# [Appendix: Childcare in British Columbia](#appendix-childcare-in-british-columbia)

This document is a not-for-publication appendix to Friesen et al (2025). It describes the results of our analysis of 2011 GSS data (Statistics Canada 2012) on childcare in Canada. Our primary interest is to describe childcare usage by parents of 5 year olds in British Columbia during this time period.

A summary of the main results is as follows:

* Roughly 40% of parents in our target group used childcare regularly.
* This usage rate was much lower than in Quebec, but only slightly less than in the rest of Canada (ROC).
* Of those that used childcare, a slight majority used private arrangements, while a substantial minority used after-school or before-school care.
* The fraction using before-school or after-school care appears to be lower than in ROC, but the results here are somewhat noisy.
* Usage of before-school or after-school care was much higher in Quebec than in either BC or ROC.
* In English Canada as a whole, childcare usage was:
* positively associated with parent’s education, income, and age.
* positively associated with mother’s labour supply.
* higher for single-parent households.
* lower for immigrants, visible minorities, speakers of a non-official language at home, and the religiously observant.

Additional details are provided below. Replication files for this analysis are available at <https://github.com/bvkrauth/fdk-gss>.

## [Data description](#data-description)

The 2011 GSS is a national survey of 22,435 households. Childcare information is available for the 4,756 of these households that had children age 0-14.

Each observation in the data set corresponds to a household. Weights are available at the person-level (WGHT\_PER) and household level (WGHT\_HSD) and represent the number of people/households in Canada the observation represents. Following Statistics Canada (2012), all analysis in this document uses person weights.

. sum WGHT\_PER WGHT\_HSD

Variable | Obs Mean Std. dev. Min Max

-------------+---------------------------------------------------------

WGHT\_PER | 22,435 1263.857 1044.378 37.7916 11253.81

WGHT\_HSD | 22,435 595.7402 331.2683 28.8024 2815.637

The constructed childcare variables all start with “cc” and are aimed at replicating the tables on childcare in Statistics Canada (2012).

ccp Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

ccp\_type Type of child care for preschool-aged child

ccs Used child care for at least one school aged child

ccs\_type Type of child care for school-aged child

cc0 Used child care for at age 0 child

cc0\_type Type of child care for age 0 child

cc1 Used child care for at age 1 child

cc1\_type Type of child care for age 1 child

cc2 Used child care for at age 2 child

cc2\_type Type of child care for age 2 child

cc3 Used child care for at age 3 child

cc3\_type Type of child care for age 3 child

cc4 Used child care for at age 4 child

cc4\_type Type of child care for age 4 child

cc5 Used child care for at age 5 child

cc5\_type Type of child care for age 5 child

cc6 Used child care for at age 6 child

cc6\_type Type of child care for age 6 child

cc7 Used child care for at age 7 child

cc7\_type Type of child care for age 7 child

cc8 Used child care for at age 8 child

cc8\_type Type of child care for age 8 child

cc9 Used child care for at age 9 child

cc9\_type Type of child care for age 9 child

cc10 Used child care for at age 10 child

cc10\_type Type of child care for age 10 child

cc11 Used child care for at age 11 child

cc11\_type Type of child care for age 11 child

cc12 Used child care for at age 12 child

cc12\_type Type of child care for age 12 child

cc13 Used child care for at age 13 child

cc13\_type Type of child care for age 13 child

cc14 Used child care for at age 14 child

cc14\_type Type of child care for age 14 child

The variable ccp identifies whether or not at least one preschool-age (0-4) child is receiving childcare. It is missing if there is no preschool-age child in the household.

. ta ccp

Used child care for at least |

one preschool-aged child | Freq. Percent Cum.

--------------------------------+-----------------------------------

No | 872 43.08 43.08

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 104 5.14 48.22

Yes, on a regular basis | 1,048 51.78 100.00

--------------------------------+-----------------------------------

Total | 2,024 100.00

The variable ccp\_type identifies the type of childcare received by preschool-age children in the household. It is missing unless there is at least one preschool-age child receiving regular childcare.

. ta ccp\_type

Type of child care for preschool-aged |

child | Freq. Percent Cum.

----------------------------------------+-----------------------------------

...a (licensed) home daycare? | 297 28.34 28.34

...a daycare? | 315 30.06 58.40

...a preschool/nursery School? | 85 8.11 66.51

...a private arrangement (relative, nan | 321 30.63 97.14

...another type of arrangement? | 30 2.86 100.00

----------------------------------------+-----------------------------------

Total | 1,048 100.00

Similarly, the variable ccs identifies whether or not at least one school-age (5-14) child is receiving childcare, and the variable ccs\_type identifies the type of childcare. Note that the coding of childcare types is different for school-age children versus preschool-age children.

. ta ccs\_type ccs, missing

| Used child care for at least one school

Type of child care | aged child

for school-aged child | No Yes, but Yes, on a . | Total

----------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------

...a private arrangem | 0 0 551 0 | 551

...care by older brot | 0 0 23 0 | 23

...a before or after | 0 0 701 0 | 701

...another type of ar | 0 0 51 0 | 51

. | 2,066 254 0 18,789 | 21,109

----------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------

Total | 2,066 254 1,326 18,789 | 22,435

Finally, we have created similar variables for every age. Pick any age A (I will pick A = 5 in the examples below). Then ccA identifies whether or not at least one age A child is receiving childcare, and ccA\_type identifies the type of childcare received.

. ta cc5\_type cc5, missing

Type of child care | Used child care for at age 5 child

for age 5 child | No Yes, but Yes, on a . | Total

----------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------

...a private arrangem | 0 0 123 0 | 123

...care by older brot | 0 0 1 0 | 1

...a before or after | 0 0 140 0 | 140

...another type of ar | 0 0 9 0 | 9

. | 229 33 0 21,900 | 22,162

----------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------

Total | 229 33 273 21,900 | 22,435

### [Replications of tables from Statistics Canada](#replications-of-tables-from-statistics-canada)

We start by replicating tables on Canada-wide childcare usage from the Statistics Canada report (Statistics Canada 2012).

#### [Table 12: Distribution of parents of preschool-aged children by use of child care arrangement, Canada, 2011](#table-12-distribution-of-parents-of-preschool-aged-children-by-use-of-child-care-arrangement-canada-2011)

Used a child care arrangement for at least one preschool-aged child (number).

. table ccp [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(sumw)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------

| Sum of weights

------------------------------------------------------+----------------

Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child |

No | 1,377,884

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 164,902

Yes, on a regular basis | 1,533,469

Total | 3,076,255

-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Used a child care arrangement for at least one preschool-aged child (percent).

. table ccp [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(percent)

----------------------------------------------------------------

| Percent

------------------------------------------------------+---------

Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child |

No | 44.79

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 5.36

Yes, on a regular basis | 49.85

Total | 100.00

----------------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care arrangement (number).

. table ccp\_type [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(sumw)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

| Sum of weights

----------------------------------------------------+----------------

Type of child care for preschool-aged child |

...a (licensed) home daycare? | 466,547

...a daycare? | 474,812

...a preschool/nursery School? | 133,132

...a private arrangement (relative, nanny, etc.)? | 410,868

...another type of arrangement? | 48,109.4

Total | 1,533,469

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care arrangement (percent).

. table ccp\_type [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(percent)

--------------------------------------------------------------

| Percent

----------------------------------------------------+---------

Type of child care for preschool-aged child |

...a (licensed) home daycare? | 30.42

...a daycare? | 30.96

...a preschool/nursery School? | 8.68

...a private arrangement (relative, nanny, etc.)? | 26.79

...another type of arrangement? | 3.14

Total | 100.00

--------------------------------------------------------------

#### [Table 14: Distribution of parents of school-aged children by use of child care arrangement, Canada, 2011](#table-14-distribution-of-parents-of-school-aged-children-by-use-of-child-care-arrangement-canada-2011)

Used a child care arrangement for at least one school-aged child (number).

. table ccs [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(sumw)

--------------------------------------------------------------------

| Sum of weights

---------------------------------------------------+----------------

Used child care for at least one school aged child |

No | 2,970,327

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 336,918

Yes, on a regular basis | 1,677,426

Total | 4,984,670

--------------------------------------------------------------------

Used a child care arrangement for at least one school-aged child (percent).

. table ccs [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(percent)

-------------------------------------------------------------

| Percent

---------------------------------------------------+---------

Used child care for at least one school aged child |

No | 59.59

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 6.76

Yes, on a regular basis | 33.65

Total | 100.00

-------------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care arrangement (number).

. table ccs\_type [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(sumw)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

| Sum of weights

---------------------------------------------------------------+----------------

Type of child care for school-aged child |

...a private arrangement (relative, nanny, etc.)? | 660,607

...care by older brothers or sisters? (include birth, adopte | 34,370.7

...a before or after school service? | 920,517

...another type of arrangement? | 61,931

Total | 1,677,426

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care arrangement (percent).

. table ccs\_type [pw = WGHT\_PER ] , stat(percent)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

| Percent

---------------------------------------------------------------+---------

Type of child care for school-aged child |

...a private arrangement (relative, nanny, etc.)? | 39.38

...care by older brothers or sisters? (include birth, adopte | 2.05

...a before or after school service? | 54.88

...another type of arrangement? | 3.69

Total | 100.00

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

## [Childcare for BC five year olds](#childcare-for-bc-five-year-olds)

Our target population is BC families with five year olds. Unfortunately, there are only 56 such households in the data, 25 of whom use childcare. As a result we will supplement the direct analysis of our target population with analysis of similar and somewhat larger comparison groups.

### [Direct analysis of target population](#direct-analysis-of-target-population)

Direct analysis of the target population indicates that 41% of BC families regularly used childcare for their five year old.

. svy: tabulate cc5 region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 535

Number of PSUs = 535 Population size = 755,991.24

Design df = 534

---------------------------------------------------------

Used child care for at age 5 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

No | 55.6 34.6 46.0

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 3.5 3.3 9.0

Yes, on a regular basis | 40.9 62.1 45.1

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 16.5726

Design-based F(3.99, 2132.06)= 3.0413 P = 0.0164

This is much less than the 62% rate in Quebec and somewhat less than the 45% rate in the ROC (excluding BC and Quebec).

Among BC families using childcare for their 5 year old, about 56% used a private arrangement, and most of the rest (39 %) used before/after school care.

. svy: tabulate cc5\_type region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 273

Number of PSUs = 273 Population size = 365,394.35

Design df = 272

---------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care for age 5 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

...a private arrangement (relati | 55.6 36.7 45.4

...care by older brothers or sis | 0.0 0.0 0.5

...a before or after school serv | 38.6 59.3 52.5

...another type of arrangement? | 5.8 4.0 1.6

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 6.6577

Design-based F(5.73, 1557.56)= 0.9329 P = 0.4672

Before/after school care was much more common in Quebec (59 %) and somewhat more common in the ROC (53 %) than in BC.

### [Other age groups](#other-age-groups)

As indicated in the previous section, limited data are available on BC families with 5 year olds. As a result, we also look at slightly younger (preschool age) and slightly older (school age) children.

#### [Preschool age](#preschool-age)

About 40% of BC families regularly used childcare for preschool-age children, similar to the estimated usage rate for five year olds:

. svy: tabulate ccp region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 2,024

Number of PSUs = 2,024 Population size = 3,076,255

Design df = 2,023

---------------------------------------------------------

Used child care for at least one | Province

preschool-aged child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

No | 52.6 29.8 48.6

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 7.8 2.6 5.8

Yes, on a regular basis | 39.6 67.6 45.6

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 81.3527

Design-based F(3.99, 8079.98)= 13.9083 P = 0.0000

As with five year olds, this was much lower in BC than in Quebec (68 %) and slightly lower (46 %) than in ROC.

Among that used childcare, BC families were less likely to use daycare and more likely to use licensed home daycare or other private arrangements.

. svy: tabulate ccp\_type region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,048

Number of PSUs = 1,048 Population size = 1,533,469

Design df = 1,047

---------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care for | Province

preschool-aged child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

...a (licensed) home daycare? | 24.8 48.7 21.6

...a daycare? | 20.7 35.6 30.4

...a preschool/nursery School? | 11.8 4.6 10.3

...a private arrangement (relati | 37.6 8.2 34.8

...another type of arrangement? | 5.0 2.9 2.9

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(8) = 131.3377

Design-based F(7.99, 8363.07)= 12.3344 P = 0.0000

#### [Six and seven year olds](#six-and-seven-year-olds)

Regular childcare usage rates for BC six year olds were similar to those for five year olds, while usage for seven year olds was slightly lower.

. svy: tabulate cc6 region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 554

Number of PSUs = 554 Population size = 740,882.79

Design df = 553

---------------------------------------------------------

Used child care for at age 6 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

No | 49.0 35.7 49.1

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 13.1 2.7 5.3

Yes, on a regular basis | 38.0 61.6 45.7

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 19.9116

Design-based F(3.98, 2202.10)= 3.3344 P = 0.0100

. svy: tabulate cc7 region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 578

Number of PSUs = 578 Population size = 796,462.07

Design df = 577

---------------------------------------------------------

Used child care for at age 7 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

No | 54.2 42.5 49.6

Yes, but not on a regular basis | 10.5 2.0 10.1

Yes, on a regular basis | 35.3 55.5 40.3

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 15.2762

Design-based F(3.93, 2266.65)= 2.6998 P = 0.0301

As with five year olds, these rates were much lower than Quebec and slightly lower than the ROC.

BC parents of six and seven year olds also seemed to rely more on private arrangements relative to before/after school care, though this is a little noisy.

. svy: tabulate cc6\_type region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 279

Number of PSUs = 279 Population size = 354,855.68

Design df = 278

---------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care for age 6 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

...a private arrangement (relati | 45.3 5.4 49.6

...care by older brothers or sis | 4.3 0.0 0.0

...a before or after school serv | 50.4 94.6 50.4

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 56.6119

Design-based F(4.00, 1111.14)= 9.8629 P = 0.0000

. svy: tabulate cc7\_type region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 263

Number of PSUs = 263 Population size = 341,979.68

Design df = 262

---------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care for age 7 | Province

child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

...a private arrangement (relati | 65.8 7.3 50.5

...care by older brothers or sis | 0.0 0.0 1.9

...a before or after school serv | 34.2 92.7 47.7

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 50.5342

Design-based F(3.91, 1023.63)= 8.0522 P = 0.0000

#### [School age](#school-age)

The pattern of lower usage of before/after school care in BC is also observed in school-age children more generally, suggesting that access to such care was more limited in BC than elsewhere.

. svy: tabulate ccs\_type region , col percent nomarginals stubwidth(35) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,326

Number of PSUs = 1,326 Population size = 1,677,426

Design df = 1,325

---------------------------------------------------------

Type of child care for | Province

school-aged child | BC Quebec ROC

---------------------------------+-----------------------

...a private arrangement (relati | 54.2 13.6 49.3

...care by older brothers or sis | 4.1 0.0 2.7

...a before or after school serv | 40.1 81.0 44.8

...another type of arrangement? | 1.6 5.5 3.2

---------------------------------------------------------

Key: Column percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 181.3798

Design-based F(5.61, 7433.31)= 19.6462 P = 0.0000

## [Who uses childcare?](#who-uses-childcare)

Finally, we investigate the question of *who* tended to use different types of childcare among the parents of BC 5 year olds. The sample size for this target population is clearly too small for such an analysis, so we investigate this question for the full population of preschool-age and school-age children in all of Canada excluding Quebec. Quebec is a large province with distinct demographics and childcare institutions leading to unusually high rates of childcare utilization. As a result, it is not a good proxy for the childcare environment in BC.

### [Residential location](#residential-location)

#### [Province of residence](#province-of-residence)

Although BC had a slightly lower childcare utilization rate than other provinces, it is not a significant outlier.

. svy : tabulate PRV ccp if PRV != 24 , row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Province of |

residence of the | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Newfoundland and Lab | 41.9 10.4 47.7

Prince Edward Island | 36.1 6.6 57.2

Nova Scotia | 39.8 2.7 57.6

New Brunswick | 38.3 2.2 59.5

Ontario | 47.8 5.6 46.6

Manitoba | 61.7 0.6 37.7

Saskatchewan | 42.9 6.7 50.4

Alberta | 52.3 7.9 39.8

British Columbia | 52.6 7.8 39.6

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(16) = 25.0015

Design-based F(11.80, 19501.27)= 2.0448 P = 0.0179

. svy : tabulate PRV ccs if PRV != 24 , row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Province of |

residence of the | Used child care for at least one school aged child

respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Newfoundland and Lab | 54.8 6.7 38.5

Prince Edward Island | 49.1 6.0 44.9

Nova Scotia | 60.5 3.8 35.8

New Brunswick | 51.5 7.4 41.1

Ontario | 61.9 6.5 31.6

Manitoba | 70.9 4.2 24.9

Saskatchewan | 60.8 12.1 27.1

Alberta | 63.4 10.4 26.2

British Columbia | 61.9 9.1 29.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(16) = 31.8406

Design-based F(12.17, 36852.55)= 2.6502 P = 0.0014

#### [Urban/rural residence](#urbanrural-residence)

Urban (CMA) residents were more likely than rural residents to use childcare.

. svy : tabulate LUC\_RST ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Population centres | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

indicator. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Larger urban populat | 48.6 5.7 45.7

Rural areas and smal | 52.9 8.7 38.5

Prince Edward Island | 36.1 6.6 57.2

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 7.0352

Design-based F(2.54, 4199.69)= 2.6289 P = 0.0583

. svy : tabulate LUC\_RST ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Population centres | Used child care for at least one school aged child

indicator. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Larger urban populat | 60.5 7.3 32.2

Rural areas and smal | 69.9 8.9 21.2

Prince Edward Island | 49.1 6.0 44.9

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(4) = 26.1658

Design-based F(2.84, 8589.13)= 11.0176 P = 0.0000

### [Household composition](#household-composition)

Childcare usage varied by household composition, but the relationship can be complex.

### [Household size](#household-size)

Number of children in household was negatively related to school-aged Childcare usage but had a nonmonotonic relationship with preschool-age childcare usage.

. svy : tabulate CHDINFTC ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Number of |

respondent's |

children living in | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

household full-tim | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No children | 55.5 6.2 38.3

One child | 50.3 5.7 44.0

Two children | 43.4 7.0 49.5

Three children | 57.3 3.9 38.9

Four or more childre | 66.5 10.4 23.1

Not stated | 22.7 10.3 66.9

Don't know | 86.4 0.0 13.6

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 32.2360

Design-based F(10.47, 17309.54)= 2.3520 P = 0.0079

. svy : tabulate CHDINFTC ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Number of |

respondent's |

children living in | Used child care for at least one school aged child

household full-tim | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No children | 61.6 7.2 31.2

One child | 56.3 5.7 38.0

Two children | 59.9 8.3 31.8

Three children | 68.5 7.5 24.1

Four or more childre | 74.5 7.5 18.0

Not stated | 40.6 13.0 46.4

Don't know | 100.0 0.0 0.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 50.6432

Design-based F(11.40, 34522.69)= 3.2411 P = 0.0002

### [Parental age](#parental-age)

Older parents were more likely to use childcare for preschool-aged children, but the relationship for school-aged children is less clear.

. svy : tabulate AGEGR5 ccp if inrange(AGEGR5,4,7) & PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidt

> h(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,498

Number of PSUs = 1,498 Population size = 2,145,777

Design df = 1,497

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Age group of the |

respondent (groups | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

of 5). | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

25 to 29 | 58.3 9.1 32.6

30 to 34 | 48.2 4.4 47.4

35 to 39 | 45.5 5.3 49.1

40 to 44 | 39.8 6.6 53.5

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 34.7545

Design-based F(5.87, 8789.61)= 4.1358 P = 0.0004

. svy : tabulate AGEGR5 ccs if inrange(AGEGR5,4,7) & PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidt

> h(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 2,285

Number of PSUs = 2,285 Population size = 2,889,549

Design df = 2,284

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Age group of the |

respondent (groups | Used child care for at least one school aged child

of 5). | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

25 to 29 | 58.6 11.7 29.7

30 to 34 | 58.3 4.3 37.4

35 to 39 | 54.6 9.1 36.4

40 to 44 | 62.4 6.6 31.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 25.3831

Design-based F(5.78, 13195.25)= 2.9283 P = 0.0083

### [Marital status](#marital-status)

Partnered and married/common-law parents were less likely to use childcare than unpartnered and single/divorced/separated parents.

. svy : tabulate partner ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Partner in | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

household? | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No partner in househ | 44.8 5.7 49.5

Partner in household | 49.7 6.2 44.1

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(2) = 1.5579

Design-based F(1.98, 3266.40)= 0.4353 P = 0.6447

. svy : tabulate partner ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Partner in | Used child care for at least one school aged child

household? | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No partner in househ | 56.4 6.7 36.9

Partner in household | 62.8 7.6 29.6

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(2) = 7.5415

Design-based F(1.98, 5978.51)= 3.2077 P = 0.0411

. svy : tabulate MARSTAT ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Marital status of | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Married | 48.9 6.0 45.1

Living common-law | 56.2 7.2 36.6

Widowed | 0.0 0.0 100.0

Separated | 36.9 7.3 55.8

Divorced | 30.1 5.6 64.2

Single (Never marrie | 44.8 5.8 49.4

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(10) = 11.0750

Design-based F(8.47, 14008.19)= 0.8240 P = 0.5874

. svy : tabulate MARSTAT ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Marital status of | Used child care for at least one school aged child

the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Married | 62.7 7.7 29.6

Living common-law | 64.4 6.6 29.0

Widowed | 47.4 0.0 52.6

Separated | 59.2 11.9 29.0

Divorced | 48.9 8.0 43.2

Single (Never marrie | 56.5 2.8 40.6

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(10) = 22.1508

Design-based F(9.17, 27768.83)= 2.1132 P = 0.0241

### [Economic factors](#economic-factors)

Childcare usage was higher in households with higher income and parental education, and is also positively associated with mother’s labour supply.

#### [Income](#income)

Higher-income households were generally more likely to use childcare.

. svy : tabulate INCMHSDC ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Total household | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

income. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No income or loss | 100.0 0.0 0.0

Less than $5,000 | 60.8 0.0 39.2

$5,000 to $9,999 | 59.3 0.0 40.7

$10,000 to $14,999 | 64.7 3.4 31.9

$15,000 to $19,999 | 56.1 17.4 26.5

$20,000 to $29,999 | 70.4 5.2 24.4

$30,000 to $39,999 | 71.5 4.2 24.3

$40,000 to $49,999 | 55.6 6.6 37.9

$50,000 to $59,999 | 41.8 11.3 46.9

$60,000 to $79,999 | 55.3 8.0 36.7

$80,000 to $99,999 | 49.9 9.0 41.1

$100,000 to $149,999 | 37.2 5.1 57.7

$150,000 or more | 33.8 4.5 61.7

Not stated | 59.4 4.3 36.4

Don't know | 66.1 4.8 29.1

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(28) = 126.1601

Design-based F(24.55, 40584.63)= 3.7085 P = 0.0000

. svy : tabulate INCMHSDC ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Total household | Used child care for at least one school aged child

income. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No income or loss | 68.4 0.0 31.6

Less than $5,000 | 79.5 10.1 10.3

$5,000 to $9,999 | 63.8 0.0 36.2

$10,000 to $14,999 | 77.9 5.5 16.6

$15,000 to $19,999 | 63.7 5.5 30.8

$20,000 to $29,999 | 71.7 7.7 20.6

$30,000 to $39,999 | 64.7 7.2 28.1

$40,000 to $49,999 | 60.9 4.1 35.0

$50,000 to $59,999 | 63.4 8.7 27.8

$60,000 to $79,999 | 67.2 7.9 25.0

$80,000 to $99,999 | 62.7 8.1 29.2

$100,000 to $149,999 | 57.2 9.6 33.2

$150,000 or more | 49.3 8.4 42.3

Not stated | 73.1 4.1 22.7

Don't know | 75.4 4.5 20.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(28) = 93.6933

Design-based F(23.20, 70240.34)= 3.0050 P = 0.0000

#### [Education](#education)

More educated households were generally more likely to use childcare.

. svy : tabulate EDU5 ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Highest level of |

education obtained |

by the respondent - | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

5 gr | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Doctorate/masters/ba | 40.8 5.4 53.9

Diploma/certificate | 48.7 6.1 45.2

Some university/comm | 50.7 6.7 42.6

High school diploma | 58.9 5.6 35.5

Some secondary/eleme | 74.4 6.7 18.9

Not stated | 69.3 21.6 9.1

Don't know | 49.3 47.6 3.1

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 95.6740

Design-based F(10.73, 17735.93)= 5.7232 P = 0.0000

. svy : tabulate EDU5 ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Highest level of |

education obtained |

by the respondent - | Used child care for at least one school aged child

5 gr | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Doctorate/masters/ba | 55.4 6.0 38.6

Diploma/certificate | 62.3 7.4 30.2

Some university/comm | 62.1 12.9 25.0

High school diploma | 70.6 8.2 21.2

Some secondary/eleme | 75.7 5.2 19.1

Not stated | 89.7 0.0 10.3

Don't know | 84.9 0.0 15.1

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 90.0474

Design-based F(11.09, 33578.45)= 5.7961 P = 0.0000

#### [Mother’s employment](#mothers-employment)

Mother’s employment is also positively related to childcare usage.

. svy : tabulate MAR\_Q133 ccp if SEX == 2 & PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) for

> mat(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 939

Number of PSUs = 939 Population size = 1,207,967

Design df = 938

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Did you have a job |

or were you |

self-employed at any | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

time las | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Yes | 31.3 6.7 62.0

No | 74.8 5.1 20.1

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(2) = 176.7660

Design-based F(1.99, 1862.91)= 57.0006 P = 0.0000

. svy : tabulate MAR\_Q133 ccs if SEX == 2 & PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) for

> mat(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,719

Number of PSUs = 1,719 Population size = 2,006,938

Design df = 1,718

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Did you have a job |

or were you |

self-employed at any | Used child care for at least one school aged child

time las | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Yes | 53.5 7.3 39.2

No | 76.9 5.4 17.7

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(2) = 83.1582

Design-based F(1.99, 3415.67)= 26.9772 P = 0.0000

### [Cultural factors](#cultural-factors)

Immigrants, visible minorities, and speakers of a HL other than English or French were less likely to use childcare, as were the religiously observant.

#### [Immigration](#immigration)

Immigrants were less likely to use childcare than the Canadian-born.

. svy : tabulate BRTHCAN ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Country of birth of | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Canada | 44.5 7.2 48.3

Country outside Cana | 61.0 3.5 35.6

Not stated | 42.9 14.3 42.7

Don't know | 90.2 0.0 9.8

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 42.6488

Design-based F(4.28, 7080.94)= 3.8819 P = 0.0030

. svy : tabulate BRTHCAN ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Country of birth of | Used child care for at least one school aged child

the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Canada | 59.8 8.1 32.1

Country outside Cana | 67.1 6.4 26.5

Not stated | 49.5 7.4 43.1

Don't know | 93.7 0.0 6.3

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 19.6923

Design-based F(4.43, 13403.83)= 2.1301 P = 0.0672

#### [Visible minority status](#visible-minority-status)

Visible minorities were less likely to use childcare.

. svy : tabulate VISMINC ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Visible minority |

status of the | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Visible minority | 62.6 2.8 34.5

Not a visible minori | 44.9 7.1 47.9

Not stated | 50.1 10.0 39.9

Don't know | 80.1 19.9 0.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 44.7158

Design-based F(5.65, 9339.70)= 4.7763 P = 0.0001

. svy : tabulate VISMINC ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Visible minority |

status of the | Used child care for at least one school aged child

respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Visible minority | 70.1 5.6 24.3

Not a visible minori | 59.7 8.1 32.3

Not stated | 65.9 3.6 30.5

Don't know | 62.1 32.5 5.4

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 34.2307

Design-based F(5.04, 15249.53)= 3.9422 P = 0.0014

#### [Home language](#home-language)

Speakers of a home language other than English or French were less likely to use childcare.

. svy : tabulate LANHSDC ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondent's | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

household language. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

English only | 45.1 6.9 48.0

French only | 27.0 13.1 59.9

Other language | 66.6 3.0 30.4

Not stated | 41.6 9.0 49.4

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(6) = 55.8116

Design-based F(5.54, 9154.68)= 5.8634 P = 0.0000

. svy : tabulate LANHSDC ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondent's | Used child care for at least one school aged child

household language. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

English only | 59.0 8.5 32.4

French only | 64.0 3.3 32.7

Other language | 74.0 4.5 21.6

Not stated | 46.5 3.6 49.9

Don't know | 100.0 0.0 0.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(8) = 56.6259

Design-based F(7.52, 22764.99)= 5.4777 P = 0.0000

#### [Religion](#religion)

Religion matters, but not in an entirely straightforward way. Childcare usage was above average among Catholics, United Church and no-religion, and below average among Protestants and “other” religions.

. svy : tabulate RELIG6C ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Religion of |

respondent - 6 | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

categories. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No religion | 45.7 5.4 48.9

Roman Catholic | 42.0 7.0 51.0

United Church | 40.0 4.1 55.9

Protestant | 49.2 8.5 42.3

Other | 71.6 1.5 26.9

Not stated | 54.0 6.9 39.1

Don't know | 56.1 17.1 26.8

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 68.1639

Design-based F(11.37, 18798.41)= 4.3422 P = 0.0000

. svy : tabulate RELIG6C ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Religion of |

respondent - 6 | Used child care for at least one school aged child

categories. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

No religion | 60.2 8.5 31.3

Roman Catholic | 60.7 5.7 33.6

United Church | 60.9 3.8 35.3

Protestant | 61.5 10.7 27.8

Other | 69.2 5.3 25.5

Not stated | 62.1 2.5 35.4

Don't know | 60.2 13.2 26.5

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 36.9932

Design-based F(11.49, 34766.10)= 2.2769 P = 0.0079

Religious attendance has a clear negative association with childcare usage.

. svy : tabulate RELIGATT ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Religious attendance | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

of the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

At least once a week | 59.9 6.1 34.1

At least once a mont | 60.1 6.1 33.7

A few times a year | 45.2 3.1 51.7

At least once a year | 35.7 8.2 56.1

Not at all | 45.4 7.1 47.5

Not stated | 48.9 9.2 41.9

Don't know | 100.0 0.0 0.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 50.7977

Design-based F(11.82, 19535.22)= 2.9631 P = 0.0004

. svy : tabulate RELIGATT ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Religious attendance | Used child care for at least one school aged child

of the respondent. | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

At least once a week | 68.7 8.0 23.3

At least once a mont | 60.6 5.1 34.3

A few times a year | 60.1 7.6 32.3

At least once a year | 58.0 9.6 32.4

Not at all | 60.9 7.7 31.4

Not stated | 58.8 4.9 36.4

Don't know | 87.3 12.7 0.0

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 29.8499

Design-based F(11.79, 35700.25)= 1.7922 P = 0.0447

Similar relationships hold with respect to religious participation and importance.

. svy : tabulate RLR\_Q110 ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

How important are |

your religious or |

spiritual beliefs to | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

the | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

...very important? | 59.8 6.6 33.6

...somewhat importan | 44.4 4.4 51.3

...not very importan | 42.9 5.5 51.7

...not at all import | 43.3 9.0 47.7

Not stated | 47.3 9.0 43.7

Don't know | 72.2 13.5 14.3

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(10) = 54.5449

Design-based F(9.63, 15915.63)= 3.7199 P = 0.0001

. svy : tabulate RLR\_Q110 ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

How important are |

your religious or |

spiritual beliefs to | Used child care for at least one school aged child

the | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

...very important? | 66.6 6.9 26.5

...somewhat importan | 61.2 7.5 31.3

...not very importan | 56.3 7.9 35.8

...not at all import | 57.4 8.0 34.6

Not stated | 61.7 4.5 33.7

Don't know | 63.1 26.0 10.9

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(10) = 36.2498

Design-based F(9.44, 28560.17)= 2.6988 P = 0.0033

. svy : tabulate RLR\_Q120 ccp if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 1,654

Number of PSUs = 1,654 Population size = 2,369,286

Design df = 1,653

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In the past 12 |

months, how often |

did you practice | Used child care for at least one preschool-aged child

religious | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

At least once a week | 55.7 6.8 37.5

At least once a mont | 54.2 3.8 42.0

A few times a year? | 39.9 3.0 57.0

At least once a year | 44.2 14.6 41.2

Not at all? | 43.9 6.0 50.0

Not stated | 45.3 8.6 46.1

Don't know | 36.5 52.0 11.6

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 57.8910

Design-based F(11.33, 18728.71)= 3.3235 P = 0.0001

. svy : tabulate RLR\_Q120 ccs if PRV != 24, row percent nomarginals cellwidth(20) stubwidth(20) format(%6.1f)

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

Number of strata = 1 Number of obs = 3,028

Number of PSUs = 3,028 Population size = 3,898,819

Design df = 3,027

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In the past 12 |

months, how often |

did you practice | Used child care for at least one school aged child

religious | No Yes, but not on a re Yes, on a regular ba

---------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

At least once a week | 65.8 7.6 26.6

At least once a mont | 64.7 7.5 27.8

A few times a year? | 59.2 7.0 33.8

At least once a year | 57.0 7.2 35.8

Not at all? | 57.6 7.4 35.0

Not stated | 50.8 12.0 37.2

Don't know | 68.7 19.6 11.7

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Key: Row percentage

Pearson:

Uncorrected chi2(12) = 29.4329

Design-based F(11.17, 33818.16)= 1.6550 P = 0.0757
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