|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | 3 item display | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 item display | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Necessary | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| Color | | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noun | |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Color | noun | |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender + color | |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gender + color | noun | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender |  Gender + Color |  noun | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Gender + color | color | noun | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Gender | color | noun | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Color + noun | |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Redundant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Noun | | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| color | |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full utt | |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full utt + gender | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

**Naming convention**: {attributes} sufficient {attributes} redundant

**Sufficient**: all utterances that will sufficiently pick out the target

**Redundant**: all utterances on top of that which can be used to describe the target, but are not strictly necessary

**Sufficient cases:**

***color sufficient***: the target is the only object of that color in the scene, therefore saying only the color uniquely identifies the object

***noun sufficient***: the target is the only object of that type in the scene, therefore saying only the noun uniquely identifies the object

***Gender + color sufficient***: the gender and color alone do not pick out the target but together they do

e.g. there are other masculine objects and other blue objects, but the target is the only blue\_masc object

**Color | noun**: either the color or the noun uniquely pick out the target

e.g. the target is a blue plate and the rest of the objects are red cups 🡪 saying blue or plate works

**Gender + color | noun**: either the gender+color pick out the object, or the noun

e.g. the target is a blue masculine plate, the rest of the items are blue feminine cups and a blue masculine knife 🡪 saying blue\_masc or plate suffices, but the word blue and the masculine gender on their own do not

**Gender | gender + color | noun**: same as case above, but the object is ALSO the only object of that gender in the scenario

e.g. the target is a blue masculine plate, the rest of the objects are a mix of feminine cups that are blue and red 🡪 saying just blue suffices, saying blue\_masc suffices, saying just masc suffices, and saying just plate suffices

**Gender + color | color | noun**: same as the [gender + color | noun] case, but the target is the only one of that color.

e.g. the target is a blue masculine plate, the rest of the items are red feminine cups and red masculine knives 🡪 saying just blue suffices, saying just blue\_masc suffices, and saying just plate suffices, but saying just Masc does not suffice

**Gender | color | noun**: hit is just like the [gender + color | color | noun] case, except that there are no other masculine objects

e.g. the target is a blue masculine plate, the rest of the items are red feminine cups (but no masculine knives) 🡪 saying just blue suffices, saying just masc suffices (therefore it holds vacuously that blue\_masc also suffices), and saying just plate suffices

**Color + noun**: you need to say both the color and noun, saying either does not suffice

e.g. the target is the only blue plate, there are other plates and other blue things

**Redundant cases:**

**color redundant:** there are other objects of the same color as the target in the scene

**noun redundant**: there are other objects of the same type as the target in the scene

**Full utt**: the full utterances is redundant. Since either color or noun can be used sufficiently, by uttering the [color + noun] utterance, it is ambiguous as to whether it is the noun or the color that is being used redundantly.

**Full utt + gender**: same as above but includes gender on the color (so gender could be being used redundantly). I don’t split this case up further since I don’t see a need for it.

In the table below I split up the scenarios based on the three questions we are interested in. This is different than how you proposed in the *Stefan Game Plan* document you sent. That is, each question asks for a different type of redundancy.

1. When color is redundant, do speakers/RSA use gender strategically to signal the target? We want to compare this across gender match versus gender mismatch scenarios. 🡪 we need to get all the instances of **color redundant** scenarios
2. Do speakers/RSA strategically omit nouns in the following circumstances:
   1. Noun is uninformative: i.e. the noun is redundant. 🡪 we need all the instances of **noun redundant** scenarios
   2. Both noun and color are informative on their own 🡪 we need al instances of **full utterances redundant** scenarios

For the table below:

# of items: number of items in the scene (3 or 6)

Necessary: what words are necessary to identify the target

Dif genders: 1 = multiple genders in this scenario, 0 = only single gender in this scenario

Dif type: 1 = there are different object types in the scenario, 0 = all objects in the scenario are the same as the target

Dif colors: 1 = there are different colored objects in the scenario, 0 = all objects are of the same color

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Redundancy type | Scen # | # of items | necessary | Dif Genders | Dif Type | Dif colors | Total num of scenarios |
| Color Redundant | 4 | 3 | Noun | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 6 | 3 | noun | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 3 | noun | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 3 | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 6 | Noun | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 19 | 6 | Noun | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 6 | noun | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Noun Redundant | 1 | 3 | Color | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | Color | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 3 | Gender + color | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 3 | Color | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 6 | Color | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | 6 | color | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 6 | Gender + color | color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 6 | Gender + color | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 6 | Gender + color | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 6 | Gender + color | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Full utterance | 5 | 3 | Color | noun | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | 3 | Gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 3 | Gender + color | color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 3 | Gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 3 | Gender | color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 3 | Gender | gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 6 | Color | noun | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 6 | Gender | color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 6 | Gender | gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 27 | 6 | Gender | gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 6 | Gender | gender + color | noun | 1 | 1 | 1 |

So now we go through these scenarios within each category and split them up the way you proposed in the *Stefan Game Plan*. That is by:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Type | gender | # of items | Scenario # | Redundance type |
| Same type | Same gender | 3 | 1 | noun |
| 6 | 16 | noun |
| Dif type | Same gender | 3 | 4 | Color |
| 6 | Color |
| **3** | noun |
| **5** | **full** |
| 6 | 18 | Color |
| 19 | Color |
| 20 | color |
| **21** | noun |
| **17** | **full** |
| Dif gend | 3 | 9 | color |
| 10 | color |
| 7 | noun |
| 8 | noun |
| 11 | **full** |
| 12 | **Full** |
| 13 | **Full** |
| 14 | **Full** |
| 15 | **full** |
| 6 | 29 | noun |
| 30 | Noun |
| 31 | Noun |
| 32 | noun |
| 25 | **Full** |
| 26 | **Full** |
| 27 | **Full** |
| 28 | **full** |

Currently working on going through this table and the previous table and pick out scenarios based on that.

This gives us:

Noun: 6

Color: 3

Full: 4

Total of: 13 scenarios

We want MORE color scenarios 🡪 they are the most important