## Part III Topics in Additive Combinatorics

Based on lectures by Prof W.T. Gowers

### Michaelmas 2016 University of Cambridge

### Contents

- 1 Discrete Fourier Analysis and Roth's Theorem
- 1

2 Bohr Sets and Boglyubov's Method

6

# 1 Discrete Fourier Analysis and Roth's Theorem

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$ . Write  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  for the cyclic group of integers mod N. Use the notation  $\mathbb{E}_x f(x)$  to stand for the average  $N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(x)$ .

**Definition** (Discrete Fourier Transform). Given a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{C}$ , define its discrete Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  by the formula

$$\hat{f}(r) = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx}$$

**Definition** (Convolution). We define the **convolution** f \* g of f and g by

$$f * g(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y+z=x} f(y)g(z)$$

$$\hat{f} * \hat{g}(r) = \sum_{s+t=r} \hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(t)$$

We also define two inner products

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \overline{g(x)}$$

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \sum_{r} \hat{f}(r) \overline{\hat{g}(r)}$$

Have the following basic properties:

1. Parseval's Identity:

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

for any  $f, g: \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{C}$ .

2. Convolution Law: for any  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ 

$$\widehat{f * g}(r) = \widehat{f}(r)\widehat{g}(r)$$

3. Inversion Formula: let  $f: \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{r} \hat{f}(r)\omega^{rx}$$

4. Dilation Rule: let a be invertible mod N and define  $f_a(x)$  to be  $f(a^{-1}x)$ . Then

$$\hat{f_a(r)} = \hat{f}(ar)$$

If  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ , we shall write A(x) for  $\begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin A \end{cases}$ . If  $|A| = \alpha N$ , then  $\hat{A}(0) = \mathbb{E}_x A(x) = \alpha$ .

We shall define  $||f||_p$  to be  $(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $||\hat{f}||_p$  to be  $(\sum_r |\hat{f}(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

Then if  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $||A||_2^2 = \langle A, A \rangle = \alpha$ . By Parseval, we get

$$\sum_{r} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^2 = \alpha \left( = \left| \left| \hat{A} \right| \right|_2^2 \right)$$

**Theorem 1** (Roth). For every  $\delta > 0$   $\exists N$  s.t. every subset  $A \subset [N]$  of size at least  $\delta N$  contains an arithmetic progression of length 3.

Broad strategy: a density increment argument.

The idea is to show that if A has density  $\alpha$  and contains no 3-AP then there is a reasonably long AP P s.t.  $\frac{|A \cap P|}{|P|}$  is significantly larger than  $\alpha$ . There we are either done or can pass to P and start again with a larger density. Then repeat, and eventually, since  $\alpha$  can't exceed 1, we must get a 3-AP.

In order to use Fourier analysis, we want to think of A as a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . For this purpose, define sets  $B = C = A \cap \left[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}\right]$ , and observe that if (x, y, z) is an AP in  $A \times B \times C$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , then it also is in [N].

Let  $\alpha$  be the density of A. Assume that N is odd. If  $|B| < \frac{\alpha N}{5}$  then one of  $\left|A \cap \left[1, \frac{N}{3}\right]\right|$  and  $\left|A \cap \left[\frac{2N}{3}, N\right]\right|$  is at least  $\frac{2\alpha N}{5}$ , so we get an interval in which A has density at least  $\frac{6\alpha}{5}$ , which is a very healthy density increment.

Otherwise,  $|B| = |C| > \frac{\alpha N}{5}$ , so let's assume that.

Define the **3-AP-density** of (A, B, C) to be  $\mathbb{E}_{x+z=2y}A(x)B(y)C(z)$ . This is the probability that a random (x, y, z) with x + z = 2y lies in  $A \times B \times C$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{x+z=2y}A(x)B(y)C(z) = \mathbb{E}_{u}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x+z=u}A(x)C(z)\right)B(u/2)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{u}A*C(u)B_{2}(u)$$

$$= \langle A*C, B_{2}\rangle$$

$$= \langle \widehat{A*C}, \widehat{B}_{2}\rangle$$

$$= \langle \widehat{A}\widehat{C}, \widehat{B}_{2}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{r} \widehat{A}(r)\widehat{C}(r)\overline{\widehat{B}_{2}(r)}$$

$$= \sum_{r} \widehat{A}(r)\widehat{C}(r)\widehat{B}(-2r)$$

$$= \alpha\beta\gamma + \sum_{r\neq 0} \widehat{A}(r)\widehat{C}(r)\widehat{B}(-2r)$$

where  $\beta = \gamma = \text{density of } B \text{ (or } C)$ . Now

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{r \neq 0} \hat{A}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \hat{C}(r) \right| &\leq \max_{r \neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \sum_{r} \hat{B}(-2r) \hat{C}(r) \\ &\leq \max_{r \neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \left| \left| \hat{B} \right| \right|_{2} \left| \left| \hat{C} \right| \right|_{2} \text{ (Cauchy-Schwarz)} \\ &= \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{r \neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \end{split}$$

Therefore, if  $\max_{r\neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{2}$ , i.e.  $\max_{r\neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  then the 3-AP-density of (A,B,C) is at least  $\frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{2}$ . Since  $\beta\gamma \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{25}$ , this tells us that we get 3-APs provided  $\max_{r\neq 0} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$  and  $\frac{\alpha^3}{50} > \frac{1}{N}$  (ensures that the progression is non-trivial). So we may assume that  $\exists r \text{ s.t. } \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ . Then the set [N] can be partitioned into arithmetic progressions of length at least  $\frac{\epsilon}{8\pi}N^{\frac{1}{2}}$  on each of which the function  $x \mapsto \omega^{rx}$  varies by at most  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m = \lfloor N^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor$ . Of the numbers 1,  $\omega^r, \ldots, \omega^{mr}$  there must be two, say  $\omega^{ur}$  and  $\omega^{vr}$  with u < v, that differ by at most  $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ .

Let t = v - u and note that  $|\omega^{ur} - \omega^{vr}| = |1 - \omega^{tr}|$ , so  $|1 - \omega^{tr}| \le \frac{2\pi}{m}$ .

Note also that if a < b, then

$$\left|\omega^{btr} - \omega^{atr}\right| \le \sum_{j=1}^{b-a} \left|\omega^{(a+j)tr} - \omega^{(a+j-1)tr}\right|$$
$$\le (b-a)\frac{2\pi}{m}$$

by the triangle inquality.

Now partition [N] into congruence classes mod t, and partition each congruence class into 'intervals' of length at most  $\frac{\epsilon m}{2\pi}$  and at least  $\frac{\epsilon m}{4\pi}$ . This is possible, since  $t \leq m \leq \sqrt{N}$  (exercise). These progressions do the job, since  $\frac{\epsilon m}{4\pi} \geq \frac{\epsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi}$ .

The **balanced function** f of A is defined by  $f(x) = A(x) - \alpha$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}_x f(x) = 0$  and  $\hat{f}(r) = \hat{A}(r)$  when  $r \neq 0$ .

Let  $r \neq 0$  be such that  $\left| \hat{f}(r) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ . Then

$$\frac{a^2}{10} \le \left| \hat{f}(r) \right|$$

$$= \left| \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right|$$

$$= N^{-1} \left| \sum_x f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right|$$

Now let  $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{20}$  and let  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  be given by Lemma 2.

$$N^{-1} \left| \sum_{x} f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| \le N^{-1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right|$$

$$\le N^{-1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) (\omega^{-rx} - \omega^{-rx_i}) \right| + N^{-1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx_i} \right|$$

where  $x_i \in P_i$  is arbitrary

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^2}{20} + N^{-1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right|$$

So we may conclude that  $\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{20} N$ . Also,  $\sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\sum_i \left( \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right) \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{20} N$ .

So  $\exists i \text{ s.t. } \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \ge \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{20}$ , which implies that

$$\sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{40} |P_i|$$

Or equivalently,  $|A \cap P_i| \ge \left(\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{40}\right) |P_i|$ .

Back of envelope calculation: each time we iterate,  $\alpha$  goes to at least  $\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{40}$ , so after  $\frac{40}{\alpha}$  iterations, the density at least doubles. So the total number of iterations (before we get a 3-AP) is at most  $\frac{40}{\alpha} + \frac{40}{2\alpha} + \frac{40}{4\alpha} + \cdots = \frac{80}{\alpha}$ .

Each time we iterate, N goes to  $\frac{\alpha^2}{20} \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi}$ , so as long as  $N \geq ??$  this is at least  $N^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . So all the iterative processes have that the new N is at least  $N^{(\frac{1}{3})\frac{80}{\alpha}}$ , which we need to be greater than  $\frac{50}{\alpha^3}$ .

To solve  $N^{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{80}{\alpha}}} > \frac{50}{\alpha^3}$  take logs twice.

$$\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{80}{\alpha}}\log N > \log 50 + \log(\alpha^{-3})$$

$$\implies \frac{80}{\alpha}\log(\frac{1}{3}) + \log\log N > \log(\log 50 + \log(\alpha^{-3}))$$

So for an appropriate constant C, we are done if

$$\log \log N \ge \frac{C}{\alpha}$$
, or  $\alpha \ge \frac{C}{\log \log N}$ 

**Theorem 3** (Behrend, 1947). For every N there exists a subset  $A \subset [N]$  of size  $\frac{N}{e^{c\sqrt{\log N}}}$  that contains no 3-AP.

*Proof.* For this proof let [N] mean  $\{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ .

Let m, d be positive integers and consider the grid  $[m]^d$ . Note that in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , no sphere  $\{x: x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 = t\}$  contains three distinct points x, y, z with x + z = 2y.

But on  $[m]^d$ ,  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$  takes at most  $dm^2$  different values. Therefore, we can find a sphere that intersects  $[m]^d$  in at least  $\frac{m^d}{m^2d}$  points.

Let  $\phi: [m]^d \to [(2m)^d]$  be defined by

$$\phi(x) = x_1 + 2mx_2 + (2m)^2x_3 + \dots + (2m)^{d-1}x_d$$

So  $\phi$  sends  $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  to the integer with base-2m representation  $x_d x_{d-1} \ldots x_1$ .

If we add  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi(y)$  then no carrying takes place base-2m since all digits are < m. So if  $\phi(x) + \phi(z) = 2\phi(y)$  it follows that x + z = 2y, i.e. no new 3-APs are created.

So (ignoring divisibility etc.) we can find a subset of  $[(2m)^d]$  of size  $\frac{m^d}{m^2d}$  that contains no 3-AP. If we let  $N=(2m)^d$ , then  $m=\frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2}$  and  $\frac{m^d}{m^2d}=\frac{4N}{2^dN^{\frac{2}{d}}d}$ . So we'd like to minimise  $2^dN^{\frac{2}{d}}d$ .

Take logs:  $d \log 2 + \frac{2}{d} \log N + \log d$ , so  $d = \sqrt{\log N}$  is a pretty good choice. So we get

 $\frac{N}{2^{\sqrt{\log N}}e^{2\sqrt{\log N}}\sqrt{\log N}} \geq \frac{N}{e^{c\sqrt{\log N}}}$ 

### 2 Bohr Sets and Boglyubov's Method

**Definition** (Bohr set). Let  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . The **Bohr set**  $B(K, \epsilon)$  is defined to be

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \mid |1 - \omega^{rx}| \le \epsilon \ \forall r \in K\}$$

**Definition** (Sumset). Let A be a subset of an abelian group G. The **sumset** A+A is  $\{x+y\,|\,x,y\in A\}$ . The **difference set** A-A is  $\{x-y\,|\,x,y\in A\}$ . More generally,  $\pm A_1\pm A_2\pm \cdots \pm A_k=\{\pm x_1\pm \cdots \pm x_k\,|\,x_i\in A_i\}$ . We write rA for  $A+A+\cdots +A$  (r times).

**Lemma 1** (Boglyubov's method). Let  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  be a subset of density  $\alpha$ . Then 2A - 2A contains a Bohr set  $B(K, \sqrt{2})$  with  $|K| \leq \alpha^{-2}$ .

Proof. Let  $K = \left\{ r : \left| \hat{A}(r) \right| \ge \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}$ . Observe that  $x \in 2A - 2A \iff A * A * (-A) * (-A)(x) \ne 0$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{E}_{a+b-c-d=x} A(a) A(b) A(c) A(d) \ne 0$ ).

But

$$A*A*(-A)*(-A)(x) = \sum_{r} A*A*(-\widehat{A})*(-A)(r)\omega^{rx} \text{ (inversion)}$$

$$= \sum_{r} \left| \widehat{A}(r) \right|^{4} \omega^{rx} \text{ (convolution)}$$

$$= \alpha^{4} + \sum_{r \in K, r \neq 0} \left| \widehat{A}(r) \right|^{4} \omega^{rx} + \sum_{r \notin K} \left| \widehat{A}(r) \right|^{4} \omega^{rx}$$

for each  $x \in B(K, \sqrt{2})$  and each  $r \in K$ ,  $\Re(\omega^{rx}) \ge 0$ . So if  $x \in B(K, \sqrt{2})$ , then the second term has real part  $\ge 0$ .

Also,

$$\left| \sum_{r \notin K} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^4 \omega^{rx} \right| \le \sum_{r \notin K} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^4$$

$$\le \max_{r \notin K} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^2 \sum_{r \notin K} \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^2$$

$$< \alpha^3 \cdot \alpha = \alpha^4$$

It follows that the sum is not 0. So  $B(Km\sqrt{2})$  does the job. Note also that  $\alpha^3 |K| \leq \sum_r \left| \hat{A}(r) \right|^2 = \alpha$ , so  $|K| \leq \alpha^{-2}$ , as claimed.

**Lemma 2.** Writing  $B[K, \delta]$  for  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \mid \forall r \in K, rx \in [-\delta N, \delta N] \mod N\}$ , we have that  $B[K, \delta]$  has density at least  $\delta^k$ , where k = |K|.

*Proof.* Let  $K = \{r_1, \ldots, r_k\}$  and assume (wlog) that  $0 \notin K$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{Z}_N^k$  by  $\phi : x \mapsto (r_1 x, \ldots, r_k x)$ .

Pick a random translate  $u + [\delta N]^k$  of  $[\delta N]^k$ . On average, this contains at least  $\delta^k N$  points of Im  $\phi$ .

It follows that there is some translate  $\mathbf{u} + [\delta N]^k$  that contains at least  $\delta^k N$  points of Im  $\phi$ . But if  $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in \mathbf{u} + [\delta N]^k$ , then  $\phi(x - y) = \phi(x) - \phi(y) \in [-\delta N, \delta N]^k$ .

$$\implies x - y \in B[K, \delta]$$
. There are at least  $\delta^k N$  distinct such  $x - y$ .

Corollary 3. The Bohr set  $B[K, \delta]$  contains an AP (mod N) of length at least  $\delta N^{\frac{1}{|K|}}$ 

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,  $|B[K,\theta]| \ge \theta^{|K|}N$ , so if  $\theta > N^{-\frac{1}{|K|}}$  then  $|B[K,\theta]| > 1$ . By compactness there is some non-zero  $x \in B[K, N^{-\frac{1}{|K|}}]$ . Then for any m we have that  $mx \in B[K, |m| N^{-\frac{1}{|K|}}]$ , so  $mx \in B[K, \delta]$  whenever  $|m| \le \delta N^{\frac{1}{|K|}}$ , which proves the result.

**Definition.** Let A and B be subsets of abelian groups. A map  $\phi: A \to B$  is a

#### Freiman homomorphism of order k if

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k = a_{k+1} + \dots + a_{2k} \ (all \ a_i \in A)$$
  
$$\implies \phi(a_1) + \phi(a_2) + \dots + \phi(a_k) = \phi(a_{k+1}) + \dots + \phi(a_{2k})$$

It is a **Freiman isomorphism of order** k if it is a bijection and its inverse is also a Freiman homomorphism of order k (that is, the implication can be reversed).

The case k=2 is particularly important. It says

$$x + y = z + w \implies \phi(x) + \phi(y) = \phi(z) = \phi(w)$$

or equivalently

$$x - y = z - w \implies \phi(x) - \phi(y) = \phi(z) - \phi(w)$$

Freiman homomorphisms preserve 'additive structure'. Note in particular that Freiman isomorphisms preserve arithmetic progressions.