

## Group 42

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action Taken by Reviewed Group
<b>Build</b>	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	I could clone, but not build the code. The README did not have the necessary instructions. I assume this is due to the fact that the RC car is required to actually run/test the code.	
<b>Legibility</b>	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The flow seemed to make sense. Variable names were appropriate and everything looked professional.	
<b>Implementation</b>	Is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Personally, I do not see any useful abstractions. All their code looks clean to me and functions make sense (for someone who isn't part of the project).	
<b>Maintainability</b>	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There are no unit tests. They are still working on getting their functionality down.	
<b>Requirements</b>	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Their client doesn't care about requirements, so yes, they met it all.	
<b>Other</b>	Are there other things that stand out that	I think they're current setup is perfect.	

	can be improved?	Considering their current situation they found a necessary workaround to continue working on their project.	
--	------------------	---	--