Origins of Mind: Lecture 01

s.butterfill@warwick.ac.uk

1. The Question

'... 'tis past doubt, that Men have in their Minds several Ideas, such as are those expressed by the words, Whiteness, Hardness, ... and others: It is in the first place to be enquired, How he comes by them?' (Locke 1689, p. 104)

'How does it come about that the development of organic behavior into controlled inquiry brings about the differentiation and cooperation of observational and conceptual operations?' (Dewey 1938, p. 12)

'the fundamental explicandum, is the organism and its propositional attitudes ... Cognitive psychologists accept ... the ... necessity of explaining how organisms come to have the attitudes to propositions that they do.' (Fodor 1975, p. 198)

Question How do humans come to know about—and to knowingly manipulate—objects, causes, words, numbers, colours, actions and minds?

2. From Myths to Mechanisms

'the soul inherently contains the sources of various notions and doctrines which external objects merely rouse up on suitable occasions' (Leibniz 1996, p. 48)

'Men, barely by the Use of their natural Faculties, may attain to all the Knowledge they have, without the help of any innate Impressions; [...] 'it would be impertinent to suppose, the Ideas of Colours innate in a Creature, to whom God hath given Sight, and a Power to receive them by the Eyes from external Objects' (Locke 1689, p. 48)

3. Inbetween mindless behaviour and thought

'We have many vocabularies for describing nature when we regard it as mindless, and we have a mentalistic vocabulary for describing thought and intentional action; what we lack is a way of describing what is in between' (Davidson 1999, p. 11)

Object permanence the ability to know things about, or represent, objects you aren't currently perceiving.

'there are many separable systems of mental representations ... and thus many different kinds of knowledge. ... the task ... is to contribute to the enterprise of finding the distinct systems of mental representation and to understand their development and integration' (Hood et al. 2000, p. 1522).

'violation-of-expectation experiments, using looking-time measures, suggested that infants have object permanence in occlusion conditions; but simplified-search studies confirm that infants fail to reach towards occluded objects, suggesting that infants do not have object permanence in occlusion conditions. This discrepancy, however, is only the tip of the iceberg. Results of studies attempting to measure infants' cognitive abilities using reaching measures often contradict results gained while using looking-time measures.' (Charles & Rivera 2009, p. 994)

Dennett's Intentional Stance

- (a) The strategy 'Here is how it works: first you decide to treat the object whose behavior is to be predicted as a rational agent; then you figure out what beliefs that agent ought to have, given its place in the world and its purpose. Then you figure out what desires it ought to have, on the same considerations, and finally your predict that this rational agent will act to further its goals in the light of its beliefs. A little practical reasoning from the chosen set of beliefs and desires will in many—but not in all—instances yield a decision about what the agent ought to do; that is what you predict the agent will do.' (Dennett 1987, p. 17)
- (b) The metaphysics 'any object—or as I shall

say, any system—whose behavior is well predicted by this strategy is in the fullest sense of the word a believer. What it is to be a true believer is to be an intentional system, a system whose behavior is reliably and voluminously predictable via the intentional strategy.' (Dennett 1987, p. 15)

4. Social Interaction

4.1. A Conjecture

'humans acquire knowledge at a pace far outstripping that found in any other species. Recent evidence indicates that interpersonal understanding—in particular, skill at inferring others' intentions—plays a pivotal role in this achievement.' (Baldwin 2000, p. 40)

'functions traditionally considered hallmarks of individual cognition originated through the need to interact with others ... perception, action, and cognition are grounded in social interaction.' (Knoblich & Sebanz 2006, p. 103)

Vygotskian Intelligence Hypothesis: 'the unique aspects of human cognition ... were driven by, or even constituted by, social cooperation.' (Moll & Tomasello 2007, p. 1)

'human cognitive abilities ... [are] built upon social interaction' (Sinigaglia & Sparaci 2008)

4.2. How do children acquire words?

'children learn words through the exercise of reason' (Bloom 2001, p. 1103; see Bloom 2000)

'Augustine describes the learning of human language as if the child came into a strange country and did not understand the language of the country; that is, as if it already had a language, only not this one. Or again: as if the child could already think, only not yet speak.' (Wittgenstein 1953, 15–16, §32)

'[t]he child learns this language from the grown-ups by being trained to its use. I am using the word 'trained' in a way strictly analogous to that in which we talk of an animal being trained to do certain things. It is done by means of example, reward, punishment, and suchlike' (Wittgenstein 1972, p. 77)

'the child's early learning of a verbal response depends on society's reinforcement of the response in association with the stimulations that merit the response' ((Quine 1960, p. 82); compare (Quine 1974, pp. 28–9))

'A child learning to speak is learning habits and associations which are just as much determined by the environment as the habit of expecting dogs to bark and cocks to crow' (Russell 1921, p. 71)

Children acquiring language create their own words before they learn to use those of the adults around them.

'Some children are so impatient that they coin their own demonstrative pronoun. For instance, at the age of about 12 months, Max would point to different objects and say "doh?," some¬times with the intent that we do something with the objects, such as bring them to him, and sometimes just wanting us to appreciate their existence' (Bloom 2000, p. 122; see further Clark 1981, 1982).

Even where children have mastered a lexical convention, they will readily violate it in their own utterances in order to get a point across.

'From the time they first use words until they are about two or two-and-a-half, children noticeably and systematically overextend words. For example, one child used the word "apple" to refer to balls of soap, a rubber-ball, a ball-lamp, a tomato, cherries, peaches, strawberries, an orange, a pear, an onion, and round biscuits' (Clark 1993, p. 35)

Children with no experience of others' languages can create their own languages. (Kegl et al. 1999; Senghas & Coppola 2001; Goldin-Meadow 2003)

5. Core knowledge

References

Baldwin, D. (2000). Interpersonal understanding fuels knowledge acquisition. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9(2), 40–5.

Bloom, P. (2000). How children learn the meanings of words. Learning, development, and conceptual change. Cambridge, Mass.; London: MIT Press.

Bloom, P. (2001). Controversies in the study of word learning. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(6), 1124–1130.

Charles, E. P. & Rivera, S. M. (2009). Object permanence and method of disappearance: looking measures further contradict reaching measures. *Developmental Science*, 12(6), 991–1006.

Clark, E. V. (1981). Lexical innovations: How children learn to create new words. In W. Deutsch (Ed.), *The Child's Construction of Language*. London: Academic Press.

Clark, E. V. (1982). The young word maker: a case study of innovation in the child's lexicon. In E. Wanner & L. R. Gleitman (Eds.), *Language acquisition:* the state of the art. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Clark, E. V. (1993). *The Lexicon in Acquisition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Davidson, D. (1999). The emergence of thought. *Erkenntnis*, 51, 7–17.

Dennett, D. (1987). *The Intentional Stance*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Dewey, J. (1938). Logic: The Theory of Inquiry. New York: Henry Holt.

Fodor, J. (1975). *The Language of Thought*. New York: Harvard University Press.

Goldin-Meadow, S. (2003). The resilience of language: what gesture creation in deaf children can tell us about how all children learn language. Essays in developmental psychology. New York, N.Y.: Psychology Press.

Hood, B., Carey, S., & Prasada, S. (2000). Predicting the outcomes of physical events: Two-year-olds fail to reveal knowledge of solidity and support. *Child Development*, 71(6), 1540–1554.

Kegl, J., Senghas, A., & Coppola, M. (1999). Creation through contact: Sign language emergence and sign language change in nicaragua. In M. DeGraff (Ed.), Language creation and language change: Creolization, diachrony, and development. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Knoblich, G. & Sebanz, N. (2006). The social nature of perception and action. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(3), 99–104.

Leibniz, G. W. (1996). *New essays on human understanding*. Cambridge texts in the history of philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Locke, J. (1975 [1689]). An Essay Concerning Human Understanding. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Moll, H. & Tomasello, M. (2007). Cooperation and human cognition: the vygotskian intelligence hypothesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 362(1480), 639–648.

Quine, W. V. O. (1960). Word and object. Studies in communication. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Quine, W. V. O. (1974). *The roots of reference*. Paul Carus lectures; no, 14. La Salle, Ill.: Open Court.

Russell, B. (1921). *The Analysis of Mind*. www.blackmask.com: Muirhead Library Of Philosophy / electronic edition by Blackmask Online.

Senghas, A. & Coppola, M. (2001). Children creating language: How nicaraguan sign language acquired a spatial grammar. *Psychological Science*, 12(4), 323–328.

Sinigaglia, C. & Sparaci, L. (2008). The mirror roots of social cognition. *Acta philosophica*, 17(2), 307–330.

Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical Investigations*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wittgenstein, L. (1972). *The Blue and Brown books* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Blackwell.