


## 0.1 Stavinskiy Correlation Function Construction


The purpose of the Stavinskiy method is to rid the correlation functions of the non-femtoscopic background. More specifically, this method is intended to handle background contributions from elliptic flow, and other sources having reflection symmetry in the transverse plane. With the Stavinskiy method, mixed-event pairs are not used for the background ( $B(k^*)$ ); instead, same-event pseudo-pairs, formed by rotating one particle in a real pair by  $180^\circ$  in the transverse plane, are used as a background. This rotation rids the pairs of any femtoscopic correlation, while maintaining correlations due to elliptic flow (and other suitably symmetric contributors).

The results of correctly implementing such a procedure are shown in Figure 1. The figure shows the Stavinskiy method does a very good job of ridding the  $\Lambda K^\pm$  correlations of their non-femtoscopic backgrounds. We also see the procedure does not work as well on the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  system.

Now, one must be somewhat careful when applying this Stavinskiy method. We found that, in order to obtain correct results, we had to run our pseudo-pairs through the same pair cuts used in our analyses. In an ideal world, our pair cut would only remove truly bad pairs results from splitting, merging, etc. In the real world, the pair cut always throws out some of the good with the bad. For the pseudo-pairs to form a reliable background, they too must experience the pair cut, and the loss of “good” pseudo-pairs. We found this issue affected mainly our  $\Lambda K^+$  &  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  analysis, as can be seen in Figure 2, which shows both a correct implementation of the Stavinskiy method, and an incorrect implementation lacking the additional pair cut on the pseudo-pairs.



**Fig. 1:**  $\Lambda K$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}$  correlation functions built using the fully correct Stavinskiy method for 0-10%, 10-30%, and 30-50% centralities. In the fully correct method, the pseudo-pairs (same-event pairs with one particle rotated by  $180^\circ$  in the transverse plane) are also run through the pair cuts used in the analysis (an example of an incorrect implementation is shown in Fig. 2. Closed symbols represent correlations built using the normal mixed-event background, while open symbols represent correlations formed using the Stavinskiy same-event pseudo-pairs as a background. Figures in the right column are zoomed-in versions of figures in the left column.



**Fig. 2:**  $\Lambda K$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}$  correlation functions built, both correctly and incorrectly, using the Stavinskiy method for 0-10%, 10-30%, and 30-50% centralities. This figure is the same as Fig. 1, but with results from the incorrect Stavinskiy implementation shown in magenta. The closed, (red, blue, black) symbols represent correlation functions formed using the normal method with mixed-event background pairs. The open, cyan symbols represent correlation functions formed using the correct Stavinskiy method. The closed, magenta symbols represent correlation functions formed using the incorrect Stavinskiy method. In the correct method, the pseudo-pairs (same-event pairs with one particle rotated by  $180^\circ$  in the transverse plane) are also run through the pair cuts used in the analysis; in the incorrect method, they are not. Figures in the right column are zoomed-in versions of figures in the left column.