1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
3	DAVID MARTIN,	)	
4	Plaintiff,		
5	vs.	)	No. 22 CV 6296
6 7	ATTORNEY GENERAL KWAME RAOUL, JUDGE GREGORY EMMETT AHERN, JR., UNNAMED COOK COUNTY CLERKS, COOK COUNTY,		Chicago, Illinois June 13, 2023 9:17 a.m.
8	Defendants. )		
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - TELEPHONIC STATUS HEARING		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREA R. WOOD		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiff:	MR. DAVID MARTIN, Pro Se 5352 South Princeton Avenue	
13		Chicago, Illinois (773) 893-0813	
14		martinvthompson@g	mail.com
15	For the Defendants:	ILLINOIS ATTORNEY BY: MS. MARY ALI	
16	115 South LaSalle Street, 27th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 (312) 814-4417 mary.johnston@ilag.gov		
17			
18		, ,	
19			
20			
21			
22	Court Reporter:	Brenda S. Varney,	CSR, RMR, CRR
23	·	Official Court Re 219 South Dearbor	porter n Street, Suite 2144D
24		Chicago, Illinois (312) 554-8931	
25		brenda_varney@iln	d.uscourts.gov

(Proceedings heard via telephone:) 1 THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Wood 2 3 rejoining the line. I believe we are ready to call the next 4 case so my courtroom deputy will do that, and then we will get appearances from the parties. 5 Go ahead, Laritza. 6 7 THE CLERK: Calling case 22 CV 6296, Martin versus 8 Ahern, et al., for status. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Do we have the plaintiff, Mr. Martin, on the line? 10 11 MR. MARTIN: I'm here. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martin. 13 And do we have counsel for the defendants? 14 MS. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. This is 15 Mary Johnston on behalf of the defendant. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 So this is set for a status hearing today. I believe 18 that a status hearing was set before the recent extension that 19 I granted in the briefing of the motion to dismiss so 20 Mr. Martin's response to the motion is not yet due. I believe 21 it is due June 29th. 22 Mr. Martin, are you on track to file a motion or a 23 response to defendants' motion?

MR. MARTIN: I am on track. I could use a little bit

more time, but other than that, I am on track.

24

25

THE COURT: If you think you need an extension, this would be the time to ask for it. You've already had a little bit more time. What do you think you need in order to file your response?

MR. MARTIN: I would -- so it's due on the 29th. If possible, I would like to extend that another two weeks, making it due on the 13th. But it's possible I could have it done way before July 13th, but having a little buffer, a two-week buffer, would be nice.

THE COURT: Ms. Johnston, do you have any objection to the further extension?

MS. JOHNSTON: No objection, and I am just -- sorry. I'm looking at my calendar. That would then bump my reply out to August 3rd which should be fine. I just wanted to look at my calendar before -- yeah, I have no objection.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Martin, if I give you the two weeks now, you can always file early. You don't have to wait until the due date, but I won't be inclined to give any further extensions just because we do need to get the briefing wrapped up so that I can get a ruling to you.

So your new date would be July 13th. And it sounds like you're confident that you will be able to make your filing by that date; is that correct?

MR. MARTIN: That's correct, but there are other issues.

THE COURT: What are the other issues?

MR. MARTIN: One of the issues being that when I actually went to try to get a summons issued for the other plaintiffs, the Clerk of the Court would not issue it because I didn't name them properly.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Do you mean for the other defendants?

MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. For the other defendants, yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't have any coffee yet.

Another defendant is actually the Cook County clerks, and in the original complaint or in the amended complaint, I put them as unknown, but I actually know who they are.

I just thought that I'd be able to address that once I issued the summons to the clerks, but they wouldn't issue a summons. So as a result, they haven't responded.

THE COURT: Yes. So you do have to actually identify who the individuals are that you are seeking to bring suit against. Describing them as unnamed clerks is not going to be sufficient because the summons actually includes a name of a person, and it's very important to make sure that the proper person is named and served and responds. And the only way for you to add the names of individual clerks would be to file an amended complaint in order to do that.

I don't believe the current amended complaint which is at Docket Number 10 includes any names of the clerk's

office employees.

MR. MARTIN: That's correct.

And I was thinking that maybe if we could do that after your ruling simply because -- it's sort of a separate defendant, and your ruling dismissing the complaint against Ahern and Raoul wouldn't necessarily affect the clerks.

THE COURT: I can't speak to that, but it's possible it wouldn't. They have different positions. I'd have to see what you are saying exactly that the individual clerks did and what their specific positions are.

MR. MARTIN: Yeah. So the allegations against the individual clerks is actually in the amended complaint. I just didn't name them, but the allegations against them is that they modified the records and they omitted records. So that's the complaint against them, but I just didn't name the clerk in question.

I was in communication with the clerk, and I can name them. And subsequently, because -- yeah, I can name them. It's just that I thought I would have an opportunity to do that, and it wasn't until we kind of got deep into the weeds and I realized that, you know, I couldn't get the summons and then I realized that, you know, I had this issue.

THE COURT: So right now, I do not have any request to amend the complaint.

MR. MARTIN: Correct.

THE COURT: We do have a briefing schedule on the defendants' motion to dismiss. I can go ahead and will go ahead and rule on that motion, particularly if you don't file an amended complaint.

If you do file an amended complaint, then depending on the amendment and whether it changes any of the allegations as to the other two defendants, it's possible that the briefing on the motion to dismiss might have to start over.

I'm guessing that the arguments would likely be the same for the two defendants.

MR. MARTIN: Right.

THE COURT: So it is also possible for the arguments for this motion to simply be applied to an amended complaint.

So my suggestion is that if you want to file an amended complaint: One, you'll need to file a motion seeking leave to do that because we're sort of past the time frame when you can do it just as a matter of right. You do need leave of Court. So if that's what you want to do, file a motion asking permission to file an amended complaint.

You should attach your proposed amended complaint to the motion so I can see what it is you want to do if it's just putting in names for the unnamed clerks or if there's something else that you'd like to change. And that way, I can make a decision on whether to allow the amended complaint. And you can do that whenever you're in a position to.

MR. MARTIN: Okay. Yeah. Awesome. All right.

So I'll probably do that after I've responded to the motion to dismiss just so, you know, I can maintain my focus in terms of responding.

And there will also be some amendments I'll ask for in relation to the motions to dismiss according to the Civil Rules of -- the Federal Civil Rules of Procedure, but I will put all that in the motion for leave to amend.

THE COURT: I will take a look at it at that time.

I would just remind you to just be aware of any statute of limitations issues. I am not sure off the top of my head how long ago it was that you had these issues with the clerk's office. I think it's still relatively recent, but I do just want to remind you that the clock would still be continuing to run as to anybody that, you know, hasn't already been named in the complaint and doesn't know that they're subject to litigation. But I believe most of the stuff that you're complaining about has happened within the past year or so.

MR. MARTIN: Yeah, so -- yeah. Okay. Yeah.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martin.

Ms. Johnston, do you have any issues that you'd like to discuss today?

MS. JOHNSTON: No, no issues. Just confirming, so that will put -- his response deadline will now be July 13th?

1 MR. MARTIN: 13th. MS. JOHNSTON: And the reply deadline will be 2 3 August 3rd? 4 THE COURT: That is correct. 5 And so what I will do is I'm going to set my next 6 status date for probably about the second week of August, 7 shortly after the briefing, just to make sure that I have everything and that it's ready for ruling. 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Judge, if I could, just to let you 10 know, I am planning to be out of the office on Friday, 11 August 11th and then Monday, the 14th. Otherwise, I'm very 12 open there, but I will be unavailable. So if you're setting a 13 status date, I would prefer if we could do it not on that 14 Friday or the Monday. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for letting me know 16 that. 17 I'm going to ask my courtroom deputy now to take a 18 look at our calendar, take that into account, and give us a 19 date for what will be a telephone status. 20 Laritza. 21 THE CLERK: Judge, we can do August 10th at 9:15. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martin, would you be able to 22 23 call in then? 24 MR. MARTIN: What was the date again? I'm sorry. Ι 25 got distracted.

1	THE CLERK: August 10th at 9:15.		
2	MR. MARTIN: Yes, that should be fine.		
3	THE COURT: Ms. Johnston, does that work for you?		
4	MS. JOHNSTON: That works for me. Thank you.		
5	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you both for your time		
6	and for checking in for the status hearing. Have a good day.		
7	MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Have a good day.		
8	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:29 a.m.)		
9	* * * * *		
10	CERTIFICATE		
11			
12	I, Brenda S. Varney, certify that the foregoing is a		
13	complete, true, and accurate transcript from the record of		
14	proceedings on June 13, 2023, before the HON. ANDREA R. WOOD		
15	in the above-entitled matter.		
16			
17			
18	/s/Brenda S. Varney, CSR, RMR, CRR June 17, 2024		
19	Official Court Reporter Date United States District Court		
20	Northern District of Illinois		
21	Eastern Division		
22			
23			
24			
25			