Week 11

Robert

July 2024

List of Definitions

1.1	Definition $(T_1 \text{ space}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	2
1.2	Definition $(T_2 \text{ space})$	2
1.3	Definition $(T_3 \text{ space})$	2
1.4	Definition $(T_3.5 \text{ space}) \dots \dots$	2
1.5	Definition $(T_4 \text{ space})$	2
- • .		
List	of Propositions	
1.0		_
	Proposition (Completely regular implies regular)	
1.9	Lemma (Equivalent condition to regularity)	2
1.10	Lemma (Equivalent condition to normality)	2
1.11	Proposition (Regularity of subspace and products)	٠
1.14	Proposition (Sufficient conditions for normality)	4
1.15	Proposition (Sufficient conditions for regularity)	4
1.16	Lemma (Urysohn's)	4
2.1	Lemma	
2.3	Theorem (Urysohn Metrization Theorem)	5
2.1	Theorem	6

1 Separation axioms

Here, the word separation shall mean that we can put disjoint open neighborhoods around things.

Definition 1.1 $(T_1 \text{ space})$. A space X is T_1 if points are closed.

Definition 1.2 (T_2 space). A space is T_2 if it is Hausdorff. Recall that a space is Hausdorff if you can separate points with disjoint closed sets.

Definition 1.3 (T_3 space). A space is **regular** or T_3 if it is T_1 and given a point x and a closed set C such that $x \notin C$, there is a neighborhood U of x and $V \supseteq C$ such that U, V are disjoint.

In other words, a space is T_3 if we can separate points from closed sets.

Definition 1.4 ($T_3.5$ space). A space is **completely regular** if it is T_1 and given a point x and a closed set C not containing x, there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]$ such that f(x) = 0 and $f[C] = \{1\}$.

Definition 1.5 (T_4 space). A space is **normal** if it is T_1 and given disjoint closed sets C, D, there exists disjoint open sets U, V such that $C \subseteq U$ and $D \subseteq V$.

Clearly normal implies regular implies Hausdorff. A completely regular space is also regular. Normal also implies completely regular, but this is nontrivial (Lemma 1.16).

Proposition 1.6 (Completely regular implies regular). A completely regular space is regular.

Proof. Suppose X is completely regular. Let x be a point and A a closed set not containing x. Then, there is a function $f: X \to [0,1]$ such that f(x) = 0 and f is 1 on A. Now preimage some disjoint open neighborhoods of 0 and 1.

The implications are not reversible.

Example 1.7 (T_1 but not Hausdorff). Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the cofinite topology. Then X is clearly T_1 since singletons are finite and are thus closed. It is easily seen to be not Hausdorff.

Example 1.8 (Hausdorff but not regular). Let $X = \mathbb{R}_K$ be the K-topology on the reals. However, it is not regular since we cannot separate the set K from the point 0.

Lemma 1.9 (Equivalent condition to regularity). Let X be a topological space. Then X is regular if and only if for every $x \in X$ and neighborhood U of x, there is a neighborhood V of x such that $\overline{V} \subseteq U$.

Proof. For the forward direction, apply the definition of regularity to the closed set $X \setminus U$. For the converse direction, if C is a closed set not containing x, then $X \setminus C$ is a neighborhood of x.

Lemma 1.10 (Equivalent condition to normality). Let X be a topological space. Then X is normal if and only if given a closed set $C \subseteq X$ and an open set $U \supseteq C$, there exists an open set V such that $C \subseteq V$ and $\overline{V} \subseteq U$.

Proof. Same idea as Lemma 1.9.

Proposition 1.11 (Regularity of subspace and products). 1. A subspace of a (completely) regular space is (completely) regular.

2. Products of (completely) regular space is (completely) regular.

- *Proof.* 1. Let X be regular, and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $y \in Y$ and $A \subseteq Y$ be closed with $y \notin A$. Then there is some $C \subseteq X$ closed such that $A = C \cap Y$. Clearly $x \notin C$ so we may find disjoint U, V containing x and C respectively. Then $U \cap Y$, $V \cap Y$ are the neighborhoods as desired. If X is completely regular instead, let $f: X \to [0, 1]$ be a function that separates y and C. The restriction of f to Y is still continuous and has the desired property.
 - 2. Let X_{α} , $\alpha \in \Lambda$ be a collection of regular spaces. Set $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_{\alpha}$. Given a point $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda \rangle \in X$, let $U = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_{\alpha}$ be an open neighborhood of \mathbf{x} , so that $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$ for all but finitely many α . For those U_{α} which are not equal to X_{α} , we may find V_{α} such that $x_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ and $\overline{V_{\alpha}} \subseteq U_{\alpha}$ (by Lemma 1.9). For those U_{α} which are equal to X_{α} , set V_{α} to be X_{α} . Then $V := \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}$ has closure contained in U, since $\overline{\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \overline{V_{\alpha}}$.

Now suppose the X_{α} 's are now completely regularly. Let A be closed in X and not containing x. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a basic open neighborhood of $\mathbf x$ that is disjoint from A. (This uses regularity of X_{α} , but finitely many of them). Now, U is the product of open sets U_{α} in X_{α} 's. Then there are U_{α} 's which are not X_{α} 's, finitely many of them, say $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. For each α_i , let $f_i: X_{\alpha_i} \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function such that $f_i(x_{\alpha_i}) = 0$, and f is 1 on the set $X_{\alpha_i} \setminus U_{\alpha_i}$. Define g_i to be $f_i \circ \pi_{\alpha_i}$ and let $g(x) = \prod_{i \le n} g_i(x)$. Then g is the desired function.

A similar proposition is not true for normal spaces.

Example 1.12 (Product of normal may not be normal). Let $X = \mathbb{R}_l$ be the Sorgenfrey line. Then X is normal. Let A, B be disjoint closed sets. For $a \in A$, let $[a, x_a) \subseteq X$ be disjoint from B. This is possible because $X \setminus A$ is open. We repeat the same trick for each $b \in B$, letting $[b, x_b) \subseteq X$ be disjoint from A. Now, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} [a, x_a)$ and $B \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in B} [b, x_b)$. We claim that those unions are disjoint. Otherwise, let $a \in A, b \in B$ such that $[a, x_a) \cap [b, x_b) \neq \emptyset$. WLOG let us assume a < b, so this means $x_a > b$. But then $b \in [a, x_a)$, oops.

However, $X \times X$ is not normal. Let L be the line consisting of the points $\langle x, -x \rangle$. This set is closed¹, and it is discrete, so every subset of L is closed in $X \times X$. For all $A \subseteq L$, we have disjoint open sets U_A, V_A of $X \times X$, such that $A \subseteq U_A, L \setminus A \subseteq V_A$. Let us now define a function $f : \mathcal{P}(L) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}^2)$ as follows:

$$f(\varnothing) = \varnothing,$$

 $f(L) = \mathbb{Q}^2,$
 $f(A) = U_A \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ if $\varnothing \subset A \subset L$.

We claim that f is injective. To see this, let A be a subset of L. If A is nonempty, then U_A is nonempty. As \mathbb{Q}^2 is dense in $X \times X$, f(A) is nonempty. If A is a proper subset of L, then $L \setminus A$ is nonempty, and so V_A is nonempty. Thus f(A) is not all of \mathbb{Q}^2 . Now suppose A, B are subsets of L and $A \neq B$. WLOG let $x \in A \setminus B$. Thus $x \in U_A$ and $x \in V_B$, so $U_A \cap V_B$ is a nonempty open set, thus it contains an element of \mathbb{Q}^2 , say q. Then $q \in f(A) \setminus f(B)$, so $f(A) \neq f(B)$.

However, f cannot be injective, since the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(L)$ is strictly bigger than the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}^2)$.

See [Mun00, Example 3, p. 198] for a full exposition.

Example 1.13 (Subspaces of normal need not be normal). The following example is called Tychonoff's plank (see [SS78, Example 87, p. 106]). Let us take $X = \alpha\omega_1 \times \alpha\omega$. Recall that αX of a locally compact Hausdorff space X is the one-point compactification of it. Clearly X is compact and Hausdorff. Now, let us set Y to be $X \setminus (\infty_{\alpha\omega_1}, \infty_{\alpha\omega})$, so we remove the point added by the one point compactification. Let $A = \omega_1 \times \{\infty_{\alpha\omega}\}$, let $B = \{\infty_{\alpha\omega_1}\} \times \omega$.

The following proposition gives sufficient conditions for normality. Similar theorems can be seen in [Mun00, Chp. 32, pp. 198–203].

J 1

¹Previously proven



Proposition 1.14 (Sufficient conditions for normality). Let X be a space. Then X is normal if at least one of the conditions are satisified:

- 1. X is regular and Lindelof;
- 2. X is compact and Hausdorff;
- 3. X is metrizable;
- 4. X is a linearly ordered space (with the order topology).

Proof. (2) See Proposition 5.4 in Week 8 Notes.

(3) To do this, we shall use the converse of Lemma 1.16. Let A, B be disjoint closed sets in X. Define

$$f(x) = \frac{d(x,A)}{d(x,A) + d(x,B)},$$

where $d(x, A) = \inf \{ d(x, a) : a \in A \}$. It is easy to see that f is continuous, and that f is 0 on A and 1 on B.

Proposition 1.15 (Sufficient conditions for regularity). Let X be a space. Then X is regular if at least one of the conditions are satisfied:

1. X is locally compact and Hausdorff.

Lemma 1.16 (Urysohn's). Let X be a normal topological space and A, B be disjoint closed sets in X. Then, there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]$ such that f is 0 on A and f is 1 on B.

Proof. We shall construct for each rational number r, an open set U_r . These sets will have the following properties:

- 1. $U_r = \emptyset$ if r < 0, $U_r = X$ if r > 1;
- 2. $U_0 \supseteq A$ and $U_1 = X \setminus B$;
- 3. If p < q, then $\overline{U}_p \subseteq U_q$.

Let us first define $U_1 = X \setminus B$, and U_r for the cases in (1). To find U_0 , we apply Lemma 1.10 to find U_0 such that $A \subseteq U_0$ and $\overline{U}_0 \subseteq U_1$, since U_1 contains A. Thus we have satisfied condition (2).

At this point, it is unclear how we can get condition (3) out. What we would like to do; say r is a rational between p and q; is to shove the set U_r between U_p and U_q . We can do that due to normality: choose U_r such that $\overline{U_p} \subseteq U_r$ and $U_r \subseteq U_q$. If the rationals were well ordered in a way like the naturals, that would be easy. Unfortunately, reality is often disappointing. However, we observe crucially that if we had a finite collection of rationals and sets with property (3), we can do it quite easily. So instead we shall perform induction on a sequence containing all the rational numbers. Let $(r_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that enumerates all the rational numbers in (0,1) exactly once. By normality, there exists U_{r_1} such that $\overline{U_{r_1}} \subseteq U_1$ and $\overline{U_0} \subseteq U_{r_1}$. Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose we have chosen sets U_r where i < n with the property that whenever $r_i < r_j$, then $\overline{U_{r_i}} \subseteq U_{r_j}$. Let p be the smallest rational number in the set $\{0, r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}, 1\}$ that is bigger than r_n and q be the largest rational number from that set that is smaller than r_n . We quickly remark that this means $q < r_n < p$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\overline{U_q} \subseteq U_p$. Normality implies that there is a open set U_{r_n} such that $\overline{U_q} \subseteq U_{r_n}$ and $\overline{U_{r_n}} \subseteq U_p$.

Now, define

$$f(x) = \inf \left\{ \, q \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in U_q \, \right\}.$$

This function is well defined, and attains values between 0 and 1 due to property 1. Property 2 tells us that it is 0 on A and 1 on B. To show f is continuous, we shall show that preimages of subbasic elements are open, i.e. $f^{-1}[(-\infty, a)]$ and $f^{-1}[(a, \infty)]$ are open. To begin, we make the following observations:

$$f(x) < a \iff x \in U_p \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Q}, p < a.$$
 (1)

$$f(x) \le a \iff x \in \overline{U_p} \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{Q}, p > a.$$
 (2)

The first one (Equation (1)) follows immediately by definition of inf. For Equation (2), if $f(x) \leq a$, and r > a is a rational, by definition of f, there is some rational s < r such that $x \in U_s \subseteq U_r \subseteq \overline{U_r}$. Now for the converse. Suppose s > a is a rational, we show that $f(x) \leq s$. Let us choose a rational r such that a < r < s. Then $x \in \overline{U_r}$ by hypothesis, and $\overline{U_r} \subseteq U_s$, so this tells us that $f(x) \leq s$. Since this holds for every rational bigger than a, we must have $f(x) \leq a$. Now, we thus have $f^{-1}[(-\infty, a)] = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r < a} U_r$ and $f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = X \setminus \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r > a} \overline{U_r}$. Both of those are open, so f is continuous

The converse of Urysohn's lemma is true: if disjoint closed sets can be separated with continuous functions, then the space is normal.

2 Urysohn Metrization Theorem

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a T_1 space and let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of continuous functions from X into [0,1] such that for every $x \in X$ and every neighborhood U of x, there is some $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $f_\alpha(x) = 1$ and $f_\alpha|_{X \setminus U} = 0$. Then the map $F: X \to [0,1]^{\Lambda}$ (product topology) given by $F(x) := \langle f_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \Lambda \rangle$ is a topological embedding.

Proof. We first check injectivity. If $x \neq y$, there are neighborhoods U of x and Y of y such that y is not in U and x is not in Y. Thus there is some α such that $f_{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and f_{α} is 0 on $X \setminus U$, so in particular $f_{\alpha}(y) = 0$. So $F(x) \neq F(y)$.

Now, F is clearly continuous since every component of F is continuous². Let us suppose U is open in X. Let $x \in U$, so that U is an open neighborhood of x. Thus there is some α such that $f_{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and f_{α} is 0 on $X \setminus U$. Let $V = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}[(0,1]]$. It remains to show that for every $F(z) \in F[U]$, there is a neighborhood of F(z) living in F[U]. We claim that $F(z) \in F[X] \cap V \subseteq F[U]$, so $F[X] \cap V$ is our desired neighborhood. If $F[y] \in F[X] \cap V$ then $f_{\alpha}(y) > 0$, so $y \in U$, thus $F(y) \in F[U]$.

Corollary 2.2. The following are equivalent:

- (1) X is completely regular;
- (2) X is homeomorphic to a subspace of $[0,1]^{\Lambda}$ for some Λ .

Theorem 2.3 (Urysohn Metrization Theorem). Let X be a regular second-countable space. Then X is metrizable.

Proof. Since X is regular and second-countable, it is normal by Proposition 1.14 (second countability implies Lindelof). The idea here is to make the Λ in the previous lemma countable, then we would see that X is homeomorphic to a subspace of a metrizable space. What we need is a countable family of functions that separate points from closed sets. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable basis of X. For every n, m such that $\overline{U_n} \subseteq U_m$, using Lemma 1.16, choose a function $f_{n,m} : X \to [0,1]$ such that $f_{n,m}$ is 1 on $\overline{U_n}$ and it is 0 on $X \setminus U_m$. Let us check that these functions satisfy the property in the lemma. Suppose we have x and a neighborhood U of x. There is some basic U_m such that $x \in U_m \subseteq U$. By regularity, there is some U_n such that $x \in \overline{U_n} \subseteq U_m$. Then $f_{n,m}$ is 1 on $\overline{U_n}$, and it is 0 on $X \setminus U_m$, so in particular it is 0 outside of U.

²This is the universal property of the product topology

3 Stone-Čech Compactifications

We have previously constructed the Stone-Čech compactification of the naturals. Now we describe a different way to construct it that does not involve ultrafilters.

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a space. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. X is completely regular;
- 2. X is homeomorphic to a subspace of $[0,1]^{\Lambda}$ for some Λ ;
- 3. There is a compactification Y of X such that for every compact Hausdorff space K, every continuous function $f: X \to K$ has a unique continuous extension $g: Y \to K$.

Proof. Suppose X is completely regular. Let $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be all the continuous functions from X into [0,1]. By the imbedding lemma, the function $F: X \to [0,1]^{\Lambda}$ that sends a point x to $\langle f_{\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Lambda \rangle$ is an imbedding. Let $Y = \overline{F[X]}$. Then Y is compact Hausdorff since it is a closed subspace of a compact space. Moreover, it is a compactification since X is dense in Y^3 . Let $f: X \to [0,1]$ be continuous, so there is some β such that $f = f_{\beta}$. Notice that $\pi_{\beta}: Y \to [0,1]$ is continuous, and $\pi_{\beta}(F(x)) = f_{\beta}(x) = f(x)$. If there is another function $g: Y \to [0,1]$ that extends f, notice that g agrees with π_{β} on f[X], and so in particular it agrees with π_{β} on f[X] = Y. So $g = \pi_{\beta}$.

The situation of 3 is easily illustrated in the following commutative diagram:

$$X \xrightarrow{F} Y \\ \downarrow \exists ! g \\ K$$

 $^{{}^3}F[X]$ is a homeomorphic copy of X in Y