

## Scope

Underlining shows the scope of the quantifiers

"All squares are blue"  
 $\forall x ( \text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \text{Blue}(x) )$

"If everything is square, everything is blue"  
 $\forall x \text{ Square}(x) \rightarrow \forall x \text{ Blue}(x)$

## Quantifiers bind variables

Read §9.2 of Barwise & Etchemendy

## Quantifiers and number

To translate statements involving number into FOL, use identity

E.g. Two objects are broken:

$$\exists x \exists y ( \text{Broken}(x) \wedge \text{Broken}(y) \wedge \neg(x=y) )$$

Ex. Translate  $\forall$ Three objects are broken into FOL

Some object is broken.  
 $\exists x \text{ Broken}(x)$

Two objects are broken  
 $\exists x \text{ Broken}(x) \wedge \exists y \text{ Broken}(y)$   
 $\exists x \exists y ( \text{Broken}(x) \wedge \text{Broken}(y) )$

$$\exists x \exists y ( \text{Broken}(x) \wedge \text{Broken}(y) \wedge \neg(x=y) )$$

## Proof example: $\exists$ Elim

1. $\exists x ( \text{Blue}(x) \wedge \text{Square}(x) )$	
b 2. $\text{Blue}(b) \wedge \text{Square}(b)$	
3. $\text{Blue}(b)$	$\wedge$ Elim: 2
4. $\exists x \text{ Blue}(x)$	$\exists$ Intro: 3
x. $\exists x \text{ Blue}(x)$	$\exists$ Elim: 2-4, 1

## Invalid use of $\exists$ Elim

1. $\exists x ( \text{Blue}(x) \wedge \text{Square}(x) )$	
b 2. $\text{Blue}(b) \wedge \text{Square}(b)$	
3. $\text{Blue}(b)$	$\wedge$ Elim: 2
x. $\text{Blue}(b)$	$\exists$ Elim: 2-3, 1

new name  
must not appear in conclusion of subproof

## Tonk

*Intro	*Elim
$P_i$	$P_1 * P_2$
...	...
$P_1 * P_2$	$P_i$

## Exercises 05

(Same as from handout for lecture 09)

For your sixth seminar. Not for fast groups

A. From the LPL textbook:

7.9 (truth functions)

6.17–20 (proof)

6.33, 6.40

DO NOT USE TAUT CON. EVER.

8.24–25 (proofs/counterexamples)

12.4–5, \*12.6–7 (counterexamples)

B. For each of the following sentences of FOL, give a logically equivalent sentence of idiomatic English using the specified interpretation. Your English sentences should be as concise as possible.

Domain : people and actions

$D(x)$  : x is desirable

$V(x)$  : x is virtuous

$A(x)$  : x is an action

$H(x)$  : x is a person

$P(x,y)$ : x performed y

i.  $\forall x [ D(x) \rightarrow V(x) ]$

ii.  $\forall x [ [A(x) \wedge D(x)] \rightarrow V(x) ] ]$

iii.  $\exists x [ A(x) \wedge \neg [ D(x) \rightarrow V(x) ] ]$

\*iv.  $\exists x [ H(x) \wedge \forall y [ [A(y) \wedge P(x,y)] \rightarrow V(y) ] ]$

\*\*v.  $\neg \exists x [ \exists y [ H(x) \wedge P(x,y) \wedge A(y) \wedge \neg V(y) ] ]$   
 $\wedge \neg \exists z [ P(x,z) \wedge A(z) \wedge V(z) ] ]$