# 6.033 Spring 2018

Lecture #4

- Bounded Buffers
- Concurrency
- Locks

# **operating systems** enforce modularity on a single machine using **virtualization**

in order to enforce modularity + build an effective operating system

 programs shouldn't be able to refer to (and corrupt) each others' memory



virtual memory

programs should be able to communicate



assume that they don't need to

3. programs should be able to **share a CPU** without one program halting the progress of the others



assume one program per CPU

# **operating systems** enforce modularity on a single machine using **virtualization**

in order to enforce modularity + build an effective operating system

- programs shouldn't be able to refer to (and corrupt) each others' memory
- virtual memory

programs should be able to communicate

- bounded buffers
  (virtualize communication links)
- 3. programs should be able to **share a CPU** without one program halting the progress of the others

assume one program per CPU (for today)

today's goal: implement bounded buffers so that programs can communicate

# bounded buffer: a buffer that stores (up to) N messages

#### bounded buffer API:

```
send(m)
m <- receive()</pre>
```

```
send(bb, message):
   while True:
     if bb.in - bb.out < N:
       bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message</pre>
       bb.in <- bb.in + 1
       return
receive(bb):
   while True:
     if bb.out < bb.in:
       message <- bb.buf[bb.out mod N]</pre>
       bb.out <- bb.out + 1
```

return message

```
send(bb, message):
   while True:
     if bb.in - bb.out < N:
       bb.in <- bb.in + 1
       bb.buf[bb.in-1 mod N] <- message</pre>
       return
receive(bb):
   while True:
     if bb.out < bb.in:
```

bb.out <- bb.out + 1

return message

message <- bb.buf[bb.out mod N]</pre>

```
send(bb, message):
   while True:
     if bb.in - bb.out < N:
        bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message</pre>
        bb.in <- bb.in + 1
        return
                              incorrect if we swap
                               these statements!
receive(bb):
   while True:
     if bb.out < bb.in:
        message <- bb.buf[bb.out mod N]</pre>
        bb.out <- bb.out + 1
        return message
```

```
1: send(bb, message):
2: while True:
3:    if bb.in - bb.out < N:
4:        bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message
5:        bb.in <- bb.in + 1
6:        return</pre>
```

locks: allow only one CPU to be inside a piece of code at a time

#### lock API:

```
acquire(1)
release(1)
```

```
int buf[6];
int in = 0;
                        cpu_one()
                                       cpu two()
struct lock lck;
                          send(1);
                                         send(101);
send(int x)
                          send(2);
                                         send(102);
                          send(3);
                                         send(103);
  buf[in\%6] = x;
  in = in + 1;
```

#### example output:

```
101 102 103 1 2 3
101 102 1 0 2 3
1 102 103 0 2 3
1 2 3
```

correct!
empty spots in buffer
too few elements in buffer

```
int buf[6];
int in = 0;
                                       cpu_two()
                         cpu_one()
struct lock lck;
                           send(1);
                                         send(101);
send(int x)
                           send(2);
                                         send(102);
                           send(3);
                                         send(103);
  acquire(&lck);
  buf[in] = x;
  release(&lck);
  acquire(&lck);
  in = in + 1;
  release(&lck);
                                  example output:
                          correct!
                                  101 102 103 1 2 3
                                  1 0 2 0 3 0
               empty spots in buffer
                                  101 1 0 2 0 3
```

1 103 2

```
int buf[6];
int in = 0;
struct lock lck;
send(int x)
  acquire(&lck);
  buf[in] = x;
  in = in + 1;
  release(&lck);
```

#### example output:

correct!

```
101 1 102 2 103 3
101 102 1 103 2 3
1 101 2 102 3 103
101 102 1 103 2 3
```

```
send(bb, message):
  while True:
    if bb.in - bb.out < N:
        acquire(bb.lock)
        bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message
        bb.in <- bb.in + 1
        release(bb.lock)
        return</pre>
```

problem: second sender could end up writing to full buffer

```
send(bb, message):
    acquire(bb.lock)
    while True:
        if bb.in - bb.out < N:
            bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message
            bb.in <- bb.in + 1
            release(bb.lock)
            return</pre>
```

**problem:** deadlock if buffer is full (receive needs to acquire bb.lock to make space in buffer)

```
send(bb, message):
  acquire(bb.lock)
  while bb.in - bb.out == N:
       release(bb.lock)
                                 give up the lock to allow
                                receivers to access the buffer
       acquire(bb.lock)
  bb.buf[bb.in mod N] <- message</pre>
  bb.in <- bb.in + 1
  release(bb.lock)
  return
```

```
move(dir1, dir2, filename):
    unlink(dir1, filename)
    link(dir2, filename)
```

```
move(dir1, dir2, filename):
    acquire(fs_lock)
    unlink(dir1, filename)
    link(dir2, filename)
    release(fs_lock)
```

problem: poor performance

```
move(dir1, dir2, filename):
    acquire(dir1.lock)
    unlink(dir1, filename)
    release(dir1.lock)
    acquire(dir2.lock)
    link(dir2, filename)
    release(dir2.lock)
```

#### 

```
move(dir1, dir2, filename):
    acquire(dir1.lock)
    acquire(dir2.lock)
    unlink(dir1, filename)
    link(dir2, filename)
    release(dir1.lock)
    release(dir2.lock)
```

problem: deadlock

```
move(dir1, dir2, filename):
  if dir1.inum < dir2.inum:
    acquire(dir1.lock)
    acquire(dir2.lock)
  else:
    acquire(dir2.lock)
    acquire(dir1.lock)
  unlink(dir1, filename)
  link(dir2, filename)
  release(dir1.lock)
  release(dir2.lock)
```

could release dir1's lock here instead

## Implementing Locks

```
acquire(lock):
    while lock != 0:
        lock = 0
        lock = 1
```

problem: race condition
(need locks to implement locks!)

## Implementing Locks

- Bounded buffers allow programs to communicate, completing the second step of enforcing modularity on a single machine. They are tricky to implement due to concurrency.
- Locks allow us to implement atomic actions.
   Determining the correct locking discipline is tough thanks to race conditions, deadlock, and performance issues.

MIT OpenCourseWare <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu">https://ocw.mit.edu</a>

6.033 Computer System Engineering Spring 2018

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/terms">https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</a>.