

## Answers: ADVANCED QUANTUM PHYSICS 2014

1 (a) Classical limits when

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2$$

$$x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}$$

Probability,  $P$ , that particle is outside the classical limits is

[1]

$$P = \frac{\int_{\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}}^{\infty} \exp\{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2\}dx}{\int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2\}dx}$$

Substitute  $y = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}x$ ,  $dy = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}dx$ , and  $(x = 0, y = 0)$ ,  $(x = \infty, y = \infty)$  and  $x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}, y = 1$  to obtain

[1]

$$P = \frac{\int_1^{\infty} \exp\{-y^2\}dy}{\int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-y^2\}dy}$$

$$= 1 - 0.843$$

$$= 0.16$$

[2]

(b) From first-order perturbation theory

$$c_{i \rightarrow f} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \langle \psi_1 | \hat{H}(t') | \psi_2 \rangle \exp\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t'\} dt'$$

[1]

Represent 2 states by matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then,

$$c_{i \rightarrow f} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(t') \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \exp\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t'\} dt'$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U\psi_2\delta(t') \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t'\} dt'$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\hbar} U\psi_1^*\psi_2 \int_0^t \delta(t') \exp\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t'\} dt'$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\hbar} U\psi_1^*\psi_2 \exp\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t\}$$

(12th May 2014)

Therefore, probability  $P_{i \rightarrow f}$  is given by

[2]

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{i \rightarrow f} &= |c_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 \\
 &= \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} U \psi_1^* \psi_2 \exp\left\{i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t\right\} \right) \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} U \psi_1 \psi_2^* \exp\left\{-i \frac{(E_1 - E_2)}{\hbar} t\right\} \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{U}{\hbar} \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

[1]

(c) 2 electrons in  $p^2$  configuration.

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{2}, s_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow S = 0, 1$$

$$l_1 = 1, l_2 = 1 \Rightarrow L = 0, 1, 2$$

Using notation  $^{2S+1}L_J$ , all possible states are  $^1S_0, ^1P_1, ^1D_2, ^3S_1, ^3P_{0,1,2}, ^3D_{1,2,3}$ . However,  $^1P_1, ^3S_1, ^3D_{1,2,3}$  are not allowed due to identical particle symmetry.

Therefore allowed states are  $^1S_0, ^1D_2, ^3P_{0,1,2}$ .

Hund's rules states that the level with the highest  $S$  and then the lowest  $J$ , for a sub-shell less than half full, has the lowest energy. Therefore, the ground state is  $^3P_0$ .

2

(a) *Bookwork: Postulates of Quantum Mechanics*

• The state of a QM system is specified by the wavefunction  $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . The wavefunction must be single-valued, continuous and finite. [1]

• The probability of finding a particle in the volume element  $d^3\mathbf{r}$  at time  $t$  is  $|\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d^3\mathbf{r}$ . For a single particle, the net probability of finding it at some point in space must be unity leading to the normalization condition  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = 1$ . [2]

• To every observable in classical mechanics there corresponds a linear, Hermitian operator in QM. If the system is in an eigenstate of the linear, Hermitian operator  $\hat{A}$  with eigenvalue  $a$ , then any measurement of the quantity  $A$  will yield  $a$ . [2]

• If a system is in a state described by a normalised wavefunction  $\Psi$ , then the average value of the observable corresponding to the operator  $\hat{A}$  is  $\langle A \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi^* \hat{A} \Psi d^3\mathbf{r}$ . A linear, Hermitian operator leads to real expectation values. [2]

• An arbitrary state, describing the same system, can be expanded in the complete set of eigenfunctions of the operator  $\hat{A}$  ( $\hat{A}\Psi_i = a_i\Psi_i$ ) as  $\chi = \sum_i^n c_i\Psi_i$ , where  $n$  may go to infinity. In this case, the probability of obtaining the result  $a_i$  from the measurement of  $\hat{A}$  is  $P(a_i) = |\langle \Psi_i | \chi \rangle|^2 = |c_i|^2$  and the expectation value is  $\langle A \rangle = \sum_i a_i |c_i|^2$ . [2]

(12th May 2014)

- A measurement of the state  $\chi$ , which leads to the eigenvalue  $a_i$ , causes the wavefunction to “collapse” into the corresponding eigenstate  $\Psi_i$ . [2]
- The wavefunction or state function as a system evolves in time according to the time-dependent SE  $i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , where  $\hat{H}$  is the Hamiltonian. If  $\chi$  is an eigenstate of  $\hat{H}$ , it follows that  $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Psi(\mathbf{r}, 0) \exp -iEt/\hbar$ . [2]

(b) **Bookwork: Central field approximation and the Hartree method**

- Hamiltonian for a multi-electron atom can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Ze^2}{r_i} \right] + \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}}$$

where the last term represents the Coulomb interaction between constituent electrons. [2]

- The central-field approximation is based upon the observation that the electron interaction term contains a large central (spherically symmetric) component arising from the “core electrons”. A closed shell has an electron density distributions that is isotropic. [1]
- Develop a perturbative scheme using  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1$ , where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_i \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Ze^2}{r_i} + U_i(r_i) \right]$$

and

$$\hat{H}_1 = \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} - \sum_i U_i(r_i).$$

The one-electron potential  $U_i(r_i)$ , which is assumed central incorporates the “average” effect of the other electrons.  $\hat{H}_0$  is separable into a sum of terms for each electron, so that the overall wavefunction can be factorized into components for each electron.

Basic idea is to solve the SE for  $\hat{H}_0$  and then to treat  $\hat{H}_1$  as a perturbation. [2]

- The potentials  $U_i(r_i)$  can be estimated using the “self-consistent field method”; a variational approach due to Hartree.
- If electrons are considered independent, the wavefunction can be factorized

$$\Psi(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}) = \Psi_{i1}(\mathbf{r}_1)\Psi_{i2}(\mathbf{r}_2) \cdots \Psi_{iN}(\mathbf{r}_N)$$

with  $i_k \equiv (n\ell m_\ell m_s)_k$ . [1]

- Using this wavefunction as a trial state, the variational energy is given by

$$E = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_i \int d^3r \psi_i^* \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Ze^2}{r} \right) \psi_i + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i<j} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

[2]

- Variational principle - vary  $E[\psi_i]$  wrt complex wavefunction  $\psi_i$  (normalised). Normalization can be imposed using Lagrange multipliers. Following the variation, get the Hartree equations:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{Ze^2}{r}\right)\psi_i + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\sum_{j\neq i}\int d^3r'|\psi_j(\mathbf{r}')|^2\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i\psi_i(\mathbf{r}).$$

The 3rd term represents the electrostatic potential experienced by the individual electrons.

[2]

- The set of possible trial functions that minimizes the energy are determined by the effective potential

$$U_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\sum_{j\neq i}\int d^3r'|\psi_j(\mathbf{r}')|^2\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}$$

[1]

- One electron energies are given by (multiply Hartree equations by  $\psi_i^*(\mathbf{r})$  and integrate):

$$\epsilon_i = \int d^3r\psi_i^*\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{Ze^2}{r}\right)\psi_i + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\sum_{j\neq i}\int d^3r'd^3r|\psi_j(\mathbf{r}')|^2\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}|\psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2.$$

and the variational energy by

$$E = \sum_i \epsilon_i - \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\sum_{i<j}\int d^3r'd^3r|\psi_j(\mathbf{r}')|^2\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}|\psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2.$$

[2]

(c) **Bookwork: Properties and operation of lasers**

Laser - light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation.

Properties:

- Monochromaticity (one atomic transition, spectral line width, Q of the cavity)
- Coherence, including discussion of spatial (irregularities in optical phase) and temporal coherence (time duration over which beam is well-defined). Coherence length for various light sources.
- Brightness (high power per unit area, energy in narrow spectrum of atomic transition, example)
- Ultra-short pulse generation (time duration of pulses, mode-locking, examples)

[5]

(12th May 2014)

Operating principles (diagram, pumping energy, positive optical feedback, resonant cavity, mirrors, losses: useful (output coupling) and useless (absorption, scattering, reflectivity)) [4]

Gain mechanism (population inversion, rate equations and laser threshold condition) [4]

### 3 Bookwork:

The spin raising and lowering operators are  $\hat{\sigma}_{\pm} = \hat{\sigma}_x \pm i\hat{\sigma}_y$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

[4]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_x &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_-) \\ \hat{\sigma}_y &= \frac{1}{2i} (\hat{\sigma}_+ - \hat{\sigma}_-)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{\sigma}_2 &= \hat{\sigma}_{1x}\hat{\sigma}_{2x} + \hat{\sigma}_{1y}\hat{\sigma}_{2y} + \hat{\sigma}_{1z}\hat{\sigma}_{2z} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\sigma}_{1+} + \hat{\sigma}_{1-}) (\hat{\sigma}_{2+} + \hat{\sigma}_{2-}) - \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\sigma}_{1+} - \hat{\sigma}_{1-}) (\hat{\sigma}_{2+} - \hat{\sigma}_{2-}) + \hat{\sigma}_{1z}\hat{\sigma}_{2z} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\sigma}_{1+}\hat{\sigma}_{2-} + \hat{\sigma}_{1-}\hat{\sigma}_{2+}) + \hat{\sigma}_{1z}\hat{\sigma}_{2z}\end{aligned}$$

In matrix form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_+|\uparrow\rangle &= 0 = \hat{\sigma}_-|\downarrow\rangle \\ \hat{\sigma}_-|\uparrow\rangle &= 2|\downarrow\rangle \\ \hat{\sigma}_+|\downarrow\rangle &= 2|\uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{\sigma}_z|\uparrow\rangle &= |\uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{\sigma}_z|\downarrow\rangle &= -|\downarrow\rangle\end{aligned}$$

(12th May 2014)

(TURN OVER)

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{\sigma}_2 | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle &= | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \\
\hat{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{\sigma}_2 | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle &= 2 | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle - | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \\
\hat{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{\sigma}_2 | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle &= 2 | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle - | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle \\
\hat{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{\sigma}_2 | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle &= | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Matrix elements of Hamiltonian are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle &= \frac{\Delta E}{4} | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle - \left( \frac{g_1 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle + \frac{g_2 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \right) B \\
&= \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{4} - (g_1 + g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} \right] | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \\
&= H_{11} | \uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle &= \frac{\Delta E}{4} | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle + \left( \frac{g_1 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle + \frac{g_2 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle \right) B \\
&= \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{4} + (g_1 + g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} \right] | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle \\
&= H_{44} | \downarrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle &= \frac{\Delta E}{4} (2 | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle - | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle) - \left( -\frac{g_1 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle + \frac{g_2 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \right) B \\
&= \frac{\Delta E}{2} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle + \left[ (g_1 - g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} - \frac{\Delta E}{4} \right] | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle \\
&= H_{23} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle + H_{22} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle &= \frac{\Delta E}{4} (2 | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle - | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle) - \left( \frac{g_1 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle - \frac{g_2 \mu_0 \hbar}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle \right) B \\
&= \frac{\Delta E}{2} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle + \left[ -(g_1 - g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} - \frac{\Delta E}{4} \right] | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle \\
&= H_{32} | \downarrow_1 \uparrow_2 \rangle + H_{33} | \uparrow_1 \downarrow_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

[9]

Eigenvalues: we already have two diagonal elements, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{4} - (g_1 + g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} \right] \\
E_2 &= \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{4} + (g_1 + g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(12th May 2014)

We obtain the characteristic equation for the other two eigenvalues from the  $2 \times 2$  matrix. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ (g_1 - g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} - \frac{\Delta E}{4} - E \right] \left[ -(g_1 - g_2) \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} - \frac{\Delta E}{4} - E \right] - \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{2} \right]^2 &= 0 \\ E^2 + \frac{\Delta E}{2} E + \left[ \frac{\Delta E}{4} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{4} [(g_1 - g_2) \mu_0 B]^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm} &= -\frac{\Delta E}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{\Delta E}{2} \right)^2 + ((g_1 - g_2) \mu_0 B)^2 + \frac{3}{4} (\Delta E)^2} \\ &= -\frac{\Delta E}{4} \left( 1 \mp 2 \sqrt{1 + x^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x = \frac{\mu_0 B (g_1 - g_2)}{\Delta E}$$

[4]

Energy levels for a

•zero  $B$  field:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= E_4 = \frac{\Delta E}{4} \\ E_+ &= \frac{\Delta E}{4} \\ E_- &= -\frac{3}{4} \Delta E \end{aligned}$$

Degeneracy 2

•high  $B$  field:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= -\frac{\mu_0 B}{2} (g_1 + g_2) \\ E_+ &= \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} (g_1 - g_2) \\ E_- &= -\frac{\mu_0 B}{2} (g_1 - g_2) \\ E_4 &= \frac{\mu_0 B}{2} (g_1 + g_2) \end{aligned}$$

Degeneracy 4

[4]

Sketch of energy levels. Use some convenient numbers  $\Delta E = 1.0$ ,  $g_1 = 2.0$ ,  $g_2 = 1.0$  and  $\mu_0 = 1.0$ .

[4]

(12th May 2014)

(TURN OVER)

4 *TODO: Bookwork*

[8]

Molecule, state  $|J, m\rangle$  with rotational energy  $BJ(J+1)$ , dipole moment  $p$  in field  $E$ .

$$H_1 = -pE \cos \theta$$

$\langle i | H_1 | i \rangle = 0$  from parity, since  $H_1$  odd and  $|i\rangle$  has parity  $(-1)^J$ .

[4]

Second order corrections to state  $|J, m\rangle$  come from

$$|\langle J, m | \cos \theta | J-1, m \rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{J^2 - m^2}{4J^2 - 1} \right)$$

with

$$E_i - E_k = B [J(J+1) - (J-1)J] = 2BJ$$

and

$$|\langle J, m | \cos \theta | J+1, m \rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{(J+1)^2 - m^2}{4(J+1)^2 - 1} \right)$$

with

$$E_i - E_k = B [J(J+1) - (J+1)(J+2)] = -2B(J+1)$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta E_i = \frac{(pE)^2}{2B} \left[ \frac{J^2 - m^2}{(4J^2 - 1)J} - \frac{(J+1)^2 - m^2}{(4(J+1)^2 - 1)(J+1)} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots] &= \frac{J^2 - m^2}{(4J^2 - 1)J} - \frac{(J+1)^2 - m^2}{(4(J+1)^2 - 1)(J+1)} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{J(J+1) - 3m^2}{J(2J-1)(J+1)(2J+3)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\Delta E_i = \frac{(pE)^2}{2B} \left[ \frac{J(J+1) - 3m^2}{J(2J-1)(J+1)(2J+3)} \right]$$

When  $J = 0$ , only the  $|\langle 0, 0 | \cos \theta | 1, 0 \rangle|^2 = \frac{1-0}{4-1}$  term contributes. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_i(0 \rightarrow 0) &= (pE)^2 \left[ \frac{|\langle 0, 0 | \cos \theta | 1, 0 \rangle|^2}{0 - 2B} \right] \\ &= -\frac{(pE)^2}{6B} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

[8]

(12th May 2014)



For the OCS molecule, the unperturbed transition  $J = 0 \rightarrow J = 1$  occurs at 12.16 GHz.

$$\Delta E = 12.16 \text{ GHz} = B \times 1 \times 2 - B \times 0 \times 1 = 2B$$

In the static field of  $10^5 \text{ Vm}^{-1}$ , transition splits due to transitions from  $|1, \pm 1\rangle \rightarrow |0, 0\rangle$  or  $|1, 0\rangle \rightarrow |0, 0\rangle$ . The energy split is given by

$$\Delta E_{|1, \pm 1\rangle} - \Delta E_{|1, 0\rangle} = \frac{3}{10} \frac{(pE)^2}{2B}$$

Hence, dipole moment

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{1}{E} \sqrt{\frac{10h^2}{3}} (12.16 \text{ GHz} \times 3.21 \text{ MHz}) \\ &= 2.39 \times 10^{-30} \text{ Cm} \\ &= 14.95e \text{ pm} \end{aligned}$$

[5]

(12th May 2014)