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Abstract: David Lewis put forward a decision theoretic argument against there being a tight connection between desires and beliefs about the good. I argue that his argument fails twice over. It makes inconsistent background assumptions about his opponents’ views, and it over-generates so broadly that if it worked, it would also rule out some standard economic models. I end with a puzzle that arises from the response to Lewis. If one responds to moral uncertainty by saying one should maximise expected moral value, how does one treat cases where one’s action is evidence for or against the goodness of different actions?