| Category        | Description  | Reviewers Comment   | Action Taken by Reviewed Group |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Build           | Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?  | Cloned, but cannot be built as there is hardware necessary.   |                                |
| Legibility      | Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style? | The flow was neat and everything was very legible. Coding style looks great, as if they took the time to make sure everything was structured.                               |                                |
| Implementation  | Is it shorter/easier/faster/c leaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?               | I'm not entirely sure how<br>much cleaner it can be, as I<br>do not understand their code<br>entirely.  |                                |
| Maintainability | Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?                               | There is no unit testing, but I'm not sure how easy it would be for them to validate with unit tests that the RC car works as intended, unless there are outputs to assert. |                                |
| Requirements    | Does the code fulfill the requirements?  | They fulfilled whatever they decided.   |                                |

| Other | Are there other things that stand out that I think they're current setup is perfect? Can it be improved? | Not for me, since I really can't test it concurrently with the code. |  |
|-------|--|--|--|
|       |  |  |  |