



Which joint actions ground social cognition? Comment on Stephen Butterfill

By Thea Zander
Centre for Integrative Neuroscience
Tübingen, 6th June 2011





Mainpoints

- Premise: joint actions play an important role in explaining how humans come to understand minds
 - → children's engaging in joint actions facilitates their cognitive and social development
- Bratman's account of a specific form of joint activity (based on shared intentions) is incompatible with this premise
- There are joint actions that do not require shared intentions
- This kind involves shared goals instead
 - → joint actions are goal-directed actions that comprise two or more agent's activities





Shared goals

- Function: to coordinate plural activities around a result (≠ state)
- Indicators of joint action:
 - all of the actions are directed to a common goal
 - each agent modifies his actions in accordance with other agents pursuing a common goal
 - expectations about a common effect
 - → mere presence or efficacy of a shared goal is not sufficient for joint actions
 - → shared goals characterise one form of joint actions





Less cognitive and conceptual demands ...

- are required!
- Really?
- Not having shared intentions: information seems to be more "implicit" and "blurry"
 - → how do we know who will coact?
 - → how do we know how to interpret actions of coagents?
- Which cues do inform us about the commitment of our possible coagents?
 - → we often know more than we can tell
- What's about the expectations?





Which specific kind of social cognition ...

- is fostered by the engaging in joint actions?
- What can autism tell us about the development of socialcognitive abilities with regard to joint actions?

Try to get knowledge about the social environment

- Social awareness
- Social learning, learning by imitation
- Theory of mind: attributing mental states to oneself and others
 - → Cooperatively achieving one common goal





Relation of joint actions and rational agency?

- What can we learn about rationality from the standpoint of joint actions fostering social-cognitive development?
- As starting point for the discussion:

Goal-directed actions = rational actions?

Engaging in joint actions in order to achieve a **common** goal = rational?

Engaging in **joint actions** = rational per se?





Thank you!