

BitcornOFT Security Review

Reviewed by: windhustler

BitcornOFT Security Review Report

Burra Security

Dec 20, 2024

Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **BitcornOFT** protocol was done by **Burra Security** team, focusing on the security aspects of the smart contracts.

Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource, and expertise-bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any vulnerabilities. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs, and on-chain monitoring are recommended.

About Burra Security

Burra Sec offers security auditing and advisory services with a special focus on cross-chain and interoperability protocols and their integrations.

About BitcornOFT

Corn is a novel blockchain network built on the Arbitrum Orbit stack, designed to harness Bitcoin's value with Ethereum's computational power. With its hybrid tokenized Bitcoin (BTCN) as its gas token, Corn offers a unique, secure, and sustainable way to maximize Bitcoin's potential.

Severity classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

Impact - The technical, economic, and reputation damage from a successful attack

Likelihood - The chance that a particular vulnerability gets discovered and exploited

Severity - The overall criticality of the risk

Informational - Findings in this category are recommended changes for improving the structure, usability, and overall effectiveness of the system.

Security Assessment Summary

review commit hash - 250a6f164ffbaa2f1b441d4f0c3370e05c53280f

Scope

The following smart contracts were in the scope of the audit:

- SwapOAppComposer.sol
- StandardOFTAdapterUpgradeable.sol
- UsdcAdapterUpgradeable.sol

Findings Summary

ID	Title	Severity	Status
L-01	Buffer is insufficient to execute the catch block	Low	Fixed
L-02	msg.value paid with lzCompose is lost	Low	Ack
L-03	Wrongly encoded data for the lzCompose function results in loss of funds for smart contract wallets	Low	Ack
L-04	Bridging USDC to address (0) will result in loss of funds	Low	Fixed
L-05	Bridging USDC to a blacklisted address will result in loss of funds	Low	Ack

Detailed Findings

[L-01] Buffer is insufficient to execute the catch block

Context

• SafeCallMinGas.sol#L12-L14

Description

The hasMinGas function is used to ensure that the external call has sufficient gas to execute while leaving a buffer to execute the catch block in case of an error, as the external call to swap facility is wrapped in a **try/catch** block.

```
1 ## SwapOAppComposer.sol
2
     require(hasMinGas(SWAP_OUT_MAX_GAS_BUDGET, 0), "Must have sufficient
3
        gas for swap");
4
5
     // Step 3: Execute swap with gas limit
     debtToken.approve(address(swapFacility), _debtIn);
     try swapFacility.swapExactDebtForCollateral{gas:
7
        SWAP_OUT_MAX_GAS_BUDGET}(
8
         _debtIn, _minCollateralOut, _receiver, block.timestamp
     ) {} catch {
10
         // Swap failed - need to revoke approval since it won't be
             automatically reverted
         debtToken.approve(address(swapFacility), 0);
11
12
         debtToken.safeTransfer(_receiver, _debtIn);
13
     }
```

The assumption here is that if hasMinGas returns true the lzCompose function will execute successfully. The issue is that the reserved buffer amount of gas is only 10_000 gas so if the try block consumes all the SWAP_OUT_MAX_GAS_BUDGET gas, the catch block will not be executed.

Also, the comment in the hasMinGas function is incorrect as it states that the buffer is 40_000 gas, but it's actually 10_000 gas.

Recommendation

Increase the ADDITIONAL_CALL_BUFFER to 50_000 gas.

Project

Mitigated in e5e90b19f97a4531357132b73ec5bfcd45844b46 as per recommendation by increasing ADDITIONAL_CALL_Buffer in SafeMinGas to 60k from 10k to ensure the user has enough gas to execute the approve + transfer if the swap facility swap fails. Adding a little extra buffer for unexpected future changes to BitcornOFT. Should be kept in mind for future upgrades.

Resolution

Fixed in e5e90b19f97a4531357132b73ec5bfcd45844b46.

[L-02] msg.value paid with lzCompose is lost

Context

SwapOAppComposer.sol#L51-L57

Description

User's can pay for additional msg.value to be sent with the lzCompose function call. It's a problem as this value will just be left in the SwapOAppComposer contract without the ability to be retrieved by the user.

Recommendation

Consider a design where this value is refunded to the user in case of an error.

Project

Acknowledged, user error is fundamentally possible in LZ compose. The SwapFacilityCrosschainRedemptionZap is introduced to make parameter construction simpler and safer.

Resolution

The issue is acknowledged and handled by introducing a periphery contract to encode parameters.

[L-03] Wrongly encoded data for the lzCompose function results in loss of funds for smart contract wallets

Context

SwapOAppComposer.sol#L71-L83

Description

In case when the sender of the OFT is a smart contract wallet, he might not control the same address on the destination chain. If the sender doesn't encode the data properly the tokens get transferred to the sender's address from the source chain.

```
1 ## SwapOAppComposer.sol
2
3
       function lzCompose(
          address _from,
          bytes32, /*_guid*/
          bytes calldata _message,
7
           address, /*Executor*/
8
           bytes calldata /*Executor Data*/
9
       ) external payable override {
10
          require(_from == oApp, "!oApp");
           require(msg.sender == endpoint, "!endpoint");
11
           // Extract the composed message from the delivered message
12
              using the MsgCodec
13
           uint256 debtIn = OFTComposeMsgCodec.amountLD(_message);
14
15
           // Could be desynched when dealing with SC wallet, so we ask
16
              the sender
17
           // TODO: Sanitize more on the send side for the token?
           address recipient;
```

```
19
           uint256 minCollateralOut;
20
           try this.decodeInput(OFTComposeMsgCodec.composeMsg(_message))
               returns (address _recipient, uint256 _minCollateralOut) {
21
               recipient = _recipient;
               minCollateralOut = _minCollateralOut;
22
23
           } catch {
24
               // Failure case, we don't have sufficient data
25
               // Send tokens to original sender
26
               // NOTE: This causes losses to SC Wallet
27
               // SC Wallets MUST encode the data properly before using
                   this contract
28
               // Grab compose sender
29
                   address receiver = OFTComposeMsgCodec.bytes32ToAddress(
30 >>>
      OFTComposeMsgCodec.composeFrom(_message));
31
32
               // Send to them
               debtToken.safeTransfer(receiver, debtIn);
34
               return;
           }
36
```

This will result in loss of funds for smart contract wallets.

Recommendation

Consider enforcing the structure of the composeMsg on the sending chain, i.e. enforcing the sender to specify the receiver address in case of failure inside the lzCompose.

Project

Acknowledged, user error is fundamentally possible in LZ compose. Recommendation is used in new SwapFacilityCrosschainRedemptionZap to make parameter construction simpler and safer.

Resolution

The issue is acknowledged and handled by introducing a periphery contract to encode parameters.

[L-04] Bridging USDC to address (0) will result in loss of funds

Context

UsdcAdapterUpgradeable.sol#L73

Description

If a user sends tokens from Ethereum to the Corn blockchain and sets SendParam.to to address (0), and then calls the OFTCoreUpgradeable::send function with this parameter, the function will not revert. On the Corn blockchain, theUsdcAdapterUpgradeable::_credit function will be invoked with _to set to address(0). When the function attempts to execute IFiatToken (address(innerToken)).mint(_to, _amountLD), it will fail because minting to the zero address is not allowed. This causes the tokens to be locked in the adapter contract on the Ethereum blockchain.

Recommendation

If the to address is set to address (0), mint the tokens to the address (0xdead) address.

Project

Mitigated in d254583564a4eab22b5039818f0bc94cfc38134d as per recommendation if the to address is set to address(0), mint the tokens to the address(0xdead) address.

Resolution

Fixed in d254583564a4eab22b5039818f0bc94cfc38134d.

[L-05] Bridging USDC to a blacklisted address will result in loss of funds

Context

- UsdcAdapterUpgradeable.sol#L20
- StandardOFTAdapterUpgradeable.sol#L17

Description

USDC has a blacklist of addresses that you can't mint or transfer to. If a user sends tokens from Ethereum to the Corn blockchain or vice versa and sets SendParam. to to a blacklisted address, the function will not revert on the sending side but will be non-executable on the receiving side. Both scenarios will result in excess funds being locked in the adapter contract on Ethereum.

Recommendation

Consider transferring the tokens to the _to address within a try/catch block. If the transfer fails we can assume that the address is blacklisted and the amount can be stored in an internal mapping to keep track of all the funds that were lost. Although less likely to happen on L2, consider wrapping the mint call in a 'try/catch and keep account of all the failed minting attempt amounts.

Project

Acknowledged. Working under the assumption that the main reason to track failed mints onchain is for offchain accounting purposes, these failed mints can be tracked via events and tx and left as an exercise to the reader. Noting if a user becomes unblacklisted, the transaction will no longer be redeemable in the recommendation, whereas I believe it would be finishable via permissionless execution in the current paradigm.

Resolution

The issue is acknowledged.

Informational issues

- decodeInput function can be declared as pure.
- srcEid can be required in the lzCompose function to always match Corn eid to add an extra layer of safety. This can be fetched from the composeMsg.
- StandardOFTAdapterUpgradeable.sol and, UsdcAdapterUpgradeable.sol contracts are upgradeable and prior to migration to native USDC should be upgraded with appropriate logic to accommodate the specification.