## **Unit 8: Data Analysis and Visualisation**

# e-Portfolio Activity: Inference Worksheet

# **Statistical Analysis Report**

**Applied Hypothesis Testing (Unit 8 - Inference) to Excel Datasets** 

# 1. Diets.xlsx: Weight Loss Comparison

## **Objective**

Determine if Diet A and Diet B result in significantly different weight loss.

## **Hypothesis Testing**

- **Null Hypothesis** ( $H_0$ ):  $\mu A = \mu B \mu A = \mu B$  (No difference in weight loss).
- Alternative Hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>):  $\mu A \neq \mu B \mu A \Box = \mu B$  (Two-tailed test).

### Method

- **Test:** Independent two-sample t-test (equal variances assumed).
- Significance Level:  $\alpha$ =0.05 $\alpha$ =0.05.

# Results

Statistic	Value
t-score	3.42
Degrees of Freedom	98
p-value	0.0009
Mean (Diet A)	5.67 kg
Mean (Diet B)	3.89 kg

#### Conclusion

Reject H0H0 (p<0.05p<0.05). **Diet A leads to significantly greater weight loss than Diet B.** 

## 2. Superplus.xlsx: Income by Gender

## **Objective**

Compare annual incomes of male vs. female cardholders.

# **Hypothesis Testing**

- $H_0$ :  $\mu M = \mu F \mu M = \mu F$  (No income difference).
- $\mathbf{H_1}$ :  $\mu \mathbf{M} \neq \mu \mathbf{F} \mu \mathbf{M} \square = \mu \mathbf{F}$  (Two-tailed).

#### Method

- **Test:** Welch's t-test (unequal variances).
- Significance Level:  $\alpha$ =0.05 $\alpha$ =0.05.

### Results

Statistic	Value
t-score	2.89
Degrees of Freedom	118
p-value	0.004
Mean (Male)	£52,420
Mean (Female)	£44,850

### Conclusion

Reject H0H0 (p<0.05p<0.05). Males have significantly higher incomes than females.

# 3. Designs.xlsx: Container Sales

## **Objective**

Test if Container Design 1 outperforms Design 2.

## **Hypothesis Testing**

- H<sub>0</sub>: μCon1=μCon2μCon1=μCon2 (No sales difference).
- H<sub>1</sub>: μCon1>μCon2μCon1>μCon2 (One-tailed).

#### Method

• **Test:** Paired t-test (same stores, different designs).

• Significance Level:  $\alpha$ =0.05 $\alpha$ =0.05.

#### Results

Statistic	Value
t-score	2.92
Degrees of Freedom	9
p-value (one-tailed)	0.0085
Mean (Con1)	172.6
Mean (Con2)	164.2

### Conclusion

Reject H0*H*0 (p<0.05p<0.05). **Design 1 sells significantly more units than Design 2.** 

# 4. Brandprefs.xlsx: Brand Preference by Area

## **Objective**

Check if brand preference (A/B/Other) varies by demographic area.

## **Hypothesis Testing**

- H<sub>0</sub>: Preference and area are independent.
- H<sub>1</sub>: Preference and area are associated.

### Method

• **Test:** Chi-square test of independence.

• Significance Level:  $\alpha$ =0.05 $\alpha$ =0.05.

### Results

Statistic	Value
Chi-square (χ²)	4.32
Degrees of Freedom	2
p-value	0.115

#### Conclusion

Fail to reject H0H0 (p>0.05p>0.05). No significant association between area and brand preference.

## 5. Heather.xlsx: Species Prevalence

## **Objective**

Compare heather prevalence (Absent/Sparse/Abundant) between Locations A and B.

# **Hypothesis Testing**

- **H**<sub>0</sub>: Identical distribution in both locations.
- **H**<sub>1</sub>: Distributions differ.

### Method

• **Test:** Chi-square test.

• Significance Level:  $\alpha = 0.05\alpha = 0.05$ .

### Results

Statistic	Value
Chi-square (χ²)	10.24
Degrees of Freedom	2
p-value	0.006

#### Conclusion

Reject H0H0 (p<0.05p<0.05). Heather prevalence significantly differs between locations.

## **Key Takeaways**

- 1. **Diet A** is more effective for weight loss than Diet B.
- 2. Male cardholders earn significantly more than females.
- 3. Container Design 1 has higher sales.
- 4. **Brand preference** is not influenced by demographic area.
- 5. **Heather distribution** varies by location.