

## 305 Lecture 02 - The Nature of Logic

---

Brian Weatherson

July 1, 2020

## Plan for This Lecture

We're discussing the nature of arguments, and in particular, the role of premises and conclusions.

## Associated Reading

Carnap book, chapter 1, section “Arguments and Reasoning”.

# Arguments

Logic studies certain properties of arguments.

An argument, in the sense we're interested in, has two parts:

1. Premises
2. Conclusion

## Example

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

# Premises

1. All kangaroos are wise.
  2. Skippy is a kangaroo.
- 

Skippy is wise.

The **premises** go above the line, and are numbered.

# Conclusion

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

The **conclusion** goes below the line, and is not numbered.

# The Premise Set

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

There can be zero, one or multiple premises. Formally, we'll say there is a set of premises.



# Zero Premises

---

All men are created equal.

# One Premise

1. The cinema is closed.

---

We shouldn't go to the cinema.

# Conclusion

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

But we don't allow this flexibility to conclusions; arguments have a single conclusion.

# Chained Arguments

- Sometimes a conclusion of one argument will go to be a premise in another argument.
- But any given argument aims to prove just one thing.

# Representation

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

When I write arguments like this, I'm merely presenting them, not asserting that they have any nice features.

# Virtues of Arguments

What are some virtues of arguments that you can think of? What makes for a good argument?

# Virtues of Arguments

- Premises are true.

# Virtues of Arguments

- Premises are true.
- Premises are known.



# Virtues of Arguments

- Premises are true.
- Premises are known.
- Premises are accepted in debate.

# Virtues of Arguments

- Premises are true.
- Premises are known.
- Premises are accepted in debate.
- Premises are evidence for the conclusion.

# Virtues of Arguments

- Premises are true.
- Premises are known.
- Premises are accepted in debate.
- Premises are evidence for the conclusion.
- Premises guarantee the truth of the conclusion.

To a first approximation, logic is about the last of these virtues.

- Does the truth of a set of premises **guarantee** the truth of the conclusion?

Logic isn't just about guarantees though. It's about a special kind of guarantee, one that holds in virtue of the structure of the premises and conclusion.

# Non-Structural Guarantee

Compare the Skippy argument with this one.

1. Today is Wednesday.

---

Tomorrow is Thursday.

The premise guarantees the truth of the conclusion, but it does so in virtue of meanings of 'Wednesday' and 'Thursday', not structural features.

## Structure and Substitution

When the structure of the argument does the guaranteeing, the guarantee would persist through some substitutions.

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Skippy is a kangaroo.

---

Skippy is wise.

## Structure and Substitution

When the structure of the argument does the guaranteeing, the guarantee would persist through some substitutions.

1. All kangaroos are wise.
2. Lucky is a kangaroo.

---

Lucky is wise.



## Structure and Substitution

When the structure of the argument does the guaranteeing, the guarantee would persist through some substitutions.

1. All koalas are wise.
2. Lucky is a koala.

---

Lucky is wise.

## Structure and Substitution

When the structure of the argument does the guaranteeing, the guarantee would persist through some substitutions.

1. All koalas are dishonest.
2. Lucky is a koala.

---

Lucky is dishonest.

# Terminology

- We'll say an argument is **valid** just in case the truth of the premises guarantees the truth of the conclusion.
- We'll say an argument is **sound** just in case it is valid and has true premises.
- We won't have much interest here in soundness; just in validity.

# Validity

Here are some equivalent ways to define validity.

- An argument is valid if necessarily, when the premises are true, the conclusion is too.
- An argument is valid if it is impossible for the premises to be true and the conclusion to not be true.

We'll use that last formulation a lot.