

Part III Topics in Additive Combinatorics

Based on lectures by Prof W.T. Gowers

Michaelmas 2016
University of Cambridge

Contents

1 Discrete Fourier Analysis and Roth's Theorem	1
--	---

1 Discrete Fourier Analysis and Roth's Theorem

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$. Write \mathbb{Z}_N for the cyclic group of integers mod N . Use the notation $\mathbb{E}_x f(x)$ to stand for the average $N^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(x)$.

Definition (Discrete Fourier Transform). *Given a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define its **discrete Fourier transform** \hat{f} by the formula*

$$\hat{f}(r) = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx}$$

Definition (Convolution). *We define the **convolution** $f * g$ of f and g by*

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= \mathbb{E}_{y+z=x} f(y)g(z) \\ \hat{f} * \hat{g}(r) &= \sum_{s+t=r} \hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(t) \end{aligned}$$

We also define two inner products

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \overline{g(x)} \\ \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle &= \sum_r \hat{f}(r) \overline{\hat{g}(r)} \end{aligned}$$

Have the following basic properties:

1. Parseval's Identity:

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$$

for any $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

2. Convolution Law: for any $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$

$$\widehat{f * g}(r) = \hat{f}(r)\hat{g}(r)$$

3. Inversion Formula: let $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$f(x) = \sum_r \hat{f}(r) \omega^{rx}$$

4. Dilation Rule: let a be invertible mod N and define $f_a(x)$ to be $f(a^{-1}x)$. Then

$$f_a(\hat{r}) = \hat{f}(ar)$$

If $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$, we shall write $A(x)$ for $\begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin A \end{cases}$. If $|A| = \alpha N$, then $\hat{A}(0) = \mathbb{E}_x A(x) = \alpha$.

We shall define $\|f\|_p$ to be $(\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_p$ to be $(\sum_r |\hat{f}(r)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Then if $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$, $\|A\|_2^2 = \langle A, A \rangle = \alpha$. By Parseval, we get

$$\sum_r |\hat{A}(r)|^2 = \alpha \left(= \|\hat{A}\|_2^2 \right)$$

Theorem 1 (Roth). *For every $\delta > 0 \exists N$ s.t. every subset $A \subset [N]$ of size at least δN contains an arithmetic progression of length 3.*

Broad strategy: a density increment argument.

The idea is to show that if A has density α and contains no 3-AP then there is a reasonably long AP P s.t. $\frac{|A \cap P|}{|P|}$ is significantly larger than α . There we are either done or can pass to P and start again with a larger density. Then repeat, and eventually, since α can't exceed 1, we must get a 3-AP.

In order to use Fourier analysis, we want to think of A as a subset of \mathbb{Z}_n . For this purpose, define sets $B = C = A \cap [\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$, and observe that if (x, y, z) is an AP in $A \times B \times C$ in \mathbb{Z}_N , then it also is in $[N]$.

Let α be the density of A . Assume that N is odd. If $|B| < \frac{\alpha N}{5}$ then one of $|A \cap [1, \frac{N}{3}]|$ and $|A \cap [\frac{2N}{3}, N]|$ is at least $\frac{2\alpha N}{5}$, so we get an interval in which A has density at least $\frac{6\alpha}{5}$, which is a very healthy density increment.

Otherwise, $|B| = |C| > \frac{\alpha N}{5}$, so let's assume that.

Define the **3-AP-density** of (A, B, C) to be $\mathbb{E}_{x+z=2y} A(x)B(y)C(z)$. This is the probability that a random (x, y, z) with $x + z = 2y$ lies in $A \times B \times C$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{x+z=2y} A(x)B(y)C(z) &= \mathbb{E}_u (\mathbb{E}_{x+z=u} A(x)C(z)) B(u/2) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_u A * C(u) B_2(u) \\
&= \langle A * C, B_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle \widehat{A * C}, \hat{B}_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{A} \hat{C}, \hat{B}_2 \rangle \\
&= \sum_r \hat{A}(r) \hat{C}(r) \overline{\hat{B}_2(r)} \\
&= \sum_r \hat{A}(r) \hat{C}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \\
&= \alpha \beta \gamma + \sum_{r \neq 0} \hat{A}(r) \hat{C}(r) \hat{B}(-2r)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \gamma =$ density of B (or C). Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{r \neq 0} \hat{A}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \hat{C}(r) \right| &\leq \max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \sum_r \hat{B}(-2r) \hat{C}(r) \\
&\leq \max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \|\hat{B}\|_2 \|\hat{C}\|_2 \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\
&= \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)|
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha \beta \gamma}{2}$, i.e. $\max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\beta \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then the 3-AP-density of (A, B, C) is at least $\frac{\alpha \beta \gamma}{2}$. Since $\beta \gamma \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{25}$, this tells us that we get 3-APs provided $\max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ and $\frac{\alpha^3}{50} > \frac{1}{N}$ (ensures that the progression is non-trivial). So we may assume that $\exists r$ s.t. $|\hat{A}(r)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then the set $[N]$ can be partitioned into arithmetic progressions of length at least $\frac{\epsilon}{8\pi} N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on each of which the function $x \mapsto \omega^{rx}$ varies by at most ϵ .*

Proof. Let $m = \lfloor N^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor$. Of the numbers $1, \omega^r, \dots, \omega^{mr}$ there must be two, say ω^{ur} and ω^{vr} with $u < v$, that differ by at most $\frac{2\pi}{m}$.

Let $t = v - u$ and note that $|\omega^{ur} - \omega^{vr}| = |1 - \omega^{tr}|$, so $|1 - \omega^{tr}| \leq \frac{2\pi}{m}$.

Note also that if $a < b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega^{btr} - \omega^{atr}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{b-a} |\omega^{(a+j)tr} - \omega^{(a+j-1)tr}| \\ &\leq (b-a) \frac{2\pi}{m} \end{aligned}$$

by the triangle inequality.

Now partition $[N]$ into congruence classes mod t , and partition each congruence class into ‘intervals’ of length at most $\frac{\epsilon m}{2\pi}$ and at least $\frac{\epsilon m}{4\pi}$. This is possible, since $t \leq m \leq \sqrt{N}$ (exercise). These progressions do the job, since $\frac{\epsilon m}{4\pi} \geq \frac{\epsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi}$. \square

The **balanced function** f of A is defined by $f(x) = A(x) - \alpha$. Note that $\mathbb{E}_x f(x) = 0$ and $\hat{f}(r) = \hat{A}(r)$ when $r \neq 0$.

Let $r \neq 0$ be such that $|\hat{f}(r)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha^2}{10} &\leq |\hat{f}(r)| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx}| \\ &= N^{-1} \left| \sum_x f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{20}$ and let P_1, \dots, P_m be given by Lemma 2.

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1} \left| \sum_x f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| &\leq N^{-1} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| \\ &\leq N^{-1} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) (\omega^{-rx} - \omega^{-rx_i}) \right| + N^{-1} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx_i} \right| \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i \in P_i$ is arbitrary

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^2}{20} + N^{-1} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right|$$

So we may conclude that $\sum_i |\sum_{x \in P_i} f(x)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{20} N$. Also, $\sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) = 0$. Therefore, $\sum_i (|\sum_{x \in P_i} f(x)| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x)) \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{20} N$.

So $\exists i$ s.t. $|\sum_{x \in P_i} f(x)| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{20}$, which implies that

$$\sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{40} |P_i|$$

Or equivalently, $|A \cap P_i| \geq \left(\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{40}\right) |P_i|$.

Back of envelope calculation: each time we iterate, α goes to at least $\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{40}$, so after $\frac{40}{\alpha}$ iterations, the density at least doubles. So the total number of iterations (before we get a 3-AP) is at most $\frac{40}{\alpha} + \frac{40}{2\alpha} + \frac{40}{4\alpha} + \dots = \frac{80}{\alpha}$.

Each time we iterate, N goes to $\frac{\alpha^2}{20} \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi}$, so as long as $N \geq ??$ this is at least $N^{\frac{1}{3}}$. So all the iterative processes have that the new N is at least $N^{(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{80}{\alpha}}}$, which we need to be greater than $\frac{50}{\alpha^3}$.

To solve $N^{(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{80}{\alpha}}} > \frac{50}{\alpha^3}$ take logs twice.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{80}{\alpha}} \log N &> \log 50 + \log(\alpha^{-3}) \\ \implies \frac{80}{\alpha} \log\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \log \log N &> \log(\log 50 + \log(\alpha^{-3})) \end{aligned}$$

So for an appropriate constant C , we are done if

$$\log \log N \geq \frac{C}{\alpha}, \text{ or } \alpha \geq \frac{C}{\log \log N}$$

Theorem 3 (Behrend, 1947). *For every N there exists a subset $A \subset [N]$ of size $\frac{N}{e^{c\sqrt{\log N}}}$ that contains no 3-AP.*

Proof. For this proof let $[N]$ mean $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$.

Let m, d be positive integers and consider the grid $[m]^d$. Note that in \mathbb{R}^d , no sphere $\{x : x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = t\}$ contains three distinct points x, y, z with $x + z = 2y$.

But on $[m]^d$, $x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$ takes at most dm^2 different values. Therefore, we can find a sphere that intersects $[m]^d$ in at least $\frac{m^d}{m^2 d}$ points.

Let $\phi : [m]^d \rightarrow [(2m)^d]$ be defined by

$$\phi(x) = x_1 + 2mx_2 + (2m)^2 x_3 + \dots + (2m)^{d-1} x_d$$

So ϕ sends (x_1, \dots, x_d) to the integer with base- $2m$ representation $x_d x_{d-1} \dots x_1$.

If we add $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$ then no carrying takes place base- $2m$ since all digits are $< m$. So if $\phi(x) + \phi(z) = 2\phi(y)$ it follows that $x + z = 2y$, i.e. no new 3-APs are created.

So (ignoring divisibility etc.) we can find a subset of $[(2m)^d]$ of size $\frac{m^d}{m^2 d}$ that contains no 3-AP. If we let $N = (2m)^d$, then $m = \frac{N^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2}$ and $\frac{m^d}{m^2 d} = \frac{4N}{2^d N^{\frac{2}{d}} d}$. So we'd like to minimise $2^d N^{\frac{2}{d}} d$.

Take logs: $d \log 2 + \frac{2}{d} \log N + \log d$, so $d = \sqrt{\log N}$ is a pretty good choice. So we get

$$\frac{N}{2^{\sqrt{\log N}} e^{2\sqrt{\log N}} \sqrt{\log N}} \geq \frac{N}{e^{c\sqrt{\log N}}}$$

□