# Week 11

#### Robert

## July 2024

## List of Definitions

1.1	Definition $(T_1 \text{ space}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
1.2	Definition $(T_2 \text{ space})$
1.3	Definition $(T_3 \text{ space}) \dots \dots$
1.4	Definition $(T_3.5 \text{ space}) \dots \dots$
1.5	Definition $(T_4 \text{ space}) \dots \dots$
List	of Propositions
1.6	Proposition (Completely regular implies regular)
1.9	Lemma (Equivalent condition to regularity)
1.10	Lemma (Equivalent condition to normality)
1.11	Proposition (Regularity of subspace and products)
1 13	Theorem (Urysohn's Lemma)

#### 1 Separation axioms

Here, the word separation shall mean that we can put disjoint open neighborhoods around things.

**Definition 1.1** ( $T_1$  space). A space X is  $T_1$  if points are closed.

**Definition 1.2** ( $T_2$  space). A space is  $T_2$  if it is Hausdorff. Recall that a space is Hausdorff if you can separate points with disjoint closed sets.

**Definition 1.3** ( $T_3$  space). A space is **regular** or  $T_3$  if it is  $T_1$  and given a point x and a closed set C such that  $x \notin C$ , there is a neighborhood U of x and  $V \supseteq C$  such that U, V are disjoint.

In other words, a space is  $T_3$  if we can separate points from closed sets.

**Definition 1.4** ( $T_3.5$  space). A space is **completely regular** if it is  $T_1$  and given a point x and a closed set C not containing x, there exists a continuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that f(x) = 0 and  $f[C] = \{1\}$ .

**Definition 1.5** ( $T_4$  space). A space is **normal** if it is  $T_1$  and given disjoint closed sets C, D, there exists disjoint open sets U, V such that  $C \subseteq U$  and  $D \subseteq V$ .

Clearly normal implies regular implies Hausdorff. A completely regular space is also regular. Normal also implies completely regular, but this is nontrivial (Theorem 1.13).

**Proposition 1.6** (Completely regular implies regular). A completely regular space is regular.

*Proof.* Suppose X is completely regular. Let x be a point and A a closed set not containing x. Then, there is a function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that f(x) = 0 and f is 1 on A. Now preimage some disjoint open neighborhoods of 0 and 1.

The implications are not reversible.

**Example 1.7** ( $T_1$  but not Hausdorff). Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the cofinite topology. Then X is clearly  $T_1$  since singletons are finite and are thus closed. It is easily seen to be not Hausdorff.

**Example 1.8** (Hausdorff but not regular). Let  $X = \mathbb{R}_K$  be the K-topology on the reals. However, it is not regular since we cannot separate the set K from the point 0.

**Lemma 1.9** (Equivalent condition to regularity). Let X be a topological space. Then X is regular if and only if for every  $x \in X$  and neighborhood U of x, there is a neighborhood V of x such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* For the forward direction, apply the definition of regularity to the closed set  $X \setminus U$ . For the converse direction, if C is a closed set not containing x, then  $X \setminus C$  is a neighborhood of x.

**Lemma 1.10** (Equivalent condition to normality). Let X be a topological space. Then X is normal if and only if given a closed set  $C \subseteq X$  and an open set  $U \supseteq C$ , there exists an open set V such that  $C \subseteq V$  and  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* Same idea as Lemma 1.9.

**Proposition 1.11** (Regularity of subspace and products). 1. A subspace of a (completely) regular space is (completely) regular.

2. Products of (completely) regular space is (completely) regular.

Proof. 1. Let X be regular, and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Let  $y \in Y$  and  $A \subseteq Y$  be closed with  $y \notin A$ . Then there is some  $C \subseteq X$  closed such that  $A = C \cap Y$ . Clearly  $x \notin C$  so we may find disjoint U, V containing x and C respectively. Then  $U \cap Y, V \cap Y$  are the neighborhoods as desired. If X is completely regular instead, let  $f: X \to [0,1]$  be a function that separates y and C. The restriction of f to Y is still continuous and has the desired property.

2. Let  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  be a collection of regular spaces. Set  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_{\alpha}$ . Given a point  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda \rangle \in X$ , let  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_{\alpha}$  be an open neighborhood of  $\mathbf{x}$ , so that  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha$ . For those  $U_{\alpha}$  which are not equal to  $X_{\alpha}$ , we may find  $V_{\alpha}$  such that  $x_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{V_{\alpha}} \subseteq U_{\alpha}$  (by Lemma 1.9). For those  $U_{\alpha}$  which are equal to  $X_{\alpha}$ , set  $V_{\alpha}$  to be  $X_{\alpha}$ . Then  $V := \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}$  has closure contained in U, since  $\overline{\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{V_{\alpha}}$ .

Now suppose the  $X_{\alpha}$ 's are now completely regularly. Let A be closed in X and not containing x. Let  $U \subseteq X$  be a basic open neighborhood of  $\mathbf x$  that is disjoint from A. (This uses regularity of  $X_{\alpha}$ , but finitely many of them). Now, U is the product of open sets  $U_{\alpha}$  in  $X_{\alpha}$ 's. Then there are  $U_{\alpha}$ 's which are not  $X_{\alpha}$ 's, finitely many of them, say  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ . For each  $\alpha_i$ , let  $f_i : X_{\alpha_i} \to [0, 1]$  be a continuous function such that  $f_i(x_{\alpha_i}) = 0$ , and f is 1 on the set  $X_{\alpha_i} \setminus U_{\alpha_i}$ . Define  $g_i$  to be  $f_i \circ \pi_{\alpha_i}$  and let  $g(x) = \prod_{i \le n} g_i(x)$ . Then g is the desired function.

A similar proposition is not true for normal spaces.

**Example 1.12.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}_l$  be the Sorgenfrey line. Then X is normal, but  $X \times X$  is not normal.

**Theorem 1.13** (Urysohn's Lemma). Let X be a normal topological space and A, B be disjoint closed sets in X. Then, there exists a continuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that f is 0 on A and f is 1 on B.

*Proof.* We shall construct for each rational number r, an open set  $U_r$ . These sets will have the following properties:

- 1.  $U_r = \emptyset$  if r < 0,  $U_r = X$  if r > 1;
- 2.  $U_0 \supseteq A$  and  $U_1 = X \setminus B$ ;
- 3. If p < q, then  $\overline{U}_p \subseteq U_q$ .

Let us first define  $U_1 = X \setminus B$ , and  $U_r$  for the cases in (1). To find  $U_0$ , we apply Lemma 1.10 to find  $U_0$  such that  $A \subseteq U_0$  and  $\overline{U}_0 \subseteq U_1$ , since  $U_1$  contains A. Thus we have satisfied condition (2).

At this point, it is unclear how we can get condition (3) out. The rationals are countable, but they're not well ordered. However, we notice that it does not actually matter whether we choose them in order. So instead we shall perform induction on a sequence containing all the rational numbers. Let  $(r_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence that enumerates all the rational numbers in (0,1) exactly once. By normality, there exists  $U_{r_1}$  such that  $\overline{U_{r_1}} \subseteq U_1$  and  $\overline{U_0} \subseteq U_{r_1}$ . Now, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose we have chosen sets  $U_{r_i}$  where i < n with the property that whenever  $r_i < r_j$ , then  $\overline{U_{r_i}} \subseteq U_{r_j}$ . Let p be the smallest rational number in the set  $\{0, r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}, 1\}$  that is bigger than  $r_n$  and q be the largest rational number from that set that is smaller than  $r_n$ . We quickly remark that this means  $q < r_n < p$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\overline{U_q} \subseteq U_p$ . Normality implies that there is a open set  $U_{r_n}$  such that  $\overline{U_q} \subseteq U_{r_n}$  and  $\overline{U_{r_n}} \subseteq U_p$ .

Now, define

$$f(x) = \inf \{ q \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in U_q \}.$$

To show f is continuous, we shall show that preimages of subbasic elements are open, i.e.  $f^{-1}[(-\infty, a)]$  and  $f^{-1}[(a, \infty)]$  are open. To begin, we make the following observations:

$$f(x) < a \iff x \in U_p \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Q}, p < a.$$
 (1)

$$f(x) \le a \iff x \in \overline{U_p} \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{Q}, p > a.$$
 (2)

The first one (Equation (1)) follows immediately by definition of inf. For Equation (2), if  $f(x) \le a$ , and r > a is a rational, then

The converse of Urysohn's lemma is true: if disjoint closed sets can be separated with continuous functions, then the space is normal.