

# MLM Final Project Part 1

April 30 2020

## Team Members and division of work:

### Question 0.

Load classroom.csv and create MATH1ST (fit all models using REML)

```
classroom <- foreign::read.dta("/Users/mbp/Documents/NYU/APSTA 2042 - Multi-level Models (Nested)/Datasets/classroom.csv")
classroom <- classroom %>% mutate(math1st = mathkind + mathgain)
```

### Question 1.

Estimate UMM model with random intercepts for both schools and classrooms.

```
lm_umm <- lmer(math1st ~ 1 + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm_umm)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ 1 + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 11944.6
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1872 -0.6174 -0.0204  0.5821  3.8339
##
## Random effects:
## Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    85.47    9.245
## schoolid          (Intercept)   280.69   16.754
## Residual                        1146.79   33.864
## Number of obs: 1190, groups: classid:schoolid, 312; schoolid, 107
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   522.540      2.037 104.403   256.6   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

#### a. Report the ICC for schools and classrooms:

Response:

Based on the summary output of the UMM model, the ICC for schools and classrooms are:

$$ICC_{school} = \frac{280.69}{85.47 + 280.69 + 1146.79} = 0.185525$$

$$ICC_{classroom} = \frac{85.47}{85.47 + 280.69 + 1146.79} = 0.05649228$$

**b. Write out the model:**

Model 1 Equation:

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + \zeta_k + \eta_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

with  $\zeta_k \sim N(0, \sigma_\zeta^2)$ ,  $\eta_{jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ , and  $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ , independent of each other  
and  $k$  = schools,  $j$  = classrooms and  $i$  = students

**Question 2.**

**Add all school-level predictors:**

Model 2 Equation:

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + b_1 HOUSEPOV_k + \zeta_k + \eta_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

with  $\zeta_k \sim N(0, \sigma_\zeta^2)$ ,  $\eta_{jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ , and  $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ , independent of each other  
and  $k$  = schools,  $j$  = classrooms and  $i$  = students

```
lm2 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm2)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 11927.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1142 -0.6011 -0.0350  0.5600  3.8154
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    82.36   9.075
## schoolid         (Intercept)   250.93  15.841
## Residual                        1146.96  33.867
## Number of obs: 1190, groups: classid:schoolid, 312; schoolid, 107
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error    df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   531.294      3.341 102.807 159.023  <2e-16 ***
## housepov      -45.783     14.236 111.060  -3.216   0.0017 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr)
## housepov    -0.810
```

**a. Report if the additional predictors are justified:**

```
anova(lm_umm, lm2, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm_umm: math1st ~ 1 + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm2: math1st ~ housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##           Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance  Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm_umm    4 11953 11973 -5972.3   11945
## lm2       5 11937 11963 -5963.7   11927 17.186     1 3.39e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of the school-level predictor is justified according to the ANOVA between the unconditional means model, and the model with the school-level predictor HOUSEPOV. The chi-square test results in a p-value of approximately 0.

### b. Report the change to school variance:

Response:

Change in  $\sigma_{\zeta}^2 = 250.93 - 280.69 = -29.76$

## Question 3: Add all class-level predictors

Model 3 Equation:

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + b_1 HOUSEPOV_k + b_2 YEARSTEA_{jk} + b_3 MATHKNOW_{jk} + b_4 MATHPREP_{jk} + \zeta_k + \eta_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

with  $\zeta_k \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta}^2)$ ,  $\eta_{jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta}^2)$ , and  $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , independent of each other

and  $k$  = schools,  $j$  = classrooms and  $i$  = students

```
lm3 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm3)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10821
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.5552 -0.6118 -0.0311  0.5863  3.8315
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name              Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    94.36    9.714
## schoolid         (Intercept)   223.31   14.943
## Residual                    1136.43   33.711
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
```

```
##
## Fixed effects:
##           Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 532.29852    5.20495 228.85767 102.268 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov    -41.62116   14.08834 109.83230  -2.954  0.00383 **
## yearstea      0.06193    0.14717 223.76570   0.421  0.67432
## mathknow      2.55143    1.44530 231.06560   1.765  0.07883 .
## mathprep     -0.75440    1.42809 203.20755  -0.528  0.59790
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##           (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw
## housepov -0.568
## yearstea -0.264  0.077
## mathknow -0.052  0.082  0.030
## mathprep -0.666  0.032 -0.175  0.004
```

a. Report if adding the predictors is justified:

```
linearHypothesis(lm3, c("mathknow", "mathprep", "yearstea"))
```

```
## Linear hypothesis test
##
## Hypothesis:
## mathknow = 0
## mathprep = 0
## yearstea = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##
##    Df  Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
## 1
## 2   3 3.4804    0.3233
```

Response:

Based on the Wald test above, adding the classroom-level predictors as a block is not needed, at the 0.05 level of significance. The p-value is 0.3233.

b. Report changes in class-level variance and individual variance:

Response:

- Change in  $\sigma_{\eta}^2 = 94.36 - 82.36 = 12.00$
- Change in  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 = 1136.43 - 1146.96 = -10.53$

c. Give a potential reason to explain why individual variance but not class variance is reduced:

Response:

Adding the classroom-level predictors shows a potential misspecification of the model. The classroom level predictors can make it difficult to estimate the individual level variance (i.e overstated) due to individual outliers in classrooms that have a very small amount of students.

#### Question 4.

Add all student-level predictors except mathgain and mathkind:

```
lm4 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
             sex + minority + ses + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm4)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##       ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name              Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    93.89   9.689
## schoolid         (Intercept)   169.45  13.017
## Residual                        1064.96  32.634
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63041    5.31209   275.39010 101.585 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64850   13.21755   113.87814  -1.335  0.184
## yearstea      0.01129    0.14141   226.80861  0.080  0.936
## mathknow      1.35004    1.39168   234.49768  0.970  0.333
## mathprep     -0.27705    1.37583   205.27111 -0.201  0.841
## sex          -1.21419    2.09483  1022.42110 -0.580  0.562
## minority     -16.18676    3.02605   704.47787 -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05076    1.54485  1066.56211  6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.071
## mathknow -0.083  0.058  0.029
## mathprep -0.631  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
```

a. Report if the block of predictors is justified:

```
linearHypothesis(lm4, c("sex", "minority", "ses"))
```

```
## Linear hypothesis test
##
## Hypothesis:
## sex = 0
## minority = 0
## ses = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##
##   Df   Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
## 1
## 2   3 85.055 < 2.2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of the student-level predictors as a block is justified (at the 0.05 significance level) according to the Wald test comparing the previous model containing school & classroom-level predictors, to the current model including school, classroom, and student-level predictors. The chi-square test results in a p-value of approximately 0.

#### b. Report change in all variance components

Response:

- Change in  $\sigma_{\zeta}^2 = 169.45 - 223.31 = -53.86$
- Change in  $\sigma_{\eta}^2 = 93.89 - 94.36 = -0.47$
- Change in  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 = 1064.96 - 1136.43 = -71.47$

#### c. Give a potential reason as to why the school variance drops from the last model:

Response:

The student-level predictors explain some variance at the school level. SES & Minority Status, and SEX composition of children vary between different schools and may impact math scores. For example, some schools located in poorer areas with a different demographic of students will have much different individual math scores than those in more affluent areas.

#### d. Write this model out:

Model 4 Equation:

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + b_1 HOUSEPOV_k + b_2 YEARSTEA_{jk} + b_3 MATHKNOW_{jk} + b_4 MATHPREP_{jk} + b_5 SEX_{ijk} + b_6 MINORITY_{ijk} + b_7 SES_{ijk} + \zeta_k + \eta_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

with  $\zeta_k \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta}^2)$ ,  $\eta_{jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta}^2)$ , and  $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ , independent of each other  
and  $k$  = schools,  $j$  = classrooms and  $i$  = students

## Question 5.

- Try to add a random slope for each teacher level predictor (varying at the school level; one by one separately - not all together)
- Report the models and their fit.

```
lm5 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
            sex + minority + ses + (0 + yearstea | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl =
## control$checkConv, : Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00805439
## (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
summary(lm5)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + yearstea | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8482 -0.6147 -0.0322  0.5979  3.6603
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.247e+01  9.6159
## schoolid         (Intercept) 1.684e+02 12.9758
## schoolid.1       yearstea      1.008e-02  0.1004
## Residual                    1.065e+03 32.6361
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.59885    5.30780 266.47952 101.662 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.72082   13.21686 113.58577  -1.341  0.183
## yearstea       0.01128    0.14192 122.87733   0.079  0.937
## mathknow       1.33106    1.39155 234.33195   0.957  0.340
## mathprep      -0.26584    1.37588 204.90504  -0.193  0.847
## sex           -1.21060    2.09480 1022.21558  -0.578  0.563
## minority     -16.16715    3.02635 702.61831  -5.342 1.24e-07 ***
## ses           10.04528    1.54492 1066.09816   6.502 1.21e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.450
## yearstea -0.258  0.070
## mathknow -0.082  0.057  0.028
## mathprep -0.632  0.037 -0.172  0.003
```

```
## sex      -0.191 -0.007  0.015  0.006 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.179  0.023  0.115  0.001 -0.010
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.027 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
## convergence code: 0
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00805439 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
anova(lm4,lm5, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm5: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + yearstea | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4 11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm5 12 10754 10813 -5364.8    10730 0.007      1    0.9336
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *yearstea* variable is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm6 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
            sex + minority + ses + (0 + mathknow | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm6)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + mathknow | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8580 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
## Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.389e+01  9.689914
## schoolid          (Intercept) 1.694e+02 13.016328
## schoolid.1        mathknow      1.700e-06  0.001304
## Residual                          1.065e+03 32.633705
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63047    5.31204 275.40357 101.586 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64821   13.21718 113.88792  -1.335  0.184
## yearstea       0.01129    0.14141 226.81110   0.080  0.936
## mathknow      1.34993    1.39168 234.50059   0.970  0.333
```



```
## mathprep      -0.27708      1.37583  205.27196  -0.201      0.841
## sex           -1.21417      2.09483 1022.42010  -0.580      0.562
## minority      -16.18681      3.02603  704.47306  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05075      1.54485 1066.56262   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex      minrty
## housepv -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.071
## mathknow -0.083  0.058  0.029
## mathprep -0.631  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
anova(lm4, lm6, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm6: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + mathknow | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4 11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm6 12 10754 10813 -5364.8    10730      0      1      1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *mathknow* variable is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm7 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
            sex + minority + ses + (0 + mathprep | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm7)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + mathprep | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
```

```
## Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.388e+01 9.689e+00
## schoolid        (Intercept) 1.694e+02 1.302e+01
## schoolid.1      mathprep      2.171e-07 4.659e-04
## Residual                    1.065e+03 3.263e+01
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63039    5.31207   275.39222 101.586 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64851   13.21749   113.87941  -1.335  0.184
## yearstea      0.01129    0.14141   226.80838   0.080  0.936
## mathknow      1.35003    1.39167   234.49786   0.970  0.333
## mathprep     -0.27705    1.37582   205.27063  -0.201  0.841
## sex          -1.21419    2.09483  1022.42070  -0.580  0.562
## minority     -16.18676    3.02605   704.47629  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05076    1.54485  1066.56201   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.071
## mathknow -0.083  0.058  0.029
## mathprep -0.631  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
anova(lm4, lm7, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm7: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm7:      ses + (0 + mathprep | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4 11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm7 12 10754 10813 -5364.8    10730      0      1      1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *mathprep* variable is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

### c. Why is it a bad idea to include a random slope on the housepov effect?

Response:

It is not a good idea to add a random slope on the housepov effect because housepov is a school-level predictor and cannot vary at the classroom or individual level. Every individual would have the same *housepov* level within a school.

d. Retry the above models, allowing the slopes to be correlated with the random intercepts (still one by one):

```
lm8 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
            sex + minority + ses + (yearstea | schoolid) + (1|classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl =
## control$checkConv, : Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00243816
## (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
summary(lm8)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (yearstea | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10723.7
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.7461 -0.6037 -0.0290  0.6040  3.8450
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## classid (Intercept) 37.8859 6.1551
## schoolid (Intercept) 366.3984 19.1415
##      yearstea      0.5529 0.7436 -0.78
## Residual      1066.4280 32.6562
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  538.95146    5.48868  222.62549  98.193 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.13944   13.46118  119.60690  -1.273  0.205
## yearstea       0.02204    0.15769   75.75984   0.140  0.889
## mathknow       1.04631    1.34382  209.71933   0.779  0.437
## mathprep       0.05091    1.34550  190.81895   0.038  0.970
## sex           -1.33570    2.08772 1024.46783  -0.640  0.522
## minority     -16.44570    2.99661  669.51329  -5.488 5.77e-08 ***
## ses           10.15039    1.53872 1062.66308   6.597 6.62e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.455
## yearstea -0.370  0.084
## mathknow -0.085  0.049  0.012
## mathprep -0.606  0.050 -0.139  0.014
## sex      -0.184 -0.004  0.009  0.008 -0.004
## minority -0.305 -0.169  0.032  0.122 -0.007 -0.012
## ses      -0.119  0.079 -0.019 -0.001  0.049  0.022  0.168
```

```
## convergence code: 0
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00243816 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
anova(lm4, lm8, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm8: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm8:      ses + (yearstea | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4 11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm8 13 10750 10814 -5361.8    10724 5.8254      2    0.05433 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *yearstea* variable, allowing the slope to be correlated with the intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm9 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
            sex + minority + ses + (mathknow | schoolid) + (1 | classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm9)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (mathknow | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6131 -0.0324  0.5969  3.6603
##
## Random effects:
## Groups   Name                Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## classid  (Intercept)  9.394e+01  9.69226
## schoolid (Intercept)  1.693e+02 13.01083
##          mathknow      8.723e-04  0.02954  1.00
## Residual                1.065e+03 32.63407
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.64050    5.31201  275.40233 101.589 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64106   13.21207  103.99027  -1.335   0.185
## yearstea       0.01114    0.14141  226.85589   0.079   0.937
## mathknow       1.35447    1.39202  214.63830   0.973   0.332
```

```
## mathprep      -0.27758    1.37600  201.27989  -0.202    0.840
## sex           -1.21325    2.09486 1021.79755  -0.579    0.563
## minority     -16.19384    3.02606  703.80040  -5.351 1.18e-07 ***
## ses          10.04787    1.54488 1062.12392   6.504 1.20e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepv -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.071
## mathknow -0.082  0.057  0.029
## mathprep -0.631  0.038 -0.173  0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
anova(lm4, lm9, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm9: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (mathknow | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4 11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm9 13 10756 10820 -5364.8    10730 3e-04      2    0.9998
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *mathknow* variable, allowing the slope to be correlated with the intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm10 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              ses + minority + ses + (mathprep | schoolid) + (1 | classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm10)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (mathprep | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.7
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8542 -0.6035 -0.0221  0.5914  3.6474
##
```

```

## Random effects:
##   Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.  Corr
##   classid (Intercept)  78.51   8.861
##   schoolid (Intercept) 553.08  23.518
##           mathprep     15.90   3.988  -1.00
##   Residual              1064.22 32.622
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##               Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  538.60813    5.60867  159.83298  96.031 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -14.01016   12.88734  116.05475  -1.087  0.279
## yearstea     -0.02589    0.13949  223.51391  -0.186  0.853
## mathknow      1.29878    1.37202  229.68879   0.947  0.345
## mathprep      0.04084    1.34860  138.95721   0.030  0.976
## sex          -1.16757    2.08695 1023.15772  -0.559  0.576
## minority     -16.46466    2.99525  663.70954  -5.497 5.52e-08 ***
## ses           10.14156    1.53960 1060.93643   6.587 7.04e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##           (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.461
## yearstea -0.260  0.089
## mathknow -0.071  0.027  0.049
## mathprep -0.692  0.107 -0.155  0.012
## sex       -0.183  0.003  0.023  0.002 -0.008
## minority -0.275 -0.187  0.025  0.107 -0.035 -0.013
## ses       -0.121  0.095 -0.033 -0.001  0.061  0.024  0.161
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
anova(lm4, lm10, refit = F)

## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm10: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm10:      ses + (mathprep | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
##           Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm10 13 10751 10816 -5362.3   10725 4.8144     2   0.09007 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *mathprep* variable, allowing the slope to be correlated with the intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

e. Report anything unusual about the variance components (changes that are in a direction you didn't expect) and any potential explanation for why those changes occurred (hint: what did you add to the model?).

Response:

## Question 6.

a. Try to add a random slope for each student level predictor (varying at the classroom level; one by one - not all together)

```
lm11 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (0 + sex | classid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm11)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + sex | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid          sex           3.310e-05 0.005754
## classid:schoolid (Intercept) 9.387e+01 9.688824
## schoolid         (Intercept) 1.695e+02 13.017987
## Residual                    1.065e+03 32.633681
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:
## classid, 285; classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63033    5.31211  275.37965 101.585 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64878   13.21784  113.87028  -1.335  0.184
## yearstea       0.01129    0.14141  226.80606   0.080  0.936
## mathknow       1.35013    1.39167  234.49478   0.970  0.333
## mathprep      -0.27702    1.37582  205.26984  -0.201  0.841
## sex           -1.21421    2.09483 1022.41564  -0.580  0.562
## minority     -16.18672    3.02607  704.48078  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05076    1.54485 1066.56152   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.071
## mathknow -0.083  0.058  0.029
```

```
## mathprep -0.631  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
```

```
anova(lm4, lm11, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm11: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##       ses + (0 + sex | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm11 12 10754 10813 -5364.8   10730      0      1      1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *sex* variable, varying by classrooms, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm12 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
             sex + minority + ses + (0 + minority | classid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm12)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + minority | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8580 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid          minority           0.00    0.00
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    93.89    9.69
## schoolid         (Intercept)   169.45   13.02
## Residual                        1064.95   32.63
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:
## classid, 285; classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63042    5.31210   275.38908 101.585 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64848   13.21758   113.87764  -1.335    0.184
## yearstea       0.01129    0.14141   226.80896   0.080    0.936
```



```
## mathknow      1.35004      1.39168 234.49773 0.970 0.333
## mathprep      -0.27705      1.37583 205.27155 -0.201 0.841
## sex           -1.21419      2.09483 1022.42137 -0.580 0.562
## minority      -16.18678      3.02605 704.47894 -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05075      1.54484 1066.56222 6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) housepv yearstea mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepv -0.451
## yearstea -0.259 0.071
## mathknow -0.083 0.058 0.029
## mathprep -0.631 0.038 -0.172 0.004
## sex      -0.190 -0.007 0.016 0.007 -0.006
## minority -0.320 -0.178 0.024 0.115 0.001 -0.011
## ses      -0.121 0.082 -0.028 -0.007 0.053 0.020 0.162
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
anova(lm4, lm12, refit = F)

## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm12: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + minority | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8 10730
## lm12 12 10754 10813 -5364.8 10730 0 1 0.9999
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *minority* variable, varying by classrooms, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm13 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              ses + minority + ses + (0 + ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm13)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10727.9
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.7163 -0.6032 -0.0331  0.5855  3.6840
##
## Random effects:
```

```
## Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid         ses           49.60   7.043
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)  87.11   9.333
## schoolid        (Intercept) 171.02  13.077
## Residual                1043.44  32.302
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:
## classid, 285; classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.71226    5.30641   274.46506 101.710 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.50879   13.21775   113.44881  -1.325   0.188
## yearstea      0.01103    0.14117   226.97682   0.078   0.938
## mathknow      1.36796    1.38563   229.40643   0.987   0.325
## mathprep     -0.27938    1.37171   204.89333  -0.204   0.839
## sex          -1.37733    2.09334  1022.81814  -0.658   0.511
## minority     -16.29362    3.02464   703.33746  -5.387 9.78e-08 ***
## ses           10.14363    1.64248   176.39731   6.176 4.41e-09 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.451
## yearstea -0.259  0.070
## mathknow -0.082  0.058  0.029
## mathprep -0.631  0.040 -0.172  0.005
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.014  0.006 -0.005
## minority -0.321 -0.180  0.025  0.111  0.002 -0.011
## ses      -0.108  0.081 -0.026  0.002  0.050  0.020  0.145
anova(lm4, lm13, refit = F)

## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm13: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##       ses + (0 + ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm13 12 10752 10812 -5364.0   10728 1.5969     1    0.2063
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *ses* variable, varying by classrooms, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

**b. Why is it a bad idea to include a classroom-level variable with random slopes at the classroom level?**

Response:

c. Retry the above, allowing the slopes to be correlated with the random intercepts. Report findings.

```
lm14 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
             sex + minority + ses + (sex | classid) + (1|schoolid), data = classroom)
summary(lm14)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (sex | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
##      Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.7562 -0.6134 -0.0307  0.5916  3.7116
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups      Name      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
##      classid (Intercept)  130.2    11.411
##              sex          31.5     5.612  -0.67
##      schoolid (Intercept)  169.9    13.035
##      Residual             1056.3    32.502
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  5.400e+02  5.332e+00  2.723e+02 101.279 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -1.829e+01  1.323e+01  1.145e+02  -1.382   0.170
## yearstea      3.053e-03  1.416e-01  2.270e+02   0.022   0.983
## mathknow      1.306e+00  1.391e+00  2.315e+02   0.939   0.349
## mathprep     -3.460e-01  1.374e+00  2.014e+02  -0.252   0.801
## sex          -1.197e+00  2.123e+00  2.158e+02  -0.564   0.573
## minority     -1.619e+01  3.028e+00  7.042e+02  -5.347 1.21e-07 ***
## ses           1.010e+01  1.544e+00  1.065e+03   6.539 9.61e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.452
## yearstea -0.258  0.072
## mathknow -0.085  0.060  0.029
## mathprep -0.628  0.040 -0.174  0.005
## sex      -0.203 -0.005  0.015  0.003 -0.008
## minority -0.321 -0.178  0.024  0.116  0.003 -0.009
## ses      -0.123  0.083 -0.027 -0.005  0.054  0.020  0.164
```

```
anova(lm4, lm14, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
```

```
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm14: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm14:      ses + (sex | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance  Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4   11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm14  13 10755 10820 -5364.5   10729 0.5003     2    0.7787
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *sex* variable, varying by classrooms and allowing for correlation between the slope and coefficient, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm15 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (minority | classid) + (1|schoolid), data = classroom)
summary(lm15)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (minority | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10726.3
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.9036 -0.6221 -0.0295  0.6033  3.4574
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## classid (Intercept) 225.4 15.01
##      minority 171.3 13.09 -0.82
## schoolid (Intercept) 157.4 12.55
## Residual 1045.3 32.33
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 539.73593    5.38021 270.70839 100.319 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov -17.34685    12.91273 103.34823 -1.343 0.182
## yearstea -0.01636    0.14285 234.25604 -0.115 0.909
## mathknow 1.45697    1.39354 234.05425 1.046 0.297
## mathprep -0.13522    1.37018 203.97781 -0.099 0.921
## sex -1.01014    2.08966 1015.73459 -0.483 0.629
## minority -16.48615    3.21756 183.24221 -5.124 7.55e-07 ***
## ses 9.89350    1.54595 1062.82952 6.400 2.33e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex  minrty
## housepov -0.435
## yearstea -0.265 0.080
```

```
## mathknow -0.079 0.061 0.038
## mathprep -0.618 0.037 -0.171 -0.006
## sex -0.188 -0.009 0.015 0.009 -0.005
## minority -0.368 -0.171 0.025 0.108 -0.004 -0.009
## ses -0.117 0.085 -0.023 0.001 0.051 0.021 0.149
```

```
anova(lm4, lm15, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm15: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm15:      ses + (minority | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm15 13 10752 10817 -5363.2   10726 3.1967     2    0.2022
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *minority* variable, varying by classrooms and allowing for correlation between slope and intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm16 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
             sex + minority + ses + (ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid), data = classroom)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
## Warning: Model failed to converge with 1 negative eigenvalue: -3.5e+02
```

```
summary(lm16)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10733.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.7815 -0.6172 -0.0348  0.6046  3.8587
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## classid (Intercept) 0.00 0.00
##      ses      66.59 8.16 NaN
## schoolid (Intercept) 198.66 14.09
## Residual      1091.72 33.04
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##      Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 539.20226    5.04059 366.90683 106.972 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov    -18.33722   13.12647 110.81072 -1.397 0.165
```

```
## yearstea      0.03344    0.12846  827.45890    0.260    0.795
## mathknow      1.48810    1.26459  754.59810    1.177    0.240
## mathprep     -0.16719    1.23860  867.95835   -0.135    0.893
## sex          -1.44037    2.10650 1035.47989   -0.684    0.494
## minority     -15.99717    3.03333  689.35564   -5.274 1.79e-07 ***
## ses           10.32651    1.67733  184.92891    6.157 4.52e-09 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepv -0.464
## yearstea -0.249  0.068
## mathknow -0.085  0.060  0.035
## mathprep -0.600  0.040 -0.177  0.003
## sex      -0.198 -0.007  0.015  0.001 -0.011
## minority -0.340 -0.182  0.023  0.103  0.004 -0.009
## ses      -0.107  0.079 -0.032  0.000  0.051  0.027  0.138
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
anova(lm4, lm16, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm16: math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (ses | classid) + (1 | schoolid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm16 13 10760 10825 -5366.9   10734     0     2     1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *ses* variable, varying by classrooms and allowing for correlation between the slope and intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

## Question 7.

a. Try to add a random slope for each student level predictor varying at the school level:

```
lm17 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (0 + sex | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm17)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepv + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + sex | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10728.9
##
```

```
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8578 -0.6110 -0.0259  0.5922  3.5556
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept)    96.08    9.802
## schoolid         (Intercept)  161.63   12.713
## schoolid.1       sex           35.85    5.987
## Residual                        1054.36   32.471
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error        df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.43513    5.30741   272.54817 101.638 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -16.77631   13.22883   112.39531  -1.268  0.207
## yearstea      0.01448    0.14163   226.44545  0.102  0.919
## mathknow      1.40068    1.39464   234.45910  1.004  0.316
## mathprep     -0.27193    1.38011   205.78600 -0.197  0.844
## sex          -1.33538    2.18749   138.10017 -0.610  0.543
## minority     -16.16537    3.02862   704.25875 -5.338 1.27e-07 ***
## ses           9.98475    1.54243  1058.28030  6.473 1.46e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov    -0.449
## yearstea    -0.259  0.070
## mathknow    -0.081  0.055  0.028
## mathprep    -0.633  0.036 -0.172  0.004
## sex         -0.179 -0.010  0.013  0.007 -0.004
## minority    -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.114  0.001 -0.015
## ses         -0.120  0.081 -0.029 -0.007  0.052  0.020  0.161
anova(lm4, lm17, refit = F)

## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm17: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##       ses + (0 + sex | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm17 12 10753 10813 -5364.4   10729 0.6137     1    0.4334
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *sex* variable, varying by schools, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm18 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (0 + minority | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom,
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(lm18)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + minority | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
## Groups             Name                Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.388e+01  9.689369
## schoolid          (Intercept) 1.694e+02 13.017176
## schoolid.1         minority  1.777e-06  0.001333
## Residual                        1.065e+03 32.633690
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63040    5.31208  275.39129 101.586 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -17.64850   13.21752  113.87885  -1.335  0.184
## yearstea       0.01129    0.14141  226.80855   0.080  0.936
## mathknow       1.35003    1.39168  234.49782   0.970  0.333
## mathprep      -0.27705    1.37582  205.27091  -0.201  0.841
## sex           -1.21419    2.09483 1022.42090  -0.580  0.562
## minority     -16.18676    3.02605  704.47638  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## ses           10.05076    1.54485 1066.56207   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov    -0.451
## yearstea    -0.259  0.071
## mathknow    -0.083  0.058  0.029
## mathprep    -0.631  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex         -0.190 -0.007  0.016  0.007 -0.006
## minority    -0.320 -0.178  0.024  0.115  0.001 -0.011
## ses         -0.121  0.082 -0.028 -0.007  0.053  0.020  0.162
## convergence code: 0
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
anova(lm4, lm18, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
```

```
## Models:
```

```
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
```



```
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm18: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm18:      ses + (0 + minority | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm18 12 10754 10813 -5364.8   10730      0      1      1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *minority* variable, varying by schools, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm19 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (1|schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm19)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.6138 -0.6185 -0.0289  0.5798  3.7130
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups              Name              Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept)    88.56     9.411
## schoolid         (Intercept)   168.00    12.961
## schoolid.1        ses           72.50     8.515
## Residual                    1035.11    32.173
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.13752    5.27926   270.52802 102.124 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -16.94575   13.21161   112.81447  -1.283   0.202
## yearstea       0.03079    0.14052   223.94252   0.219   0.827
## mathknow       1.35586    1.38461   232.19737   0.979   0.328
## mathprep      -0.19799    1.35995   198.59551  -0.146   0.884
## sex           -1.40187    2.08169  1011.29089  -0.673   0.501
## minority     -16.52526    3.02191   700.07600  -5.468 6.32e-08 ***
## ses           9.78982     1.82216    79.01650   5.373 7.61e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov    -0.451
## yearstea    -0.260  0.070
## mathknow    -0.079  0.056  0.028
```

```
## mathprep -0.628  0.041 -0.172  0.002
## sex      -0.190 -0.007  0.018  0.006 -0.007
## minority -0.323 -0.180  0.024  0.110  0.001 -0.010
## ses      -0.091  0.076 -0.019  0.006  0.042  0.017  0.124
```

```
anova(lm4, lm19, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm19: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm19 12 10749 10809 -5362.4   10725 4.6972     1   0.03021 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *ses* variable, varying by schools, is significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

**b. Retry the above, allowing the slopes to be correlated with the random intercepts.**

```
lm20 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (sex | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl =
## control$checkConv, : Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00526732
## (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
summary(lm20)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (sex | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10727.6
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8050 -0.6094 -0.0223  0.5970  3.5528
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name             Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## schoolid:classid (Intercept)    97.29   9.863
## schoolid         (Intercept)   206.02  14.353
##                  sex           83.73   9.151  -0.43
## Residual                1041.89  32.278
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: schoolid:classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
```

```
## Fixed effects:
##           Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  5.399e+02  5.363e+00  2.626e+02 100.675 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov    -1.742e+01  1.325e+01  1.136e+02  -1.314   0.191
## yearstea     6.921e-03  1.418e-01  2.277e+02   0.049   0.961
## mathknow     1.379e+00  1.396e+00  2.364e+02   0.988   0.324
## mathprep    -2.796e-01  1.378e+00  2.061e+02  -0.203   0.839
## sex         -1.340e+00  2.300e+00  8.740e+01  -0.583   0.562
## minority    -1.642e+01  3.027e+00  7.076e+02  -5.425 7.97e-08 ***
## ses          9.929e+00  1.540e+00  1.055e+03   6.448 1.72e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##           (Intr) housepv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov -0.449
## yearstea -0.258  0.072
## mathknow -0.082  0.060  0.027
## mathprep -0.627  0.038 -0.172  0.004
## sex      -0.222 -0.003  0.014  0.006 -0.005
## minority -0.319 -0.178  0.024  0.114  0.004 -0.011
## ses      -0.121  0.083 -0.028 -0.006  0.053  0.018  0.163
## convergence code: 0
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00526732 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
anova(lm4, lm20, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm20: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##       ses + (sex | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm20 13 10754 10818 -5363.8   10728 1.863    2    0.394
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *sex* variable, varying by schools and allowing for correlation between the slope and intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm21 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              sex + minority + ses + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm21)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
## Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10717.5
##
```

```

## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8952 -0.6358 -0.0345  0.6129  3.6444
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## schoolid:classid (Intercept)      86.7      9.311
## schoolid          (Intercept)    381.2     19.524
##                  minority        343.2     18.525   -0.83
## Residual                        1039.4     32.240
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: schoolid:classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error        df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.49369    5.65513    173.09178  95.399 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -16.06251    12.57477     99.99134  -1.277   0.204
## yearstea     -0.00437     0.13765    217.17884  -0.032   0.975
## mathknow      1.63216     1.35929    224.78144   1.201   0.231
## mathprep     -0.29178     1.33537    198.06922  -0.218   0.827
## sex          -0.86278     2.08382   1021.81437  -0.414   0.679
## minority     -16.37547     3.89604     58.24604  -4.203 9.17e-05 ***
## ses           9.43095     1.54335   1063.13485   6.111 1.39e-09 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov    -0.394
## yearstea    -0.253  0.091
## mathknow    -0.078  0.061  0.024
## mathprep    -0.576  0.037 -0.167 -0.002
## sex         -0.172 -0.013  0.014  0.010 -0.005
## minority    -0.494 -0.157  0.027  0.099 -0.002 -0.014
## ses         -0.105  0.089 -0.021 -0.005  0.052  0.024  0.113
anova(lm4, lm21, refit = F)

## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm21: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
##      Df    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4   11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm21  13 10744 10808 -5358.8   10718 11.967     2   0.00252 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *minority* variable, varying by schools and allowing for correlation between the slope and intercept, is significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

```
lm22 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
             sex + minority + ses + (ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid), data = classroom)
summary(lm22)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
##      ses + (ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
##      Data: classroom
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.5647 -0.6166 -0.0264  0.5888  3.7073
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups                Name                Variance Std.Dev. Corr
## schoolid:classid (Intercept)      86.62      9.307
## schoolid          (Intercept)    171.12    13.081
##                  ses              73.35     8.565    0.19
## Residual                        1035.89    32.185
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  schoolid:classid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  538.72268    5.27645   271.16175 102.099 < 2e-16 ***
## housepov     -15.89853    13.15319   111.73536  -1.209    0.229
## yearstea       0.03616     0.14002   220.43198   0.258    0.796
## mathknow       1.26005     1.38204   230.90961   0.912    0.363
## mathprep      -0.21707     1.35647   197.11400  -0.160    0.873
## sex           -1.40428     2.08074  1011.40155  -0.675    0.500
## minority     -16.26714     3.03575   668.89455  -5.359 1.16e-07 ***
## ses           9.72644      1.82981    78.36254   5.316 9.74e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) houspv yearst mthknw mthprp sex    minrty
## housepov    -0.449
## yearstea    -0.259  0.073
## mathknow    -0.077  0.057  0.028
## mathprep    -0.627  0.039 -0.172  0.001
## sex         -0.188 -0.009  0.017  0.005 -0.008
## minority    -0.325 -0.183  0.021  0.108  0.002 -0.011
## ses         -0.062  0.070 -0.021  0.007  0.045  0.018  0.117
```

```
anova(lm4, lm22, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
```

```
## lm22: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm22:      ses + (ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid:classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4  11 10752 10806 -5364.8   10730
## lm22 13 10750 10815 -5362.2   10724 5.1385     2   0.07659 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of random slope on the *ses* variable, varying by schools and allowing for correlation between the slope and intercept, is not significant (at the 0.05 level of significance), according to the ANOVA LRT comparing the model with and without the random slope addition.

### c. Report anything unusual about the variance components (changes that are unexpected)

Response:

## Question 8.

a. Take the two predictors that had significant random slopes, in the forms in which they worked (indep. or correlated) and add both to the model, and test for need of one conditional on needing the other.

```
# Model with only random intercepts: lm4
# Model with significant random slopes: lm_slopes

lm_slopes1 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
  ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid), data = classroom)
lm_slopes2 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
  ses + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | classid), data = classroom)
lm_slopes3 <- lmer(math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
  ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | classid), data = classroom)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr("opt", "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl =
## control$checkConv, : Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00333216
## (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
anova(lm_slopes3, lm_slopes2, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm_slopes2: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm_slopes2:      ses + (minority | schoolid) + (1 | classid)
## lm_slopes3: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm_slopes3:      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (minority | schoolid) + (1 |
## lm_slopes3:      classid)
##      Df   AIC   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm_slopes2 13 10744 10808 -5358.8   10718
## lm_slopes3 14 10740 10810 -5356.2   10712 5.12     1   0.02365 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
anova(lm_slopes3, lm_slopes1, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
```

```
## lm_slopes1: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm_slopes1:      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm_slopes3: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm_slopes3:      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (minority | schoolid) + (1 |
## lm_slopes3:      classid)
##           Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm_slopes1 12 10749 10809 -5362.4    10725
## lm_slopes3 14 10740 10810 -5356.2    10712 12.39      2    0.00204 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Response:

The addition of the random slopes on the two predictors *ses* and *minority* are needed based on the LRT comparing the the need of one random slope, conditional on needing the other.

**b. Is the more complex model (with both random slopes in it) justified?**

```
anova(lm4, lm_slopes3, refit = F)
```

```
## Data: classroom
## Models:
## lm4: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm4:      ses + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## lm_slopes3: math1st ~ housepov + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + sex + minority +
## lm_slopes3:      ses + (0 + ses | schoolid) + (minority | schoolid) + (1 |
## lm_slopes3:      classid)
##           Df    AIC    BIC  logLik deviance  Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## lm4         11 10752 10806 -5364.8    10730
## lm_slopes3 14 10740 10810 -5356.2    10712 17.087      3 0.0006782 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Reponse:

The complex model with both random slopes is justified, with a p-value of 0.0006782.

**c. WRITE OUT THIS MODEL in your preferred notation**

The model is:

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + b_1 HOUSEPOV_k + b_2 YEARSTEA_{jk} + b_3 MATHKNOW_{jk} + b_4 MATHPREP_{jk} + b_5 SEX_{ijk} + (b_6 + \zeta_{6k}) MINORITY_{ijk} + (b_7 + \zeta_{7k}) SES_{ijk} + \zeta_k + \eta_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

with  $\zeta_k \sim N(0, \sigma_\zeta^2)$ ,  $\eta_{jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$ ,  $\zeta_{6k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_6}^2)$ ,  $\zeta_{7k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_7}^2)$  and  $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ , independent of each other and  $k = \text{schools}$ ,  $j = \text{classrooms}$  and  $i = \text{students}$

## Question 9.

**a. For UMM, write down:  $V\_S$ ,  $V\_C$ ,  $V\_E$  for the three variance components (simply the estimates)**

$V\_S = 280.69$

$V\_C = 85.47$

$V\_E = 1146.79$

b. For the most complicated (all fixed effects) random INTERCEPTS ONLY model, what are:  $V_C$ ,  $V_S$ ,  $V_E$ ?

$V_S = 169.45$

$V_C = 93.89$

$V_E = 1064.96$

c. By what fraction did these each decrease with the new predictors in the model?

$V_S$ : 39.63% decrease

$V_C$ : 9.85% increase

$V_E$ : 7.14% decrease

**Question 10.** Now consider the model with a random slope in ses.

a. What are:  $V_C$ ,  $V_S(\text{ses}=0)$ ,  $V_E$  ?

$V_S(\text{ses}=0) = 168.00$

$V_C = 88.56$

$V_E = 1035.11$

b. What are:  $V_S(\text{ses}=-0.50)$ ,  $V_S(\text{ses}=+0.5)$  ?

$V_S(\text{ses}=0.5) = 168 + (0.5^2)*(72.50) = 186.125$

$V_S(\text{ses}=-0.5) = 168 + (-0.5^2)*(72.50) = 186.125$

**Question 11.**

Now consider the model with a random slope in minority.

a. What are:  $V_C$ ,  $V_S(\text{minority}=0)$ ,  $V_E$  ?

$V_S(\text{minority}=0) = 381.2$

$V_C = 86.71$

$V_E = 1039.4$

b. What are:  $V_S(\text{minority}=0.25)$ ,  $V_S(\text{minority}=+0.50)$ ,  $V_S(\text{minority}=+0.75)$  ?

$V_S(\text{minority}=0.25) =$

```
# V_S(minority = 0.25)
```

```
# Calculate variance using the formula:
```

```
# V_S(minority = 0.25) = Var(Zeta_0) + Minority^2*Var(Zeta_1) + 2*Minority*CoV(Zeta_0,Zeta_1)
```

```
var_minority.25 = 381.2 + (0.25^2)*(343.2) + 2*0.25*(18.525*19.524*-0.83)
```

```
print(var_minority.25)
```

```
## [1] 252.5519
```



```

V_S(minority=0.5) =
# V_S(minority = 0.50)

# Calculate variance using the formula:
# V_S(minority = 0.50) = Var(Zeta_0) + Minority^2*Var(Zeta_1) + 2*Minority*CoV(Zeta_0,Zeta_1)

var_minority.50 = 381.2 + (0.50^2)*(343.2) + 2*0.50*(18.525*19.524*-0.83)
print(var_minority.50)

## [1] 166.8039

V_S(minority=0.75) =
# V_S(minority = 0.75)

# Calculate variance using the formula:
# V_S(minority = 0.75) = Var(Zeta_0) + Minority^2*Var(Zeta_1) + 2*Minority*CoV(Zeta_0,Zeta_1)

var_minority.75 = 381.2 + (0.75^2)*(343.2) + 2*0.75*(18.525*19.524*-0.83)
print(var_minority.75)

## [1] 123.9558

```

## Question 12.

Now consider the model with a random slope in ses & minority.

a. What are:  $V_C$ ,  $V_S(\text{minority}=0, \text{ses}=0)$ ,  $V_E$  ? We need to list ‘ses=0, minority=0’ here, or we don’t know how to use the slope variance

$V_S(\text{sex}=0, \text{minority}=0) = 404.52$

$V_C = 80.62$

$V_E = 1009.73$

b. In the last model, what is a “likely” (+/- 1 sd) range for  $\eta_{0jk}$

Response: [71.641, 89.599]

c. Can we make a similar statement about  $\zeta_{0k}$ ?

Response: No, because the variance for

d. If you had a large value for  $\eta_{0jk}$ , would you expect a large or small or “any” value for the two random slope terms,  $\zeta_{1k}$  and  $\zeta_{2k}$  for ses and minority?

Response: Any value because ther random slope terms are not related to classrooms.

e. If you had a large value for  $\zeta_{0k}$ , would you expect a large or small or “any” value for the two random slope terms,  $\zeta_{1k}$  and  $\zeta_{2k}$  for ses and minority (discuss each separately)?

Response: We would expect a large value for the SES random slope term, and a small value for the minori