

305 Lecture 2.5 - Basic Truth Tables

Brian Weatherson

Plan

This lecture is the truth tables for the basic connectives.

Associated Reading

- We're still working through for all x chapters 9-11.
- This is primarily about chapter 9.
- We're not going to cover biconditionals here (or elsewhere in this course).

Four Main Connectives

- Building truth tables requires, unfortunately, a small amount of memorization.
- In particular, you just have to memorize the truth tables for each of the connectives.
- Equally unfortunately, justifying yourself using truth tables requires justifying these basic tables.
- And as we'll see, that's not trivial.
- But that's for much down the line - let's learn how to use these first, then we'll get to justifying them.

Negation Table

A	$\neg A$
\mathbb{T}	\mathbb{F}
\mathbb{F}	\mathbb{T}

You should read it as saying that if A is \mathbb{T} then $\neg A$ is \mathbb{F} , and if A is \mathbb{F} , then $\neg A$ is \mathbb{T} .

The Conjunction Table

A	B	A	\wedge	B
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	F

Conjunction in Words

- A conjunction is \mathbb{T} if both conjuncts are \mathbb{T} , and is \mathbb{F} otherwise.

The Disjunction Table

A	B	A \vee B
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Disjunction in Words

- A disjunction is \mathbb{T} if either disjunct is \mathbb{T} , and is \mathbb{F} otherwise.

The Conditional Table

A	B	A	\rightarrow	B
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F

Material Implication

Note that these three sentences have exactly the same table.

A B	$A \rightarrow B$	$\neg A \vee B$	$\neg (A \wedge \neg B)$
T T	T T T	F T T T	T T F F T
T F	T F F	F T F F	F T T T F
F T	F T T	T F T T	T F F F T
F F	F T F	T F T F	T F F T F

This conditional is sometimes called **material implication**.

It is certainly an odd interpretation of 'if' that makes these sentences turn out true.

- If I am 200 years old, then Michigan is part of Canada.
- If I am in Los Angeles, then I am in Ann Arbor.

But they are both true on this table.

Arguments

- It turns out that interpreting the conditional this way makes the most sense of the role of conditionals in certain arguments, in particular to do with disjunctive syllogism.
- There is an allusion to this at the end of chapter 1 of Boxes and Diamonds.

Arguments

The big advantage of thinking of 'if' this way is that it guarantees that for any value of A, B, C , these two arguments agree on validity - that is, they are either both valid or both invalid.

$$A, B \vdash C$$

$$A \vdash B \rightarrow C$$

And plausibly those should be the same. A suffices for $B \rightarrow C$ just in case A and B together suffice for C .

For Next Time

We'll talk about how to use these basic truth tables to build larger truth tables.