### Knowledge and Reality, Lecture 09

Brian Weatherson

2022-09-28

#### Review

#### A Dilemma

If there is some feature of true belief that suffices for knowledge, it must either

1. Not survive under logical reasoning; or

#### A Dilemma

If there is some feature of true belief that suffices for knowledge, it must either

- 1. Not survive under logical reasoning; or
- 2. Imply truth

### Option 1

Nozick defended a sensitivity theory that says that the good making feature of belief - counterfactual sensitivity - did not survive under logical reasoning.

But it gave us strange results in many cases.

### Option 1

Nozick defended a sensitivity theory that says that the good making feature of belief - counterfactual sensitivity - did not survive under logical reasoning.

- But it gave us strange results in many cases.
- And it left it hard to say what the point of logical reasoning is.

# Option 2

Review

So a lot of people moved to option 2.

 Goal: Find some good-making feature of belief that implies truth, and which might be enough for knowledge.

#### Intuitive Idea

The good beliefs are not just justified **and** true, they are justified **because** true.

 The explanation of the success of the inquiry, i.e., that it resulted in a true belief, is that it was carried out in a skilful way, i.e., the belief was justified.

# Plan for Today

1. Basics of Virtue Epistemology

# Plan for Today

- 1. Basics of Virtue Epistemology
- 2. Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

# Plan for Today

- 1. Basics of Virtue Epistemology
- 2. Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge
- 3. Sosa on Scepticism

Review

Virtue Epistemology

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Sosa on Scepticism

This is part of a big trend towards making ethics and epistemology more entwined.

 This goes in both directions: a lot of work in contemporary ethics is on the nature of moral reasons, and this work draws on what epistemologists have said about reasons and reasoning.

At least five big trends.

1. What kinds of things epistemic evaluations are.

- 1. What kinds of things epistemic evaluations are.
- 2. What connections there are between knowledge and proper action.

- 1. What kinds of things epistemic evaluations are.
- 2. What connections there are between knowledge and proper action.
- 3. Whether there is a proper/forgivable distinction in epistemology.

- 1. What kinds of things epistemic evaluations are.
- 2. What connections there are between knowledge and proper action.
- 3. Whether there is a proper/forgivable distinction in epistemology.
- 4. Whether epistemic consequentialism makes sense.

- 1. What kinds of things epistemic evaluations are.
- 2. What connections there are between knowledge and proper action.
- 3. Whether there is a proper/forgivable distinction in epistemology.
- 4. Whether epistemic consequentialism makes sense.
- 5. Whether epistemic virtues make sense.

### Virtue Epistemology

Traditional (i.e., pre-circa-1995) epistemology had a mistaken focus in two ways.

1. Too much attention to belief, i.e., the end of inquiry, as opposed to the process of inquiry.

# Virtue Epistemology

Traditional (i.e., pre-circa-1995) epistemology had a mistaken focus in two ways.

- 1. Too much attention to belief, i.e., the end of inquiry, as opposed to the process of inquiry.
- 2. Too much attention to very big picture evaluations, like rational, justified, knowledge, rather than more specific things like open-mindedness, curiosity, humility.

# Vice Epistemology

In the last few years (i.e., since-circa-2015), there has been a small uptick in thinking that we should start with the negative side, i.e., epistemic vices.

Stubbornness

# Vice Epistemology

In the last few years (i.e., since-circa-2015), there has been a small uptick in thinking that we should start with the negative side, i.e., epistemic vices.

- Stubbornness
- Closed-mindedness

#### Two Models of Virtue

1. Reliabilist: virtues are things that reliably get you to truth (or some other desires end)

#### Two Models of Virtue

- 1. Reliabilist: virtues are things that reliably get you to truth (or some other desires end)
- 2. Responsible: virtues are things that responsible believers/inquirers do.

#### Two Models of Virtue

- 1. Reliabilist: virtues are things that reliably get you to truth (or some other desires end)
- 2. Responsible: virtues are things that responsible believers/inquirers do.
- First is clearly externalist; second could be either internalist or externalist.

#### Virtues as Skills

Some virtue epistemologists (not all, I think) equate epistemic virtues with having skills.

 This naturally goes with linking epistemic notions to notions of **achivement**.

#### Virtues as Skills

Some virtue epistemologists (not all, I think) equate epistemic virtues with having skills.

- This naturally goes with linking epistemic notions to notions of achivement.
- Sosa in particular is fond of these equations, but he is hardly the only one.

Review

Virtue Epistemology

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Sosa on Scepticism

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

0.0000000000

#### Sosa

Three claims, in increasing order of strength.

Virtues are central to epistemology.

#### Sosa

Three claims, in increasing order of strength.

- Virtues are central to epistemology.
- What makes something a virtue is that it reliably produces true beliefs.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

#### Sosa

Three claims, in increasing order of strength.

- Virtues are central to epistemology.
- What makes something a virtue is that it reliably produces true beliefs.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Knowledge can be understood in terms of virtues.

#### AAA model

• A belief is **accurate** iff it is true.

#### AAA model

- A belief is **accurate** iff it is true.
- A belief is adroit iff it is skilfully produced.

#### AAA model

- A belief is accurate iff it is true.
- A belief is adroit iff it is skilfully produced.
- A belief is apt iff it is accurate because adroit.

#### **Belief and Achivements**

Can apply AAA model to any kind of goal-directed activity.

 The account of accuracy will change, as will the theory of skill, but the structure will stav.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

#### Achivements

What is it for a result to be an achievement?

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

### **Achievements**

Toy theory. S's action is an achievement iff:

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

1. It causes a good result.

### Achievements

Toy theory. S's action is an achievement iff:

- 1. It causes a good result.
- 2. It involves an exercise in the kind of skill that typically produces that good result.

#### Gettier Cases For Achievements

Imagine a case where someone has a skill, and causes the outcome that skill usually causes, but there is a lot of luck involved

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

 E.g., a skilled baker is baking a cake, and they make a rare slip and put in too much baking powder.

### Gettier Cases For Achievements

Imagine a case where someone has a skill, and causes the outcome that skill usually causes, but there is a lot of luck involved

- E.g., a skilled baker is baking a cake, and they make a rare slip and put in too much baking powder.
- But the baking powder had gone somewhat stale, so it didn't have too much effect on the cake

### Gettier Cases for Achievements

Even if the cake works out well, it doesn't make the cake an achivement.

 And this tells us something interesting about the nature of achievement.

### Gettier Cases for Achievements

Even if the cake works out well, it doesn't make the cake an achivement

 And this tells us something interesting about the nature of achievement.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

 It requires not just success and skill, but something like success **because of** skill

# Philosophical Significance

A lot of authors (Pasnau is sort of going to be an example) think that the focus on Gettier type cases is overdone.

Who cares precisely how the English word 'knows' gets applied?

# Philosophical Significance

A lot of authors (Pasnau is sort of going to be an example) think that the focus on Gettier type cases is overdone.

 Who cares precisely how the English word 'knows' gets applied?

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Sosa is making an interesting reply here.

# Philosophical Significance

A lot of authors (Pasnau is sort of going to be an example) think that the focus on Gettier type cases is overdone.

 Who cares precisely how the English word 'knows' gets applied?

- Sosa is making an interesting reply here.
- Gettier cases reveal something very general about the structure of achievements

# Gettier Cases in Epistemology

• The beliefs are both accurate and adroit, but these facts are coincidences.

# Gettier Cases in Epistemology

- The beliefs are both accurate and adroit, but these facts are coincidences.
- The accuracy is not explained by the adroitness.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

These three conditions give one animal knoweldge.

- These three conditions give one animal knoweldge.
- As the name suggests, these are conditions that Sosa thinks even (non-human) animals can readily attain.

- These three conditions give one animal knoweldge.
- As the name suggests, these are conditions that Sosa thinks even (non-human) animals can readily attain.
- Actually a tricky question here about which animals have beliefs, and what it means to say an animal has a belief, but assuming they do, they can have AAA beliefs.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Reflective knowledge is animal knowledge that one has animal knowledge.

It requires being able to reflect on one's own skills, and correctly judge that one has acquired true beliefs by the exercise of skill, in virtue of having skill at making this kind of judgment.

Sosa on Virtue and Knowledge

Reflective knowledge is animal knowledge that one has animal knowledge.

- It requires being able to reflect on one's own skills, and correctly judge that one has acquired true beliefs by the exercise of skill, in virtue of having skill at making this kind of judgment.
- That's probably too much for non-humans!

Sosa on Scepticism

# Three Stages

1. Sensitivity

## Three Stages

- 1. Sensitivity
- 2. Safety

# Three Stages

- 1. Sensitivity
- 2. Safety
- 3. Aptness

# Sensitivity

If p weren't true, S wouldn't believe it.

Leads to problems in things like the Potemkin village case.

### Safety

Sosa's version: If S believed p, it would be true.

 I don't know really how to make sense of that, given that S does actually believe it.

### Safety

Better version: There is no easy possibility where S falsely believes p.

#### Problem

#### Dreaming.

• Evil demon, BIV possibilities are far-fetched. (Or so we non-sceptics say.)

#### Problem

#### Dreaming.

- Evil demon, BIV possibilities are far-fetched. (Or so we non-sceptics say.)
- But dreaming scenarios are not far-fetched; they happen all the time.

#### About a Dream

Two kinds of responses.

1. We don't really form **beliefs** in dreams. This is what Sosa defends in chapter 1 of this book (which we didn't read).

#### About a Dream

Two kinds of responses.

- 1. We don't really form **beliefs** in dreams. This is what Sosa defends in chapter 1 of this book (which we didn't read).
- 2. Beliefs are so distinctive they have a **dreamlike** quality - that we can tell when we're awake that we're not in them.

Aptness is a matter of whether what S is doing works well in the situation S is in.

It isn't the same as doing well in a range of cases.

Aptness is a matter of whether what S is doing works well in the situation S is in.

- It isn't the same as doing well in a range of cases.
- A goalkeeper might be good at saving a very particular kind of shot, even if they are bad at saving other shots.

Aptness is a matter of whether what S is doing works well in the situation S is in.

- It isn't the same as doing well in a range of cases.
- A goalkeeper might be good at saving a very particular kind of shot, even if they are bad at saving other shots.
- Skills in general can be very fine-grained.

Our skills at navigating the world when awake could be real skills, even if they misfire when we're asleep.

 What makes an action skillful is that it is the right kind of thing to do in that situation.

Sosa on Scenticism

Our skills at navigating the world when awake could be real skills, even if they misfire when we're asleep.

- What makes an action skillful is that it is the right kind of thing to do in that situation.
- And the same for a belief forming process.

### For Next Time

We'll keep looking at scepticism.

#### For Next Time

- We'll keep looking at scepticism.
- Including looking at other arguments that we don't know we're not in sceptical scenarios.