            \alpha \rightarrow \beta \land \beta \rightarrow \gamma, then \alpha \rightarrow \gamma - \beta {MVD
            Transitivity)
            \alpha \rightarrow \beta, then \alpha \rightarrow \beta {Replication}
            \alpha \to \beta \land \gamma \subseteq \beta \land \exists \delta : \delta \subseteq R \land \delta \cap \beta = \emptyset \land \delta \to \gamma,
            then \alpha \rightarrow \gamma {Coalescence}
                                       \alpha \to \beta \land \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \cup \gamma
                                         {MVD Union}
                                       \alpha \to \beta \land \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta \cap \gamma
                                         {Intersection}
                                        \alpha \to \beta \land \alpha \to \gamma, then \alpha \to \beta - \gamma \land \alpha \to \gamma - \beta {Difference}
Armstrong Relations
Regular
For every set of relations in F+, where the relation is not
```

irrelevant (NO SUPERKEYS), the first column of the Armstrong relation is just all ones, and the second is only one for every relation set in the closure.

### Strong

The Cartesian product of every set of tuples in the regular Armstrong relation.

Check the soundness of functional dependencies, if for any A1=A2 and B1<>B2, then A→B does NOT hold.

# **Tuple Relational Calculus (TRC)**

```
TRC has the form: \{t|p(t)\}
```

**Example** 

List of all customers who bought something impulsively  $\{t | \exists p \in purchase(t[cID] = p[cID] \land \nexists s \in a\}$ shoppinglist(...))}

## **Dependency Preserving**

Decompositions  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are dependency preserved if in both R, the functional dependencies can be verified. <u>Algorithm</u> Result :=  $\alpha$ While {changes to result} For {each R<sub>i</sub> in decompostion}  $t = (result \cap R_i)^+ \cap R_i$  $result = result \cup t$ 

Relational Algebra (RA)

←: Result of the right is assigned to the left ∩: Intersection:

If {result contains all attributes in  $\beta$ }

then  $\alpha \to \beta$  is preserved

⋈: Both are modified by carthesian product and set equal to ALL common variables

÷: Mostly used with the phrase "for all". Only columns remain for which every column the left side corresponds to one other column of the right part. i.e. the remaining columns in left should have all variables of the right.

# **BCNF**

For each  $\alpha \to \beta$  in F<sup>+</sup> at least one of the following holds:

- 1.  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial i.e.  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$
- $\alpha$  is a superkey for R 2.

result := {R};

compute F $^+$  (to check FD's i.e.  $\beta$  in  $\alpha^+$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$  holds) while {there is a schema R<sub>i</sub> in result not in BCNF}

let  $\alpha \to \beta$  be a nontrivial FD that holds on  $R_i$  s.t.  $\alpha \to R_i$  is not in  $F^+$  and  $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$ ;  $\mathsf{result} := (result - \{R_i\}) \cup (\{R_i - \beta\} \cup \{\alpha \cup \beta\});$ (i.e.  $R_{i}=(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $R_{i+1}=(R-\beta)$ )

```
Entity Relation (ER)
```

Relation (Ruit) Entity (Square) Primary Key (Underline)

One or more (or none): ---

Exactly one: →

Exists only if another exists: weak entity

Overlapping specialization: Can be both (seperate arrow)

Disjoint specialization: Can be only one (two headed arrow)

### **Translation to Relational Model**

Entity set: schema with same attributes Weak entity set: also includes primary key of identifying set

Many2Many: also attributes primary keys of participating sets

Many2One: Adding primary key of ONE to MANY One2One: Either one can add the primary key of the other

-.-: R gets primary keys of both sides (both primary in R), E's don't change

-.->: R gets primary keys of both sides (only primary in R)

=.->: R gets removed, = side gets primary key of -> and r related to R

# 3NF (also in BCNF)

For each  $\alpha \to \beta$  in F<sup>+</sup> at least one of the following holds:

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial i.e.  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ 1.
- $\alpha$  is a superkey for R
- Each attribute B in  $\beta-\alpha$  is contained in a candidate key for R

Let  $F_C$  be the canonical cover for F; i:=0: No schema in the beginning. for each FD  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in F<sub>c</sub> do if {none of the schemas  $R_i$ ,  $1 \le j \le i$  contains  $\alpha\beta$ } { i++;  $R_i := \alpha \beta$ ; if {none of the schemas  $R_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le i$  contains a candidate key for R}

remove R<sub>j</sub>;

if  $\{R_i \subseteq R_k \text{ for } j \neq k\}$ 

# 4NF (also in BCNF)

For every MVD in  $D^+ \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  at least one of the following should hold

- 1.  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial  $(\beta \subseteq \alpha \text{ or } \alpha \cup \beta = R)$ 
  - lpha is a superkey for schema R

R<sub>i</sub>:=any candidate key for R;

result := {R}: done := false: compute D+ (same as before only now with MVD) while not done if there is a schema not in 4NF let  $\alpha \rightarrow \rightarrow \beta$  be a nontrivial MVD  $result := (result - R_i) \cup (R_i - \beta) \cup$  $(\alpha, \beta)$ }

### SQL

Set Operations Union, Intersect, Except

The with clause creates temporary views: with max\_balance(value) as `subquery'.

Select

SQL allows duplicates: use 'distinct' to get rid of it. In the select clause, attribures are selected which are desired.

'Select \*' gives all attributes

'Select avg' gives the average value

`Select min/max' gives the min/max value

'Select sum' gives the sum

'Select count' gives the number of values From In the from, all relations are given and taken the

Cartesian product  $(\times)$ . Where

Specifies the conditions

(<> = unequal)

Between: value between x and y Like (for strings): street like %Name% In: new subquery

Some: i.e. bigger than some in subquery All: i.e. bigger than all in subquery Exists: evaluates true or false for a new

subquery

Unique: tests for duplicates in subquery

Order by

Desc(ending) or asc(ending) order can be used, for alphabetical order and such.

Gives a list for a list i.e. number of depositors for each branch: group by branch\_name.

View

Create: create view 'name' as 'subquery'

Update: insert into 'name of view' values ('name1', 'name2',...).

Trigger

Create trigger 'name' after update on 'something' Referring to new row as nrow

Referring to old row as orow //if necessary For each row when 'some condition' Begin

> Insert into 'somewhere in database' 'Subquery'

# **Canonical Cover**

For  $F = \{AB \rightarrow CD,...\}$ 

If A is extraneous: check if B+ includes DC in unmodified set.

If C is extreneous: check if AB+ includes C in modified

Union rule: if same at the left → merge right.

### Datalog

Datalog consists of a set of rules that define views. Natural Joint (⋈) is always used for same variable names.

**Example** 

Give the list of account numbers and balances larger than \$700 in Perryridge

P700(A,B):- account(A, 'Perryridge', B), B>700 ?P700(A,B)

Note that the levels are highers up, lowest down i.e. P700(A,B):- account(...),B>700 Account(A,B,C):-...

If you use not, every aspect in the not should be present in the other sets.

Recursion

For everyone who works for John (direct or indirect) Works\_for(X,Y):-manager(X,Y) Works\_for(X,Y):-manager(X,Z),works\_for(Z,Y)

This document is available free of charge on

