


## 0.1 Results: $\Lambda K_S^0$ and $\Lambda K^\pm$

I first collect all of the summary results, and will show the actual fits to the data in Sections ??, ??, and ?. In the first of the summary plots, we show the extracted scattering parameters in the form of a  $\text{Im}[f_0]$  vs  $\text{Re}[f_0]$  plot, which includes the  $d_0$  values to the right side. The next three summary plots show the  $\lambda$  vs. Radius parameters. The first group of plots shows: 1) results without any residual correlations included in the fit (marked as "QM 2017"), 2) results with 10 residual pairs included, and 3) results with 3 residual pairs included. The second group of plots also includes the case where we fixed the  $d_0$  parameter to zero.




**Fig. 1:** Extracted scattering parameter results,  $\text{Im}[f_0]$  vs.  $\text{Re}[f_0]$ , together with  $d_0$  to the right, for all of our  $\Lambda K$  systems. The plot shows results including no residuals (circles), 10 residual pairs (X), and 3 residual pairs (+). The lighter color markers (pink, sky blue, gray) show the extracted parameters when we fix the radii to roughly align with the  $m_T$ -scaling plot, Fig. ?. The green [?] and yellow [?] points show theoretical predictions made using chiral perturbation theory. Note,  $\Lambda K^+$  on the plot is shorthand for  $\Lambda K^+$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$ , and similar for the others.

### 0.1.1 Results: $\Lambda K_S^0$ and $\Lambda K^\pm$ : No Residual Correlations Included in Fit

Figures ??, ??, and ?? (Section ??) show experimental data with fits for all studied centralities for  $\Lambda K_S^0$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$ ,  $\Lambda K^+$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$ , and  $\Lambda K^-$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$ , respectively. The parameter sets extracted from the fits can be found in Tables 1 and 2. All correlation functions were normalized in the range  $0.32 < k^* < 0.40$  GeV/c, and fit in the range  $0.0 < k^* < 0.30$  GeV/c. For the  $\Lambda K^-$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  analyses, the region  $0.19 < k^* < 0.23$  GeV/c was excluded from the fit to exclude the bump caused by the  $\Omega^-$  resonance. The non-flat background was fit with a linear form from  $0.6 < k^* < 0.9$  GeV/c. The theoretical fit function was then multiplied by this background during the fitting process.


In the figures (??, ??, and ??), the black solid line represents the "raw" fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background.




**Fig. 2:** Extracted  $\lambda$  vs Radius results, for the 0-10% centrality bin, for all of our  $\Lambda K$  systems. The plot shows results including no residuals (circles), 10 residual pairs (X), and 3 residual pairs (+). Note,  $\Lambda K^+$  on the plot is shorthand for  $\Lambda K^+$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$ , and similar for the others.

The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.


For the  $\Lambda K_s^0$  fits,  $R$  was restricted to [2.0, 10.0 fm] and  $\lambda$  was restricted to [0.1, 0.8]. This gave the lowest  $\chi^2$  value, but loosening this restriction changes the fit parameters slightly. Notice, the 10-30% radius is at its limit, as is  $\lambda$  from the 30-50%  $\Lambda K_s^0$  analysis. This accounts for the 0.000 systematic uncertainty of the 10-30%  $R$  value currently quoted in Table 1. An estimate for this uncertainty should be included in the next version of this note. In the future, we may need to throw out the 30-50% data from the fit, but this is not ideal.




**Fig. 3:** Extracted  $\lambda$  vs Radius results, for the 10-30% centrality bin, for all of our  $\Lambda K$  systems. The plot shows results including no residuals (circles), 10 residual pairs (X), and 3 residual pairs (+). Note,  $\Lambda K^+$  on the plot is shorthand for  $\Lambda K^+$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$ , and similar for the others.




**Fig. 4:** Extracted  $\lambda$  vs Radius results, for the 30-50% centrality bin, for all of our  $\Lambda K$  systems. The plot shows results including no residuals (circles), 10 residual pairs (X), and 3 residual pairs (+). Note,  $\Lambda K^+$  on the plot is shorthand for  $\Lambda K^+$  and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$ , and similar for the others.




**Fig. 5:** Same as Fig. ??, but also including the case where  $d_0$  was fixed to zero in the fit.




**Fig. 6:** Same as Fig. ??, but also including the case where  $d_0$  was fixed to zero in the fit.




**Fig. 7:** Same as Fig. ??, but also including the case where  $d_0$  was fixed to zero in the fit.




**Fig. 8:** Same as Fig. ??, but also including the case where  $d_0$  was fixed to zero in the fit.



**Fig. 9:** Fits, with NO residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\Lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




**Fig. 10:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with NO residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R} f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I} f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\Lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




ALICE-PREL-126764

**Fig. 11:** Fits to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\text{Re}[f_0]$ ,  $\text{Im}[f_0]$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 12:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with NO residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.



ALI-PREL-125931

**Fig. 13:** Fits, with NO residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\text{Re}[f_0]$ ,  $\text{Im}[f_0]$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.



**Fig. 14:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with NO residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R} f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I} f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.

Fit Results $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})K_S^0$						
Pair Type	Centrality	Fit Parameters				
		$\lambda$	$R$	$\mathbb{R}f_0$	$\mathbb{I}f_0$	$d_0$
$\Lambda K_S^0$	0-10%	0.400 $\pm$ 0.187 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.116 (sys.)	3.024 $\pm$ 0.541 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.329 (sys.)	-0.157 $\pm$ 0.031 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.043 (sys.)	0.176 $\pm$ 0.077 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.059 (sys.)	3.566 $\pm$ 0.947 (stat.) $\pm$ 2.836 (sys.)
	10-30%		2.270 $\pm$ 0.413 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.324 (sys.)			
	30-50%		1.669 $\pm$ 0.307 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.280 (sys.)			
	0-10%	0.400 $\pm$ 0.187 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.116 (sys.)	3.024 $\pm$ 0.541 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.329 (sys.)		0.176 $\pm$ 0.077 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.059 (sys.)	3.566 $\pm$ 0.947 (stat.) $\pm$ 2.836 (sys.)
	10-30%		2.270 $\pm$ 0.413 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.324 (sys.)			
	30-50%		1.669 $\pm$ 0.307 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.280 (sys.)			

**Table 1:** Fit Results  $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})K_S^0$ . Each pair is fit simultaneously with its conjugate (ie.  $\Lambda K_S^0$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$ ) across all centralities (0-10%, 10-30%, 30-50%), for a total of 6 simultaneous analyses in the fit. Each analysis has a unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameter. The radii are shared between analyses of like centrality, as these should have similar source sizes. The scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The fit is done on the data with only statistical error bars. The errors marked as “stat.” are those returned by MINUIT. The errors marked as “sys.” are those which result from my systematic analysis (as outlined in Section ??).


Fit Results $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})K^\pm$						
Pair Type	Centrality	Fit Parameters				
		$\lambda$	$R$	$\mathbb{R}f_0$	$\mathbb{I}f_0$	$d_0$
$\Lambda K^+$	0-10%	0.379 $\pm$ 0.085 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.220 (sys.)	4.045 $\pm$ 0.381 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.830 (sys.)	-0.687 $\pm$ 0.160 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.223 (sys.)	0.391 $\pm$ 0.143 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.111 (sys.)	0.639 $\pm$ 0.534 (stat.) $\pm$ 1.621 (sys.)
	10-30%	0.485 $\pm$ 0.129 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.241 (sys.)	3.923 $\pm$ 0.454 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.663 (sys.)			
	30-50%	0.639 $\pm$ 0.195 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.204 (sys.)	3.717 $\pm$ 0.554 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.420 (sys.)			
	0-10%	0.371 $\pm$ 0.083 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.217 (sys.)	4.045 $\pm$ 0.381 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.830 (sys.)		0.453 $\pm$ 0.181 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.184 (sys.)	-5.292 $\pm$ 2.895 (stat.) $\pm$ 7.658 (sys.)
	10-30%	0.411 $\pm$ 0.111 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.201 (sys.)	3.923 $\pm$ 0.454 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.663 (sys.)			
	30-50%	0.616 $\pm$ 0.192 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.203 (sys.)	3.717 $\pm$ 0.554 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.420 (sys.)			
$\Lambda K^-$	0-10%	0.453 $\pm$ 0.162 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.186 (sys.)	4.787 $\pm$ 0.788 (stat.) $\pm$ 1.375 (sys.)	0.183 $\pm$ 0.134 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.095 (sys.)	0.401 $\pm$ 0.719 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.978 (sys.)	-2.112 $\pm$ 0.517 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.457 (sys.)
	10-30%	0.395 $\pm$ 0.149 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.198 (sys.)	4.001 $\pm$ 0.719 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.978 (sys.)			
	30-50%	0.199 $\pm$ 0.077 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.132 (sys.)	2.112 $\pm$ 0.517 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.457 (sys.)			
	0-10%	0.479 $\pm$ 0.170 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.152 (sys.)	4.787 $\pm$ 0.788 (stat.) $\pm$ 1.375 (sys.)		0.401 $\pm$ 0.719 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.978 (sys.)	-2.112 $\pm$ 0.517 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.457 (sys.)
	10-30%	0.491 $\pm$ 0.179 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.148 (sys.)	4.001 $\pm$ 0.719 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.978 (sys.)			
	30-50%	0.224 $\pm$ 0.083 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.106 (sys.)	2.112 $\pm$ 0.517 (stat.) $\pm$ 0.457 (sys.)			

**Table 2:** Fit Results  $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})K^\pm$  Each pair is fit simultaneously with its conjugate (ie.  $\Lambda K^+$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  and  $\Lambda K^-$  with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$ ) across all centralities (0-10%, 10-30%, 30-50%), for a total of 6 simultaneous analyses in the fit. Each analysis has a unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameter. The radii are shared between analyses of like centrality, as these should have similar source sizes. The scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The fit is done on the data with only statistical error bars. The errors marked as “stat.” are those returned by MINUIT. The errors marked as “sys.” are those which result from my systematic analysis (as outlined in Section ??).

**Fit Parameters (value  $\pm$  statistical error  $\pm$  systematic error)**

<b>Pair Type</b>	<b>Centrality</b>	<b>R</b>		
$\Lambda K^+ \& \bar{\Lambda} K^-$	<b>0-10%</b>	<b><math>4.04 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.83</math></b>		
	<b>10-30%</b>	<b><math>3.92 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.66</math></b>		
	<b>30-50%</b>	<b><math>3.72 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.42</math></b>		
		$\Re f_0$	$\Im f_0$	$d_0$
		<b><math>-0.69 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.22</math></b>	<b><math>0.39 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.11</math></b>	<b><math>0.64 \pm 0.53 \pm 1.62</math></b>
$\Lambda K^- \& \bar{\Lambda} K^+$	<b>0-10%</b>	<b><math>4.79 \pm 0.79 \pm 1.38</math></b>		
	<b>10-30%</b>	<b><math>4.00 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.98</math></b>		
	<b>30-50%</b>	<b><math>2.11 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.46</math></b>		
		$\Re f_0$	$\Im f_0$	$d_0$
		<b><math>0.18 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10</math></b>	<b><math>0.45 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.18</math></b>	<b><math>-5.29 \pm 2.94 \pm 7.66</math></b>
$\Lambda K_S^0 \& \bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$	<b>0-10%</b>	<b><math>3.02 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.33</math></b>		
	<b>10-30%</b>	<b><math>2.27 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.32</math></b>		
	<b>30-50%</b>	<b><math>1.67 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28</math></b>		
		$\Re f_0$	$\Im f_0$	$d_0$
		<b><math>-0.16 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.04</math></b>	<b><math>0.18 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06</math></b>	<b><math>3.57 \pm 0.95 \pm 2.84</math></b>


Figure 7 shows extracted  $R_{\text{inv}}$  parameters as a function of transverse mass ( $m_T$ ) for various pair systems over several centralities. The published ALICE data [?] is shown with transparent, open symbols. The new  $\Lambda K$  results are shown with opaque, filled symbols. The radii show an increasing size with increasing centrality, as is expected from the simple geometric picture of the collisions. The radii decrease in size with increasing  $m_T$ , and we see an approximate scaling of the radii with transverse mass, as is expected in the presence of collective flow in the system.




**Fig. 15:** No residual correlations in  $\Lambda K$  fits. Extracted fit  $R_{\text{inv}}$  parameters as a function of pair transverse mass ( $m_T$ ) for various pair systems over several centralities. The ALICE published data [?] is shown with transparent, open symbols. The new  $\Lambda K$  results are shown with opaque, filled symbols. In the left, the  $\Lambda K^+$  (with its conjugate pair) results are shown separately from the  $\Lambda K^-$  (with its conjugate pair) results. In the right, all  $\Lambda K^\pm$  results are averaged.

### 0.1.2 Results: $\Lambda K_S^0$ and $\Lambda K^\pm$ : 3 Residual Correlations Included in Fit


### 0.1.3 Results: $\Lambda K_S^0$ and $\Lambda K^\pm$ : 10 Residual Correlations Included in Fit




**Fig. 16:** Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\text{Re}[f_0]$ ,  $\text{Im}[f_0]$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




**Fig. 17:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\Lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




**Fig. 18:** Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 19:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 20:** Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\text{Re}[f_0]$ ,  $\text{Im}[f_0]$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 21:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 3 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 22:** 3 residual correlations in  $\Lambda K$  fits. Extracted fit  $R_{\text{inv}}$  parameters as a function of pair transverse mass ( $m_T$ ) for various pair systems over several centralities. The ALICE published data [?] is shown with transparent, open symbols. The new  $\Lambda K$  results are shown with opaque, filled symbols. In the left, the  $\Lambda K^+$  (with it's conjugate pair) results are shown separately from the  $\Lambda K^-$  (with it's conjugate pair) results. In the right, all  $\Lambda K^\pm$  results are averaged.




**Fig. 23:** Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_S^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_S^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R} f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I} f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\Lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




**Fig. 24:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K_s^0$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K_s^0$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties. Here,  $R$  was restricted to [2.,10.] and  $\Lambda$  was restricted to [0.1,0.8].




**Fig. 25:** Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0, \mathbb{I}f_0, d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.




**Fig. 26:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^+$  (left) and  $\bar{\Lambda} K^-$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $R f_0$ ,  $I f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.



**Fig. 27:** Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $\mathbb{R}f_0$ ,  $\mathbb{I}f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.



**Fig. 28:** Same as Fig. ??, but with a wider range of view. Fits, with 10 residual correlations included, to the  $\Lambda K^-$  (left) with  $\bar{\Lambda} K^+$  (right) data for the centralities 0-10% (top), 10-30% (middle), and 30-50% (bottom). The lines represent the statistical errors, while the boxes represent the systematic errors. Each has unique  $\lambda$  and normalization parameters. The radii are shared amongst like centralities; the scattering parameters ( $R f_0$ ,  $I f_0$ ,  $d_0$ ) are shared amongst all. The black solid line represents the “raw” fit, i.e. not corrected for momentum resolution effects nor non-flat background. The green line shows the fit to the non-flat background. The purple points show the fit after momentum resolution and non-flat background corrections have been applied. The initial values of the parameters is listed, as well as the final fit values with uncertainties.



**Fig. 29:** 10 residual correlations in  $\Lambda K$  fits. Extracted fit  $R_{\text{inv}}$  parameters as a function of pair transverse mass ( $m_T$ ) for various pair systems over several centralities. The ALICE published data [?] is shown with transparent, open symbols. The new  $\Lambda K$  results are shown with opaque, filled symbols. In the left, the  $\Lambda K^+$  (with it's conjugate pair) results are shown separately from the  $\Lambda K^-$  (with it's conjugate pair) results. In the right, all  $\Lambda K^\pm$  results are averaged.