

1) Let the differentiable equation $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ be given and let $g(x)$ be a twice differentiable function.

Proof. Now, multiply $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ by $g(x)$ to obtain

$$f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$$

Now, we want to integrate $f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$ by parts. But, before we do, let use the *properties of integrals* to obtain

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

Okay, first, consider the case where $\lambda > 0$. Next, integrate $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx$ by parts

$$u = g(x) \quad du = g'(x) \quad dv = f''(x) \quad v = \int f''(x) = f'(x) + c$$

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx$$

Substituting in for $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0 \\ & = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we use $f'_{n,N}(x) = -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)$, and $g'_{n,M}(x) = -\sqrt{\lambda_{m,D}}f_{m,D}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[g(x)(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x) \cdot -\sqrt{\lambda_{m,D}}f_{m,D}(x) dx + \\ & \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, making the substitution $f(x) = f_{n,N}(x)$ and $g(x) = f_{m,N}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[f_{m,N}(x)(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x) \cdot -\sqrt{\lambda_{m,D}}f_{m,D}(x) dx + \\ & \int_0^L \lambda f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, we have

$$\left[f_{m,N}(x)(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)) \right]_0^L - (\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}} \cdot \sqrt{\lambda_{m,D}}) \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x) \cdot f_{m,D}(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) \, dx = 0$$

Since we know that the *Dirichlet-Laplacian* eigendata inner-product is zero, the

$$(\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}} \cdot \sqrt{\lambda_{m,D}}) \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x) \cdot f_{m,D}(x) \, dx$$

term will be zero, leaving us with

$$\left[f_{m,N}(x)(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)) \right]_0^L + \int_0^L \lambda f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) \, dx = 0$$

Now, if you evaluate $-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)$ from 0 to L , we know that by the properties of *Dirichlet-Laplacian* eigendata, this term will go to zero. This leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L \lambda f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) \, dx = 0 \\ & = \lambda \int_0^L f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) \, dx = 0 \\ & = \int_0^L f_{n,N}(x)f_{m,N}(x) \, dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Now, we turn our attention to calculating the *norm* for the *Neumann-Laplacian* eigenfunction(s). First, let the differentiable equation $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ be given and let $g(x)$ be a twice differentiable function. Now, multiply $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ by $g(x)$ to obtain

$$f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$$

Now, we want to integrate $f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$ by parts. But, before we do, let's use the properties of integrals to obtain

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0$$

Next, we integrate $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx$ by parts

$$u = g(x) \quad du = g'(x) \quad dv = f''(x) \quad v = \int f''(x) = f'(x) + c$$

We can now re-write $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx$ as

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx$$

If we then substitute in for $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''(x)g(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0 \\ & = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we use $f'_{n,N}(x) = -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}$ and $g'_{n,N}(x) = -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}$, we have

$$\left[g(x)(\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x) \cdot \sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}} dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

If we evaluate $\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x)$ from 0 to L , we know that by the properties of *Dirichlet-Laplacian* eigendata, this term will go to zero, leaving us with

$$- \int_0^L -\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}f_{n,D}(x) \cdot \sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}} dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

Then, if we factor our constants, we have

$$-(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}})(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}) \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x)f_{n,D}(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

Then, if we combine our integrals, we have

$$-(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}})(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n,D}}) \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x)f_{n,D}(x) + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

Dividing out our constants, we find

$$\int_0^L f_{n,D}(x)f_{n,D}(x) dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

Re-expanding our integrals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x) f_{n,D}(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x) g(x) \, dx = 0 \\
 & \implies \int_0^L \lambda f(x) g(x) \, dx = \int_0^L f_{n,D}(x) f_{n,D}(x) \, dx \\
 & \implies \int_0^L \lambda f(x) g(x) \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}, \text{ since we know that } \|f_{n,D}\| = \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, making the substitution $f(x) = f_{n,N}(x)$ and $g(x) = f_{n,N}(x)$, we have

$$\int_0^L \lambda f(x) g(x) \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}, \text{ as desired.}$$

□

2)

Proof. First, we begin by supposing that the solution $f(x)$ has the specific form $f(x) = e^{kx}$, so $f''(x) = k^2 e^{kx}$. We then plug-in $f(x)$ and $f''(x)$ into our ODE giving us

$$k^2 e^{kx} + \lambda e^{kx} = 0$$

Next, we factor out the e^{kx} term, leaving us with

$$e^{kx} [k^2 + \lambda] = 0$$

Which simplifies to

$$k^2 + \lambda = 0, \text{ which is our auxiliary equation}$$

Now, we want to consider the case where $\lambda < 0$, or when λ is strictly negative. Using the auxiliary equation $k^2 + \lambda = 0$, we solve for k

$$k = \pm \sqrt{-\lambda}, \text{ when } \lambda < 0$$

This gives us the following solutions

$$v_1(x) = e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(x) = e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}x}$$

We save showing linear independence for later and instead, impose our *mixed-boundary* conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1(x) &= e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} & \text{and} & & v_2(x) &= e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}x} \\
v_1(0) &= e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}(0)} & \text{and} & & v_2(0) &= e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}(0)} \\
v_1(0) &= e^0 & \text{and} & & v_2(0) &= e^0 \\
v_1(0) &= 1 \neq 0 & \text{and} & & v_2(0) &= 1 \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, neither $v_1(x)$ nor $v_2(x)$ are eigenfunctions because they do not satisfy the *mixed-boundary* conditions

Moving on, we consider the case where $\lambda = 0$. When $\lambda = 0$, our ODE becomes $f''(x) = 0$. We can solve for $f(x)$ by integrating twice

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(x) &= c_1 \\
f''(x) &= c_1x + c_2
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us the following two solutions

$$h_1(x) = x \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(x) = 1$$

Now, we impose our *mixed-boundary* conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(x) &= x & \text{and} & & h_2(x) &= 1 \\
h_1(0) &= 0 & \text{and} & & h_2(0) &= 1 \neq 0 \\
h_1(1) &= 1 \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, neither $h_1(x)$ or $h_2(x)$ are eigenfunctions because they don't satisfy our *mixed-boundary* conditions

Finally, we consider the case where $\lambda > 0$, or λ is strictly positive. Using the previously calculated equation, $k^2 + \lambda = 0$, we solve for k

$$k = \pm\sqrt{-\lambda} \quad \text{or} \quad k = \pm i\sqrt{\lambda}$$

So, we obtain the solutions

$$u_1(x) = e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2(x) = e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}x}$$

However, we only want real valued solutions. So, we use *Euler's formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[u_1(x) + u_2(x) \right] \\
\tilde{u}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + i \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + \cos(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + i \sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) \right] \\
\tilde{u}_1(x) &= \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) \\
\tilde{u}_2(x) &= \frac{1}{2i} \left[\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + i \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) - \cos(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + i \sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) \right] \\
\tilde{u}_2(x) &= \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to impose our *mixed-boundary* conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_1(x) &= \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) & \tilde{u}_2(x) &= \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) \\
\tilde{u}_1(0) &= 1 \neq 0 & \tilde{u}_2(x) &= \sin(0) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\tilde{u}_2(x) = \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$ satisfies our first boundary condition, we want to make it so that $\tilde{u}_2'(L) = 0$. So

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}L) = 0$$

Solving for λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\lambda} \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}L) &= 0 \\
\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}L) &= 0 \\
\cos^{-1}(\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}L)) &= \cos^{-1}(0)
\end{aligned}$$

So, $(\lambda L) = (2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2}$, where $2n-1$ are odd numbers. So,

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L} \right)^2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore, our *DN-Laplacian* eigenvalues are denoted as:

$$\lambda_{n,DN} = \left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L} \right)^2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Thus, our *DN-Laplacian* eigenfunctions are

$$f_{n,DN}(x) = \sin\left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L}x\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

□

3)

Proof. First, we suppose that the solutions are of the form $y(x) = e^{kx}$. Now, we have already demonstrated the derivation of our *auxiliary equation* in the previous question, so we will not repeat that work again here. So, using our previously obtained *auxiliary equation*

$$k^2 + \lambda = 0$$

and the work shown in the previous question, we know that our two solutions are

$$y_1(x) = e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} \quad \text{and} \quad y_2(x) = e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}x}$$

Now, to apply our *mixed-boundary conditions*, we take some derivatives

$$y_1'(x) = \sqrt{-\lambda}e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} \quad \text{and} \quad y_2'(x) = -\sqrt{-\lambda}e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda}x}$$

Applying our *mixed-boundary conditions*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1'(x) &= \sqrt{-\lambda}e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} & \text{and} & & y_2'(x) &= -\sqrt{-\lambda}e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}x} \\ y_1'(0) &= \sqrt{-\lambda}e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}(0)} & \text{and} & & y_2'(0) &= -\sqrt{-\lambda}e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}(0)} \\ 0 &= \sqrt{-\lambda} & \text{and} & & 0 &= -\sqrt{-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, neither $y_1(x)$ nor $y_2(x)$ are eigenfunctions since they do not satisfy our *mixed-boundary conditions*

Moving on, we consider the case where $\lambda = 0$. Plugging-in $\lambda = 0$, our ODE becomes $f''(x) = 0$. The astute reader might also notice that we have done the work to derive our solutions

$$h_1(x) = x \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(x) = 1$$

in the previous question, so we won't repeat said work here. Again, in order to apply our *mixed-boundary conditions*, we will have to calculate some derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &= x & \text{and} & & h_2(x) &= 1 \\ h_1'(x) &= 1 & \text{and} & & h_2'(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Applying our *mixed-boundary conditions*, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1'(x) &= 1 & \text{and} & & h_2'(x) &= 0 \\ h_1'(0) &= 1 & \text{and} & & h_2'(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $h_2(x)$ is the only solution that satisfies our *mixed-boundary conditions*

Finally, we want to consider the case where $\lambda > 0$. Again, using our work from the previous question, we know that our solutions are of the form

$$z_1(x) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad z_2(x) = \sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)$$

Taking some derivatives, since that allows us to apply our *mixed-boundary conditions*, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(x) &= \cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) & \text{and} & & z_2(x) &= \sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) \\ z_1'(x) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) & \text{and} & & z_2'(x) &= \sqrt{\lambda}\cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying our *mixed-boundary conditions* we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1'(x) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) & \text{and} & & z_2'(x) &= \sqrt{\lambda}\cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) \\ z_1'(0) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}(0)\right) & \text{and} & & z_2'(0) &= \sqrt{\lambda}\cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}(0)\right) \\ z_1'(0) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin(0) & \text{and} & & z_2'(0) &= \sqrt{\lambda}\cos(0) \\ 0 &= 0 & \text{and} & & 0 &\neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_1(x) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)$ satisfies our first *mixed-boundary condition*, we want to make it so that $z_1'(L) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} z_1'(x) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right) \\ z_1'(L) &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}L\right) \\ 0 &= -\sqrt{\lambda}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}L\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}L\right)$$

$$\sin^{-1}(0) = \sin^{-1}\left(\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}L\right)\right)$$

So, $(\lambda L) = (2n - 1)\frac{\pi}{2}$, where $2n - 1$ are odd numbers. So,

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L}\right)^2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Thus, our *ND-Laplacian* eigenfunctions are

$$f_{n,DN}(x) = \cos\left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L}x\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

□

4) Let the differentiable equation $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ be given and let $g(x)$ be a twice differentiable function.

Proof. Now, multiply $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ by $g(x)$ to obtain

$$f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$$

Now, we want to integrate $f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x) = 0$ by parts. But, before we do, let use the *properties of integrals* to obtain

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0$$

Next, integrate $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx$ by parts

$$u = g(x) \quad du = g'(x) \quad dv = f''(x) \quad v = \int f''(x) = f'(x) + c$$

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right] \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx$$

Substituting in for $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx$ with the above result, we have

$$\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right] \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx$$

We can now re-write $\int_0^L f''(x)g(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0$ as

$$\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0$$

To continue, we want to integrate by parts yet again

$$u = g'(x) \quad du = g''(x) \quad dv = f'(x) \quad v = \int f'(x) \, dx = f(x)$$

We can now re-write $\int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx$ as

$$\left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) \, dx$$

Substituting back-in, we can re-write

$$\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0 \text{ as}$$

$$\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L \left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) \, dx + \int_0^L \lambda f(x)g(x) \, dx = 0$$

which is the *Lagrange-Identity* from the beginning of the semester

Now, we turn our attention to showing the orthogonality of the mixed *DN-Laplacian* eigenfunctions. First, let the differentiable equation $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ be given and let $g(x)$ be a twice differentiable function. Now, multiply $f''(x) + \lambda f(x)$ to obtain

$$f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x)$$

Now, we want to integrate $f''(x)g(x)$ by parts

$$u = g(x) \quad du = g'(x) \quad dv = f''(x) \quad v = \int f''(x) \, dx = f'(x)$$

We can now re-write $f''(x)g(x)$ as

$$f''(x)g(x) = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L + \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx$$

To continue, we want to integrate by parts yet again

$$u = g'(x) \quad du = g''(x) \quad dv = f'(x) \quad v = \int f'(x) \, dx = f(x)$$

We can now re-write $\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L + \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) \, dx$ as

$$\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) \, dx$$

So, at this point, we have

$$f''(x)g(x) = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) \, dx$$

Now, if we make the substitution $g(x) = f_{m,DN}(x)$ and $f(x) = f_{n,DN}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''_{n,DN}(x)f_{m,DN}(x) \, dx = \\ & \left[f_{m,DN}(x)f'_{n,DN}(x) \right]_0^L - \left[f'_{m,DN}(x)f_{n,DN}(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x)f''_{m,DN}(x) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the $\left[f_{m,DN}(x)f'_{n,DN}(x) \right]_0^L$ term, if we apply the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f'(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

then this term will go to zero. This leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''_{n,DN}(x)f_{m,DN}(x) \, dx = \\ & - \left[f'_{m,DN}(x)f_{n,DN}(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x)f''_{m,DN}(x) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we look at the $-\left[f'_{m,DN}(x)f_{n,DN}(x) \right]_0^L$ term, we can see that if we again apply the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f'(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

this term will also go to zero. So, are we are left with is

$$\int_0^L f''_{n,DN}(x)f_{m,DN}(x) \, dx = - \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x)f''_{m,DN}(x) \, dx$$

Now, if we return to our twice differentiable function

$$f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$$

and re-arrange terms, we have

$$f''(x) = -\lambda f(x)$$

If we substitute this into our integral, we have

$$\int_0^L -\lambda f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx = - \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) \cdot -\lambda f_{m,DN}(x) dx$$

Simplifying, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) \cdot -\lambda f_{m,DN}(x) dx = \int_0^L -\lambda f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx \\ \implies & -(-\lambda) \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx = -\lambda \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx \\ \implies & -(-\lambda_n) \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx + \lambda_m \lambda \int_0^L f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx \\ \implies & -(-\lambda_n) \int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx = 0 \\ \implies & -(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, since m and n are assumed to be different, we know that $(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)$ cannot be equal to zero. Thus, $\int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx$ has to be equal to zero, as desired. Hence, we have shown the orthogonality of the mixed *DN-Laplacian* eigenfunctions.

We now move on to show the orthogonality of the mixed *ND-Laplacian* eigenfunctions. Like the calculation we just finished, let the differentiable equation $f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$ be given and let $g(x)$ be a twice differentiable function. Now, multiply $f''(x) + \lambda f(x)$ to obtain

$$f''(x)g(x) + \lambda f(x)g(x)$$

Now, we want to integrate $f''(x)g(x)$ by parts

$$u = g(x) \quad du = g'(x) \quad dv = f''(x) \quad v = \int f''(x) = f'(x)$$

We can now re-write $f''(x)g(x)$ as

$$f''(x)g(x) = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L + \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx$$

To continue, we want to integrate by parts yet again

$$u = g'(x) \quad du = g''(x) \quad dv = f'(x) \quad v = \int f'(x) = f(x)$$

We can now re-write $\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L + \int_0^L f'(x)g'(x) dx$ as

$$\left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) dx$$

So, at this point, we have

$$f''(x)g(x) = \left[g(x)f'(x) \right]_0^L - \left[g'(x)f(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f(x)g''(x) dx$$

Now, if we make the substitution $g(x) = f_{m,ND}(x)$ and $f(x) = f_{n,ND}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = \\ & \left[f_{m,ND}(x)f'_{n,ND}(x) \right]_0^L - \left[f'_{m,ND}(x)f_{n,ND}(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f''_{m,ND}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the $\left[f_{m,ND}(x)f'_{n,ND}(x) \right]_0^L$ term, if we apply the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f'(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

then this term will go to zero. This leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L f''_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = \\ & - \left[f'_{m,ND}(x)f_{n,ND}(x) \right]_0^L - \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f''_{m,ND}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we look at the $-\left[f'm, ND(x)f_{n,ND}(x)\right]_0^L$ term, we can see that if we again apply the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f'(L) = 0 \end{cases}$$

this term will also go to zero. So, are we are left with is

$$\int_0^L f''_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = - \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f''_{m,ND}(x) dx$$

Now, if we return to our twice differentiable function

$$f''(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0$$

and re-arrange terms, we have

$$f''(x) = -\lambda f(x)$$

If we substitute this into our integral, we have

$$\int_0^L -\lambda f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = - \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x) \cdot -\lambda f_{m,ND}(x) dx$$

Simplifying, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x) \cdot -\lambda f_{m,ND}(x) dx = \int_0^L -\lambda f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx \\ \implies & -(-\lambda) \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = -\lambda \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx \\ \implies & -(-\lambda_n) \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx + \lambda_m \lambda \int_0^L f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx \\ & \implies -(-\lambda_n) \int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = 0 \\ & \implies -(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,ND}(x)f_{m,ND}(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, since m and n are assumed to be different, we know that $(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)$ cannot be equal to zero. Thus, $\int_0^L \lambda_m f_{n,DN}(x) f_{m,DN}(x) dx$ has to be equal to zero, as desired. Thus, we have shown the orthogonality of the mixed *ND-Laplacian* eigenfunctions. □

5) Looking at the *eigendata* from these two mixed problems, we notice that they are similar in that they both are of the form

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{(n\pi - \frac{\pi}{2})}{L} \right)^2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

yet they differ in the form of their associated *eigenfunctions*.

6) Looking at the *eigendata* from our mixed *DN-Laplacian* eigenproblem and our regular *Dirichlet* eigenproblem, we see that for the *DN-Laplacian* eigenproblem our n values in the λ expression are only odd-numbers $\in \mathbb{N}$, while for the *Dirichlet* eigenproblem, we considered all values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$.