# Part III Local Fields

### Based on lectures by Dr C. Johansson

## Michaelmas 2016 University of Cambridge

## Contents

1	Bas	ic Theory
	1.1	The p-adic Numbers
	1.2	Valued Fields
	1.3	Newton Polygons
	1.4	*Witt Vectors*
2	Son	ne p-adic Analysis
	2.1	Mahler's Theorem
3	Rar	nification Theory for Local Fields
	3.1	More on Finite Extensions
	3.2	Totally Ramified Extensions
	3.3	The Unit Group $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$
	3.4	The Inertia Group
	3.5	Higher Ramification Groups
	3.6	Quotients
4	Loc	al Class Field Theory 2
	4.1	Infinite Galois Theory
	4.2	Unramified Extensions and Weil Groups
	4.3	Main Theorems of Local Class Field Theory

## 1 Basic Theory

**Definition 1** (Absolute value). Let K be a field. An **absolute value** on K is a function  $|\cdot|: K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  s.t.

i. 
$$|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$$

ii. 
$$|xy| = |x| |y| \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

iii. 
$$|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$$

Definition 2 (Valued field). A valued field is a field with an absolute value.

**Definition 3** (Equivalence of absolute values). Let K be a field and let  $|\cdot|$ ,  $|\cdot|'$  be absolute values on K. We say that  $|\cdot|$  and  $|\cdot|'$  are **equivalent** if the associated metrics induce the same topology.

**Definition 6** (Non-archimedean absolute value). An absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on a field K is called **non-archimedean** if  $|x+y| \leq \max(|x|,|y|)$  (the **strong triangle inequality**).

Metrics s.t.  $d(x, z) \leq \max(d(x, y), d(y, z))$  are called **ultrametrics**.

Assumption: unless otherwise mentioned, all absolute values will be non-archimedean. These metrics are weird!

**Proposition 7.** Let K be a valued field. Then  $\mathcal{O} = \{x \mid |x| \leq 1\}$  is an open subring of K, called the **valuation ring** of K.  $\forall r \in (0,1], \{x \mid x < r\}$  and  $\{x \mid x \leq r\}$  are open ideals of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Moreover, 
$$\mathcal{O}^x = \{x \mid |x| = 1\}.$$

Proposition 8. Let K be a valued field.

i. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in K. If  $x_n - x_{n+1} \to 0$  then  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy

Assume that K is complete

ii. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in K. If  $x_n - x_{n+1} \to 0$  then  $(x_n)$  converges

iii. Let 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n$$
 be a series in K. If  $y_n \to 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n$  converges

**Definition 9.** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. Then  $s \in S$  is **integral over R** if  $\exists$  monic  $f(x) \in R[x]$  s.t. f(s) = 0.

**Proposition 10.** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. Then  $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$  are all integral over  $R \iff R[s_1, \ldots, s_n] \subseteq S$  is a finitely generated R-module.

**Corollary 11.** let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. If  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  are integral over R, then  $s_1 + s_2$  and  $s_1s_2$  are integral over R. In particular, the set  $\tilde{R} \subseteq S$  of all elements in S integral over R is a ring, called the **integral closure** of R in S.

**Definition 12.** Let R be a ring. A topology on R is called a **ring topology** on R if addition and multiplication are continuous maps  $R \times R \to R$ . A ring with a ring topology is called a **topological ring**.

**Definition 13.** Let R be a ring,  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. A subset  $U \subseteq R$  is called **I-adically open** if  $\forall x \in U \exists n \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } x + I^n \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition 14.** The set of all I-adically open sets form a topology on R, called the I-adic topology.

**Definition 15.** Let  $R_1, R_2, ...$  be topological rings with continuous homomorphisms  $f_n : R_{n+1} \to R_n \ \forall n \ge 1$ . The **inverse limit** of the  $R_i$  is the ring

$$\varprojlim_{n} R_{n} = \left\{ (x_{n}) \in \prod_{n} R_{n} \mid f_{n}(x_{n+1}) = x_{n} \forall n \ge 1 \right\}$$

$$\subseteq \prod_{n} R_{n}$$

**Proposition 16.** The inverse limit topology is a ring topology.

**Definition 17.** Let R be a ring, I an ideal. The **I-adic completion** of R is the topological ring  $\varprojlim_n R/I^n$  ( $R/I^n$  has the discrete topology, and  $R/I^{n+1} \to R/I^n$  is the natural map).

There exists a map  $\nu: R \to \varprojlim R/I^n$ ,  $r \mapsto (r \mod I^n)_n$  This map is a continuous ring homomorphism when R is given the I-adic topology. We say that R is **I-adically complete** if  $\nu$  is a bijection.

If I = xR then we often call the *I*-adic topology the **x-adic topology**.

#### 1.1 The p-adic Numbers

Let p be a prime number throughout.

If  $x \in \mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}$  then  $\exists!$  representation  $x = p^n \frac{a}{b}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and (a, p) = (b, p) = (a, b) = 1.

We define the **p-adic absolute value** on  $\mathbb Q$  to be the function  $|\cdot|_p:\mathbb Q\to\mathbb R_{\geq 0}$  given by

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ p^{-n} & \text{if } x = p^n \frac{a}{b} \ (\neq 0) \text{ as before} \end{cases}$$

Then  $|\cdot|_p$  is an absolute value.

**Definition 18.** The **p-adic numbers**  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  w.r.t.  $|\cdot|_p$ . The valuation ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p \leq 1\}$  is called the **p-adic integers**.

**Proposition 19.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  inside  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Proposition 20.** The non-zero ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are  $p_n\mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ 

Corollary 21.  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a PID with a unique prime element p (up to units).

**Proposition 22.** The topology on  $\mathbb{Z}$  induced by  $|\cdot|_p$  is the p-adic topology.

**Proposition 23.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is p-adically complete and is (isomorphic to) the p-adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Corollary 24. Every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  has a unique expansion

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i$$

with  $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ 

Every  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  has a unique expansion

$$a = \sum_{i=n} \infty a_i p^i$$

 $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ n = -\log_p |a|_p, \ a_n \neq 0.$ 

#### 1.2 Valued Fields

**Definition 25.** Let K be a field. A valuation on K is a function  $v: K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  s.t.

i. 
$$v(x) = \infty \iff x = 0$$

ii. 
$$v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$$

iii. 
$$v(x+y) \ge \min(v(x), v(y))$$

 $\forall x, y \in K$ .

Here we use the conventions  $r+\infty=\infty, r\leq\infty \ \forall r\in\mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$ . v a valuation  $\Longrightarrow$  if  $|x|=c^{-v(x)}, c\in\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , then  $|\cdot|$  is an absolute value. Conversely, if  $|\cdot|$  is an absolute value then  $v(x)=-\log_c|x|$ .

Let K be a valued field.

- $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K = \{x \in K \mid |x| \le 1\}$  is the valuation ring
- $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_K = \{x \in K \mid |x| < 1\}$  is the maximal ideal
- $k = k_K = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  is the **residue field**

If K is a valued field and  $F(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n \in K[x]$  is a polynomial, we say that F is **primitive** if  $\max_i |a_i| = 1 \ (\Longrightarrow F \in \mathcal{O}[x])$ .

**Theorem 26** (Hensel's Lemma). Assume that K is complete and that  $F \in K[x]$  is primitive. Put  $f = F \mod \mathfrak{m} \in k[x]$ . If  $\exists$  factorisation f(x) = g(x)h(x) with (g,h) = 1, then  $\exists$  factorisation F(x) = G(x)H(x) in  $\mathcal{O}[x]$  with  $g \equiv G$ ,  $h \equiv H \mod \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\deg g = \deg G$ .

Proof. Put  $d = \deg F$ ,  $m = \deg g$ , so  $\deg h \leq d - m$ . Pick lifts  $G_0, H_0 \in \mathcal{O}[x]$  of g, h with  $\deg G_0 = \deg g$ ,  $\deg H_0 \leq d - m$ .

$$(g,h) = 1 \implies \exists A, B \in \mathcal{O}[x] \text{ s.t. } AG_0 + BH_0 \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}.$$

Pick  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$  s.t.  $F - G_0 H_0 \equiv AG_0 + BH_0 - 1 \mod \pi$ .

Want to find  $G = G_0 + \pi P_1 + \pi^2 P_2 + \dots$ ,  $H = H_0 + \pi Q_1 + \pi^2 Q_2 + \dots \in \mathcal{O}[x]$  with  $P_i, Q_i \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ ,  $\deg P_i < m$ ,  $\deg Q_i \le d - m$ .

Define

$$G_{n-1} = G_0 + \pi P_1 + \dots + \pi^{n-1} P_{n-1}$$
  
$$H_{n-1} = H_0 + \pi Q_1 + \dots + \pi^{n-1} Q_{n-1}$$

We want  $F \equiv G_{n-1}H_{n-1} \mod \pi^n$ , then take the limit.

Induction on n: n = 1

Assume we have  $G_{n-1}, H_{n-1}, G_n = G_{n-1} + \pi^n P_n, H_n = H_{n-1} + \pi^n Q_n$ . Expanding  $F - H_n G_n$ , we want

$$F - G_{n-1}H_{n-1} \equiv \pi^n(G_{n-1}Q_n + H_{n-1}P_n) \mod \pi^{n+1}$$

and divide by  $\pi^n$ 

$$G_{n-1}Q_n + H_{n-1}P_n = \frac{1}{\pi^n} (F - G_{n-1}H_{n-1}) \mod \pi$$

Let  $F_n := F - G_{n-1}H_{n-1}$ .  $AG_o + BH_0 \equiv 1 \mod \pi \implies F_n \equiv AG_0F_n + BH_0F_n \mod \pi$ .

Write  $BF_n = QG_0 + P_n$  with  $\deg P_n < \deg G_0, P_n \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ 

$$\implies G_0(AF_n + H_0Q) + H_0P_n \equiv F_n \mod \pi$$

Now omit all coefficients from  $AF_n + H_0Q$  divisible by  $\pi$  to get  $Q_n$ .

**Corollary 27.** Let  $F(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in K[x]$ , K complete,  $a_0 a_n \neq 0$ . If F is irreducible, then  $|a_i| \leq \max(|a_0|, |a_n|) \ \forall i$ .

**Corollary 28.**  $F \in \mathcal{O}[x]$  monic, K complete. If F mod  $\mathfrak{m}$  has a simple root  $\bar{\alpha} \in K$ , then F has a (unique) simple root  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$  lifting  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

Useful fact: let K be a valued field,  $x, y \in K$ .  $|x| > |y| \implies |x + y| = |x|$ . More generally, if we have a convergent series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i$  and the non-zero  $|x_i|$  are distinct, then  $|x| = \max |x_i|$ .

**Theorem 29.** Let K be a complete valued field and let L/K be a finite extension. Then the absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on K has a unique extension to an absolute value  $|\cdot|_L$  on L, given by

$$|\alpha|_L = \sqrt[n]{|N_{L/K}(\alpha)|}, \ n = [L:K]$$

and L is complete w.r.t.  $|\cdot|_L$ .

**Corollary 30.** Let K be a complete valued field. If M/K is an algebraic extension of K, then  $|\cdot|$  extends uniquely to an absolute value on M.

Corollary 31. In the setting of Theorem 16, if  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(L/K)$  then  $|\sigma(\alpha)|_L = |\alpha|_L \ \forall \alpha \in L$ 

**Definition 32.** Let K be a valued field and V a vector space over K. A norm on V is a function  $||\cdot||: V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that

- i.  $||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- ii.  $||\lambda x|| = |\lambda| \, ||x|| \, \forall \lambda \in K, x \in V$
- iii.  $||x + y|| \le \max(||x||, ||y||) \ \forall x, y, \in V$

Two norms  $||\cdot||, ||\cdot||'$  are **equivalent** if they induce the same topology on V  $\iff \exists C, D > 0 \text{ s.t. } C ||x|| \le ||x||' \le D ||x|| \ \forall x \in V.$ 

**Proposition 33.** Let K be a complete valued field and V a finite dimensional K-vector space. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be a basis of V, then if  $x = \sum a_i x_i \in V$ ,

$$||x||_{\max} = \max_{i} |a_i|$$

defines a norm on V, and V is complete w.r.t  $||\cdot||_{\max}$ .

Moreover, if  $||\cdot||$  is any norm on V, then  $||\cdot||$  is equivalent to  $||\cdot||_{\max}$  and hence V is complete w.r.t  $||\cdot||$ .

**Lemma 34.** Let K be a valued field. Then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is integrally closed in K.

**Corollary 35.** Let K be a complete valued field, L/K finite. Equip L with  $|\cdot|_L$  extending  $|\cdot|$  on K. Then  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  inside L.

### 1.3 Newton Polygons

**Definition.**  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is lower convex if

- i.  $(x,y) \in S \implies (x,z) \in S \ \forall z \ge y$
- ii. S is convex

Given any  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , there exists a minimal lower convex  $LCH(T) \supseteq T$   $(LCH(T) = \bigcap_{T \subset S', S' \text{lower convex } S')$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in K[x]$  where K is a valued field, v a valuation on K.

Define the **Newton polygon** of 
$$f$$
 as  $LCH\left(\left\{(i, v(a_i)) \middle| \begin{array}{c} i = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ a_i \neq 0 \end{array}\right\}\right)$ .

**Definition.** The horizontal length of a line segment is called the **multiplicity**. Line segments have a **slope**.

**Theorem 36.** Let K be a complete valued field, v a valuation on K,  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in K[x]$ . Let L be the splitting field of f over K, equipped with the unique extension w of v.

If  $(r, v(a_r)) \to (s, v(a_s))$  is a line segment of the Newton polygon of f with slope  $-m \in \mathbb{R}$ , then f has precisely s - r roots of valuation m.

*Proof.* Dividing by  $a_n$  only shifts the NP vertically, so wlog  $a_n = 1$ .

Number the roots of f s.t.

$$v(\alpha_1) = \dots = v(\alpha_{s_1}) = m_1$$
  
 $v(\alpha_{s_1+1}) = \dots = v(\alpha_{s_2}) = m_2$   
 $\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$   
 $v(\alpha_{s_t+1}) = \dots = v(\alpha_{s_1}) = m_{t+1}$ 

where  $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_{t+1}$ , and the  $\alpha_i$  are the roots of f with multiplicity.

$$\begin{split} v(a_n) &= v(1) = 0 \\ v(a_{n-1}) &= v(\sum_i a_i) \ge \min_i v(\alpha_i) = m_1 \\ v(a_{n-2}) &\ge \min_{i \ne j} v(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = 2m_1 \\ v(a_{n-s_1}) &= v(\sum_{i_1, \dots i_{s_1} \text{ distinct }} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_{s_1}}) = s_1 m_1 \\ v(a_{n-s_1-1}) &\ge \min v(\alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_{s_1+1}}) = s_1 m_1 + m_2 \\ &\vdots \\ v(a_{n-s_2}) &= \min v(\alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_{s_2}}) = s_1 m_1 + (s_2 - s_1) m_2 \end{split}$$

etc. Drawing the lines between the points (n,0),  $(n-s_1,s_1m_1)$ , ... gives the NP of f.

The first line segment has length  $n-(n-s_1)=s_1$  and slope  $\frac{0-s_1m_1}{n-(n-s_1)}=-m_1$ . For  $k\geq 2$ , the kth line segment has length  $(n-s_{k-1})-(n-s_k)=s_k-s_{k-1}$  and slope

$$\frac{(s_1 m_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} (s_{i+1} - s_i) m_{i+1}) - (s_1 m_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (s_{i+1} - s_i) m_{i+1})}{(n - s_{k-1}) - (n - s_k)}$$

$$= \frac{-(s_k - s_{k-1}) m_k}{s_k - s_{k-1}} = -m_k$$

Corollary 37. If f is irreducible, then the NP has a single line segment.

*Proof.* we need to show that all roots have the same valuation. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be roots in the splitting field L. Then  $\exists \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(L/K)$  s.t.  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ . So  $v(\alpha) = v(\sigma(\alpha)) = v(\beta)$  by Corollary 30.

**Definition 38.** Let K be a valued field with valuation v. K is a **discretely valued field** (DVF) if  $v(K^{\times}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  ( $\iff v(K^{\times})$  is infinite cyclic).

**Definition 39.** A complete DVF with finite residue field is called a **local field**.

Let K be a DVF.  $\pi \in K$  is called a **uniformiser** if  $v(\pi) > 0$  and  $v(\pi)$  generates  $v(K^{\times})$  ( $\iff v(\pi)$  has minimal positive valuation).

**Proposition 40.** Let K be a DVF, uniformiser  $\pi$ . Let  $S \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  be a set of coset representatives of  $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{m}_K = k_K$  containing 0. Then

- 1. The non-zero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are  $\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $n \geq 0$
- 2.  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID with unique prime  $\pi$  (up to units),  $\mathfrak{m}_K = \pi \mathcal{O}_K$
- 3. The topology on  $\mathcal{O}_K$  induced by  $|\cdot|$  is the  $\pi$ -adic topology
- 4. If K is complete, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete
- 5. If K is complete, then any  $x \in K$  can be written uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{n \gg -\infty}^{\infty} a_n \pi^n$$

with  $a_n \in S$  and  $|x| = |pi|^{-\inf\{n \mid a_n \neq 0\}}$ 

6. The completion  $\hat{K}$  of K is a DVF,  $\pi$  is a uniformiser and

$$\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}}/\pi^n\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}}$$

via the natural map.

*Proof.* The same as for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (use  $\pi$  instead of p). Note that  $|\hat{K}| = |K|$  by Ex 9, sheet 1 (  $\Longrightarrow \hat{K}$  is a DVF).

**Proposition 41.** Let K be a DVF. Then K is a local field  $\iff \mathcal{O}_K$  is compact

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{O}_K$  compact  $\implies \pi^{-n}\mathcal{O}_K$  is compact  $\forall n \geq 0 \ (\pi \text{ uniformiser}).$ 

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cong \pi^{-n} \mathcal{O}_K \implies K = \bigcup_{n>0}^{\infty} \pi^{-n} \mathcal{O}_K$$
 is complete.

Also  $\mathcal{O}_K \to k_K$  and this map is continuous when  $k_K$  is given the discrete topology. So  $k_K$  is compact and discrete  $\implies k_K$  finite.

Conversely, we seek to prove that K local  $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  is sequentially compact ( $\iff$  compact). Note that  $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K$  is finite  $\forall n \geq 0$  (induction and  $\pi^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathcal{O}_K/\pi\mathcal{O}_K$ ).

Let  $(x_i)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .  $\exists$  a subsequence  $(x_{1i})$  which is constant modulo  $\pi$ . Keep going: choose a subsequence  $(x_{n+1,i})$  of  $(x_{ni})$  s.t.  $(x_{n+1,i})$  is constant mod  $\pi^{n+1}$ .

Then  $(x_{ii})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  converges: it's Cauchy since  $|x_{ii} - x_{jj}| \leq |\pi|^j \ \forall j \leq i$ , and K is complete.

**Definition 42.** A ring R is called a **discrete valuation ring** (DVR) if it is a PID with a unique prime element (up to units).

**Proposition 43.** R is a DVR  $\iff$   $R \cong \mathcal{O}_K$  for some DVF K.

*Proof.* The reverse implication is contained in Proposition 42.

Suppose R is a DVR,  $\pi$  prime.  $\forall x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\exists ! u \in R^{\times}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $x = \pi^n u$  by uniqueness of prime factorisation.

Define 
$$v(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

v defines a discrete valuation of  $R \implies v$  extends uniquely to  $K = \operatorname{Frac}(R)$ . It remains to show that  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ . First, note that  $K = R[\frac{1}{\pi}]$ . Any non-zero element looks like  $\pi^n u$ ,  $u \in R^{\times}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so it is invertible.

Then 
$$v(\pi^n u) = n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \iff \pi^n u \in R$$
  

$$\therefore R = \mathcal{O}_K.$$

**Definition 44.** Let K be a valued field with residue field  $k_K$ . K has **equal** characteristic if char  $K = \operatorname{char} k_K$ , mixed characteristic otherwise ( $\Longrightarrow$  char K = 0, char  $k_K > 0$ ).

**Definition 45.** Let R be a ring of characteristic p. R is **perfect** if the Frobenius map  $x \mapsto x^p$  is an automorphism of R.

**Theorem 46.** Let K be a complete DVF of equal characteristic p and assume that  $k_K$  is perfect. Then  $K \cong k_K[[T]]$  (as DVFs).

**Corollary 47.** Let K be a local field of equal characteristic p. Have  $k_K \cong \mathbb{F}_q$  for some q a power of p, and  $K \cong \mathbb{F}_q((T))$ .

**Definition 48.** Let K be a DVF. The **normalised valuation**  $v_K$  on K is the unique valuation on K in the given equivalence class s.t.  $v_K(\pi) = 1$  for any uniformiser  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 49.** Let R be a ring and let  $x \in R$ . Assume that R is x-adically complete and that R/xR is perfect of characteristic p.

Then  $\exists ! \ map \ [-] : R/xR \to R \ such \ that$ 

$$[a] \equiv a \mod x$$
$$[ab] = [a][b] \ \forall a, b \in R/xR$$

Moreover if R has characteristic p, then [-] is a ring homomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R/xR$ .  $\exists ! \ a^{p^{-n}} \in R/xR \ \forall n \geq 0$  since R/xR is perfect. Now lift arbitrarily: take  $\alpha_n \in R$  such that  $\alpha_n \equiv a^{p^{-n}} \mod x$ .

Put 
$$\beta_n = \alpha_n^{p^n}$$
.

Claim:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n$  exists and is independent of choices. Call this [a].

Note that if the limit exists no matter how the  $\alpha_n$  are chosen, then it is independent of the choices.

Want to prove  $\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n \to 0$  x-adically.

$$\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n = (\alpha_{n+1}^p)^{p^n} - (\alpha_n)^{p^n}$$

$$\alpha_{n+1}^p \equiv (a^{p^{-n-1}})^p \equiv a^{p^{-n}} \equiv \alpha_n \mod x$$

The binomial theorem, R/xR characteristic p and induction  $\Longrightarrow$ 

$$(\alpha_{n+1}^p)^{p^n} \equiv \alpha_n^{p^n} \mod x^{n+1}$$

i.e.  $\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n \equiv 0 \mod x^{n+1}$  so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n$  exists.

Multiplicativity: if  $b \in R/xR$ , with  $\gamma_n \in R$  lifting  $b^{p^{-n}} \ \forall n \geq 0$ , then  $\alpha_n \gamma_n$  lifts  $(ab)^{p^{-n}} = a^{p^{-n}} b^{p^{-n}}$ 

$$\implies [ab] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n^{p^n} \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n^{p^n} = [a][b]$$

 $[a] \equiv a \mod x$ :

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n^{p^n}\equiv\lim_{n\to\infty}(a^{p^{-n}})^{p^n}\equiv\lim_{n\to\infty}a\equiv a\mod x$$

Uniqueness: let  $\phi: R/xR \to R$  be another map with these properties.

$$[a] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(a^{p^{-n}})^{p^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(a) = \phi(a)$$

since  $\phi(a^{p^{-n}}) \equiv a^{p^{-n}} \mod x$  and  $\phi$  is multiplicative.

Finally, if R has characteristic p, then  $\alpha_n + \gamma_n$  lifts  $a^{p^{-n}} + b^{p^{-n}} - (a+b)p^{-n}$ , so

$$[a+b] = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_n + \gamma_n)^{p^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n^{p^n} + \gamma_n^{p^n} = [a] + [b]$$

So [-] is additive and multiplicative and (check!) [1] = 1, so it's a homomorphism.

**Definition 50.**  $[-]: R/xR \to R$  is called the **Teichmüller map/lift** and [x] is called the **Teichmüller lift/representative** of x.

Proof of Theorem 48. K is a complete DVF. We want to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_K \cong k_K[[T]]$ .

 $\mathcal{O}_K \operatorname{char} p \Longrightarrow [-]: k_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  is an injective ring homomorphism.

Choose a uniformiser  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $k_K = \mathcal{O}/\pi \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$   $\pi$ -adically complete. Now define

$$k_K[[T]] \to \mathcal{O}_K$$
  
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n] \pi^n$$

It's a bijection by one of the basic properties of complete DVFs, check it's a homomorphism.  $\hfill\Box$ 

Fact: let F be a field of characteristic p. Then F is perfect  $\iff$  every finite extension of F is separable.

 $\mathbb{F}_q$  is perfect for every  $q = p^n$ .

### 1.4 \*Witt Vectors\*

**Definition 51.** Let A be a ring. A is called a **strict p-ring** if A is p-torsionfree, p-adically complete and A/pA is perfect.

**Proposition 52.** Let  $X = \{x_i | i \in I\}$  be a set. Let

$$\begin{split} B &= \mathbb{Z}[x_i^{p^{-\infty}} \mid i \in I] \\ &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[x_i^{p^{-n}} \mid i \in I] \end{split}$$

(Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[x_i | i \in I] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_i^{p^{-1}} | i \in I] \subseteq ...$ ) and let A be the p-adic completion of B. Then A is a strict p-ring, and  $A/pA \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x_i^{p^{-\infty}} | i \in I]$  (think of as 'universal perfect rings').

**Lemma 53.** Let A and B be strict p-rings and let  $f: A/pA \to B/pB$  be a ring homomorphism. Then  $\exists !$  homomorphism  $F: A \to B$  such that  $f \equiv F \mod p$ . F is explicitly given by  $F(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n]p^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [f(a_n)]p^n$ .

**Theorem 54.** Let R be a perfect ring. Then  $\exists !$  (up to isomorphism) strict p-ring W(R) (called the **Witt vectors** of R) such that  $W(R)/pW(R) \cong R$ . Moreover, if R' is another perfect ring the reduction mod p map gives a bijection

$$Hom_{Ring}(W(R), W(R')) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{Ring}(R, R')$$

**Proposition 55.** A complete DVR A of mixed characteristic with perfect residue field and such that p is a uniformiser is the same as a strict p-ring A such that A/pA is a field.

**Definition 56.** Let R be a mixed characteristic DVR with normalised valuation  $v_R$ . The integer  $v_R(p)$  where p is the characteristic of the residue field of R is called the **absolute ramification index** of R.

**Corollary 57.** Let R be a CDVR of mixed characteristic with absolute ramification index 1 and perfect residue field k. Then  $R \cong W(k)$ .

**Lemma 53'.** Let A be a strict p-ring and let B be a p-adically complete ring. If  $f: A/pA \to B/pB$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $\exists !$  ring homomorphism  $F: A \to B$  with  $f \equiv F \mod p$ .

**Theorem 58.** Let R be a CDVR of mixed characteristic with perfect residue field k and uniformiser  $\pi$ . Then R is finite over W(k).

Corollary 59. Let K be a mixed characteristic local field. Then K is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## 2 Some p-adic Analysis

Recall the power series

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{x!}$$
$$\log(1+x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

**Proposition 60.** Let K be a complete valued field with absolute value  $|\cdot|$ , and assume that  $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $|\cdot||_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = |\cdot|_p$ . Then  $\exp(x)$  converges for  $|x| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$  and  $\log(1+x)$  converges for |x| < 1, and they define continuous maps

exp: 
$$\left\{ x \in K \mid |x| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\} \to \mathcal{O}_K$$
  
log:  $\left\{ x \in K \mid |x| < 1 \right\} \to K$ 

*Proof.*  $v = -\log_p |\cdot|$ , this extends  $v_p$ .

 $\log: v(n) \leq \log_n n \implies$ 

$$v(\frac{x^n}{n}) \ge n \cdot v(x) - \log_p n \to \infty$$

if v(x) > 0.

exp:  $v(n!) = \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p-1}$ . Then

$$v(\frac{x^n}{n!}) \ge n \cdot v(x) - \frac{n}{p-1} = n(v(x) - \frac{1}{p-1}) \ge 0$$

and  $\to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  if  $v(x) > \frac{1}{p-1}$ .

For continuity, we use uniform convergence as in the real case.

**Lemma 53".** Let A be a strict p-ring, B a ring with element  $x \in B$  such that B is x-adically complete and B/xB is perfect of characteristic p. If  $f: A/pA \to B/pB$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $\exists !$  ring homomorphism  $F: A \to B$  with  $f \equiv F \mod p$ .

Let  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\binom{x}{n} = \frac{x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)}{n!}$$

is a polynomial in x, and so defines a continuous function  $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $x \mapsto \binom{x}{p}$ .

Since  $\binom{x}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , by the density of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  we must have  $\binom{x}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

When n = 0, set  $\binom{x}{0} = 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

#### 2.1 Mahler's Theorem

**Theorem 61** (Mahler). Let  $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$  be a continuous function. Then  $\exists$  a unique sequence  $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with  $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $a_n \to 0$  such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n} \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

and  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |f(x)|_p = \max_{n=0,1,\dots} |a_n|_p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ cts}\}$ . This is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space.

If  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , set  $||f|| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |f(x)|_p$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  compact  $\implies f$  is bounded, so the supremum exists and is attained.

Let  $c_0$  denote the set of sequences  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $a_n \to 0$ . This is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space, with a norm  $||(a_n)|| = \max_{n=0,1,\dots} |a_n|_p$ , and  $c_0$  is complete w.r.t  $||\cdot||$ .

Define  $\triangle : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  by  $\triangle f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$ . By induction,

$$\triangle^n f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f(x+n-i)$$

Note that  $\triangle$  defines a linear operator on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and

$$|\triangle f(x)|_p = |f(x+1) - f(x)|_p \leq ||f|| \implies ||\triangle f|| \leq ||f|| \text{ or } ||\triangle|| \leq 1$$

**Definition 62.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The **nth Mahler coefficient**  $a_n(f) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  is defined by

$$a_n(f) = \triangle^n f(0) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f(n-i)$$

**Lemma 63.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then  $\exists k \geq 1$  such that  $\left|\left|\triangle^{p^k} f\right|\right| \leq \frac{1}{p} ||f||$ .

*Proof.* If f = 0 there's nothing to prove, so wlog ||f|| = 1 (by scaling). Then we want to show that  $\triangle^{p^k} f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , some  $k \geq 1$ .

$$\Delta^{p^k} f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^k} (-1)^i \binom{p^k}{i} f(x + p^k - i) \equiv f(x + p^k) - f(x) \mod p$$

because  $\binom{p^k}{i} \equiv 0 \mod p$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p^k - 1$  and  $(-1)^{p^k} \equiv -1 \mod p$ .

Now  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  compact  $\Longrightarrow f$  is uniformly continuous, so  $\exists k$  such that  $|x-y|_p \le p^{-k} \Longrightarrow |f(x)-f(y)|_p \le \frac{1}{p} \ \forall x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Take this k, and we're done.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 64.** The map  $f \mapsto (a_n(f))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defines an injective norm-decreasing linear map  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \to c_0$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that  $a_n(f) \to 0$ . We have  $|a_n(f)|_p \le ||\triangle^n f||$ , so it suffices to prove that  $||\triangle^n f|| \to 0$ . Since  $||\triangle|| \le 1$ ,  $||\triangle^n f||$  is monotonically decreasing, so it suffices to find a subsequence  $\to 0$ .

Apply Lemma 63 repeatedly to get  $k_1, k_2, \ldots$  such that

$$\left| \left| \triangle^{p^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}} f \right| \right| \le \frac{1}{p^n} \left| \left| f \right| \right|$$

This gives the desired subsequence.

Note that  $|a_n(f)|_p \leq ||\triangle^n f|| \leq ||\triangle||$ , so  $||(a_n(f))_n|| = \max_{n=0,1,\dots} |a_n(f)|_p \leq ||f||$ , so the map is norm-decreasing. Linearity follows from the linearity of  $\triangle$ .

Injectivity: assume  $a_n(f) = 0 \ \forall n \geq 0$ . Then  $a_0(f) = f(0) = 0$ , and by induction  $f(n) = \triangle^n f(0) = a_n(f) = 0 \ \forall n \geq 0$ . So f = 0 by continuity since  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  is dense.

We will prove that the linear maps

$$f \mapsto (a_n(f))$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightleftharpoons c_0$$

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n} \leftrightarrow (a_n) = a$$

are mutual inverses and norm-preserving.

**Lemma 65.** We have  $\binom{x}{n} + \binom{x}{n-1} = \binom{x+1}{n} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \ and \ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Proof 1.* True when  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq n}$ , and then the lemma follows by the density of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq n} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  and continuity.

*Proof 2.* True when  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq n}$ , and both sides are polynomials which agree on an infinite set of points  $\implies$  equal as elements of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Now evaluate.

Now let  $a = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in c_0$ . Define  $f_a : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n}$$

This is a uniformly convergent series, so  $f_a \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Proposition 66.**  $a \mapsto f_a$  defines a norm-decreasing linear map  $c_0 \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Moreover,  $a_n(f_a) = a_n \ \forall n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Linearity is clear.

Norm decreasing:

$$|f_a(x)|_p = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n} \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_n |a_n|_p \left| \binom{x}{n} \right|_p$$

$$\leq \sup_n |a_n|_p = ||a|| \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

 $\implies ||f_a|| \le ||a||.$ 

Inverses:  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  define  $a^{(k)} = (a_k, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots)$ 

$$\Delta f_a(x) = f_a(x+1) - f_a(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \left( \binom{x+1}{n} - \binom{x}{n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n-1} \text{ by Lemma 65}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} \binom{x}{n} = f_{a^{(1)}}(x)$$

Iterating,  $\triangle^k f_a = f_{a^{(k)}} \implies$ 

$$a_n(f_a) = \triangle^n f_a(0) = f_{a^{(n)}}(0) = a_n$$

Summing up:

$$F(f) = (a_n(f))$$

$$V = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \stackrel{F}{\rightleftharpoons} c_0 = W$$

$$G(a) = f_a$$

We know: F is injective and norm-decreasing,  $FG = id_W$  and G is norm-decreasing.

**Lemma 67.** In this situation,  $GF = id_V$  and F and G are norm-preserving.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ . Then  $F(v - GFv) = Fv - Fv = 0 \implies v = GFv$  since F is injective. So  $GF = \mathrm{id}_V$ .

Norm-preserving:  $v \in V$ , have  $||Fv|| \le ||v||$ , but also  $||Fv|| \ge ||GFv|| = ||v||$ , so F is norm preserving. Same proof for G.

This finishes the proof of Mahler's Theorem.

## 3 Ramification Theory for Local Fields

The characteristic of the residue field of any local field from now on will be p (unless stated otherwise).

#### 3.1 More on Finite Extensions

Recall: let R be a PID and let M be a f.g. R-module. Assume that M is torsion free. Then  $\exists ! n \geq 0$  such that  $M \cong R^n$ . Moreover, if  $N \subseteq M$  is a submodule, then N is finitely generated and  $N \cong R^m$ , with  $m \leq n$ .

**Proposition 68.** Let K be a local field, L/K finite of degree n. Then  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is a finite, free  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of rank n (i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_L \cong \mathcal{O}_K^n$  as  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules), and  $k_L/k_K$  is an extension of degree  $\leq n$ . Moreover, L is a local field.

*Proof.* Choose a K-basis  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  of L. Let  $||\cdot||$  denote the maximum norm  $||\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i|| = \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} |x_i|$  on L as in Proposition 33.  $||\cdot||$  is equivalent to  $|\cdot|$  (the extended absolute value on L) as K-norms, so  $\exists r > s > 0$  such that

$$M = \{x \in L \mid ||x|| \le s\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \subseteq N = \{x \in L \mid ||x|| \le r\}$$

Increasing r and decreasing s as necessary wlog  $r=|a|,\ s=|b|$  for some  $a,b\in K^{\times}$ . Then

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{K} b \alpha_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{L} \subseteq N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{K} a \alpha_{i}$$

 $\implies \mathcal{O}_L$  is f.g. and free of rank n over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{m}_K = \mathfrak{m}_L \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ , we have a natural injection

$$k_K = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L = k_L$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is generated over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  by n elements,  $k_L$  is generated by n elements over  $k_K$ , i.e.  $[k_L:k_K] \leq n$ .

L a local field:  $k_L/k_K$  is finite and  $k_K$  finite  $\implies k_L$  is a finite field. L is complete by Theorem 29.

Let  $v_K$  be the normalised valuation on K, w the extension of  $v_K$  to L. Then  $w(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n}v_K(N_{L/K}(\alpha))$ , so

$$w(L^{\times}) \subseteq \frac{1}{n}v(K^{\times}) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$$

 $\implies$  it's discrete.

**Definition 69.** Let L/K be a finite extension of local fields. The **inertia** degree of L/K is

$$f_{L/K} = [k_L : k_K]$$

Let  $v_L$  be the normalised valuation on L and  $\pi_K$  a uniformiser of K. The integer

$$e_{L/K} = v_L(\pi_K)$$

is called the **ramification index** of L/K.

**Theorem 70.** Let L/K be a finite extension of local fields. Then  $[L:K] = e_{L/K} f_{L/K}$  and  $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ .

Proof. Write  $e = e_{L/K}$ ,  $f = f_{L/K}$ .

 $k_L/k_K$  is separable, so  $\exists \bar{\alpha} \in k_L$  such that  $k_L = k_K(\bar{\alpha})$ . Let  $\bar{f}(x) \in k_K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\bar{\alpha}$  over  $k_K$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  be a monic lift of  $\bar{f}$  with deg  $f = \deg \bar{f}$ .

Claim:  $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  lifting  $\bar{\alpha}$  and such that  $v_L(f(\alpha)) = 1$  (always  $\geq 1$ ).

Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_L$  be any lift of  $\bar{\alpha}$ . If  $v(f(\beta)) = 1$ , then set  $\alpha = \beta$ . If not, set  $\alpha = \beta + \pi_L$  ( $\pi_L$  uniformiser of L).

 $f(\alpha) = f(\beta + \pi_L) = f(\beta) + f'(\beta)\pi_L + b\pi_L^2$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{O}_L$  (Taylor expanding around  $\beta$ ).

Since  $v_L(f(\beta)) \ge 2$  and  $v_L(f'(\beta)) = 0$ , we have  $v_L(f(\alpha)) = 1$ . Put  $\pi = f(\alpha)$  (uniformiser of L).

We claim that  $\alpha^i \pi^j$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, f - 1$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, e - 1$  are an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Linear independence: assume  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \alpha^i \pi^j = 0$  for some  $a_{ij} \in K$ , not all 0.

Put  $s_j = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_{ij} \alpha^i \ \forall j. \ 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{f-1}$  are linearly independent over K since there reductions are linearly independent over  $k_K$ . So  $\exists j$  such that  $s_j \neq 0$ .

Claim:  $e|v_L(s_i)$  if  $s_i \neq 0$ .

Let k be such that  $|a_{kj}|$  is maximal, then  $a_{kj}^{-1}s_j = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_{kj}^{-1}a_{ij}\alpha^i \implies a_{kj}^{-1}s_k \not\equiv 0 \mod \pi_L$  because  $1, \bar{\alpha}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}^{f-1}$  are linearly independent over  $k_K$ .

$$\implies v_L(a_{kj}^{-1}s_j) = 0 \implies v_L(s_j) = v_L(a_{kj}) = v_L(a_{kj}^{-1}s_j)$$

$$\in v_L(K^{\times})$$

$$= ev_L(L^{\times}) = e\mathbb{Z}$$

Now write  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \alpha^i \pi^j = \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} s_j \pi^j = 0$ . If  $s_j \neq 0$ , we have  $v_L(s_j \pi^j) = v_L(s_j) + j \in j + e\mathbb{Z}$ .

 $\implies$  no two non-zero terms in  $\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} s_j \pi^j$  have the same valuation.

 $\implies \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} s_j \pi^j \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Claim  $\mathcal{O}_L = \bigoplus_{i,j} \alpha^i \pi^j$ .

Set  $M = \bigoplus_{i,j} \alpha^i \pi^j$  and  $N = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1} \mathcal{O}_K \alpha^i$ . Then  $M = N + \pi + N + \dots + \pi^{e-1} N$ . Since  $1, \bar{\alpha}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}^{f-1}$  span  $k_L$  over  $k_K$  we must have  $\mathcal{O}_L = N + \pi \mathcal{O}_L$ .

Iterate: 
$$\mathcal{O}_L = N + \pi(N + \pi \mathcal{O}_L)$$
  
 $= N + \pi N + \pi^2 \mathcal{O}_L$   
 $= \dots$   
 $= N + \pi N + \dots + \pi^{e-1} N + \pi^e \mathcal{O}_L$   
 $= M + \pi_K \mathcal{O}_L (\pi_K \text{ uniformiser of } K)$ 

Iterate:  $\mathcal{O}_L = M + \pi_K^n \mathcal{O}_L \ \forall n \geq 1 \implies M$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . But M is the closed unit ball in  $V = \bigoplus_{ij} K \alpha^i \pi^j \subseteq L$  w.r.t the maximum norm on V w.r.t the basis  $\alpha^i \pi^j$ .

Proposition 33 and Theorem 29  $\implies M$  is complete both w.r.t the maximum norm and  $|\cdot|$  on L.

 $\implies M \subseteq L$  is closed.

 $\implies M = \mathcal{O}_L.$ 

Finally, since  $\alpha^i \pi^j = \alpha^i f(\alpha)^j$  is a polynomial in  $\alpha$ , have  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary 71. Let M/L/K be finite extensions of local fields. Then  $f_{M/K} = f_{L/K}f_{M/L}$  and  $e_{M/K} = e_{L/K}e_{M/L}$ .

Proof. 
$$[k_M:k_K] = [k_M:k_L][k_L:k_K]$$
 by multiplicativity of degrees. 
$$e_{M/L}e_{L/K} = \frac{[M:L]}{f_{M/L}}\frac{[L:K]}{f_{L/K}} = \frac{[M:K]}{f_{M/K}} = e_{M/K}.$$

**Definition 72.** Let L/K be a finite extension of local fields. L/K is unramified if  $e_{L/K} = 1$  (or  $f_{L/K} = [L:K]$ ), and totally ramified if  $f_{L/K} = 1$ .

**Theorem 73.** Let K be a local field. For each finite extension  $l/k_K$  there is a unique (up to isomorphism) finite unramified extension L/K with  $k_L \cong l$  over  $k_K$ .

Moreover, L/K is Galois with  $Gal(L/K) \cong Gal(l/k_K)$ .

*Proof.* Existence: let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be a primitive element of  $l/k_K$  with minimal polynomial  $\bar{f} \in k_K[x]$ . Take a monic lift  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  of  $\bar{f}$  (deg  $f = \deg \bar{f}$ ).

Put  $L = K(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f.  $\bar{f}$  irreducible  $\implies f$  irreducible  $\implies [L:K] = [l:k_K]$ .

Moreover,  $k_L$  contains a root of  $\bar{f}$  (the reduction of  $\alpha$ ). So  $l \hookrightarrow k_L$  over  $k_K \implies [L:K] \ge [k_L:k_K] = [L:K]$ .

$$\implies L/K$$
 is unramified and  $k_L \cong l$  over  $k_K$ .

Uniqueness and Galois property follows from:

**Lemma 74.** Let L/K be a finite unramified extension of local fields and let M/K be a finite extension. Then there is a natural bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K-alg}(L,M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{k_K-alg}(k_L,k_M)$$

 $(\varphi: L \to M \text{ restricts to } \varphi: \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_M, \text{ then take reductions}).$ 

*Proof.* By uniqueness of extended absolute values (Theorem 29) any K-algebra homomorphism  $\phi: L \to M$  is an isometry for the extended absolute values.

Thus  $\varphi(\mathcal{O}_L) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M$ ,  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_L) \subseteq \varphi(\mathfrak{m}_M)$  so we get the induced  $k_K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}: k_L \to k_M$ . This gives

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K-alg}(L,M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{k_K-alg}(k_L,k_M)$$

Bijectivity: let  $\bar{\alpha} \in k_L$  be a primitive element over  $k_K$ ,  $\bar{f} \in k_K[x]$  its minimal polynomial,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  a monic lift of  $\bar{f}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  the unique root of f which lifts to  $\bar{\alpha}$  (Hensel's Lemma).

Then  $k_L = k_L(\bar{\alpha})$  and  $L = K(\alpha)$ .

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi & & \operatorname{Hom}_{K-alg}(L,M) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_{k_K}(k_L,k_M) & & \hat{\varphi} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varphi(\alpha) & & \{x \in M \,|\, f(x) = 0\} & \longrightarrow & \{\bar{x} \in k_M \,|\, \bar{f}(\bar{x}) = 0\} & & \bar{\varphi}(\bar{\alpha}) \end{array}$$

This is a bijection by Hensel's Lemma, since  $\bar{f}$  is separable.

Proof of 73 cont. Uniqueness:  $k_L \cong k_M$  over  $k_K$ , L/K, M/K unramified. Then  $\bar{\phi}$  lifts to a K-embedding  $\phi: L \hookrightarrow M$  and  $[L:K] = [M:K] \implies \phi$  an isomorphism.

 $\text{Galois: } |\text{Aut}_K(L)| = |\text{Aut}_{k_K}(k_L)| = [k_L:k_K] = [L:K] \implies L/K \text{ Galois.}$ 

Also,  ${\rm Aut}_K(L) \to {\rm Aut}_{k_K}(k_L)$  is really a homomorphism (so an isomorphism).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 75.** Let K be a local field, L/K finite unramified, M/K finite. Say  $L, M \subset$  fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of K. Then LM/M is unramified. Any subextension of L/K is unramified over K. If M/K is unramified, then LM/K is unramified.

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be a primitive element of  $k_L/k_K$ ,  $\bar{f} \in k_K[x]$  the minimal polynomial of  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  a monic lift of  $\bar{f}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  the unique root of f lifting  $\hat{\alpha}$ . Then  $L = K(\alpha)$  so  $LM = M(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\bar{g}$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\bar{\alpha}$  over  $k_M$ . Then  $\bar{g}|\bar{f} \implies f = gh$  in  $\mathcal{O}_M[x]$  by Hensel's Lemma. g monic, lifts  $\bar{g} \implies g(\alpha) = 0$  and g irreducible in M[x].

So g is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $M \Longrightarrow$ 

$$[LM:M] = \deg g = \deg \bar{g} \le [k_{LM}:k_M] \le [LM:M]$$

 $\implies$  have equalities, LM/M unramified.

The second claim follows from the multiplicativity of  $f_{L/K}$  and  $e_{L/K}$  (Corollary 71), as does the third ( $[LM:K]=[LM:M][M:K]=f_{LM/M}f_{M/K}=f_{LM/K} \Longrightarrow LM/K$  unramified).

Corollary 76. Let K be a local field, L/K finite. Then  $\exists$  a unique maximal subfield  $K \subseteq T \subseteq L$  such that T/K is unramified. Moreover,  $[T:K] = f_{L/K}$ .

*Proof.* Existence: T is the composite of all unramified subextensions of L/K (use Proposition 75).

Have  $[T:K] = f_{T/K} \le f_{L/K}$  by Corollary 71.

Let T'/K be the unique unramified extension with residue field extension  $k_L/k_K$ . Then  $id: k_{T'} = k_L \to k_L$  lifts to a K-embedding  $T' \stackrel{\varphi}{\hookrightarrow} L$ , by Lemma 74.

Then 
$$[T:K] \ge [\varphi(T'):K] = f_{L/K} \implies [T:K] = f_{L/K}.$$

### 3.2 Totally Ramified Extensions

Recall

**Theorem 77** (Eisenstein's Criterion). Let K be a local field,  $f(x) = x^n + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ ,  $\pi_K$  uniformiser of K. If  $\pi_K|a_{n-1},\ldots,a_0$  and  $\pi_K^2 \nmid a_0$ , then f is irreducible.

Note that if L/K finite,  $v_K$  a normalised valuation on K and w the unique extension of  $v_K$  to L. Then  $e_{L/K}^{-1} = w(\pi_L) = \min_{x \in \mathfrak{m}_L} w(x)$ .

A polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  satisfying the assumptions of Eisenstein's criterion is called an **Eisenstein polynomial**.

**Proposition 78.** Let L/K be a totally ramified extension of local fields. Then  $L = K(\pi_L)$  and the minimal polynomial of  $\pi_L$  over K is Eisenstein.

Conversely, if  $L = K(\alpha)$  and the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over K is Eisenstein, then L/K is totally ramified and  $\alpha$  is a uniformiser of L.

*Proof.* First part: n = [L : K],  $v_K$  a normalised valuation on K and w the unique extension of  $v_K$  to L. Then

$$[K(\pi_L):K]^{-1} \le e_{K(\pi_L)/K}^{-1} = \min_{x \in \mathfrak{m}_K(\pi_L)} w(x) \le \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\implies [K(\pi_L):K] \ge [L:K] \implies L = K(\pi).$$

Let  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\pi_L$  over K.

$$\pi_L^n = -(a_0 + a_1(\pi_L) + \dots + a_{n-1}\pi_L^{n-1})$$

So  $1 = w(\pi_L^n) = w(a_0 + a_1\pi_L + \dots + a_{n-1}\pi_L^{n-1}) = \min_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} (v_K(a_i) + \frac{i}{n})$  $\implies v_K(a_i) \ge 1 \ \forall i \text{ and } v_K(a_0) = 1, \text{ so } f \text{ is Eisenstein.}$ 

Converse:  $L = K(\alpha)$ , n = [L : K]. Let  $g(x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . g irreducible  $\implies$  all roots have the same valuation, so

$$1 = w(b_0) = n \cdot w(\alpha) \implies w(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\implies e_{L/K}^{-1} = \operatorname{min}_{x \in \mathfrak{M}_L} w(x) \leq \tfrac{1}{n} = [L:K]^{-1}$$

 $\implies [L:K] = e_{L/K} = n$ , so L/K is totally ramified and  $\alpha$  is a uniformiser.

We've show that if L/K is a totally ramified extension of local fields, then  $L = K(\pi_L)$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\pi_L]$  (see proof of Theorem 70).

## $\mathbf{3.3}$ - The Unit Group $\mathcal{O}_K^{ imes}$

Let K be a local field. For each  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ , set

$$U_K^{(s)} = U^{(s)} = 1 + \pi_K^S \mathcal{O}_K$$

where  $\pi_K$  is a uniformiser of K. Put  $U_K = U_K^{(0)} = U^{(0)} = O_K^{\times}$ .

**Proposition 79.** We have  $U_K/U_K^{(1)} \cong (k_K^{\times}, \cdot)$  and  $U_K^{(s)}/U_K^{(s+1)} \cong (k_K, +)$ .

*Proof.* We have a surjective homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \to k_K^{\times}$  which is just reduction mod  $\pi_K$ , and the kernel is  $1 + \pi_K \mathcal{O}_K = U_K^{(1)}$ .

For the second part, define a surjection

$$U_K^{(s)} \to k_K$$

$$1 + \pi_K^s x \mapsto x \mod \pi_K$$

This is a group homomorphism: writing  $\pi = \pi_K$ ,

$$(1+\pi^S x)(1+\pi^s y) = 1+\pi^s (x+y+\pi^s xy) \mapsto x+y+\pi^s xy \equiv x+y \mod \pi$$
 The kernel is  $1+\pi^{s+1}\mathcal{O}_K = U_K^{s+1}$ .

#### 3.4 The Inertia Group

**Proposition 80.** If L/K is a finite Galois extension of local fields, then  $\exists$  a surjective homomorphism  $Gal(L/K) \to Gal(k_L/k_L)$ .

Proof. Lemma 74 gives us a homomorphism

Let T/K be the maximal unramified subextension of L/K.

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \left\| (k_T = k_L) \right\|$$

$$\operatorname{Gal}(T/K) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Gal}(k_T/k_K)$$

 $\implies$  surjectivity.

**Definition 81.** In the setting of proposition 80, the kernel  $I(L/K) = \operatorname{Gal}(L/T)$  of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \to \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K)$  is called the **inertia group** of L/K (Trivial  $\iff$  L/K unramified).

The field T is (sometimes) called the **inertial field** of L/K.

**Lemma 82.** Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields. Let  $x \in k_L$  and  $\sigma \in Gal(L/K)$  with image  $\bar{\sigma} \in Gal(k_L/k_K)$ . Then

$$[\bar{\sigma}(x)] = \sigma([x])$$

In particular,  $\sigma([x]) = [x] \ \forall x \in k_L \iff \sigma \in I(L/K)$ .

Proof. The map

$$x \mapsto \sigma^{-1}([\bar{\sigma}(x)])$$
 $k_L \to \mathcal{O}_L$ 

is multiplicative and  $\sigma^{-1}([\bar{\sigma}(x)]) \equiv x \mod \pi_L$  $\implies \sigma^{-1}([\bar{\sigma}(x)]) = [x]$  by uniqueness of [-].

## 3.5 Higher Ramification Groups

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields,  $v_L$  a normalised valuation on L.

**Definition 83.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq -1}$ . Define the s-th ramification group of L/K by

$$G_s(L/K) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \mid v_L(\sigma(x) - x) \ge s + 1 \ \forall x \in \mathcal{O}_L \}$$

We could have defined these only for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ . Note that  $G_{-1}(L/K) = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ ,  $G_0(L/K) = I(L/K)$ .

**Proposition 84.** Notation as above,  $\pi_L$  a uniformiser of L. Then  $G_{s+1}(L/K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_s(L/K)$   $\forall s \in \mathbb{Z}_{s \geq 0}$  and the map

$$\frac{G_s(L/K)}{G_{s+1}(L/K)} \to \frac{U_L^{(s)}}{U_L^{(s+1)}}$$
$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L}$$

is a well-defined injective group homomorphism, independent of the choice of  $\pi_L$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\phi: G_s(L/K) \to \frac{U_L^{(s)}}{U_L^{(s+1)}}$  by  $\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L}$ .  $\sigma \in G_s(L/K)$ ,  $\sigma(\pi_L) = \pi_L + \pi_L^{s+1} x$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{O}_L \Longrightarrow$ 

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} = 1 + \pi_L^s x \in U_L^s$$

Now let  $u \in \mathcal{O}_L^{\times}$ . Then  $\sigma(u) = u + \pi_L^{s+1} y$  for some  $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$ , so

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi_L u)}{\pi_L u} = \frac{(\pi_L + \pi_L^{s+1} x)(u + \pi_L^{s+1} y)}{\pi_L u}$$
$$= (1 + \pi_L^s x)(1 + \pi_L^{s+1} u^{-1} y)$$
$$\equiv (1 + \pi_L^s x) = \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} \mod U_L^{(s+1)}$$

So  $\phi$  is independent of the choice of  $\pi_L$ .

It's a homomorphism:

$$\phi(\sigma\tau) = \frac{\sigma(\tau(\pi_L))}{\pi_L}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(\tau(\pi_L))}{\tau(\pi_L)} \frac{\tau(\pi_L)}{\pi_L}$$

$$\equiv \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} \frac{\tau(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} = \phi(\sigma)\phi(\tau) \mod U_L^{s+1}$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Ker} \phi = \{ \sigma \in G_s(L/K) \mid v_L(\sigma(\pi_L) - \pi_L) \ge s + 2 \}$$

$$\subseteq \{ \sigma \in G_s(L/K) \mid v_L(\sigma(z) - z) \ge s + 2 \ \forall \in \mathcal{O}_L \}$$

$$= G_{s+1}(L/K)$$

Conversely, let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$  and write  $x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [x_n] \pi_L^n$ ,  $x_n \in k_L$ . Write  $\sigma(\pi_L) = \pi_L + \pi_L^{s+2} y$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Ker } \phi \subseteq I(L/K)$ .

By Lemma 82,

$$\sigma(x) - x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_n]((\pi_L + \pi_L^{s+2}y)^n - \pi_L^n)$$

$$= \pi_L^{s+2}y \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_n]((\pi_L + \pi_L^{s+2}y)^{n-1} + (\pi_L + \pi_L^{s+2}y)^{n-2}\pi_L + \dots + \pi_L^n)$$

so 
$$v_L(\sigma(x) - x) \ge s + 2$$
, so  $\sigma \in G_{s+1}(L/K)$ .

Corollary 85. Gal(L/K) is soluble.

*Proof.* Note that  $\bigcap_s G_s(L/K) = \{id\}$ , so  $(G_s(L/K))_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}$  is a subnormal series of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\frac{G_s(L/K)}{G_{s+1}(L/K)}$  is abelian.

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields. Then  $G_1(L/K)$  is a p-group (since  $\frac{G_s(L/K)}{G_{s+1}(L/K)} \hookrightarrow k_L \ \forall s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq} 1$ ) and  $\frac{G_0(L/K)}{G_1(L/K)} \hookrightarrow k_L^{\times}$ , which has order prime to p.

 $\implies G_1(L/K)$  is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of  $G_0(L/K)$ .

 $G_1(L/K)$  is called the **wild inertia group** and  $\frac{G_0(L/K)}{G_1(L/K)}$  is called the **tame** quotient.

**Proposition 86.** Let M/L/K be finite extensions of local fields, M/K Galois. Then  $G_s(M/K) \cap \operatorname{Gal}(M/L) = G_s(M/L)$ .

Proof.

$$G_s(M/L) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(M/L) \mid v_M(\sigma(x) - x) \ge s + 1 \}$$
$$= G_s(M/K) \cap \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$$

#### 3.6 Quotients

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields. Pick  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ . set  $i_{L/K}(\sigma) = v_L(\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha)$  for  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ .

If 
$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$$
, then

$$v_L(\sigma(g(\alpha)) - g(\alpha)) = v_L\left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i(\sigma(\alpha)^i - \alpha^i)\right) \ge v_L(\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha)$$

 $\implies i_{L/K}(\sigma)$  is independent of  $\alpha$ , and

$$G_s(L/K) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \mid i_{L/K}(\sigma) \ge s+1 \}$$

**Proposition 87.** Let M/L/K be finite extension of local fields, M/K and L/K Galois. Then

$$(*) \qquad i_{L/K}(\sigma) = e_{M/L}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{T \in \operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \\ \tau \mid_{L} = \sigma}} i_{M/K}(\tau) \ \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma = 1$ , both sides  $= \infty$ . Assume  $\sigma \neq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\beta]$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_M$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ .

$$\implies e_{M/L}i_{L/K}(\sigma) = e_{M/L}v_L(\sigma(\beta) - \beta) = v_M(\sigma(\beta) - \beta).$$

$$\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \implies i_{M/K}(\tau) = v_M(\tau(\alpha) - \alpha).$$

Fix  $\tau$  such that  $\tau|_L = \sigma$ . Set  $H = \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$ . Then

(RHS of \*) 
$$\cdot e_{M/L} = \sum_{g \in H} (\tau(g(\alpha)) - \alpha) = v_M (\prod_{g \in H} (\tau(g(\alpha)) - \alpha))$$

Set  $b = \sigma(\beta) - \beta = \tau(\beta) - \beta$  and  $a = \prod_{g \in H} (\tau(g(\alpha))) - \alpha)$ . We want to prove  $v_M(b) = v_M(a)$ .

General observation: let  $z \in \mathcal{O}_L$ , write  $z = \sum_{i=0}^h z_i \beta^i$ ,  $z_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\tau(z) - z = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (\tau(\beta)^i - \beta^i)$  is divisible by  $\tau(\beta) - \beta = b$ .

Now let  $F(x) \in \mathcal{O}_L[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over L. Explicitly,  $F(x) = \prod_{g \in H} (x - g(\alpha))$ .

We have  $(\tau F)(x) = \prod_{g \in H} (x - \tau(g(\alpha)))$  [ $\tau F$  is the polynomial obtained from F by applying  $\tau$  to all coefficients], then all coefficients of  $\tau F - F$  are of the form  $\tau(z) - z$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{O}_L \implies$  they are divisible by b.

$$\implies b|(\tau F - F)(a) = \pm a \implies b|a$$

Conversely, pick  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = \beta$ . Since  $f(\alpha) - \beta = 0$ ,  $f(x) - \beta = F(x)h(x)$  for some  $h(x) \in \mathcal{O}_L[x]$ .

Then 
$$(f - \tau(\beta))(x) = (\tau F - \tau(\beta))(x) = (\tau F)(x)(\tau(h))(x)$$
. Set  $x = \alpha$ :  
 $-b = \beta - \tau(\beta) = (\pm a)\tau h(\alpha) \implies a|b$ .

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields. Define  $\eta_{L/K}: [-1, \infty) \to [-1, \infty)$  by

$$\eta_{L/K}(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dx}{|G_0(L/K): G_x(L/K)|}$$

When  $-1 \le x < 0$ , our convention is that  $\frac{1}{|G_0L/K:G_xL/K|} = |G_x(L/K):G_0(L/K)|$  which is just = 1 when -1 < x < 0.

$$\implies \eta_{L/K}(s) = s \text{ if } -1 \le s \le 0.$$

**Proposition 88.** Let  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then  $\eta_{L/K}(s) = \left(e_{L/K}^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \min(i_{L/K}(\sigma), s+1)\right) - 1$ , for  $s \in [-1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let RHS =  $\theta(s)$ . Look at  $s \mapsto \min(i_{L/K}, s+1)$ .

 $\implies \theta(s)$  is piecewise linear and break points are integers (same for  $\eta_{L/K}$ ).

Have

$$\theta(0) = \frac{\#\{\sigma \in G \mid i_{L/K}(\sigma) \ge 1\}}{e_{L/K}} - 1 = 0 = \eta_{L/K}(0)$$

If  $s \in [-1, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\theta'(s) = e_{L/K}^{-1} \# \{ \sigma \in G \, | \, i_{L/K}(\sigma) \ge s+1 \} = \frac{1}{|G_0L/K : G_sL/L|} = \eta'_{L/K}(s)$$

$$\implies \theta(s) = \eta_{L/K}(s).$$

**Theorem 89** (Herbrand). Let M/L/K be finite extensions of local fields, M/K and L/K Galois. Set  $H = \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$  and  $t = \eta_{L/K}(s)$ ,  $s \in [-1, \infty)$ .

Then  $\frac{G_s(M/K)H}{H} = G_t(L/K)$ .

*Proof.* Put  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$ . Choose  $\tau \in G$  such that  $i_{M/K}(\tau) \geq i_{M/K}(\tau g)$  for all  $g \in H$ . Put  $m = i_{M/K}(\tau)$ ,  $\sigma = \tau|_L$ .

Claim:  $i_{L/K}(\sigma) - 1 = \eta_{M/L}(m-1)$ .

If 
$$g \in G_{m-1}(M/L) \le H$$
, then  $i_{M/K}(g) \ge m$ , so 
$$i_{M/K}(\tau g) = v_M(\tau g(\alpha) - \alpha)$$
$$= v_M(\tau g(\alpha) - g(\alpha) + g(\alpha) - \alpha)$$
$$\ge \min(v_M(\tau g(\alpha) - g(\alpha)), v_M(g(\alpha) - \alpha))$$
$$= \min(i_{M/K}(\tau g), i_{M/K}(g)) = m$$

If  $g \in H \backslash G_{m-1}(M/L)$ , then  $i_{M/K}(g) < m$  and  $i_{M/K}(\tau g) = i_{M/K}(g)$ . In either case,  $i_{M/K}(\tau g) = \min(m, i_{M/K}(g))$ . By Proposition 87,  $i_{L/K}(\sigma) = e_{M/L}^{-1} \sum_{g \in H} \min(m, i_{M/K}(g))$ .

By Proposition 88,

$$\eta_{M/L}(m-1) = \left(e_{M/L}^{-1} \sum_{g \in H} \min(i_{M/K}, m)\right) - 1 = i_{L/K}(\sigma) - 1$$

This proves the claim.

Now

$$\begin{split} \sigma \in \frac{G_s(M/K)H}{H} &\iff \tau \in G_s(M/K) \iff i_{M/K}(\tau) - 1 \geq s \\ &\iff \eta_{M/L}(i_{M/K}(\tau) - 1) \geq \eta_{M/L}(s) = t \text{ since } \eta_{M/L} \text{ strictly increasing} \\ &\iff i_{L/K}(\sigma) - 1 \geq t \iff \sigma \in G_t(L/K) \end{split}$$

Let L/K be a Galois extension of local fields.  $\eta_{L/K}: [-1,\infty) \to [-1,\infty)$  is continuous, strictly increasing,  $\eta_{L/K}(-1) = -1$  and  $\eta_{L/K}(s) \to \infty$  as  $s \to \infty$ , so it is invertible. Set  $\chi_{L/K} = \eta_{L/K}^{-1}$ .

**Definition 90.** L/K as before. The **upper numbering** of the ramification groups of L/K is defined by

$$G^{t}(L/K) = G_{\chi_{L/K}(t)}(L/K)$$

for  $t \in [-1, \infty)$ . The previous numbering is called the **lower numbering**.

**Lemma 91.** Let M/L/K be finite extension of local fields, M/K and L/K Galois. Then  $\eta_{M/K} = \eta L/K \circ \eta M/L$ , hence  $\chi_{M/K} = \chi_{M/L} \circ \chi_{L/K}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in [-1, \infty)$ , set  $t = \eta_{M/L}(s)$  and  $H = \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$ . By Theorem 89,

$$G_t(L/K) \cong \frac{G_s(M/K)H}{H}$$
$$\cong \frac{G_s(M/K)}{H \cap G_s(M/K)}$$
$$= \frac{G_s(M/K)}{G_s(M/L)}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\#G_s(M/K)}{e_{M/K}} = \frac{\#G_t(L/K)}{e_{L/K}} \cdot \frac{\#G_s(M/L)}{e_{M/L}}$$

so

$$\begin{split} \eta'_{M/K}(s) &= \frac{\#G_s(M/K)}{e_{M/K}} \\ &= \frac{\#G_t(L/K)}{e_{L/K}} \cdot \frac{\#G_s(M/L)}{e_{M/L}} \\ &= \eta'_{L/K}(t) \eta'_{M/L}(s) = (\eta_{L/K} \circ \eta_{M/L})'(s) \end{split}$$

whenever these derivatives make sense.

Since  $\eta_{L/K}(\eta_{M/L}(0)) = \eta_{L/K}(0) = 0 = \eta_{M/K}(0)$ , we get  $\eta_{M/K} = \eta_{L/K} \circ \eta_{M/L}$ .

**Corollary 92.** Keep the notation of Lemma 91 and its proof. Let  $t \in [-1, \infty)$ . Then

$$\frac{G^t(M/K)H}{H} = G^t(L/K)$$

*Proof.* Put  $s = \chi_{L/K}(t)$ . Then, by Theorem 89 and Lemma 91,

$$\frac{G^{t}(M/K)H}{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{G_{\chi_{M/K}(t)}(M/K)H}{H}$$

$$\stackrel{89}{=} G_{\eta_{M/L}(\chi_{M/K}(t))}(L/K)$$

$$\stackrel{91}{=} G_{s}(L/K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G^{t}(L/K)$$

## 4 Local Class Field Theory

This is the study of abelian extensions (i.e. extensions with abelian Galois groups) of local fields.

#### 4.1 Infinite Galois Theory

**Definition 93.** Let L/K be an algebraic field extension. We say that L/K is **seperable** if, for every  $\alpha \in L$ , the minimal polynomial  $f_{\alpha} \in K[x]$  is seperable. We say L/K is **normal** if  $f_{\alpha}$  splits into linear factors in L[x] for every  $\alpha \in L$ .

L/K is **Galois** if it is normal and seperable. If so, we write  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) = \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ .

**Definition 94.** Let M/K be a Galois extension.  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$  is open if for every  $\sigma \in U$ ,  $\exists L/K$  a finite subextension of M/K such that  $\sigma \operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \subseteq U$ .

These sets form the open sets of a topology on Gal(M/K) called the **Krull** topology. G = Gal(M/K) is a topological group w.r.t. the Krull topology.

**Proposition 95.** Let M/K be a Galois extension. Then Gal(M/K) is compact and Hausdorff, and if  $U \subseteq Gal(M/K)$  is an open subset such that  $1 \in U$ , then there exists an open normal subgroup  $N \subseteq Gal(M/K)$  such that  $N \subseteq U$ .

Remarks. 1. When M/K is finite, the Krull topology is discrete.

- 2. Topological groups with the properties in Proposition 95 are called **profinite**.
- 3. Last part: by definition,  $\exists L/K$  a finite subextension of M/K such that  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L) \subseteq U$ . Let L' be the Galois closure of L over K, then  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L') \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}(M/L) \subseteq U$ , and  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L')$  is open and normal.

**Definition 96.** Let I be a set with a partial order  $\leq$ . We say that I is a **directed system** if  $\forall i, j \in I \ \exists I \ \text{such that} \ I \leq k \ \text{and} \ j \leq k$ .

**Definition 97.** Let I be a directed system. An **inverse system** (of topological groups) indexed by I is a collection of topological groups  $G_i$ ,  $i \in I$  and continuous homomorphisms  $f_{ij}: G_j \to G_i \ \forall i, j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$  such that

- 1.  $f_{ii} = id_{G_i}$
- 2.  $f_{ik} = f_{ij} \circ f_{jk}$  when  $i \leq j \leq k$

We define the **inverse limit** of the system  $(G_i, f_{ij})$  to be

$$\lim_{i \in I} G_i = \left\{ (g_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} G_i \, | \, f_{ij}(g_j) = g_i \, \, \forall i \le j \right\} \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} G_i$$

It's a group under coordinate-wise multiplication and a topological space when given the subspace topology of the product topology on  $\prod_{i \in G_i} G_i$ . This makes  $\varprojlim_{i \in I} G_i$  into a topological group.

**Proposition 98.** Let M/K be a Galois extension. The set I of finite Galois subextensions L/K of M/K is a directed system under inclusion. If  $L, L' \in I$  with  $L \subseteq L'$ , then we have a map  $\cdot|_{L}^{L'} : \operatorname{Gal}(L'/K) \to \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then  $(\operatorname{Gal}(L/K), \cdot|_{L}^{L'})_{L \in I, L \subset L'}$  is an inverse system, and the map

$$\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \to \varprojlim_{L \in I} \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$$
  
$$\sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_L)_{L \in I}$$

 $is\ an\ isomorphism\ of\ topological\ groups.$ 

**Theorem 99** (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory). Let M/K be Galois. The map  $L \mapsto \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$  defines a bijection between subextensions L/K of M/K and closed subgroups of  $\operatorname{Gal}(N/K)$ , with inverse  $H \mapsto M^H$ .

Moreover, L/K is finite  $\iff$  Gal(M/L) is open, and L/K Galois  $\iff$  Gal(M/L) is normal, and then

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{L}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Gal}(M/K)}{\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$$

and Gal(M/L) is closed.

#### 4.2 Unramified Extensions and Weil Groups

**Definition 100.** Let K be a local field, M/K an algebraic extension. M/K is unramified (or totally ramified) if L/K is unramified (or totally ramified) for every finite subextension L/K of M/K.

In general, an algebraic extension M/K has a maximal unramified subextension  $T = T_{M/K}/K$ , which is Galois.

If L/K is a finite unramified extension of local fields with  $q = \#k_K$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K) \ni x \mapsto x^q$ , so  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  is cyclic with a canonical generator  $\operatorname{Frob}_{L/K}$ , which is a lift of  $x \mapsto x^q$ . This is called the (arithmetic) **Frobenius element** of L/K.

Frob is compatible in towers: if M/L/K are finite unramified extensions of local fields, then  $\operatorname{Frob}_{M/K}|_{L} = \operatorname{Frob}_{L/K}(x \mapsto x^q \text{ on } k_M \text{ restricts to } x \mapsto x^q \text{ on } k_L, q = \#k_K).$ 

 $\implies$  for M/K an arbitrary unramified extension, we get

$$\operatorname{Frob}_{L/K} \in \varprojlim_{L/K} \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$$
 finite subexts of  $M/K$ 

so we get an element  $\operatorname{Frob}_{M/K} \in \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$ . It is the unique lift of  $x \mapsto x^{\#k_K}$  on  $k_M/k_K$ .

Remarks. Let K be a local field, M/K unramified.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{red.}} & \operatorname{Gal}(k_M/k_K) \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
\varprojlim \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{red.}} & \varprojlim \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K)
\end{array}$$

$$\implies \operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \underline{\lim} \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K)$$

Note that finite subextensions of M/K biject with finite subextensions of  $k_M/k_K$ . So  $\operatorname{Frob}_{M/K}$  is the unique lift of  $x \mapsto x^{\# k_K}$  on  $k_M$ .

**Definition 101.** Let K be a local field, M/K Galois,  $T = T_{M/K}/K$  the maximal unramified subextension of M/K. The **Weil Group** W(M/K) of M/K is

$$W(M/K) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal} M/K \mid \sigma \mid_T = \operatorname{Frob}_{T/K}^n, \text{ some } n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

We define a topology on W(M/K) by saying that U is open  $\iff \forall \sigma \in U \; \exists L/T$  a finite extension such that  $\sigma \operatorname{Gal}(L/T) \subset U$ .

$$\operatorname{Gal}(M/T) \longrightarrow W(M/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Frob}_{T/K}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(T/K)$$

Discrete topology on  $\operatorname{Frob}_{T/K}^{\mathbb{Z}} \leadsto \operatorname{topology}$  of W(M/K).

**Proposition 102.** Let K be a local field, M/K Galois. Then W(M/K) is dense in Gal(M/K). If L/K is a finite subextension of M/K, then  $W(M/L) = W(M/K) \cap Gal(M/L)$ . If L/K is also Galois, then  $\frac{W(M/K)}{W(M/L)} \xrightarrow{\sim} Gal(L/K)$ , via restriction.

*Proof.* Density: need to sho that, for every finite Galois subextension L/K of M/K, W(M/K) surjects onto Gal(L/K) (via restriction).

Let 
$$T = T_{M/K}$$
, then  $T_{L/K} = T \cap L$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Gal}(M/T) \longrightarrow W(M/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Frob}_{T/K}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong (x \mapsto x^{\#k_K})^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Gal}(T/L \cap T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(T \cap L/K) \cong \langle x \mapsto x^{\#k_K} \rangle$$

Chasing the diagram implies surjectivity in the middle.

Second part: let L be as in the first part.  $LT_{M/K} \subseteq T_{M/L}$ .

 $\implies \operatorname{Frob}_{T_{M/L}/L}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \operatorname{Frob}_{T_{M/K}/K}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \operatorname{Gal}(T_{M/L}/L) \text{ (and } T_{M/L} = L \cdot T_{M/K}).$  If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$ , then

$$\begin{split} \sigma \in W(M/K) &\iff \sigma|_{T_{M/L}} \in \operatorname{Frob}_{T_{M/L}/L}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \overset{\operatorname{above}}{\Longleftrightarrow} \ \sigma|_{T_{M/K}} \in \operatorname{Frob}_{T_{M/K}/K}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \iff \sigma \in W(M/K) \end{split}$$

Third part: now L/K is Galois as well.

 $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$  is normal in  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/K) \implies W(M/L)$  is normal in W(M/K) by the second part.

$$\begin{split} \frac{W(M/K)}{W(M/L)} &= \frac{W(M/K)}{W(M/K) \cap \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)} \\ &\cong \frac{W(M/K) \operatorname{Gal}(M/L)}{\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)} \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{Gal}(M/K)}{\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)} \\ &\cong \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \end{split}$$

Since  $W(M/K) \operatorname{Gal}(M/L) = \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$  by density (first part).

### 4.3 Main Theorems of Local Class Field Theory

Let K be a local field. A Galois extension L/K is called **abelian** if Gal(L/K) is abelian.

Fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of K, and all algebraic extensions considered are subfields of  $\bar{K}$ . Let  $K^{sep}$  be the separable closure of K inside  $\bar{K}$ .

If L/K and M/K are Galois, then LM/K is Galois and

$$\operatorname{Gal}(LM/K) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \times \operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$$
  
 $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_L, \sigma_M)$ 

In particular, L/K and M/K abelian  $\implies LM/K$  is abelian.

 $\implies \exists$  maximal abelian extension  $K^{ab}$  of K.

Notes that  $K^{ur} := T_{K^{sep}/K} \subseteq K^{ab}$ . Put  $\operatorname{Frob}_K = \operatorname{Frob}_{K^{ur}/K}$ .

**Theorem 103** (Local Artin Reciprocity). There exists a unique topological isomorphism  $\operatorname{Art}_L: K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} W(K^{ab}/K)$ , characterised by

- 1.  $\operatorname{Art}_K(\pi_K)|_{K^{ur}} = \operatorname{Frob}_K(\pi_K \text{ any uniformiser})$
- 2.  $\operatorname{Art}_K(N_{L/K}(x))|_L = id_L \ \forall L/K \ finite \ abelian, \ x \in L^{\times}$

Moreover, if M/K is finite, then  $\operatorname{Art}_M(x)|_{K^{ab}} = \operatorname{Art}_K(N_{M/K}(x)) \ \forall x \in M^{\times}$ , and  $\operatorname{Art}_K$  induces an isomorphism

$$\frac{K^\times}{N_{M/K}(M^\times)} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Gal}((M \cap K^{ab})/K)$$

Write  $N(L/K) = N_{L/K}(L^{\times})$  for L/K finite.

**Theorem 104.** L/K finite  $\implies N(L/K) = N((L \cap K^{ab})/K)$ , and  $[K^{\times} : N(L/K)] \leq [L : K]$  with equality  $\iff L/K$  abelian.

*Proof.* Put  $M = L \cap K^{ab}$ . Have

$$\frac{K^{\times}}{N(L/K)} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Art}_{K}]{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \xleftarrow[\mathrm{Art}_{K}]{\sim} \frac{K^{\times}}{N(M/K)}$$

Since  $N(L/K) \subseteq N(M/K)$ , we are done.

**Theorem 105.** Let L/K be a finite extension, M/K abelian. Then  $N(L/K) \subseteq N(M/K) \iff M \subseteq L$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 104, wlog L/K abelian (replace it with  $L \cap K^{ab}$ ).  $\iff$  is clear. Assume that  $N(L/K) \subseteq N(M/K)$  and let  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K^{ab}/L)$ .

Then  $W(K^{ab}/L)=\mathrm{Art}_K(N(L/K))\subseteq\mathrm{Art}_K(N(M/K))\implies \exists m\in M^{\times}$  such that  $\sigma=\mathrm{Art}_K(N_{M/K}(x)).$ 

Then  $\sigma|_M = id_M$  by Theorem 103.