

Q1

a) Here $p(\theta) \sim N(25, 3^2)$ [from literature]
 And when we take the instrument, then we
 get $y=28$ as output.

$p(y|\theta) \sim N(\theta, 5^2)$, '0' here is chosen from the
 prior gaussian.

Using Bayes theorem:

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(\theta) \cdot p(y|\theta)}{p(y)}$$

Here $p(y) = \int_0^{\infty} p(y|\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$, which will come out
 to be a constant. ~~to~~

\therefore we can say,

$$p(\theta|y) \propto p(\theta) \cdot p(y|\theta).$$

To find θ_{map} , we can find θ that maximizes
 $[p(\theta) \cdot p(y|\theta)]$

Plugging in the gaussians, we get

$$p(\theta|y) \propto \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(3)} e^{-\frac{(\theta-25)^2}{2(3)^2}} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(5)} e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2(5)^2}} \right]$$

$$\therefore p(\theta|y) \propto K \cdot e^{\left(-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2 \cdot 5^2} - \frac{(\theta-25)^2}{2 \cdot 3^2} \right)} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

To find which θ maximizes the expression ①, we will differentiate wrt θ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\theta} p(\theta|y) &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{50} - \frac{(\theta-25)^2}{18}} \right) \\ &= \left[e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{50} - \frac{(\theta-25)^2}{18}} \right] \left[-\frac{2(y-\theta)(-1)}{50} - \frac{2(\theta-25)(1)}{18} \right] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Since e^x is never 0, it can only be asymptotic.
We can say

$$-\frac{2(y-\theta)(-1)}{50} - \frac{2(\theta-25)(1)}{18} = 0$$

$$\frac{28-\theta}{25} = \frac{\theta-25}{9}$$

$$34\theta = 625 + 28 \times 9$$

$$\theta = 25.79411 \quad \boxed{\text{Ans 1. a}}$$

b) Now, if we exchange the prior and the likelihood.

$$p(\theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(28, 3^2)$$

$$\& p(y|\theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 3^2)$$

then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} p(\theta|y) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{18} - \frac{(\theta-28)^2}{50}} \right]$$

$$= e^{\left(\frac{-(y-\theta)^2}{18} - \frac{(\theta-28)^2}{50} \right)} \cdot \left(\frac{-2(y-\theta)(-1)}{18} - \frac{2(\theta-28)}{50} \right)$$

Again,

$$\frac{2(y-\theta)}{18} - \frac{2(\theta-28)}{50} = 0$$

$$\frac{y-\theta}{9} = \frac{\theta-28}{25}$$

$$\frac{25(25-\theta)}{9} = 9\theta - 28 \times 9$$

$$625 - 25\theta = 9\theta - 28 \times 9$$

$$625 + 28 \times 9 = 34\theta$$

$$\theta = 25.79411 \quad \text{Ans 1.b.}$$

' θ ' did not change when we ~~swapped~~ exchanged the $p(\theta)$ (prior) and the $p(y|\theta)$ (likelihood), probably because

c) literature $\sim N(\mu, \sigma_1^2)$

Measurement $\sim N(\theta, \sigma_2^2)$

Using Bayes' rule ~~$p(\theta)$~~ $p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta) \cdot p(\theta)}{p(y)}$

Here we ignore $p(y)$ & it is just a normalizing factor, so.

$$\text{For } \theta_{\text{map}} = \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} p(y|\theta) \cdot p(\theta)$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} p(\theta) \cdot p(y|\theta)$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \sigma_1} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(y - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(y - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$$

Applying \log

$$= \underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \left[\log \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{-(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(y - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right]$$

Derivating / Calculating the gradient

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\log \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{-(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(y - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right]$$

$$= 0 - \frac{2(\theta - \mu)(1)}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{2(y - \theta)(1)}{2\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\nabla = -\frac{(\theta - \mu)}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{y - \theta}{\sigma_2^2}$$

Equating this to 0.

$$\frac{-(\theta - \mu_1)}{\sigma_1^2} = \frac{\theta - y}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$-\frac{\theta}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} = \frac{\theta}{\sigma_2^2} - \frac{y}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{y}{\sigma_2^2} = \frac{\theta}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\theta}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\theta \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right) = \frac{\mu_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{y}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\theta = \frac{\mu_1 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right) + y \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \right)}{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}}$$

$$\therefore \theta_{map} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \mu_1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} y}{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}}$$

Q2

$$\hat{p}_v = \frac{N_v + m}{N + (v+1)m}$$

Here \hat{p} is the estimator which is the smoothed probability for the frequency estimator.

$$\hat{p}_v = P(X=v)$$

$$Y_v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_t = v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We define N_v to be a random variable which takes values from Y

$$N_v = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_N \quad \text{if } x^t \in X$$

x^t here are the samples drawn from D .

Now, \hat{p}_v is the estimator and the original value is $p_v = \frac{N_v}{N}$

$$\text{Bias} = E(\hat{p}_v) - \theta$$

Here $\theta = p_v$

$$\text{Bias} = E\left[\frac{N_v + m}{N + (v+1)m}\right] - \frac{N_v}{N}$$

Since ' $N + (v+1)m$ ' is a constant, we take it out

$$= \frac{1}{N + (v+1)m} [E[N_v] + E[m]] - \frac{N_v}{N}$$

Calculating $E[N_v]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= E[Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_N] \\
 &= E[Y_1] + E[Y_2] + \dots + E[Y_N] \\
 &= \sum 0.4(0) + 1 \cdot p(1) + \dots + \sum 0.4(1-p(1)) + 1 \cdot p(1) \\
 &= \underbrace{p_v + p_v + p_v + \dots + p_v}_{N \text{ terms}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Bias = $\frac{N p_v}{N+0.3} - p_v$ Here $\theta = p_v$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{E[N_v + m]}{N + 0.3} - p_v \\
 &= \frac{1}{N + 0.3} (N p_v + m) - p_v
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{N p_v + m - (N p_v + p(0.3))}{N + 0.3}$$

$$= \frac{m - 0.3 p_v}{N + 0.3}$$

$$= \frac{0.1 - 0.3 p_v}{N + 0.3} \quad \text{Ans}$$

Q3 let us build a cost matrix for the company.

$$X = \$350$$

	Stolen	Not Stolen
Approved	350	-7
Not Approved	0	1750

Given $P(\text{Stolen} | X) = 0.26$

$$\therefore P(\overline{\text{Stolen}} | X) = 0.74$$

a) If purchase is approved & the card is stolen, then the cost would be \$350.

b) The cost to the company will be \$(-7), i.e. the company will gain money from the transaction.

$$\therefore \text{Cost to company} = \$(-7)$$

$$\text{i.e. profit to company} = \$7.$$

c) Expected cost to the company if purchase is approved is

~~$$R(A|X) = 350 \times P(A|S, X) + (-7) \times P(\overline{S}|X)$$~~

$$R(A|X) = 350 \times P(S|X) + (-7) \times P(\overline{S}|X)$$

$$= 350 \times (0.26) + (-7)(0.74)$$

$$= \$85.75$$

(d) Expected cost to the company if the purchase is denied.

$$R(\text{denied} | x) = 0 \cdot P(S|x) + 1750 \cdot P(\bar{S}|x)$$

$$= 0 + 1750 \times 0.74$$

$$= \$1295$$

(e) Minimum ^{risky} decision among the two is to approve the purchase

Q4
Q)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad r = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

To compute w 's, we use the formula.

$$w = (D^T D)^{-1} D^T r$$

$$D^T D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 18 & 16 \\ 18 & 106 & 87 \\ 16 & 87 & 78 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now,

$$(D^T D)^{-1} = \frac{1}{|D^T D|} \times \text{Adjugate}(D^T D)$$

$|D^T D|$ = Determinant of $D^T D$, & Solving for $|D^T D|$,

$$\text{we get } |D^T D| = 500.$$

$$\text{Adjugate}(D^T D) = \begin{bmatrix} 699 & -12 & -130 \\ -12 & 56 & -60 \\ -130 & -60 & 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(D^T D)^{-1} = \frac{1}{500} \begin{bmatrix} 699 & -12 & -130 \\ -12 & 56 & -60 \\ -130 & 60 & 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now,

$$(D^T D)^{-1} D^T = \frac{1}{500} \begin{bmatrix} 699 & -12 & -130 \\ -12 & 56 & -60 \\ -130 & 60 & 100 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.594, & 0.782, & 0.238, & -0.614 \\ -0.272, & 0.184, & 0.056, & 0.032 \\ 0.22, & -0.34, & -0.06, & 0.18 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, last step

$$W = (D^T D)^{-1} D^T Y$$

$$= (D^T D)^{-1} D^T \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 7.118 \\ 0.618 \\ -1.66 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Using only the first two examples from the dataset, we get,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D^T D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 17 & 11 \\ 5 & 11 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 17 & 11 \\ 5 & 11 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(D^T D)^{-1} = \frac{1}{|D^T D|} \times \text{Adjugate}(D^T D)$$

$$|D^T D| = 2(17 \times 13 - 11 \times 11) - 5(5 \times 13 - 5 \times 5) + 5(5 \times 11 - 17 \times 5)$$

$$= 332 - 200 + 15 - 150$$

$$= 332 - 350$$

$$= -18$$

$$D^T D = 2(17 \times 13 - 11^2) - 5(13 \times 5 - 11 \times 5) + 5(11 \times 5 - 17 \times 5)$$

$$= 0$$

$$(D^T D)^{-1} D^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.1875 & 0.375 \\ -0.625 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(D^T D)^{-1} D^T y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -0.1875 & 0.375 \\ -0.625 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2.0625 \\ 3.625 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here $(D^T D)^{-1}$ cannot be calculated as its ~~determinant~~ ~~inverse~~ comes out to be 0.

$\therefore D^T D$ is a singular matrix.

Reason for why it happens

We can see that in the matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 17 & 11 \\ 5 & 11 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

if we observe closely, there is linear dependency present in the matrix.

To prove the linear dependency, we can follow the following transformations to the matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B & C \\ 2 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 17 & 11 \\ 5 & 11 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

let $C = 2A + B$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 15 \\ 5 & 17 & 45 \\ 5 & 11 & 35 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now taking out 5 common from third column, we get

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & 2 & 5 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 & 17 & 9 \\ 5 & 5 & 11 & 7 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & \frac{17}{5} & 9 \\ 1 & \frac{11}{5} & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, $C = 5(A + B)$

Since this linear transformation exists, the determinant is zero and the matrix is singular.

$$c) E_{2,r} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i \frac{1}{2} (g_{0i}^t)_{rr}^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^2 \omega_i^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[(3\omega_2 + \omega_1 + \omega_0 - 3)^2 + (2\omega_2 + 4\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 7)^2 + (4\omega_2 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 2)^2 + (7\omega_2 + 8\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 1)^2 \right] + \lambda(\omega_2^2 + \omega_1^2 + \omega_0^2) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[(3\omega_2 + \omega_1 + \omega_0 - 3)^2 + (2\omega_2 + 4\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 7)^2 + (4\omega_2 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 2)^2 + (7\omega_2 + 8\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 1)^2 \right] + \lambda(\omega_2^2 + \omega_1^2 + \omega_0^2) \right)$$

d) Taking partial derivatives after letting $\lambda = 2$

$$\frac{\partial E_{2,r}}{\partial \omega_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[2(3\omega_2 + \omega_1 + \omega_0 - 3)(3) + 2(2\omega_2 + 4\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 7)(2) + 2(4\omega_2 + 5\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 2)(4) + 2(7\omega_2 + 8\omega_1 + \omega_0 - 1)(7) \right] + 2(2\omega_2(1) + 0 + 0) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[12\omega_2 + 6\omega_1 + 6\omega_0 - 18 + 8\omega_2 + 16\omega_1 + 4\omega_0 - 34 + 98\omega_2 + 112\omega_1 + 14\omega_0 - 14 + 92\omega_2 + 40\omega_1 + 8\omega_0 - 16 \right] + 4\omega_2 \right)$$

Now, Summing up all these and dividing by 2

$$= \frac{0.060516 + 0.544644 + 2.4273 + 0.329}{2}$$

$$= 1.68073$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} [150w_2 + 174w_1 + 32w_0 - 82] + 4w_2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (150w_2 + 174w_1 + 32w_0 - 82) + 2w_2$$

$$= 37.5w_2 + 43.5w_1 + 8w_0 - 20.5 + 2w_2$$

$$= 39.5w_2 + 43.5w_1 + 8w_0 - 20.5 = 10 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Now,

$$\frac{\partial F_{2,2}}{\partial w_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (2w_2 + w_0 - 3)(1) + 2(2w_2 + 4w_1 + w_0 - 7)(4) \right. \\ \left. + 2(4w_2 + 5w_1 + w_0 - 2)(5) \right. \\ \left. + 2(7w_2 + 8w_1 + w_0 - 1)(8) \right. \\ \left. + 2(2w_1)(1) + 0 + 0 \right)$$

Rearranging we get

$$\frac{87}{2}w_2 + 55w_1 + 9w_0 - \frac{49}{2} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Q4
→ a) Contd

Now Similarly

$$\frac{\partial E_{2,0}}{\partial w_0} = 8w_2 + 9w_1 + 2w_0 - 13 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

(e) Solving the equations

$$2w_0 + 9w_1 + 8w_2 - \frac{18}{2} = 0$$

$$9w_0 + 55w_1 + \frac{87}{2}w_2 - \frac{49}{2} = 0$$

$$8w_0 + \frac{87}{2}w_1 + 41w_2 - 19 = 0$$

By using np.linalg.solve, we get

$$w_0 = 6.20791246$$

$$w_1 = 0.13131313$$

$$w_2 = -0.88720539$$

∴ The equation of the linear function

is

$$g(x) = -0.8872x_2 + 0.1313x_1 + 6.2079$$

Ans